

This is a digital copy of a book that was preserved for generations on library shelves before it was carefully scanned by Google as part of a project to make the world's books discoverable online.

It has survived long enough for the copyright to expire and the book to enter the public domain. A public domain book is one that was never subject to copyright or whose legal copyright term has expired. Whether a book is in the public domain may vary country to country. Public domain books are our gateways to the past, representing a wealth of history, culture and knowledge that's often difficult to discover.

Marks, notations and other marginalia present in the original volume will appear in this file - a reminder of this book's long journey from the publisher to a library and finally to you.

Usage guidelines

Google is proud to partner with libraries to digitize public domain materials and make them widely accessible. Public domain books belong to the public and we are merely their custodians. Nevertheless, this work is expensive, so in order to keep providing this resource, we have taken steps to prevent abuse by commercial parties, including placing technical restrictions on automated querying.

We also ask that you:

- + *Make non-commercial use of the files* We designed Google Book Search for use by individuals, and we request that you use these files for personal, non-commercial purposes.
- + Refrain from automated querying Do not send automated queries of any sort to Google's system: If you are conducting research on machine translation, optical character recognition or other areas where access to a large amount of text is helpful, please contact us. We encourage the use of public domain materials for these purposes and may be able to help.
- + *Maintain attribution* The Google "watermark" you see on each file is essential for informing people about this project and helping them find additional materials through Google Book Search. Please do not remove it.
- + *Keep it legal* Whatever your use, remember that you are responsible for ensuring that what you are doing is legal. Do not assume that just because we believe a book is in the public domain for users in the United States, that the work is also in the public domain for users in other countries. Whether a book is still in copyright varies from country to country, and we can't offer guidance on whether any specific use of any specific book is allowed. Please do not assume that a book's appearance in Google Book Search means it can be used in any manner anywhere in the world. Copyright infringement liability can be quite severe.

About Google Book Search

Google's mission is to organize the world's information and to make it universally accessible and useful. Google Book Search helps readers discover the world's books while helping authors and publishers reach new audiences. You can search through the full text of this book on the web at http://books.google.com/







SELECT PRIVATE ORATIONS

OF

DEMOSTHENES.

PART II.

London: C. J. CLAY AND SONS CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS WAREHOUSE, AVE MARIA LANE.

Glasgow: 263, ARGYLE STREET.



Leipzig: F. A. BROCKHAUS.

Actor Mork: THE MACMILLAN CO.

Bombag: GEORGE BELL AND SONS.

SELECT PRIVATE ORATIONS

OF

DEMOSTHENES

PART II

CONTAINING

PRO PHORMIONE, CONTRA STEPHANUM I. II.; CONTRA NICOSTRATUM, CONONEM, CALLICLEM;

WITH

INTRODUCTION AND ENGLISH COMMENTARY

BY

J. E. SANDYS, LITT.D.

FELLOW AND TUTOR OF ST JOHN'S COLLEGE, AND PUBLIC ORATOR
IN THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE,
HON, LITT.D. DUBLIN,

WITH SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES BY

F. A. PALEY, M.A., LL.D.

EDITOR OF HESIOD, THE GREEK TRAGIC POETS, ETC.; LATE EXAMINER
IN CLASSICS TO THE UNIVERSITY OF LONDON.

EDITED FOR THE SYNDICS OF THE UNIVERSITY PRESS. ...

THIRD EDITION, REVISED.

CAMBRIDGE:
AT THE UNIVERSITY PRESS.
1896

[All Rights reserved.]

PA 3949 A 3 1898 pt. 2

Cambridge :

PRINTED BY J. AND C. F. CLAY, AT THE UNIVERSITY PRESS.

FROM THE

PREFACE TO THE FIRST EDITION.

My earliest interest in the Private Orations may be said to date from the time when it was my privilege as an undergraduate to attend, in the year 1865, a course of lectures by my friend Mr Moss, then Fellow and Lecturer of St John's College, and now Head-Master of Shrewsbury School. His selections included two of the six speeches edited in the present volume, the Nicostratus and the Conon; but, as the notes taken down from his lectures were too scanty to form even the basis of any attempt at constructing a complete edition, my commentary on those speeches has been mainly the result of independent reading and research, though I gladly acknowledge the help that is due to his soundness of judgment on several points on which I have consulted him while revising my notes on the Conon. In the case of the Nicostratus, when my own commentary was nearly ready for the press, I had the further advantage of attending in the spring of 1874 some of Dr Kennedy's professorial lectures on the Private Orations. From the excellent translation of his brother Mr Charles Rann Kennedy I have here and there quoted a few extracts; and if I have now and then drawn attention to an apparently erroneous interpretation, I have done so with the consciousness that in each case it is only a trifling blemish in what is nearly perfect of its kind. Similarly, several questionable explanations, retained even in the sixth edition of Liddell and Scott's *Lexicon*, have been duly pointed out in the course of my commentary, as it is only thus that a labourer in a limited field can offer any acknowledgement of his large indebtedness to their labours. The lexicography of Demosthenes cannot indeed be said to be at present in a completely satisfactory condition, as general lexicons have still to rely in a great measure on Reiske's *Index Graecitatis*, which, with the portion of his *opus magnum* including his notes on the speeches in this volume, was posthumously published exactly a century ago².

The volume opens with a speech on behalf of Phormion, in bar of a claim on the part of Apollodorus for the recovery of capital alleged to have been transferred to Phormion by Pasion, the father of Apollodorus. This is followed by two on behalf of Phormion's opponent Apollodorus, charging with false witness one of the deponents called on Phormion's side in the previous trial. These three speeches, though not actually delivered in the same lawsuit, virtually represent the arguments of the two opposite sides, and a comparison of their conflicting statements has an interest similar in kind, though different in degree, to that derived from reading the longer and more important orations of Demosthenes, On the Embassy and On the Crown, in contrast with those of his great rival

¹ Some of these have since been corrected in the seventh edition.

² Since the above was written the *Index Demosthenicus* of S. Preuss has been published by Teubner, 1895.

The orations of Antiphon, the earliest Aeschines. of the Attic Orators, include indeed four sets of ingenious speeches written for the prosecution and the defence in cases of homicide, but his cases are merely imaginary, and the orations are intended as rhetorical exercises alone. The first three selections in this volume supply us with the only instance in all the remains of Attic oratory, where the legal issues raised on both sides in a suit of purely private interest, lie before us as they were actually presented to an Athenian tribunal. Whether Demosthenes actually wrote for both sides is a vexed question, briefly discussed in the course of the Introduction; it is a question that has provoked a large number of dissertations, the titles of which I have recorded on a page devoted to a conspectus of the literature of the subject up to the present date. But the volume now published, while it happens to be the first English commentary on any of the selections included in it, is also the first attempt either in England or elsewhere to put together an edition of all these three speeches in their connexion with one another. As compared with the work demanded by the second half of this volume, where I have been conscious of moving more freely over ground familiarised by more frequent reading of that portion with private pupils between 1867 and 1870,

¹ Mr Penrose's handy volume (now out of print) contained the Speeches against Aphobus, Onetor, Zenothemis, Apaturius, Phormio (Or. 34, πρὸς Φορμίωνα), and Lacritus. The Eubulides, Theocrines and in Neaeram are the only private orations included in the learned edition of Demosthenes by Dr John Taylor (fellow of St John's Coll. from 1726 to 1752), printed at the Cambridge University Press in 1748, 1757 and 1769.

and for public lectures at a later date, the task of writing the first half has proved a somewhat tedious one, owing partly to the necessity of constantly keeping in view all the nine speeches in which Phormion's opponent, Apollodorus, is more or less directly concerned, and of forming an opinion on the numerous points of literary criticism and chronological detail involved in the controversy on the authorship of those orations.

Those who, after finishing the pro Phormione, do not care to study minutely the whole of the two speeches against Stephanus, ought, at the very least, to examine the vigorous attack on Phormion which extends from § 71 to § 82 of the first of those two speeches. They should also endeavour to obtain a connected view of the argument by reading consecutively the italicised abstracts inserted at suitable intervals in the course of the commentary. With the help of these abstracts the general reader, the barrister, for instance, who has not remembered all the Greek of his younger days, may perhaps, if tempted to dip into these pages, form some opinion of his own on the forensic oratory of Athens; but my more immediate object in this part of my work has been to obviate any occasion for unduly burdening the notes with those literal renderings which are always welcome to students of the less industrious sort, by supplying instead either a free paraphrase or a condensed summary, as the occasion requires.

The latter half of the volume includes the *Nicostratus*, which was delivered by the same speaker as the two orations against Stephanus, namely by Apollodorus, and also the *Conon*, which is certainly one of the most celebrated of the minor speeches of Demosthenes. To these selections, both of which throw much light on the

social life of Athens, I have added the Callicles, which, though less well known than the others, will be found one of the pleasantest, while it happens to be the shortest, of all the Private Orations.

The first volume of the Select Private Orations includes the speeches contra Phormionem (Or. 34), Lacritum (35), Pantaenetum (37), Boeotum de nomine (39), Boeotum de dote (40), and Dionysodorum (56). In the preface to that volume it has been already explained that the two volumes are a joint edition on the part of Mr Paley and myself, and I may here repeat that while Mr Paley is mainly responsible for the first volume, I am similarly responsible for the whole of the Introduction and for nearly all the notes of the second, though I have had the advantage of receiving from him a careful revision of all the proof-sheets of my commentary, and a large number of supplementary annotations, many of which have been incorporated with my own, and duly acknowledged by being placed in square brackets and followed by his initial.

J. E. S.

October, 1875.

For the second edition of this volume, the work has been thoroughly revised, and the suggestions with which I have been favoured by scholars who have had occasion to use it, have been carefully considered and in many cases adopted. Some redundant passages have been removed, and room has been found for many additional notes and references. Account has also been taken of the recent literature of the subject, and particularly of the volume on Demosthenes in the im-

portant work of Professor F. Blass, entitled die Attische Beredsamkeit. Lastly, the manuscripts of Demosthenes in the Paris Library have been specially examined by me during the early part of the present year, and the readings ascribed to them in the former edition have been verified and corrected accordingly.

J. E. S.

October, 1886.

For the third edition, the text as well as the notes has been carefully revised. The text has been accommodated to that of Dindorf as edited by Blass in 1889; and the points in which the revised text differs from that of Dindorf's own edition, together with the reasons for such difference, have been indicated in the critical notes. In the explanatory notes I have added references to Aristotle's Constitution of Athens, and to the recent literature of the speeches included in the present The work to which I have been most indebted is G. Huettner's valuable monograph on the first speech against Stephanus, published in 1895. The comparison of the language of that speech with that of the undoubtedly genuine speeches is there carried still further than I had occasion to carry it in my former edition. The result of this comparison is to give strong support to the view that the speech in question was really the work of Demosthenes.

J. E. S.

March, 1896.

CONTENTS.

								PAGE
SELECT LIST OF	Editions,	Dissi	ERTATI	ONS	AND	Books	of	
Reference		•		•			•	xiii
Symbols used in	RECORDING	VARIO	us Re	EADIN	38			xvi
TABLE OF ATTIC	Money .							xvii i
Introduction to	OR. XXXV	T.						xix
,, ,,	OB. XLV.							xxxii
,, ,,	OR, XLVI,							XXXV
On the Authors	HIP OF OR.	XLV,	XLV	7 1. .	,			XXXIX
INTRODUCTION TO	Or. LIII.							li
,, ,,	OR. LIV.				,			lx
" "	Or. LV.							lxviii
OBATION	TEX'	r ani	D NO	TES.	•			
•	графн тп	ер фо	PMI	ΝΟΣ				. 1
XLV. KATA	ΣΤΕΦΑΝΟ	r v et	ι ΜΟΔ	APTT	ΡΙΩ	N A.		. 58
XLVI. KATA	ΣΤΕΦΑΝΟ	r wei	ι ΔΟΜ.	APTY	ΡΙΩΙ	N B.		. 128
LIII. ΠΡΟΣ	ΝΙΚΟΣΤΙ	PATON	ТП	EPI	A N A	PATTO	ΔΩΝ	
	ΟΓΡΑΦΗΣ			or	•	•	•	. 150
LIV. KATA	ΚΟΝΩΝΟΣ	AIKE	ΕΙΑΣ					. 183
Excursus (A). On the	defect	ive ve	rb τί	πτω			. 233
•). On the						_	. 238
" (C	•	•	•	•		20		. 239
,, (D	•		•		•			. 241
•	KAAAIKA:						Σ.	. 244
INDEX	mannina.	nu mi	411 A		, L D.	TUDITA	_	275
								2/1

		-	
		•	

SELECT LIST OF EDITIONS, DISSERTATIONS AND BOOKS OF REFERENCE

On the Selections included in this Volume.

TEXT.

(1) J. G. Baiter and H. Sauppe. Oratores Attici, in one volume 4to. Zürich, 1850. (2) Imm. Beiker. Demosthenis Orationes; stereotyped edition, 8vo. Leipzig, 1854—5 [earlier editions, Oxford 1822, and Berlin 1824]. (3) W. Dindorf. Demosthenis Orationes [Leipzig, 1825, Oxford, 1846], editio tertia correctior, (Teubner) Leipzig, 1855, reprinted in subsequent years; editio quarta correctior, revised by F. Blass. 1885—9. (Vol. 11 Part 2 includes Or. 36; and Vol. 111 Part 1, all the remaining selections of the present volume.)

COMMENTARIES.

I. GENERAL.

(1) G. H. Schaefer. Apparatus Criticus ad Demosthenem Vinc. Obsopoei, Hier. Wolfi [1572], Jo. Taylori [1748, 1757] et Jo. Jac. Reiskii [1770—1775] annotationes tenens. Commodum in ordinem digestum aliorumque et suis annotationibus auctum edidit Godofredus Henricus Schaefer. London, 1824—7, Vol. IV pp. 590—618 (on Or. 36); Vol. v pp. 167—214 (on Or. 45 and 46); pp. 346—407 (on Or. 53, 54 and 55). (2) G. S. Dobson. Oratores Attici, Graece cum notis variorum, xVI vols. London, 1828. Vol. VII, Dem. Or. 21—38; Vol. VII, Or. 39—59; Vol. IX Reiskii Annotationes, etc. Vol. XI Reiskii indices Graecitatis. (3) W. Dindorf. Demosthenes ex recensione Gulielmi Dindorfii, Oxford. Vol. VII [1849] Annotationes interpretum ad Or. XVII—LXII.

II. SPECIAL.

(1) A. Westermann. Ausgewählte Reden des Dem., part 3, pp. 111—134, Rede gegen Konon (Or. 54). Also contains adv. Aristocratem and in Eubulidem. Berlin (3rd ed. 1890). (2) G. Huettner. Dem. pro Phormione oratio adnotatione critica instructa et commentario explanata, pp. 104 [without text], (Jung) Erlangen, 1885. (3) G. Huettner. Dem. oratio in Stephanum prior num vera sit inquiritur, pp. 65, (Brügel) Ansbach, 1895.

LEXICOGRAPHY AND TEXTUAL CRITICISM.

(1) Harpocration. λέξεις τῶν δέκα ἡητόρων, ed. W. Dindorf; Oxford, 1853: (also Pollux, and Anecdota Graeca, ed. Bekker; and Hesychius, ed. Schmidt). (2) T. Mitchell (after Reiske 1775). Indices Graecitatis in Oratores Atticos, 2 vols. and Index Graecitatis

Isocraticae, Oxford, 1828 [uniform with the Oxford edition of Bekker's Oratores Attici]. (3) S. Preuss. Index Demosthenicus, (Teubner) Leipzig, 1895. (4) P. P. Dobree. Adversaria; cura Scholefield; Cambridge, 1833 (ed. Wagner, Leipzig, 1875).

DEMOSTHENIC LITERATURE

I. GENERAL

(1) Arnold Schaufer. Demosthenes und seine Zeit. 3 vols., esp. vol. III part 2, Beilagen: die Reden in Sachen Apollodors (Or. 36, 45, 46, 53 etc.) pp. 130—199. Rede wider Konon (Or. 54) pp. 247—252; gegen Kallikles (Or. 55) pp. 252—7. Leipzig, 1856—8; new ed. of vol. I, II and part 1 only of vol. III, 1886—7; part 2 will not be reprinted.

(2) F. Blass. Die Attische Beredsamkeit, esp. vol. III Demos-

thenes; (Teubner) Leipzig, 1877; ed. 2, 1893.

(3) S. H. Butcher. Demosthenes, (Macmillan) London, 1881.

II. SPECIAL.

On On. 36, 45, 46 and 53.

(1) C. D. Beels. Diatribe in Dem. orationes I et II in Stephanum (Or. 45 and 46), pp. 122, Leyden, 1823. (2) Im. Hermann. De tempore, quo orutiones quae feruntur Demosthenis pro Apollodoro et Phormione scriptue sint, disputatio (on Or. 36, 45, 46, 53 etc.) pp. 22. Erfart, 1842. (8) A. Wostermann. Untersuchungen über die in die Attischen Redner eingelegten Urkunden, pp. 136 (esp. pp. 195-113 on the depositions in Or. 45 and 46). Leipzig, 1850. (4) W. Bernbostel. Ueber die vom Dem. in Sachen des Apollodor seten Gerichtsreden, pp. 42. Ratzeburg, 1851. In the Neue Jahrbiicher für Philologie 1854, 2, pp. 504-5 there is a suggestive with of this dissertation by C. Rehdantz, who also gives a short second of Apollodorus in his vitae Iphicratis Chabriae Timothei, which unhappily never appeared). (5) Im. Hermann. Arthunte Bemerkungen zu Dem. paragraphischen Reden (Or. 36.) pp. 38. Erfart, 1853. (6) F. Lorixing. De orationibus quas m. pro Apollodoro scripsisse fertur, pp. 94. Berlin, 1863. (7) Der Verfasser neun angeblich von Dem. für Apollodor Reden. Besonderer Abdruck aus dem sechsten Supder Jahrbiicher für classische Philologie, pp. 396— (Tenhner), 1878. (8) I. E. Kirchner. De litis exetent in Demosthenis quae fertur in Lacritum mum orationibus, pp. 40. Halle (Hendel), De litis instrumentis prioris adversus Demosthenicae, pp. 88. Königsberg (Gräfe u. (16) B. Lallier. Le procès du Phormion; études Athènes. Annuaire de l'Association familie de studes grecques en France; année xii Démosthène et ses contemporains, in mandes, 1878, 6, pp. 407—39 (on Or. 36, pp. Maneires d'archéologie, d'épigraphie et

d'histoire, pp. 337—444, Paris (Didier), 1875. (12) C. Rueger. Zu Dem. Rede für Phormion, Neue Jahrbücher, 1896, p. 35—40. Or. 36 Arg. 5, proposes παλλακήν αὐτοῦ (Phormion) γενομένην, quoting Or. 45 § 84. In § 3, ἐπειδή φέρειν τοῦτον οὐχ οἰός τ' ἐστί, takes Apollodorus as the subject, and Phormion as the object. § 12 defends τοῦ ἴσου ἀργυρίου as an oratorical exaggeration. § 32 places τῷ τὸ τέταρτον μέρος—ἀπάντων after γεγαμήσθαι. § 45 places και ζῆς ἀσελγῶς after αἰσθάνεσθαι, and omits ἐκεῖνος in next line. § 46, for οὐχ ὀρᾶ, proposes ὑφορᾶ, or ὑφορᾶται. § 47 takes ἐλέγχεις either as a synonym of ἀγεις εἰς μέσον and δεικνύεις (quoting 26 § 18 ἐλέγξαι τὰπόρρητα τῆς πολιτείας), or as an equivalent to ὀνειδίζεις.

(13) R. Duncker. Inter privatarum causarum orationes Demosthenicas quae pro genuinis habendae sint quaeque pro falsis breviter exponitur. Greiffenberg, pars i, 1877. (14) P. Uhle. Quaestiones de orationum Demostheni falso addictarum scriptoribus, i (Or. 35, 43, 46; 50, 52, 53, 59), Leipzig (Fock), 1883: ii pp. 32 (Or. 33, 34, 56), ib. 1886. (15) W. H. Kirk. Demosthenic Style in the Private Orations, pp. 43, Baltimore (Friedenwald Company), 1895.

On Or. 54.

(1) G. Perrot. Revue des deux mondes, 1873, 3, pp. 927—53 (esp. 946—53). (2) C. Zink. Adnotationes ad Dem. orationem in Cononem, pp. 30. Erlangen (Jung), 1883.

GREEK ANTIQUITIES.

(1) A. Boeckh. Die Staatshaushaltung der Athener, ed. 2, 1851; ed. 3, 1886. Public Economy of Athens:—1st German ed. translated by Sir George Cornewall Lewis, 1828, 1842; 2nd German ed. translated by Lamb, Boston, U. S., 1857. (2) K. F. Hermann. Lehrbuch der Griechischen Antiquitäten, (a) Staatsalterthümer ed. 6, Thumser, 1898. (b) Privatalterthümer, ed. 3 (excluding Law, see below), Blümner, 1882. (3) W. A. Becker. Charikles, ed. K. F. Hermann, 1854, ed. Göll 1877; abridged English transl. by F. Metcalfe, 1845, ed. 3, 1866. (4) B. Büchsenschütz. Besitz und Erwerb im griechischen Alterthume. Halle, 1869. (5) G. Busoit. Staats-u. Rechtsalt. ed. 2, Munich, 1893. (6) Gilbert. Const. Antiquities, Eng. ed. 1895. (7) Gardner and Jevons. Manual, 1895.

GREEK LAW.

(1) J. B. Télfy. Corpus Iuris Attici; Pesth, 1868. (2) Meter und Schömann. Der Attische Process; Halle, 1824: new edition revised by J. H. Lipsius; Berlin, 1881—6. (3) K. F. Hermann. Griechische Antiquitäten, new ed. vol. 11 part 1, Rechtsalterthümer, ed. Thalheim, ed. 4, 1895. (4) G. R. Kennedy. (a) Articles in Smith's Dict. of Greek and Roman Antiquities, 3rd ed. by Wayte and Marindin, London, 1890. (b) The Orations of Dem. translated with notes and dissertations, 5 vols. London, reissued 1880. (5) E. Caillemer. (a) Articles in Daremberg and Saglio, Dictionnaire des Antiquités Grecques et Romaines, parts 1—22, A—HERCULES, Paris (Hachette) 1873— . (b) études sur les antiquités juridiques d'Athènes 1865—80. (6) R. Dareste. Les plaidogers civils de Démosthène, traduits en Français, avec arguments et notes, vol. 1 pp. 385; 11 pp. 364 Paris (Plon), 1875.

EXPLANATION OF THE SYMBOLS USED IN THIS EDITION IN RECORDING VARIOUS READINGS.

As a general rule, wherever the text (that of W. Dindorf's fourth Edition, as revised by Blass in 1889) agrees with that of the Zürich editors, I have not thought it necessary to notice any variations in the MSS. Where Dindorf differs from the Zürich editors, the difference is in most cases due to the greater weight given by the latter to the readings of the Paris MS S.

Z stands for the Zürich text of Demosthenes as printed by J. G. Baiter and H. Sauppe in their excellent edition of the Oratores Attici, in one volume (1850).

'Bekker st.' is Bekker's stereotyped edition published at Leipzig in 1854. The readings adopted in his Berlin ed. 1824 have been occasionally recorded. When Dindorf differs from the Zürich editors, he generally agrees with Bekker. When a note begins with Bekk., it is meant that Dindorf's text is supported by Bekker's Berlin and Leipzig editions; then, after a slight space, follows the reading of the Zürich editors (Z) with the MSS supporting it, introduced by the word cum.

The mss thus quoted by the Zürich editors are as follows:

S (or Σ) in the Bibliothèque Nationale, Paris (No. 2934), on parchment; of century X. "Primae quidem classis unus superest Parisinus S" Dindorf, praef. ed. Oxon. p.vi. This is admitted on all hands to be the best Ms, and its readings are very often accepted by Bekker and still more frequently by the Zürich editors. A careful description of it was published by Voemel (2 codicis Demosthenici conditio describitur) in 1853. A facsimile of the whole was published in 1893, Paris (Leroux). For a protest against excessive deference to its authority, see the Preface of Shilleto's fourth edition of the De falsa legatione, pp. vii, viii, xiv. By examining the Ms I have ascertained that the readings assigned to it in the former edition, on the authority of the apparatus criticus of the Zürich editors, are wrong in the following instances, in Or. 45 § 87, the Ms has και παράδειγμα, not παράδειγμα; in 46 \S 6 έν (not έν $\tau \hat{\varphi}$) γραμματείω; in 46 § 12 έξειναι έπ' άνδρι (not έπ' άνδρι έξειναι) θειναι; and in 55 § 5 it has ὑμῶν, not ἡμῶν. In the last instance, the same mistake has found its way into the critical notes of Dindorf's Oxford ed.

- F. Codex Marcianus (No. 416), in the Library of St Mark's at Venice, on parchment; of century XI. The best ms of the second group or family (Dindorf), but closely followed by the Codex Bavaricus (B).
- Q (or Φ). In the same Library (No. 418), on parchment; of century XL.
- k. In the Bibliothèque Nationale Paris (No. 2998), on cotton paper (bombycinus), forma quadrata; of century XIV. Includes Or. 54 (κατὰ Κόνωνος).
- r. In the same Library (No. 2936), on parchment forma maxima; of century XIII.
- A (or A^1). Augustanus primus, formerly at Augusturg (Augusta Vindelicorum), now at Munich (No. 485), on parchment, paene quadratus; of century XI (according to Dindorf), or XII (according to the Zürich edition).
- B. Bavaricus, now at Munich (No. 85), on cotton-paper (bombycinus) forma maxima; of century XIII.
- $\gamma \rho$. A contraction for $\gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \phi e \tau a$, used in the MSS themselves to introduce the marginal citation of a various reading.

TABLE OF ATTIC MONEY.

	Values in English money.				
8 χαλκοῦ =1 ὀβολός		1·62d	1·\$d		
6 δβολοί =1 δραχμή		9·72d*	8d+		
100 δραχμαί = 1 μνα	£4 1s	}	£3 6s 8d		
60 μναί =1 τάλαντον	£243		£200		

Like the $\tau d\lambda a \nu \tau \sigma \nu$ of 6000 $\delta \rho a \chi \mu a l$, the $\mu \nu \hat{a}$ was not an actual coin but only a term used in keeping accounts to denote a sum of 100 $\delta \rho a \chi \mu a l$.

- * This is the equivalent given in Hussey's Ancient Weights and Money, pp. 47, 48, followed in the second edition of Smith's Dictionary of Greek and Roman Antiquities, s. v. Drachma. It assumes that an Attic drachma contains only 65.4 grains Troy of pure silver. As a shilling contains 80.7 grains of pure silver; a drachma is reckoned as $\frac{65.4}{80.7}$ of a shilling, or 9.72 pence.
- + This is the equivalent proposed in Professor W. W. Goodwin's article on the Value of the Attic Talent in Modern Money in the Transactions of the American Philological Association 1885, xvi, p. 117—9. It has been ascertained that the Athenians coined their silver pure, and the best specimens of Attic coinage prove the weight of the drachma to be 67.38 + grains troy of pure silver. The average price of pure silver for the last quarter of a century having been 57 pence per ounce of 480 grains, it follows that the amount of silver in a drachma is worth $\frac{67.38}{480}$ of 57 pence = 8.001375 pence.

In the third edition of Smith's Dictionary, ii p. 1004, ed. 1890, the amount of silver in a drachma is similarly reckoned as worth $8\frac{1}{2}d$., silver being taken at 5s. an ounce troy. But its value, 'if compared with English coined silver, would be much higher: e.g. the shilling weighs 87.27 gr., and contains only about 80 gr. of pure silver. The drachma, of 67.5 gr. pure, is obviously more than $\frac{2}{3}$ rds of this. For practical purposes it is perhaps better to reckon the drachma as worth about a French franc (9\frac{3}{2}d.), the mina £4.'

N.B. Neither of the above estimates takes account of the different purchasing powers of silver in ancient and modern times.

INTRODUCTION TO

Or. xxxvi.

ΥΠΕΡ ΦΟΡΜΙΩΝΟΣ.

In the early part of the fourth century B.C. there was a noted man of business at Athens, named Pasion. was originally a slave in the employment of a firm of bankers, but by his industry and integrity he won the confidence of his employers, Antisthenes and Archestratus, and was rewarded by receiving his liberty from the latter and by succeeding both of them in their business'. In the Trapeziticus of Isocrates, he appears as defendant in a suit brought by the son of a trusted minister of Satyrus, prince of Pontus, and is charged, whether rightly or wrongly, with appropriating a sum of money deposited with him by the plaintiff, with destroying documents detrimental to his own interests, and with other sharp practice of a somewhat unscrupulous To examine the justice of these charges is no character. part of our present duty, nor indeed have we the data for arriving at any decisive result; suffice it to say that, in the language of his very opponent in that action, he

reprinted in Mémoires d'archéologie, d'épigraphie et d'histoire, 1875, p. 337—444; also Göll's Kulturbilder, i 189—197, and Huettner's Dissertation on this speech, 1885, p. 98—104.

¹ Or. 36 § 43 sq.—On the Trapezitas, see Becker's Charicles scene IV; K. F. Hermann, Privatalterthümer § 48; Büchsenschütz, Besitz und Erwerb pp. 500—510; Perrot in Revue des deux mondes, 1873, 6 p. 408,

is described as one of those bankers who enjoyed a wide connexion and had the management of large sums of money, and whose position as men of business had won them a general confidence. The speech composed by Isocrates probably belongs to the year B.C. 394, when Pasion, though no longer a slave, was only a resident alien (μέτοικος)²; at a subsequent date, on rising to the privileged position of enjoying as a denizen (ἰσοτελής) such civic rights as were not of an expressly political nature, he acquired some property in land, and distinguished himself by his public spirit, on one occasion in particular presenting the State with a thousand shields from his own manufactory, and five triremes equipped at his own expense³. In recognition of these services, Athens rewarded him with the rights of her citizenship.

Among those who had business relations with him we find Athenians of high position like Timotheus, the celebrated generals, and Demosthenes, the father of the orator⁶; his bank in the Peiraeus enjoyed, in the Euxine and elsewhere, a credit co-extensive with the commerce of Attica: even eight years after his death, Apollodorus, of whom we shall hear more anon, had only to declare himself as Pasion's son to be at once enabled to raise a loan in a foreign land⁷; and in later ages, in the imaginary letters of Alciphron, the Atticist who in the latter part of the second century of our era attempted to revive the memories of the times of Menander, we find the vulgar money-lender contrasted with a banker of

¹ Isocr. Trapez. § 2.

² ib. § 41.

⁸ Or. 45 § 85.

⁴ Or. 36 § 47, Or. 46 § 15, Or. 53 § 18, Or. 59 § 2 ψηφισα-μένου τοῦ δήμου τοῦ ᾿Αθηναίων Αθηναίον είναι Πασίωνα καὶ έκγόνους τούς εκείνου διά τάς εὐεργεσίας τὰς είς τὴν πόλιν.

⁵ Or. 49 πρός Τιμόθεον ὑπέρ χρέωs. Cf. note on Or. 36 § 53, p. 50.

⁶ Or. 27 § 11.

⁷ Or. 50 § 56 διά τὸ Πασίωνος είναι και ἐκείνον ἐπεξενῶσθαι πολλοίς και πιστευθήναι έν τη Έλλάδι οὐκ ἡπόρουν, ὅπου δεηθείην δανείσασθαι.

blameless reputation, who bears the appropriate name of Pasion 1.

Pasion, in his old age, finding his eyesight failing him, and being only able to walk with difficulty up to Athens from his bank in the Peiraeus², four or five miles distant, transferred his business, including not only his bank but also his shield-manufactory, on lease to his managing clerk Phormion⁸, who, like his employer, was himself originally a slave⁴, and obtained his freedom as the reward of honest service. We read of him as a generous and energetic man of business, and his skilful management is said to have been the very saving of the property of his former master. From the nature of the case, as well as from certain chronological considerations, it may be concluded that the lease to Phormion belongs to a date before, but not long before, Pasion's death in B. C. 3707. In B. C. 372, we find the latter still managing his business on his own account, and we may therefore fix on B.C. 371 as the probable date of the lease. left behind him a widow, Archippe by name, and two sons by her, the elder, Apollodorus, who was four-andtwenty years old at his father's death 10, and the younger, Pasicles, who came of age eight years after (namely, in B.C. 362)11. In his will he provided that his widow should be married to Phormion, with a dowry of two

¹ Alciphron III 3. See note on Or. 45 § 70.—Mr Mahaffy in his Social Greece gives a slight sketch of Pasion, to illustrate the business habits of the Greeks, pp. 382-6; cf. Perrot, quoted on p. xix.

² Or. 52 § 13 quoted in note on Or. 36 § 7.

3 Or. 36 § 4, Or. 45 § 33.

4 Or. 45 § 71—76.

⁵ Or. 36 § 30.

[•] Or. 36 §§ 49—53.

⁷ Or. 46 § 13 ἐπὶ Δυσνικήτου άρχοντος, Ol. 102, 3 = July 370-July 369 B.C.

⁸ Or. 49 §§ 29, 59. In the archonship of Alcisthenes, Ol. 102, 1 (Arnold Schaefer, Dem. u. s. Zeit, III 2 p. 132).

⁹ Or. 45 § 74.

¹⁰ Or. 36 § 22.

¹¹ Or. 36 §§ 10 and 37 compared together (A. Schaefer, u. s.).

talents, a dwelling-house valued at one hundred minae, maid-servants, gold ornaments and all that formerly belonged to his wife. By this will, Phormion also became one of the guardians to Pasion's younger son, Pasicles. He was to continue lessee of the bank and shield-manufactory until Pasicles came of age, and it was the father's wish that until that time the property should remain undivided. Owing, however, to the extravagance of the elder son, the guardians, acting in the interest of their ward, determined on a partition of all the property, with the exception of the bank and shield-manufactory leased to Phormion, half the rent of which was for the present paid to Apollodorus, and half reserved for Pasicles, the minor.

Apollodorus was at Athens in B.C. 370 and appears to have been present at his father's death-bed's, and some time after this, he was abroad in the public service as trierarch, probably in the year B.C. 3684. It was during his absence that, in accordance with his father's will, his mother was married to Phormion; the son, on his return home, resented this arrangement, and as the courts were not open at that time for private lawsuits, he took steps to bring a public indictment against Phormion, for criminal outrage on his mother $(\gamma\rho a\phi\eta)^{2}\beta\rho\epsilon\omega$ s). However, a reconciliation was brought about and the charge was not pressed.

In B.C. 362, when Pasicles came of age, his guardians gave an account of their trust, which was acknowledged as correct, and Phormion's lease of the bank and manufactory terminated with a discharge given him on the part of Apollodorus from all liabilities under the lease. The elder brother then, having the prior choice, took the

¹ Or. 45 § 28 ad fin.

² Or. 36 §§ 8—10, § 34. ³ Or. 49 § 42.

⁴ Or. 45 § 3; 46 § 21. See note on p. lvii *infra*.

⁵ Or. 45 §§ 3, 4.

manufactory, leaving the banking business to his younger brother. For a short time the brothers appear to have superintended their property in person; but not long after, possibly a year subsequent to the partition, a new lease of the bank and the manufactory was granted to certain persons, at a rent which was the same as that which had been paid by Phormion³, namely, 2 talents and 40 minae⁴, out of which one talent⁵ was due to Apollodorus for the manufactory, and the remainder to Pasicles for the bank. This second lease was granted not by Pasicles alone, but by Apollodorus acting in conjunction with his younger brother.

Phormion, meanwhile, being quit of his trust as guardian, and of his lease of the bank and manufactory, established a banking business on his own account, and, like his former master, Pasion, obtained a recognition of the general esteem in which he was held, by being presented with the citizenship. The date of this event was B.C. 3616. In the year B.C. 360, after a protracted service as trierarch in the Northern Aegean and the neigh-

culty started in the note on § 12 μισθων έτέροις), that Apollodorus, knowing Phormion's lease to be expiring, looked out for some other lessees, and entered into an engagement for a lease with Xenon &c. some months before the lease expired. Xenon would enter on the property as soon as Phormion quitted it, whereas some days might pass before the νομή was completed. And so Phormion may actually have acted as lessor.

Or. 36 §§ 10, 11.
 The Rev. A. Wright, Fellow and Lecturer of Queens' College, has favoured me with some criticisms questioning the probability of any interval having elapsed between the two leases. 'Apollodorus,' he observes, 'was not a man of business habits: Pasicles was a mere lad, not likely to undertake the management of a bank, even with the most confidential clerk. I can find nothing to indicate that they did thus hold the property except vorepov in § 12 which is hardly decisive, and can scarcely be maintained in face of the direct evidence the other way in § 37. It is more probable (and this will solve the further diffi-

³ Or. 36 § 12 τοῦ ἴσου ἀργυ-

⁴ Or. 36 § 51, cf. § 11. 5 Or. 36 § 37 ad fin.

⁶ Or. 46 § 13 ἐπὶ Νικοφήμου $d\rho\chi o\nu\tau os$, Ol. 104, 4=B.C. 361—

bouring waters, Apollodorus returned to Athens to find his mother at death's door. She died six days after; but not before she had seen and recognised her son, though according to his own account she was unable to make such provision for him as she had intended1.

The mother's death was the signal for a fresh outbreak of the differences between Apollodorus and his step-father Phormion. The step-son put in a claim for 3000 drachmae, which was submitted to arbitrators, who established the claim and induced Phormion for quietness' sake to pay it to Apollodorus. The latter then gave Phormion a second release from all claims?.

Phormion, however, had not yet seen the last of his litigious step-son; the latter, after numerous lawsuits with his father's debtors, in which he succeeded in recovering no less than 20 talents, was at last prompted, by pecuniary exigencies due to his extravagance, and by feelings of envy at Phormion's prosperity, to put in a claim about twenty years after the father's death for another sum of 20 talents, alleged to have been transferred to Phormion by the father as part of the working capital (aφορμί) of the business.

The defendant, as we learn from the speech pro Phormione, expected that Apollodorus' contention, that Phormion must have received such capital, would be supported by presumptive proofs alone. He would argue that, without such a fund, it was incredible that Phor-

¹ Or. 50 § 60 quoted in note on Or. 36 § 14.

² Oz. 36 🙀 15—17.

¹ Ct. 36 § 36.

⁻ Way twenty talents were same i less not appear, but the may conjecture that that amount less out of the eleven mentioned in Or. 36

^{§ 11,} with the addition of interest. Phormion's lease lasted for 8 years; 11 talents, at say 10 per cent. simple interest (not an uncommon rate at Athens), would with the interest amount to exactly 20 talents in 8 years (and a fraction of a year over, ጭ).

mion, who was merely a liberated slave, should have managed the business and risen to opulence, while he himself, a rich man's son, had been reduced to penury (§ 43). To give stronger proof than these a priori probabilities had been made impossible, he would assert, by Phormion's having induced his wife to destroy Pasion's papers (§ 18); he would also denounce the lease and the will as forgeries, and would make out that it was only while Phormion promised him a high rent, that he kept silence on his claim, but as he had not fulfilled these promises, he was compelled to bring the case before the court (§ 33).

The arguments here anticipated by the defendant appear again in the first speech against Stephanus (Or. 45), a speech arising out of the present lawsuit. The case came in the first instance before an arbitrator, Teisias¹ by name, but was left undecided by him, and was accordingly brought before a public tribunal. The writer of the Greek argument, generally supposed to be Libanius, calls the suit a $\delta i \kappa \eta \ \dot{a} \phi \rho \rho \mu \hat{\eta} s$, though it has been doubted whether there is any ancient authority for the existence of such a suit under that designation³. However, the phrase $\dot{a} \phi \rho \rho \mu \dot{\eta} \nu \ \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \kappa a \lambda \epsilon i \nu$ occurs in the speech itself (§ 12), in reference to the plaintiff's claim to the capital of the bank.

To meet this claim, Phormion, instead of waiting for the plaintiff to bring his case before the court and then confronting his opponent with a direct denial and joining issue on the merits, preferred putting in a special

¹ Or. 45 § 10.

² Dareste, les plaidoyers civils de Dém. II 145: 'Est-il vrai que les Athéniens eussent créé une action spéciale pour les affaires de ce genre?' But cf. Caillemer, le contrat de prêt à Athènes,

p. 28—31, where δίκη ἀφορμῆς is distinguished from δίκη ἀργυρίου, δίκη χρέους and other terms, and accepted without suspinion as a term of Attic law. Similarly in Meier und Schömann, Att. Process, p. 697 Lips.

xxvi INTRODUCTION TO OR. XXXVI.

plea in bar of action, a plea technically known in Greek law as a παραγραφή, showing cause on the part of the defendant why the case should not be allowed to come on for trial at all. The two pleas urged on the defendant's behalf are (1) that the plaintiff had given him a discharge from the original lease of the bank and manufactory, and also a second discharge from a subsequent claim settled by arbitration (§§ 23-25); (2) that the plaintiff's suit contravened the statute of limitations, in which the term of five years was fixed as a sufficient time for injured parties to recover their dues, whereas the plaintiff was putting in a claim after the lapse of more than twenty years from the date of the lease (§ 26). To maintain these pleas is the object of the speech pro Phormione, though it is only a small portion of it that is directly concerned with them, such technical pleadings being naturally unpopular with juries, who regarded them as mere makeshifts, to gain time and evade the ends of justice. Hence a large part of the speech is devoted to arguing on the case itself, thus proving that the defendant's resort to special pleading was not due to any fear of meeting the plaintiff on the main issue. All this was of course irrelevant to the real question before the court, and counsel would hardly be permitted by any judge now-a-days to travel so widely out of the 'record.' In such a case, the defendant spoke first'; thus, while he

thenes, in behalf of Phormion, composed a reply, Apollodorus had dwelt much on the fact of Phormion having been his father's slave' (p. xxxiii). Apollodorus did not address the court at all; he could not speak before the case, for the special plea had been opened on the side of the defendant, and the jury would not listen to him after.

¹ Cf. Isaeus Or. 7 § 3 εἰ μὲν ἐώρων ὑμᾶς μᾶλλον ἀποδεχομένους τὰς διαμαρτυρίας ἢ τὰς εὐθυδικίας κ.τ.λ.

² See note on Or. 36 Arg. line 25 ad fin. The writer of the life of Demosthenes in the Orations on the Crown published by the Clarendon Press appears to have overlooked this in stating: 'it is clear that in the speech to which Demos-

was under the slight disadvantage of the onus probandi, he had on the other hand the benefit of the first hearing, and might at once produce a favourable impression of the strength of his case, which would put a stop to further litigation.

Phormion, being of foreign extraction and unpractised in public speaking, does not address the court in person (§ 1); his friends speak in his stead, and the case is opened on his behalf in an oration composed but almost certainly not spoken by Demosthenes¹, which forms the first of the selections included in the present volume².

The speech contains several notes of time which approximately determine the date of its delivery. In § 26 we are told that 'more than twenty years' have elapsed since the lease granted by Pasion; in § 19 we find that 'eighteen years' have passed since the partition of the property effected by the guardians in consequence of the extravagance of Apollodorus; and in § 38 the same period is described in general terms as 'about twenty years.' Pasion died in B.C. 370 and the above indications point to the year B.C. 350 as the probable date of the speech. As we have already observed, the lease would be granted to Phormion before B.C. 370, and

¹ The contrary might be inferred from the language of Deinarchus contra Dem. § 111 ($\Delta\eta\mu\omega\sigma\theta\ell\nu\nu\nu$ s) $\lambda\sigma\gamma\sigma\gamma\rho\phi\phi\nu$ και $\mu\omega\theta\delta\bar{\nu}$ τὰς δίκας $\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\rho\nu\tau\sigma$ ς ὑπὸρ $K\tau\eta\sigmal\pi\pi\sigma\nu$ και Φορμίων ος (compare p. xli). But the authority of Aeschines, in a speech delivered only seven years after the pro Phormione, supports the opinion expressed in the text, de fals. leg. § 185 $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\rho\alpha\nu$ as $\lambda\dot{\sigma}\gamma\nu$ Φορμίων (cf. Or. 46 § 1 ol $\gamma\rho\dot{\alpha}$ φοντες και ol συμβουλεύοντες ὑπὸρ Φορμίωνος). Lortzing, Apoll.

p. 14, who agrees with A. Schaefer, Dem. u. s. Zeit, p. 169.

² It is unnecessary in this place to give a detailed account of the speech itself, as its contents are analysed in the italicised abstracts printed at convenient intervals in the course of the commentary. The reader who desires a general view of the drift of the argument may do well to read all the abstracts consecutively before settling down to the perusal of the Greek.

xxviii INTRODUCTION TO OR. XXXVI.

probably after R.C. 372, i.e. in R.C. 371. Thus 21 years would have passed since the grant of the lease. At first sight the term of eighteen years above mentioned might seem to point to R.C. 3521, but it appears certain that the partition of property was not effected immediately after the death of Pasion. Some allowance must be made for the time during which the extravagance of Apollodorus was running its course (§ 8), before the guardians came to the conclusion that a partition of the property was inevitable; and the term of eighteen years is reckoned, it will be observed, not from the death of Pasion but from the division of his estate.

Again, the speech of Apollodorus against Nicostratus, § 13, shows that after his return from his Sicilian trierarchy which on independent grounds may be placed in a.c. 36%, he was not yet in possession of his share of the cutate. We find that he was compelled to raise money on the security of his house and to pledge some of his place: we may therefore conclude that the partition was not earlier than B.C. 368, and the 'eighteen years' bring us once more to B.C. 350 as the date of the speech.

the thor, the lease of Phormion lasted eight, that of the subsequent leases, ten years; but it would be far from correct to assume that this points to the lapse of only eighteen years from the death of Pasion to the indirect of the speech, and consequently to B.C. 352 for the late of the latter; for (1) the previous lease began were the death of Pasion, (2) the subsequent lease does not epical to have followed immediately on the expiration of the first lease, and (3) the second lease had ter-

then have in necessarily the design of the control of the control

minated before the date of the speech. The date R.C. 352, besides being open to the objection that the phrase 'more than twenty years' has to be explained away as a round number, in other words as equivalent to less than twenty years, only just allows time for the two leases, with no margin over, either for the interval between the first and second, or for the further interval after the second; while R.C. 350 is consistent with both these data.

The only difficulty in our accepting this date arises from the reference to Callippus in § 53, as then alive in Sicily. Now Callippus left that island for Rhegium in the spring of B.C. 350 at the latest, and was killed in the same year. This would reduce us to the alternative of either supposing that the news of these events had not yet reached Athens, or resorting to the heroic remedy of striking out the words as spurious³. Otherwise, it may be worth while to suggest as the date the latter part of B.C. 351; this would involve our reckoning the term of 'more than twenty years' from the beginning of B.C. 371 to the end of B.C. 351 inclusive, and similarly the eighteen years from the partition would be counted inclusively from B.C. 368 to B.C. 351.

The speech is undoubtedly the genuine work of Demosthenes; this is proved not only by the testimony of

^{1 § 14} έλευθέρους ἀφείσαν...καὶ οὐκ ἐδικάζοντο οῦτ' ἐκείνοις τότ'

oöre τούτφ.

2 Ol. 107, 3=B.C. 350—349.
This date is supported by Fynes
Clinton; Böhnecke (Forschungen auf dem Gebiete der Attischen Redner, 1 43, 67); Imm.
Hermann (de tempore, &c. p.
11 and einleitende Bemerkungen
zu Dem. paragraph. Reden p.
16); Rehdantz (Jahns neue
Jahrb. LXX p. 505); Lortzing

⁽Apoll. p. 15—18); Sigg (Apoll. ap. Jahrb. f. class. Philol. Suppl. Bd. v1 Hft. 2 p. 406—8); Blass, Att. Ber. III 405¹, 462²; and Huettner, Disputatio, p. 18.

³ This has been proposed by Sigg, u. s., p. 408, who objects to them as breaking the symmetry of the sentence οὐχὶ Τιμομάχου κατηγόρεις; οὐχὶ Καλλίπτου; οὐ πάλιν Μένωνος; οὐκ άλλων πολλών;

Aeschines but by the frequent quotations of ancient lexicographers and grammarians, and the internal evidence is equally conclusive. It holds a high place in his Private Orations; among the merits of its earlier portions may be noticed the closeness of its reasoning and the lucid arrangement of its argument, while its later portions are rendered interesting by the strong invective of the personal attack on the plaintiff and the dignified tone of the appeal to the court in favour of the defendant. All the points are supported by evidence, and except where the public services of the defendant are apparently unduly depreciated, there is every evidence of fairness on the part of the speaker. It is a forcible oration, in which we clearly recognise the characteristic feature that gives Demosthenes the superiority over Lysias, the great master of clear narration, and over his own instructor Isaeus, the best lawyer of all the Attic orators, namely, the ethical warmth of colouring, by which the dullest details are lit up with a fresh life and interest. In the words of an able French critic, 'de tous les plaidoyers civils de Démosthène, le plus beau peut-être, celui où l'orateur a mis le plus d'art et de véhémence, c'est le discours qu'il a composé pour le banquier Phormion.' He calls it elsewhere, un chef-d'œuvre dans son genre. Professor Jebb has with equal truth touched upon 'the moral dignity of the defence for Phormio 5. The proemium in particular is eulogised as follows in Mr W. H. Kirk's Demosthenic Style in the Private Orations :-

This compact little masterpiece fulfils indeed all con-

¹ de fals. leg. § 165, quoted in full on p. xl.

³ See §§ 39-42 with notes. and esp. A. Schaefer, u. s., p.

³ die ethische Wärme welche selbst einem nüchternen Stoffe

Leben verleiht. A. Schaefer, u. s., p. 168.

⁴ Perrot, Revue des deux mondes, 1873, 6, pp. 407, 436.

⁵ Attic Orators, I 309. ⁶ Baltimore, 1895, p. 23.

ventional purposes by exciting goodwill for one party, prejudice against the other, and defining the points to be proved; but there is no conventionality in the exquisitely felicitous phrasing;...the simple gravity of the opening words foreshadows the fine impersonal dignity which the advocate maintains throughout; the warmth and rapidity of the sentence ὅσα γὰρ—συκοφαντεῖ, with its unusual and startling anacoluthon, breathes the spirit of all that ardent vituperation and laudation to which so much of the speech is devoted; and the final sentence, ἐξ ἀρχῆς—ἀκούσαντες, while constituting the formal transition to the narrative, sums up with noteworthy sharpness and emphatic brevity the whole purpose of the speech in the mention of the two main topics—the point of law (ὡς οὐκ εἰσαγώγιμος ἡ δίκη), and the rascality of Apollodorus (τὴν τούτου συκοφαντίαν).

The result was decisive; the court, according to the statement of Apollodorus himself, upheld the plea of the defendant, and refused to listen to any reply on the part of the plaintiff. More than four-fifths of the jury must have voted for the defendant, as we learn that the plaintiff was condemned to pay the $\epsilon \pi \omega \beta \epsilon \lambda i \alpha$, i.e. a sixth part of the twenty talents claimed, a fine amounting in this case to as much as three talents and twenty minae. We are not surprised to learn that the plaintiff left the court in high dudgeon (Or. 45 § 6).

INTRODUCTION TO

Or. xlv.

ΚΑΤΑ ΣΤΕΦΑΝΟΥ ΨΕΥΔΟΜΑΡΤΎΡΙΩΝ Α.

The effect of the verdict given in support of Phormion's special plea in bar of the action brought by Apollodorus, was to prevent the latter from raising the same issue again, except in an indirect manner. It was still open to him to bring an action for false evidence against the witnesses on whose testimony Phormion had relied; such an action was known as a $\delta i\kappa\eta$ $\psi\epsilon\nu\delta o\mu\alpha\rho\tau\nu\rho\iota\hat{\omega}\nu$, and if the plaintiff made good his case against the accessories, he could next proceed against the principal who produced them, by an action for subornation of false witness $(\delta i\kappa\eta \kappa a\kappa \sigma \epsilon \chi \nu \iota \hat{\omega} \nu^1)$; and in the event of his succeeding in the latter, he might then bring forward afresh his original suit (in the present instance a $\delta i\kappa \eta$ $\mathring{a}\phi o\rho \mu \mathring{\eta} s$).

Apollodorus accordingly brought an action for false testimony against one Stephanus, who was called on Phormion's side in the previous trial. This witness deposed to neither of the points on which the special plea was raised; he was neither produced to prove the date of the original lease, shewing the lapse of the term fixed by the Athenian statute of limitations, nor did he give evidence to the release and quittance effected between

¹ Or. 49 § 56, Or. 47 § 1.

Apollodorus and Phormion; he simply attested a point which was, strictly speaking, irrelevant to the special plea and really belonged to the main issue. He was called, with others, to prove a legal challenge given by Phormion to Apollodorus, demanding that, if the latter declined to admit that a document put in evidence by Phormion was a copy of Pasion's will, Apollodorus should himself open the original; he deposed that Apollodorus declined to open it, and further that the said copy was a counterpart of the original.

The plaintiff denies that any such challenge had been made and declares that his father left no will. tends that (1) had the challenge been given, there could have been no reason for his refusing to open the document (§§ 9-14); (2) it was unnecessary to demand his acknowledgment of the correctness of a copy, when according to his opponents the original might have been readily produced (§§ 15-19); (3) the terms of the deposition were false because it assumed that Pasion made the will alleged, whereas he made no will at all; its terms ought to have run, not 'the will of Pasion,' but 'the will Phormion asserts to have been left by Pasion' (§ 24-26). argument on these points is a singular combination of shallowness and subtlety³, as may be seen in further detail by referring to the italicised abstract of the somewhat difficult sections here referred to.

He next argues that the terms of the 'will' prove it was forged by Phormion in his own interests (§§ 27—28), that the 'will' was inconsistent with the 'lease,' that the latter was also a fabrication (§§ 29—36), and that the discharge pleaded by Phormion was false (§§ 40—42). In anticipation of the defendant's probable reply, that his

p. 412.

¹ Or. 36 § 7.

² Or. 45 § 10.

^{*} nur einige schwache, ja ganz

nichtige τεκμήρια...; gegenzeugen...hat er nicht. Sigg, Apoll.

responsibility is limited to two points only, (1) Phormion's giving the challenge, and (2) the plaintiff's refusal of it, the plaintiff insists on binding the defendant to the exact terms of his testimony (§ 43—46). He further submits that, if in the reply any attempt is made to denounce his original action as fraudulent, all such reference to the past must be suppressed by the court as irrelevant to the issue before it (§ 47—50). If the defendant urged that it was not his own evidence, bearing as it did on the main issue, but the evidence of those who gave witness to the special plea, that was fatal to the plaintiff in the former trial; the answer was, that the evidence on the main issue crippled his case on the special plea (§ 51—52).

At this point the speaker passes off into petty personalities of a curious description, denouncing the defendant for giving false evidence against him, regardless of the family tie of Apollodorus' marriage with a first cousin of Stephanus, and thus transgressing what he calls by a rhetorical flourish the unwritten laws of natural affection (§ 53—56); he declares and very inadequately proves, that a legal document on which he had relied in the former trial had been stolen by Stephanus (§ 57—62); denounces him for truckling to prosperity, for selfishly disregarding the rights of the poor and the claims of the public on his ample resources (§ 63—67), for his sour and sullen unsociability, and for his merciless extortion as a miserable money-lender (§ 68—70).

Turning then from the nominal defendant Stephanus to his principal, Phormion, who is the real opponent in the present as in the previous lawsuit, he launches out into a vigorous invective against him, for his gross ingratitude towards the speaker's family who were the very founders of his fortunes (§§ 71—76), contrasts his own orderly life and public services with his opponent's immorality (§§ 77—80), charges him with appropriating

money that belonged to Pasion, from whom all his wealth had originally come. Born a barbarian and sold as a slave, he had yet had the audacity to criticize the antecedents of the plaintiff's family (§§ 80—82).

After an ungenerous and gratuitous insinuation, to account for his younger brother Pasicles taking Phormion's part (§§ 83—84), he turns to the jury, reminds them of his father's benefactions to the state, implores them to protect him from one who was once a slave to his family, and from that slave's creature Stephanus; and, while reminding them incidentally of some of the points on which he relied, concludes by claiming a verdict against the man who, by his false evidence for Phormion, had robbed him of his revenge in the previous trial (§§ 85—88).

The defendant Stephanus replied at considerable length. The purport of his defence appears to have been very much what the plaintiff had anticipated in §§ 43—46. In particular, he contended that he was responsible for attesting to the challenge alone and not for any further details incidentally included in his evidence. The existence of the will had been attested by other witnesses than himself, and the court's acceptance of Phormion's special plea was due to their evidence on the main issue, and also to the evidence given by others on the plea itself, proving the original lease and the subsequent discharge.

¹ Or. 46 § 1.

INTRODUCTION TO

Or. xlvi.

ΚΑΤΑ ΣΤΕΦΑΝΟΥ ΨΕΥΔΟΜΑΡΤΎΡΙΩΝ Β.

THE reply of Stephanus is followed by a second speech on the part of the plaintiff, Apollodorus. In contrast to the presumptive proofs and the passionate declamation of his former effort, we here find, in a far less lengthy and less ambitious form, little more than a series of technical arguments supported by quotations from such parts of the Athenian code as appeared to bear, however remotely, on the case in question.

He charges the defendant with having given 'hearsay evidence' and cites the law against it (§§ 6-8); declares that Phormion, under the mask of the defendant's deposition, has given evidence in his own cause, which is illegal (9-10); he even deduces the falsehood of the deposition from the material on which it was inscribed; instead of being written hurriedly on an ordinary waxtablet to attest on the spot a bona fide challenge, it was drawn up in a more permanent form implying a deliberately fraudulent design (11). He attempts to prove that his father made no will at all, and quotes a law forbidding a man's making a will if he had male issue lawfully begotten (14). He further urges that his father was disabled from disposing of his property by his 'adoption' as an Athenian citizen—a legal quibble arising from the ambiguity of the term relating to adoption, which really refers to the family and not to the state, as the

plaintiff disingenuously implies. He also insinuates that his father was debarred from making a will by being under undue influence and of unsound mind (15-17). further contends that his mother was technically an 'heiress,' and by law held in ward by her nearest relative, namely himself; that her marriage was therefore invalid, being made in his absence, without his consent and without any legal adjudication, and that Pasion's disposal of his wife by will was thus illegal (18-23); that the father's 'will,' if ever made, was vitiated by the fact that there were sons of full age now surviving (24); and that the defendant and Phormion had conspired to defeat the ends of justice (25-26). After a parting sally on Phormion for his disregard of the laws, and a final thrust at the defendant, defying him to shew how he could possibly have known that the document attached to his challenge was a copy of Pasion's will, which he had never seen, and after also asserting that no one ever had a copy made of his own will, but kept it by him till his death, he concludes by asking the court to grant him the redress demanded by the claims of justice and the laws of Athens (27-29).

Thus the plaintiff assigns four legal reasons in support of the plea that Pasion's will was a forgery: (1) Pasion was a citizen by 'adoption'; (2) his widow was an 'heiress' legally at the disposal of her son and not her deceased husband; (3) he had legitimate sons, both of whom were now grown up and their coming of age would invalidate any will on the part of the father; (4) he was of unsound mind. On these four points we have only to remark that the first rests on a verbal quibble². (2) There is no indication elsewhere in other

¹ See Becker's Charicles, Scene xx, note 37.

² See note on § 14, and M.

Dareste, les plaidoyers civils de Dém. II p. 307—8, where the law is briefly discussed.

: - :: nically ...- that she besides. ment's second ""Let" speech The intentimate · .. unterests, > ∴ were to eren dying · muld not revented : heir were Apollodorus - cher's estate atticular had - his father's s inconsistent · s father's last rears that he - sin a particular · his numerous

plaintiff must sai case indeed,

e placed shortly seen Apollodorus 351 or 350.

i

so handgreiflicher ist lächerlicher art, ist sie Sigg, Apoll. p. 412

On the authorship of the Two Speeches against Stephanus.

We have seen that the authorship of the speech pro Phormione is undisputed; it is doubtless the genuine work of the great orator himself. Whether he is also the writer of both, or at any rate the first, of the two speeches against Stephanus, and of the others delivered by Apollodorus, which have come down to us among the works of Demosthenes¹, is a vexed question, an exhaustive treatment of which would demand an elaborate treatise beyond the compass of the present introduction. All that can here be offered is a brief discussion keeping in view, and where necessary correcting and supplementing, the arguments suggested by previous writers on the subject, and tested by the results of an independent investigation.

In the speech pro Phormione the case is supported by two important documents; (1) the lease granted to Phormion, (2) the will left by Pasion. In both the speeches against Stephanus (a witness, it will be remembered, in the former trial), the lease and the will are denounced as a fabrication and a fraud; more than this, while in the previous oration a warm eulogy is passed on the career of Phormion as a blameless man of business and as a generous citizen of irreproachable character, in the two latter the speaker avails himself of all the artifices of subtle insinuation, all the vehemence of unscrupulous invective, to paint his opponent's character in the darkest colours. The question arises whether the two latter speeches, or either of them, could have been written by the same person as the former.

Narrowing the enquiry for our present purpose to those speeches alone which Apollodorus delivered against Stephanus, we may in the first instance examine the *external evidence* (whether contemporary with Demosthenes or not) which may be adduced in support of the genuineness of the two speeches in question. In

1 The speeches by Apollodorus (with the dates assigned to them by A. Schaefer) are Or. 52 πρὸς Κάλλιππον, B.C. 369—8; Or. 53 πρὸς Νικόστρατον, after B.C. 368; Or. 49 πρὸς Τιμόθεον ὑπὲρ χρέους, B.C. 362; Or. 50 πρὸς Πολυκλέα περὶ τοῦ ἐπιτριηραρχήματος, about B.C. 357; Or. 45 and 46 κατά Στεφάνου ψευδομαρτυριῶν α΄ and β΄,

about B.C. 351; Or. 59 κατὰ Nealpas, after B.C. 343;—Or. 47 κατ Ευέργου και Μυησιβούλου was delivered after B.C. 356, but not by Apollodorus, though it was probably written by the same orator as most, if not all of the above-mentioned speeches, and possibly by Apollodorus himself.

the first place we must set a passage in Aeschines in which he denounces the orator as a traitor, charges him with writing for a pecuniary consideration a speech for Phormion the banker and with showing this speech to Apollodorus, who was then prosecuting Phormion on a charge imperilling his status as a free man¹. Here it will be remarked that the description of the trial is vague, and the penalty, to which Phormion would have been liable, much exaggerated; but it is more important to notice that Aeschines says nothing of Demosthenes writing a speech for Apollodorus either in the lawsuit with Phormion, or in his subsequent suit against Stephanus. If Aeschines is speaking the truth, then at the worst all that he says is, that, in his opinion, Demosthenes acted in bad faith by betraying his client's interests and allowing his opponent to become informed of the arguments which would be brought against him. But it may be noticed that this course is not necessarily inconsistent with good faith on the part of Phormion's friend, as the orator may have seen no reason for concealing his client's case from his opponent,—especially as the speech on that client's behalf would be the opening speech, and the case would be in no danger of being damaged by any previous attack on the part of the plaintiff. Demosthenes may have been anxious to reconcile the parties and (if possible) put an end to a quarrel which was threatening the disruption of Pasion's family; and so strong was his client's position, that to inform Apollodorus of the case against him and even to show him the very manuscript itself with the friendly advice to drop the lawsuit, would have been no detriment to Phormion's interests2.

Considering all the calumnies raked up by Aeschines against his great rival in the two orations de falsa legatione and contra

1 Aeschines, de falsa legatione § 165 τον δ' άγαθον σύμβουλον τί χρη ποιείν; ού τη πόλει πρός τὸ παρὸν τὰ βέλτιστα συμβουλεύειν; τὸν δὲ πονηρὸν κατήγορον τί χρη λέγειν; ού τούς καιρούς άποκρυπτόμενον της πράξεως κατηγορείν; τὸν δὲ ἐκ φύσεως προδότην πως χρη θεωρείν; άρα γε ώς σύ τοις έντυγχάνουσι και πιστεύσασι κέχρησαι, λόγους els δικαστήρια γράφοντα μισθοῦ τούτους ἐκφέρειν τοις αντιδίκοις; έγραψας λόγον Φορμίωνι τῷ τραπεζίτη χρήματα

λαβών τοῦτον ἐξήνεγκας 'Απολλοδώρω τῶ περὶ τοῦ σώματος κρίναντι Φορμίωνα. Id. contra Ctesiphontem § 173 περί δὲ τὴν καθ' ἡμέραν δίαιταν τίς ἐστιν; ἐκ τριηράρχου λογογράφος άνεφάνη, τὰ πατρώα καταγελάστως προέμενος: ἄπιστος δὲ καὶ περὶ ταῦτα δόξας είναι και τούς λόγους έκφέρων τοίς αντιδίκοις ανεπήδησεν έπὶ τὸ βῆμα.

² A. Schaefer, u. s., III 2, p. 178, and Rehdantz there referred to.

Ctesiphontem, we venture to think that, if he had had any ground whatever for asserting that Demosthenes actually wrote a speech for Apollodorus, a speech virtually directed against Phormion, though nominally against one of his witnesses only, he would certainly have seized his opportunity and made the very most of so damaging a fact. But he says no such thing; and even Deinarchus, another strong opponent of Demosthenes, makes no such charge against him, though he has an opening for so doing in a passage in which he refers to the orator's 'delivering' a speech for Phormion'.

Later writers, however, though less likely to be familiar with the facts, are bolder in their denunciations; in Plutarch's life of Demosthenes, we read that the orator 'is said to have written for Apollodorus his speeches against Phormion and Stephanus, for which he justly fell into disrepute, as he also wrote a speech for Phormion in his lawsuit against Apollodorus.' He adds, with a reminiscence perhaps of the trade of the orator's father, 'it was as bad as selling swords to both sides from the same manufactory'².

The insertion of the speeches against Stephanus among the works of Demosthenes may perhaps be accounted for by the

1 Deinarchus contra Demosth. § 111 p. 108 εύρησετε...τοῦτον άντι λογογράφου και μισθού τας δίκας λέγοντος ύπερ Κτησίππου καί Φορμίωνος και έτέρων πολλῶν πλουσιώτατον ὅντα τῶν ἐν τῆ πόλει. A recent editor of Deinarchus, Dr F. Blass, writes to me suggesting that the passage is interpolated,—a suggestion which he has recorded on p. vii of his edition. Deinarchus, he conjectures, wrote only καὶ μισθοῦ τὰς δίκας λέγοντος; had he wanted to enter into detail, he must have added 'Phormion the banker' and 'Ctesippus the son of Chabrias,' because these cases were by that time probably forgotten. The bare addition ύπερ Κτησίππου και Φορμίωνος και έτέρων πολλών is, he says, exactly what a grammarian would insert to remind

his pupils of the speeches they had read in the course of their studies.

² Plutarch, Dem. chap. 15 λέγεται δὲ καὶ τὸν κατά Τιμοθέου τοῦ στρατηγοῦ λόγον, ῷ χρησάμενος 'Απολλόδωρος είλε τον άνδρα τοῦ ὀφλήματος, Δημοσθένης γράψαι τῷ ᾿Απολλοδώρω, καθάπερ καὶ τούς πρός Φορμίωνα και Στέφανον, έφ' ols είκότως ηδόξησε. και γάρ ό Φορμίων ήγωνίζετο λόγω Δημοσθένους πρός τον Απολλόδωρον, άτεχνως καθάπερ έξ ένδς μαχαιροπωλίου τὰ κατ' άλλήλων έγχειρίδια πωλούντος αύτου τοις άντιδίκοις. (Cf. chap. 4 Δημοσθένης ό πατήρ... ἐπεκαλεῖτο μαχαιροποιός.) Comp. Dem. et Cic. c. 3 γρηματίσασθαι άπὸ τοῦ λόγου Δημοσθένης έπιψόγως λέγεται, λογογραφων κρύφα τοις περί Φορ-μίωνα και 'Απολλόδωρον άντιδίconjecture that Callimachus, who, as head of the Alexandrine library, undertook the prodigious task of settling the canon of the Attic Orators1, may have been misled either by the passage of Aeschines above quoted, or by the partial resemblance of the first speech to the style of the orator, into supposing that Demosthenes himself was the writer; or again may have included them among his orations as incidentally illustrative of his genuine works. That there was once a time when Apollodorus himself was regarded as the writer of the orations spoken by him which have been handed down to us among the works of Demosthenes, may perhaps be fairly concluded from a scholium on the passage of Aeschines above referred to. noting 'from this it is clear that the speeches referring to the estate of Apollodorus are not written by him, but by Demosthenes'2. Thus, Plutarch's story of the duplicity of Demosthenes, which with slight variations is repeated by still later writers3, may have originated in a misunderstanding of the language of his enemy's accusation4. The phraseology used by one of them in particular (Zosimus by name, a grammarian who, if we credit the conjecture attributing to him part of the scholia on Aeschines, may have actually written the scholium in question) shows how easily, even

Rehdantz ap. A. Schaefer, u. s., p. 317-322. The earliest reference to the Ten as a distinct group is to be found in the title of a lost work by Caecilius of Calacte, - xaparτήρες των ι' ρητόρων. But the form of the title implies that it was a group already recognised (Introd. to Cicero's Orator, p. xii).

² Aesch. ed. Schultz, p. 311 έκ τούτου δήλον ὅτι καὶ οἱ περὶ την οικίαν (οὐσίαν coniecit A. Schaefer) Απολλοδώρου λόγοι οὐκ 'Απολλοδώρου άλλὰ Δημοσθένους. The rhetorician Tiberius, περλ σχημάτων c. 14 (referred to by A. Schaefer), quotes from Or. 45 § 83, and introduces his citation with the name not of Demosthenes but of Apollodorus, και πάλιν Απολλόδωρος 'έγω γαρ-ούκ οίδα,' though he professes in c. 1 to confine himself to δσα παρά Δημοσθένει κατενοήσαμεν. In c. 31 he begins an extract from Or. 36 § 52 with the words, έν τω ὑπέρ Φορμίωνος πρός τον Απολλόδωρον. Weil, les Harangues de Dem. p. xi, demurs to any weight being assigned to the quotation from Tiberius.

³ Anonym. p. 155, Suidas Dem. c. 3, referred to by Lortzing, Apoll. p. 23.

4 The taunt about 'selling swords to both sides' is not borrowed from the passage in Aeschines. L. Schmidt, Paed. Archiv xxv (1) 58, in a review of this volume, points out, however, that it may have been due in the first instance to some other personal opponent of Demosthenes (Weil, u. s.).

before his time, Callimachus and Plutarch may have been misled by a careless expansion of the language of the orator's rival language which we have little hesitation in regarding as the original source of the subsequent tradition.

The argument from internal evidence is more intricate, and the style of all the orations delivered by Apollodorus has been discussed with much minuteness by several modern critics. Without entering, however, into undue detail on those speeches which are not included in the present volume, we may briefly state certain peculiarities of diction to which Arnold Schaefer, who, in his admirable work on the Life and Times of Demosthenes, was the first to treat the subject systematically, has specially drawn attention, as running through all the speeches delivered by Apollodorus, and distinguishing them from the genuine writings of Demosthenes².

We find, then, a feebleness of expression showing itself in repetitions of the same word within short intervals from one another³; this clumsiness is most noticeable in the case of the pronouns o $\delta\tau$ os and $a\dot{\upsilon}\tau$ os. Again, clause after clause begins with the same relative pronoun, or the same hypothetical particle⁵. Such carelessness of expression is naturally attended by looseness of rhythm; thus, tested by the frequency of hiatus, the speeches delivered by Apollodorus are inferior in composition

1 Zosimus vit. Dem. p. 149 R., λογογραφεῦν ἀρξάμενος καὶ εἰς τὰ ἰδιωτικὰ καὶ εἰς τὰ δημόσια καὶ πολλοὺς ἐκδοὺς λόγους πρὸς ἐαυτοὺς ῆλω ἀμφοτέροις λόγους ἐκδοὺς κατ' ἀλλήλων. He lived in the time of the Emperor Anastasius, Δ.D. 491—518.

² A. Schaefer Dem. u. s. Zeit, III 2, 184—199, Der Verfasser der von Apollodor gehaltenen Reden, 1858. Since then, the subject has been elaborately discussed by F. Lortzing (1863), J. Sigg (1873) and G. Huettner (1895). For the full titles of their treatises, see p. xiv. See also Blass, die Att. Beredsamkeit, III i 412—414¹, 470—472².

 8 Or. 45 § 4 γιγνομένου... γίγνονται ... έγίγνοντο, ib. § 63 συνέβαινεν ... βαίνων. — Or. 46 § 28 διαθηκών... διαθηκών... δια-

τιθέμενοι ... διατίθενται. Similarly in § 2 διατίθεμένω τῷ πατρὶ is thrice repeated and ὁ πατὴρ διθέτο twice. For other repetitions see §§ 3, 5, 8, 25.

* Or. 45 § 64 τούτω...τοῦτον τούτου...τούτου, § 86 ἐαυτὸν... τούτου, and similarly § 34, § 83.—Or. 46 § 21 οὖτος...αὐτὸν...αὐτοῦ τούτου...αὐτὸς...τοῦτου, αὐτὸς...τοῦτοι, and § 6. But of. Or. 36 §§ 12, 18, 20 and 42.

5 Or. 45 § 49 obs...obs, § 81 εl...εl...εlτα...el. Or. 46 § 23 εlπερ...εlτε...εlτα...el. ψέν ...εlδέ ...εlπερ...εlτε...εlτα...elτα...el μέν ...εlδέ ...εlπερ...εlτε...εlτα...elτα...el μέν ...εlδέ ...εlπερ...εlτε...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα...elτα.

xiiv INTRODUCTION TO OR. XLV, XLVI.

to the certainly genuine speeches of Demosthenes, though an exception must be made in favour of the first speech against Stephanus! Even this speech supplies instances of unrhythmical construction; and examples of anacoluthon or bad writing; and in particular an awkward combination of participles may be noticed in the first as well as in the second oration.

On passing to the question of the degree of mastery over in welcot-matter and the general argument which is displayed n the various speeches, a distinction may be drawn between included speeches on the one hand (e.g. those against Polycles the landingus) where the narrative is monotonous and tedious. in conclusion somewhat lame and feeble; and the two which against Stephanus. The latter shew signs of an immovement which Schaefer ascribes to the prolonged experience a market which the speaker had enjoyed since his earlier the seneral style of all these orations, differing as it was now that of Demosthenes, and bearing marks of a kind of A CONTROL OF IN OWN. points (so Schaefer suggests) to one person wastes of them all, and that person in all probability wasteward himself. He often appeared before the law-courts us with a suits on his own account, but also in public with whom he was a member of the Council, he made was supposed and brought them before the general www. in popple. Even assuming that he resorted to was a sometime in his private lawsuits, yet, as soon as he where is a more public character, he would find it necessary . which is heart and without some oratorical ability he and have undertaken so many public causes. In the were were the Merhanus we find him pluming himself .. 20 and in that against Neaera he is called where on behalf of a younger and less * Aprillatorus obviously laid himself out

The of the second in company of the second o

bad writing, Or. 46 § 17.

3 Or. 45 § 83, Or. 46 § 13 (Lortzing p. 88, 89).

\$ 17 οὐδὲ ἐδόκουν ἐμὲ οὐτω δεινὸν ἔσεσθαι ὥστε ταῦτα ἀκριἀῶς ἐξετάσαι.

Οr. 59 § 14 νέον όντα καὶ dwelpus έχοντα τοῦ λέγειν, while Apollodorus πρεσβύτερός έστι... καὶ έμπειροτέρως έχει τῶν νόμων.

for attempting to play a prominent part at Athens; in the pro Phormione the jury are specially warned against his loud and impudent self-assertion¹, and elsewhere we even find him apologising for his loudness of voice (as well as his hurried gait and ill-favoured countenance), as his misfortune and not his fault².

Such then is the general drift of the arguments, to which a brief sketch can only do imperfect justice, which led Schaefer to the conclusion that the speeches against Callippus, Nicostratus, Timotheus, Polycles, Euergus and Mnesibulus; both of those in prosecution of Stephanus; and lastly, that in accusation of Neaera,—speeches delivered in the above chronological order between the years B. c. 369 and 343,—were all composed by one person, who had a distinctive style of his own, and that person probably Apollodorus himself, with whose transactions no less than seven of these speeches are concerned.

The above conclusion is however open to criticism on the ground that it gives no adequate account of the incomparable superiority of the first speech against Stephanus, not only to the others delivered by Apollodorus, but in particular to the second speech in the very same trial. It is marked by a closeness of argument, and a forcibleness of invective, worthy of a far abler writer than the composer of the other speeches. It seems futile to explain this superiority by ascribing it to a gradual improvement in the speaker's rhetorical ability brought about by time and experience³, when the second speech is so meagre and lifeless, and when the last of the series, namely that in Neaeram, instead

träges Schrittes und schwachstimmig.' This misses the sense; the words when taken correctly as in the text, confirm the quotation from Or. 36, and do not appear even remotely to contradict it. Cf. Lysias, Or. 16 §§ 18, 19.

-3 A. Schaefer, u. s., p. 191. Prof. Schaefer, in a kind communication received since I wrote the above, endeavours to account for the greater polish of style shown in Or. 45 by the fact that Apollodorus had the strongest motives for doing his very best in his opening speech.

 $^{^{1}}$ Or. 36 § 61 κραυγή καὶ ἀναίδεια.

² Or. 45 § 77. A. Schaefer understands the passage differently; after referring to the loudness of voice attributed to Apoll. in Or. 36, he continues: 'Wenn dagegen Apollodor erklärt: Ich rechne mich selber, was Gesichtsbildung, raschen Gang und laute Rede betrifft, nicht unter die von der Natur glücklich begabten..., so will er damit nur ein selbstgefälliges Prunken und Stolzieren von sich ablehnen, ohne andeuten zu wollen, er sei missgestalt

xlvi INTRODUCTION TO OR. XLV, XLVI.

of showing any advance as compared with the first speech against Stephanus is certainly inferior to it, and is characterized by a diffuseness and laxity of style, and by other faults besides. And again, the explanation that the second speech is only a δευτερολογία, and therefore inferior to the first, is hardly adequate. Hence, while we would ascribe the second to Apollodorus himself, and find in its constant quotations from the Athenian code of law a characteristic touch, reminding us of his legal learning as attested in the oration in Negeram1, we are driven to the conclusion that in the first he had recourse to the assistance of an abler rhetorician than himself. There is scarcely sufficient proof that that rhetorician was Demosthenes. It must however be candidly admitted that of all the speeches delivered by Apollodorus, the one that on personal grounds is least likely to have been written by the composer of the oration for Apollodorus' opponent Phormion, is less far removed from the style of Demosthenes than any of the remainder, though again and again we have words never used by the orator himself in his undisputed writings². In one passage indeed (§ 77) we have a close parallel with the Pantaenetus (§ 55)3, which seems to point to a common authorship, and if the latter speech is rightly assigned to the year 346 or thereabout, in other words, is placed after the speeches now under consideration, we can hardly explain the parallel except by the hypothesis of a common source, or else by the less probable assumption that Demosthenes, who was almost certainly the writer of the Pantaenetus, having heard or read the first speech against Stephanus, a speech directed virtually against his own client Phormion, borrowed from the phraseology of the latter oration, with which he was thus familiar. The Attic orator, Hyperides, is known to have written one speech at least against Pasicles4, who, though a brother of Apollodorus. took the side of his opponent Phormion, and a conjecture has

^{1 § 14} έμπειροτέρως έχει τῶν νόμων, and § 15 ὑπὲρ τῶν θεῶν καὶ τῶν νόμων καὶ τοῦ δικαίου καὶ ὑμῶν αὐτῶν, compared with Οτ. 46 § 29 ὑπὲρ ὑμῶν αὐτῶν καὶ ἐμοῦ καὶ τοῦ δικαίου καὶ τῶν νόμων.

 ^{2 § 14} παροξυσμός, § 19 παραπέτασμα, § 70 ἀοίκητος (in sense 'houseless'), § 85 ἐπίχαρτος, § 63 and § 65 ὑποπίπτειν τινί

⁽also in Or. 59 Neaer. § 43).

³ Or. 37 §§ 52, 55 quoted in note on Or. 45 § 77. The Pantaenetus was probably the later speech of the two. Cf. also § 56 with Timoer. 106, Mid. 200, Aristocr. 89.

⁴ κατὰ Πασικλέους and πρὸς Πασικλέα περὶ ἀντιδόσεως, Fragm. 134—137, p. 117—8 ed. Blass, 1894.

been half hazarded that it was for Apollodorus that those speeches were composed; but there is no adequate reason for assigning the first speech against Stephanus to that orator, and a comparison with his four extant orations has led me to notice only one important coincidence of expression².

On the whole, then, we may conclude (1) that the second speech was not only delivered by Apollodorus, but probably composed by him; (2) that the first was written for him, possibly not by Demosthenes, but by some rhetorician unknown to us, whose assistance he was led to secure either by the pressure of his other engagements, or by a consciousness of the difficulty of the task that was before him, and a mistrust of his own unaided ability to compose more than the legal rejoinder to the defendant's reply.

Those who attribute the speeches against Stephanus, or at least the first of them, to the authorship of Demosthenes, are bound to supply some reasonable motive for his changing sides after taking the part of Phormion against Apollodorus. If such a desertion to the enemy's camp was due to his discovery that the documents relied on in the first trial were forgeries, and that the deponents called to prove them were guilty of false witness, we cannot but think that Demosthenes, if he had been the writer of a speech immediately arising out of the former trial, would have been prompted to stronger expressions of indignation against the fraud practised on the jury on the previous occasion.

While we dismiss as irrelevant any attempt to try the alleged duplicity of Demosthenes by the standard of the professional etiquette of the English bar, and refrain from entangling our discussion with parallels suggested by questions of modern forensic casuistry, we may at any rate remark that, though we have no sufficient warrant for assuming that the orator was above pecuniary considerations, a certain sense of honour would probably have kept him from accepting a fee to write down the very side which he had but lately written up; and we may fairly conclude that such conduct was held dishonourable from the fact that even for divulging Phormion's case to his opponent, Demosthenes is, whether truly or falsely, charged by Aeschines with playing a traitor's part.

¹ Hornbostel, Apoll. p. 35.

² Or. 45 § 74 ανεκδότους ένδον γηράσκειν, compared with Hy-

perides 111 28, 4 άνέκδοτον ενδον καταγηράσκειν and 111 27, 22 άγαμον ενδον καταγηράσκειν.

xlviii INTRODUCTION TO OR. XLV, XLVI.

Again, it is urged that the first speech against Stephanus was written for a different trial to that on behalf of Phormion. This can hardly be regarded as an extenuating fact in favour of Demosthenes as the writer of the leading speech in both trials, since the second cause arose immediately out of the first, and there can be no question about the irreconcileable difference between the facts of the case as stated in the two orations, and the terms used in the one and the other in describing the character of Phormion. Even apart from motives of honour, the lower ground of expediency would presumably have sufficed to prevent Demosthenes from writing to defame the character of one who, by his opponent himself, was admitted to be a wealthy and prosperous man of business, and from supporting by preference the failing fortunes of an impoverished pettifogger.

Such, then, at the very strongest, are the principal arguments that may be adduced against the genuineness of the two speeches against Stephanus. In conclusion, it is only fair to submit the only hypothesis on which it is not impossible that Demosthenes may after all be the real author of, at any rate, the first oration.

We have already seen that it is highly probable that the speech against Phormion belongs to the latter part of the year B.C. 351 (p. xxix) and that the speeches against Stephanus may fairly be placed in the year B.C. 3501. It was a year in which the efforts of Athens to recover Euboea and to protect Olynthus placed her in a position of grave financial embarrassment. To meet this, Apollodorus, as a member of the senate, moved a decree that it should be submitted to the vote of the public assembly whether the surplus of the revenue should be paid to the Theoric fund for religious festivals, or applied to the expenses of the war. The proposal was approved by the senate and accepted by the public assembly; and the latter passed a decree appropriating the surplus to military purposes. Hereupon one Stephanus, who is not to be identified with the defendant in the speeches before us, impeached Apollodorus on the ground of his having brought forward an illegal decree; and he obtained a verdict, which led to the fine of one talent being inflicted on Apollodorus2. In this impeachment,

δοκεῖ τὰ περιόντα χρήματα τῆς διοικήσεως στρατιωτικά εἶναι εἶτε θεωρικά; Grote, H. G., chap. 88; Curtius, H. G., vol. v, p. 269 (Eng. Transl.); Hornbostel, Apoll. p. 39, 40; A. Schaefer,

¹ The archon eponymus of that year [Ol. 107, 3] was one Apollodorus, probably not the son of Pasion.

² Or. 59 §§ 3—8, esp. § 4 διαχειροτονήσαι τὸν δῆμον είτε

Stephanus was probably the tool of Eubulus and the peaceparty, and although there is no proof that Apollodorus acted at the suggestion of Demosthenes and the opposite party, the proposal of Apollodorus would doubtless meet with the orator's approval, as is clear from the financial policy cautiously propounded by the latter in the Olynthiac orations1, and, when it was too late, carried to a successful issue twelve years afterwards in the autumn of 339, only one year before the catastrophe of Chaeroneia.

It may therefore be questioned whether political motives may not have induced Demosthenes to throw Phormion overboard and to support Apollodorus by writing the first speech against Stephanus. On this hypothesis it may be presumed that Apollodorus, having lost his lawsuit against Phormion owing to the powerful advocacy of Demosthenes, and being almost crushed by the consequences of his defeat, resorted to Demosthenes in the hope of recovering part at least of his resources, and proposed to run the risk of bringing forward his motion on the Theoric fund, on condition that the orator wrote him a speech against the obnoxious witness Stephanus.

My friend Dr F. Blass (the author of several important works on Greek Oratory) has favoured me with a suggestive letter2, supporting this hypothesis and also showing that the style of the first speech against Stephanus, apart from its general resemblance to that of Demosthenes³, coincides with it in a hitherto unnoticed peculiarity, that under certain limitations the orator generally avoids the juxtaposition of more than two short syllables, the exceptions being for the most part cases where the three syllables fall within the compass of a single word. To examine the minute criterion here proposed is beyond my present purpose. It is sufficient to state (as my learned correspondent would obviously acknowledge), that while its absence may suggest the spuriousness

u. s., 111 2, p. 180 and (for the chronology here followed) ib. p. 330. Some (e.g. Weil, Harangues de Dém. p. 163) would place the Euboean expedition in B.c. 348, and Blass would therefore place in that year the motion of Apollodorus and the delivery of Or. 45.
1 Olynth. III §§ 10—13.

² 12 Sept. 1875; see also his Att. Ber. 111 32, 412-4 (published in 1877), = 470-2, ed. 1893.

³ Sigg, Apoll. p. 415-432.

⁴ See p. 7 of his dissertation on the Letters ascribed to Demosthenes (Oct. 1875); also Att. Ber. 111 99—104, = 105—112, ed. 1893.

of any given oration, its presence does not prove its genuineness. It may also be admitted that the testimony of Harpocration is in favour of the Demosthenic authorship of the first speech 1 (though the value of that testimony is impaired by his attributing the second speech 2 to the same author); and that the parallelism of § 77 to a passage in the *Pantaenetus* already noticed is on the whole more easily explained by ascribing the first speech to Demosthenes than by any other hypothesis³.

- ¹ See quotations in notes on Or. 45 §§ 1, 15, 63, 66, 74, 80, 84.
 - ² Cf. Or. 46 §§ 7, 11, 20.
- ⁸ Since the above discussion was first published, it has been justly observed that the genuineness of the first speech against Stephanus 'could hardly have been doubted but for the desire to vindicate the orator's morality....The morality of Demosthenes' conduct may in this case perhaps be dubious, but it is not so palpably bad as has been supposed....But...he attacks his late client's character with a coarse violence and a wantonness which goes beyond the conventional invective of the law-courts. He writes for Apollodorus as Apollodorus would have written himself, not sparing even the speaker's own mother. And it is pre-

cisely here rather than in the change of sides that we feel the real discredit lies' (S. H. Butcher, Demosthenes, 1881, p. 136). See also G. Huettner, Demosthenis oratio in Stephanum prior num vera sit inquiritur, 1895, pp. 59—65, where a careful examination of the language of the first speech results in its being accepted as the work of Demosthenes.

Mr Kirk, Demosthenic Style, p. 41—2, has the following remarks on the two speeches:—'The first is rich in all the figures and devices which can enliven argument and convey feeling, while the second is merely an array of arguments; and this inversion shows a weakness alike in logic and in art wholly incompatible with any theory of Demosthenean authorship for Or. 46.'

INTRODUCTION TO

Or. LIII.

ΠΡΟΣ ΝΙΚΟΣΤΡΑΤΟΝ ΠΕΡΙ ΑΝΔΡΑΠΟΔΩΝ ΑΠΟΓΡΑΦΗΣ ΑΡΕΘΟΥΣΙΟΥ.

In this speech Apollodorus, the litigious son of Pasion, appears in support of a lawsuit arising out of an information laid against one Arethusius, for refusing to pay a fine due to the public chest. According to Athenian law, if a state-debtor concealed his effects, any citizen who discovered the fact was at liberty to draw up, and lay before the proper magistrate, a written statement containing an inventory or specification of the goods in question. schedule thus drawn up was called an ἀπογραφή, and this name was also given to the legal process in support of it. The informant, in the event of his making good his case, was entitled to the reward of three-fourths of the valuation (§ 2); if he failed, he was fined a thousand drachmae, and suffered a partial disfranchisement which prevented his appearing again as a prosecutor in a public cause (§ 1).

In the present instance, Apollodorus has handed in a specification in which two slaves are stated to be the property of Arethusius, and therefore liable to confiscation as a partial payment of his debt to the public treasury.

¹ Meier and Schömann, p. 302 Lipsius; Hermann, Public Antiquities, § 136, 13 (p. 553 n. 2, ed. Thumser).

Hereupon, a brother of Arethusius, named Nicostratus, puts in a claim to the slaves, and in the speech before us Apollodorus has to show that the claim is false and that the slaves are really the property of Arethusius. To prove this he calls evidence in § 19-21, and this is the only portion of the speech which is really relevant to the issue before the court, while the greater part of it, up to this point, is devoted to a narrative of the relations between Apollodorus and the two brothers. The object of this is to show that the former had been most ungratefully treated by the latter, especially by Nicostratus, and that he was therefore, according to the Athenian notion, fully justified in revenging himself for his private wrongs by supporting a public information against his opponent. To prove the purity of his motives and to ingratiate himself with the court, he waives at the very outset his claim to the reward to which the informant in such cases is legally entitled.

Among the speeches of Lysias we have three concerned with causes relating to claims of money withheld from the state $(a\pi \alpha \gamma \rho a \phi a)$: the speech 'for the soldier' (Or. 9), that 'on the property of Aristophanes' (19), and that 'against Philocrates' (29). The first two are for the defence; the third, for the prosecution. But in all three, the promoter of the $a\pi\sigma\gamma\rho a\phi\eta$ is represented as the prosecutor; in the present case, although the promoter of the ἀπογραφή is Apollodorus, we should probably consider him as the defendant and Nicostratus as the Apollodorus was apparently in possession of the effects disputed; his opponent Nicostratus puts in a claim against him, and the speech before us is therefore a speech for the defence1. Owing to the general character of its contents, it is usually classed among the

¹ Caillemer, s.v. Apographè, in Daremberg and Saglio's Dict.

Private Orations, and it may be conveniently studied in conjunction with them. But it cannot be too clearly stated, that, in so far as it arises directly out of a refusal to pay a fine to the public chest, it is essentially a speech delivered in a public cause.

Apollodorus states that Nicostratus was his neighbour in the country and formerly his trusted friend, that they had done kindly services for one another, and that in particular he had lent to Nicostratus, free of interest, a sum which he was himself compelled to raise on the security of part of his property. So far from being grateful, the borrower at once laid a plot to escape payment of his debt, made common cause with the opponents of Apollodorus, and induced a third party (one Lycidas) to bring against him a suit demanding that certain property should be produced in court. Among those who were entered as witnesses to the delivery of the summons requiring him to produce the property, was Arethusius, a brother of Nicostratus, as above mentioned. The summons, it is alleged, was never served, consequently Apollodorus did not appear, and judgment went against him by default. Subsequently, Apollodorus prosecuted Arethusius for fraudulent citation (ψευδοκλητείας γραφή), which was regarded by Athenian law as a criminal offence, while on the contrary a witness in the cause itself as distinguished from one who attested a summons, was, if he gave false evidence, only liable to a civil action. Before the case came on, Arethusius committed several acts of outrage against Apollodorus, laid waste his orchard and violently assaulted him, and when the case for fraudulent citation, and apparently for the other criminal acts, was

¹ Harpocration, quoted on § 17 ad fin., inaccurately uses the (possibly generic) term $\delta l \kappa n$.

instead of $\gamma \rho a \phi \eta$, with reference to $\psi \epsilon v \delta o \kappa \lambda \eta \tau \epsilon l a$.

brought before the jury, Apollodorus, under these aggravating circumstances, obtained a verdict against Arethusius with the greatest ease. Indeed, it was only owing to the entreaties of his brothers, with the acquiescence of the prosecutor, who was unwilling to face the odium which would ensue, that Arethusius escaped the penalty of death, and had inflicted on him a fine of one talent, for the payment of which his brothers became jointly responsible. Arethusius pleaded poverty and refused to pay; thereupon Apollodorus took the legal steps required (as above described) for the confiscation of his property, and in his specification claimed for the state, among other effects, two slaves as a partial security for the payment of the fine. Nicostratus resists this claim as regards the slaves in question and claims them as his own property, though even in that case, as the speaker points out, they should be confiscated, since Nicostratus had guaranteed the payment of the fine and had failed to make good his guarantee. In §§ 22-25 Apollodorus describes the unsuccessful attempt of his opponents to entrap him into accepting a legal challenge, which would have committed him to a virtual admission that the slaves were private property; and in §§ 19-21 calls evidence to prove, that the person recognised as the responsible owner of the slaves was Arethusius, and not the present claimant Nicostratus.

Passing from the general contents of the speech as above sketched, we may turn to a brief consideration of its literary style and special peculiarities. We are at once struck by the disproportionate space of twenty sections

that the punishment of death might be inflicted in a case of $\psi \epsilon \nu \delta o \kappa \lambda \eta \tau \epsilon i a$, but this seems scarcely probable.

¹ Boeckh, Public Economy, trans. Lamb, p. 496 note 2, while noticing that other criminal acts are involved, considers that the present passage proves

devoted to purely preliminary details, as contrasted with the short compass within which lies the real gist of the The long account of the reasons prompting the speaker to seek for revenge, is unlike the manner of Demosthenes, and a certain feebleness and diffuseness may be noted in the narrative immediately following the exor-Among minor details may be observed a tendency to add unnecessary and superfluous clauses, defining more clearly what has just gone before. Again, we find needless repetitions within the limits of a single sentence'; further, we have a certain clumsiness in the repetition of pronouns such as ovros and avros³; we observe a disproportionate number of harsh constructions, and it is curious to notice that a phrase occurring in this speech, which is unexampled in the undisputed writings of Demosthenes, finds its nearest parallels in speeches delivered like the present by Apollodorus. We may also trace a general resemblance to the style of that against Neaera, the greater part of which was delivered by the same person, a speech which it is impossible to attribute to the authorship of Demosthenes⁶; and, lastly, there is a

¹ e.g. not content with 'Aρεθουσίου, οὖπερ ἐγέγραπτο εἶναι in § 2, the writer in § 10 has the words, 'Αρεθούσιος ου τάνδράποδ' έστι ταθτα ά νθν άπογέγραπται, again in § 14 'Αρεθούσιος οὖπέρ ἐστι τἀνδράποδα ταῦτα, and similarly in § 19. The words in § 7 έδειτό μου βοηθήσαι αὐτῷ ώσπερ καὶ έν τῷ ξμπροσθεν χρόνω ήν περί αὐτὸν ἀληθινός φίλος, are partially repeated in § 8 and § 12. Again in § 24 τàs βασάνους is unnecessarily followed by the closer definition, ότι είποιεν οἱ ἄνθρωποι. (Cf. A. Schaefer, u. s., p. 187-190; Lortzing, Apoll. p. 30 etc.; and see especially Blass, Att.

Ber. III 4621, 5202).

 $^{^2}$ e.g. § 4 olkelws diekel μ e θ a... olkelws diekel μ ην.

^{§ § 6} ad init. αὐτὸν...τούτου... αὐτῷ...αὐτόs. Also, ad fin. τούτου ...τούτου...αὐτὸs...τοῦτον τούτου ...αὐτῷ...αὐτόν. Cf. §§ 4 and 8. 4 See §§ 11, 12, 24, 29.

^{5 § 15} έβάδιζον έπι τὸν κλητήρα τὸν όμολογοῦντα κεκλητευκένα... τῆς ψευδοκλητείας compared with Or. 49 § 56 μη... έπι τόνδε κακοτεχνιῶν Ελθοιμι; and esp. Or. 52 § 32 ἐπι τὸν Κηφισιάδην βαδίζειν τὸν όμολογοῦντα κεκομίσθαι και ἔχειν τὸ ἀργύριου.

⁶ Or. 59 (κατά Νεαίρας) is condemned by ancient critics

certain want of warmth in the peroration, unlike the vigorous style of the great orator himself.

On the whole, without entering into minuter detail, we may consider that the internal evidence is such as to throw grave doubts on this speech being the genuine work of Demosthenes, and we are not surprised to find its genuineness called in question by the lexicographer of the Attic Orators, Harpocration¹, though Plutarch refers it without suspicion to the authorship of Demosthenes, and fancifully contrasts the literary fame of the orator with the military reputation of the general of that name in the Peloponnesian War².

We have now to consider the data for arriving at the time when the speech was delivered. In § 9, Apollodorus describes himself as short of money, owing to differences between himself and Phormion, who was keeping him out of the property left him by his father Pasion, who, it will be remembered, died in B. C. 370. Again, in § 14 we are told, that at the time of the events there related, Apollodorus had not yet brought to a preliminary hearing the suits he had instituted against his relatives (Phormion and others). The suit against Phormion respecting the banking capital (Or. 36) was delayed until about B. C. 350. But a much more direct indication is given by a reference

(ϋπτιον ὅντα καὶ πολλαχῆ τῆς τοῦ ἡητορος δυνάμεως ἐνδεέστερον Arg.). Among modern critics, Reiske is its sole supporter. Among the minor points of resemblance, apart from the general style, may be quoted Or. 59 § 16 ἄ μὲν ἡδικημένος, ἄ ανδρες ᾿Αθηναῖοι, ὑπό Στεφάνου... ὡς δ' ἐστὶ...τοῦτο ὑμῶν βούλομαι σαφῶς ἐπιδεῖξαι compared with Or. 53 (Nicostr.) § 19 ᾶ μὲν τοίνυν ἀδικούμενος, ὧ ἄνδρες δικασταί, ὑπ' αὐτῶν... ὡς δ' ἔστιν... ἐπιδείξω ὑμῶν (noticed by Reh-

dantz, vit. Iphicr. p. 194). Add Or. 59 § 14. Also the tedious references to the plea of revenge, Or. 59 § 1 ώστ' οὐχ ὑπάρχων ἀλλὰ τιμωρούμενος κ.τ.λ. and cf. § 18 ἐκ μικρῶν παιδίων with Or. 53 § 19 ἐκ μικρῶν παιδαρίον, while παιδάριον μικρόν, though common enough in itself, also happens to occur in Or. 59 § 50.

^I el γνήσιος s. v. ἀπογραφή, quoted in note on § 1, p. 134. ² Plut. de gloria Atheniensium, chap. 8. in § 5, to a trierarchy involving the speaker's absence from Athens; and it was shortly after his return that the events described in the context occurred. He had to sail round the south of the Peloponnesus, and after touching there to take certain ambassadors to Sicily. It seems probable that we should identify this trierarchy with that mentioned in Or. 45 § 3, which belongs either to B.C. 369 or B.C. 368¹. The latter date is more probable, not only for the reason given in the note on that

1 On a ψήφισμα respecting the alliance with Dionysius I see Kirchhoff in Philologus xii 571, where the writer holds that there were embassies sent to Sicily in 369 and also in 368. Cf. Lortzing, Apoll. pp. 3 f., 10; Sigg, Apoll. p. 403 f. (Blass, Att. Ber. III 460, 519²).

Droysen (Zeitschrift für d. Alterthumswissenschaft, 1839 p. 929) places the speech in Ol. 107, 1 = B.c. 352—1, and Böhnecke (Forschungen, p. 675) in Ol. 107, 2 = B.c. 351 - 350.They connect the Sicilian trierarchy of Apollodorus (1) with the despatch sent to Athens in Ol. 106, 3 = B.c. 354—3 by a leading man in Syracuse, Callippus by name; and (2) with a request for assistance on the part of the Messenians, recorded by Pausanias (IV 28 § 2). Arnold Schaefer, however, points out that we have no authority for stating that the Athenians sent any reply to the overtures of Callippus by sending a special embassy to Sicily, and Apollodorus would have been the last man in the world to have anything to do with Callippus, who was his personal enemy (see note on Or. 36 § 53). Besides, Apollodorus would then be in the 40th year of his age, and would

have had considerable experience of business, whereas when he undertook this trierarchy, and when he shortly after assisted Nicostratus, he was quite a young man and inexperienced in the ways of the world (§§ 12 -13). As was seen by Rehdantz, who places the speech in B.C. 368 (Jahn's neue Jahrbücher, Lxx 505), we must not refer the allusions in §§ 9 and 14 to the lawsuit of Apollodorus against Phormion which was met by the latter's special plea (Or. 36), but to the threatened litigation of the first few years after his father's death. Now, after the summer of 369 the Athenians, in consequence of help sent by Dionysius I to his allies the Spartans, were engaged in negociations with that tyrant which led to the conclusion of a peace and alliance. With these negociations we may connect the Sicilian trierarchy of Apollodorus. ambassadors whom he had on board could not confer with the Spartans without landing at Gytheion, as the Peloponnesus was for the most part in arms on the side of the Thebans. (Abridged from A. Schaefer, u.s., p. 145—6.)

passage, but also because at this period no one was required to be trierarch oftener than once in three years, and we know that Apollodorus was so employed in B.C. 362; hence he may have been trierarch in B.C. 365 and B.c. 368, but probably not in B.c. 3691. if we allow a fair interval of time for the events mentioned in the speech subsequent to the trierarchy, we may fix on B.C. 366 as the probable date of its delivery. Now, if Demosthenes was born in B.C. 381, he was still a minor in B.C. 366 and too young to have been the writer of the speech; if, as is most probable, his birth was in B.C. 384, he was only just of age when the speech was delivered. and had enough to do in looking after his own affairs, and preparing, under the guidance of Isaeus, to join issue with his guardians, without writing speeches for other people. Consequently, the probable date of the speech, coinciding as it does with the internal evidence and with the doubts of Harpocration, makes it almost impossible to ascribe it to the authorship of Demosthenes.

But whether written by Demosthenes, or, as is much more probable, by another, most likely by Apollodorus himself, there can be no reasonable doubt that the speech was actually delivered before an Athenian tribunal. As a study of character, the narrative of the relations between the speaker and his opponents is not without an interest of its own; and the moralist may there find a fresh exemplification of the wise saw of Polonius,

1 Cf. Sigg, Apoll. p. 404, who (with Lortzing) also draws attention to the indication of time in § 4 ἐπειδὴ ἐτελεύτησεν ὁ πατὴρ...χρόνου δὲ προβαίνοντος. But it is fair to remark that the subsequent expression 'whenever I was abroad, either on public service as trierarch, or

on my own account on some other business,' while it is not necessarily inconsistent with a single voyage as trierarch, which is all we can assume if we place the period in B.C. 366, is better suited to a date which would allow of more than one absence on public service.

Never a borrower or a lender be, For loan oft loses both itself and friend.

The speech includes several passages of peculiar intricacy, in which the language of Athenian lawcourts and the vocabulary of Attic horticulture will demand special illustration in the course of the commentary. The knotty points of legal terminology, which may embarrass the beginner, may prove attractive to experts,

qui iuris nodos et legum aenigmata solvunt; though others perhaps will be better pleased to dwell on the details of the speaker's country-home, and will not be sorry to leave for a while the lawcourts of Athens, for the vineyards and orchards, the olives and roses of Attica.

1 notes on §§ 14-16.

ENTRODUCTION TO

OR. LIV.

ΚΑΤΑ ΚΟΝΩΝΟΣ ΑΙΚΕΙΑΣ.

thus is a speech for the plaintiff in an action for assemble and buttery, which arose as follows. One evening the plaintiff, a young Athenian named Ariston, accommunical by a friend, was taking his usual stroll in the market-place of Athens, when he was attacked by the defendant Conon, and his son Ctesias and four states. One of these last fell upon Ariston's friend and held him fast, while Conon and the rest made an emission of ariston, stripped him of his cloak which they carried off with them, threw him violently into the usual, and assaulted him with such brutality that he was for some time confined to his bed and his life inquarted of (§ 7-12).

assault. The case was submitted in this form to a public arbitrator, and as his award, whatever it may have been, was not final, the plaintiff brought his suit before one of the legal tribunals, possibly that known as the Forty, state-officers chosen by lot who went on circuit through the demes of Attica, and under whose cognisance, besides some minor matters, all private lawsuits for assault were placed. Two points were essential to the proof of the case, (1) that the defendant struck the plaintiff who was a free-man, with intent to insult him; and (2) that the defendant struck the first blow and was not acting in self-defence under the provocation of a previous assault.

The plaintiff, after a brief statement of the reasons which led him to prefer bringing a private suit instead of a public indictment against his assailant, and after the usual request for a favourable hearing, gives a graphic account of the origin of the feud between Conon's sons and himself (§§ 3—6); he then passes on to a vivid description of the scene in the market-place and the brutal assault there committed by Conon and one of his sons (§§ 7—9), and calls medical and other evidence to prove the serious nature of that assault and its nearly fatal result (§§ 10—12).

He next anticipates the defence which is likely to be set up by Conon, who, he understands, will make light of his son's misconduct and try to pass it off as a mere freak of youthful pleasantry; he contrasts the flippancy of the proposed defence with the more serious spirit of the laws of Athens, which provide penalties for even minor offences to preclude the perpetration of

tiquités (Daremberg et Saglio) s.v. Aikias dikè; or Meier and Schömann, Att. Process p. 91 Lips.

¹ Or. 37 (Pant.) § 33 ἡ μὲν αἰκεία καὶ τὰ τῶν βιαίων πρὸς τοὺς τετταράκοντα, αὶ δὲ τῆς ὕβρεως (δίκαι) πρὸς τοὺς θεσμοθέτας. Θεο esp. Caillemer in Dict. des An-

graver crimes (§§ 13—20); and he submits that the plea of youth can only be urged in mitigation of punishment and is at any rate inapplicable to Conon himself, a man of more than fifty years of age, who, so far from restraining his sons and the other assailants, was actually the ringleader of them all (§§ 21—23). The defendant was amenable to the laws against highway robbery and brutal outrage and, had death ensued, would have been chargeable with murder (§§ 24, 25).

He further describes the evasive conduct of the defendant during the preliminary arbitration (§§ 25—29); denounces the falsehood of the evidence put in by persons who were boon-companions of the defendant, deposing that they found the plaintiff fighting with the defendant's son, and that the defendant did not strike the plaintiff; contrasts it with the evidence of impartial persons on his own side attesting to his having been assaulted by the defendant (§§ 30—33); and comments severely on the bad character of the witnesses for the defence (§§ 34—37).

He then warns the court not to allow themselves to be imposed upon by the hard swearing and the sensational imprecations which, he is informed, will be resorted to by the defendant, whose antecedents prove his reckless disregard of things sacred; while he himself, averse though he was to taking even a lawful oath, had for the truth's sake offered to take such a pledge; and, as that offer had been declined by the defendant, he would now for the satisfaction of the court swear solemnly that in very truth he had been brutally assaulted by his opponents (§§ 38—41).

After pointing out that even in this private suit public interests were at stake, he very briefly refers to the way in which his family and himself had done their duty towards their country, while his opponents had done nothing of the kind. 'Even supposing,' he says in conclusion, 'we are of less service to the state than our opponents, that is no reason why we should be assaulted and brutally outraged.'

The only clue to the date of the speech is to be found in a passage in § 3, whence we conclude that it was delivered two years after orders were given at Athens for a military force to go out on garrison duty to Panactum, a fort on the Boeotian frontier. We read of such an expedition in B.C. 3431; and this would bring us to B.C. 341 as the year of the trial. It has been suggested, however, though no reason is assigned, that this is too late a year, and that there is warrant for believing there was regular military service, as opposed to a special expedition, on the Boeotian frontier in B.C. 357, to protect Attica from a diversion on the part of the Boeotians shortly before the Phocian war, during which there was no occasion for such precautions, as the Phocians kept the Boeotians occupied in another direction². Thus, the military movements referred to in § 3 belong to the time either shortly before or shortly after the Phocian war, in other words, either to B.C. 357 or 343, the speech being thus placed in B.C. 355 or 341 respectively. course of an Excursus on p. 242, I have pointed out that the reference to the Triballi in the days of Conon's youth supplies us with a hitherto unnoticed coincidence in favour of the later date.

The speech has deservedly won the admiration of

φρούρια ήσαν ξρημα λελοιπότες the Scholiast remarks φρούρια δε λέγει μεταξύ τής Αττικής και Βοιωτίας. πολέμου γλρ τότε πρός Θηβαίους όντος διὰ την Εύβοιαν άναγκαῖον ήν τὰς ἐκ τῆς Βοιωτίας εἰσβολάς παρὰ τῶν 'Αθηναίων φυ λάττεσθαι.

¹ Dem. de Fals. Leg. (B.C. 343) § 326 περλ...τῆς πρὸς Πανάκτψ χώρας μεθ' ὅπλων ἐξερχόμεθα, δ ἔως ἦσαν Φωκεῖς σῷοι οὐδεπώποτ' ἐποιήσαμεν.

² A. Schaefer, Dem. u. s. Zeit, III 2, p. 251, who notices that on Dem. Mid. § 193 δσοι τὰ

ancient and modern critics alike. It is one of the few private orations whose genuineness has never been doubted1. The orator Deinarchus is reported to have plagiarized from it2, the old grammarians often refer to it, and the Greek writers on Rhetoric quote it more frequently than any of the other private orations. In particular Dionysius of Halicarnassus, in his treatise on the eloquence of Demosthenes, after quoting a vivid description from the orator Lysias, one of the highest merits of whose style was the power of clear and graphic narration, selects for comparison the equally vivid passage in the present speech where the plaintiff describes the disorderly doings of his opponents in the camp of Panactum and in the market-place of Athens (§§ 3-9). His criticism is to the effect that the extract from Demosthenes is fully equal to that from Lysias in clearness, correctness, and perspicuity of style, in conciseness and terseness, in unadorned simplicity and in truthfulness of detail. also commends the skill with which the language of the speaker is kept true to character, and appropriate to the subject, and finds in the narrative much of the winning persuasiveness, the charming grace, and the other merits of style that mark his quotation from Lysias4. modern writer on the literature of the speeches of

¹ Blass, Att. Ber. III 399¹, 456².

κυρίων καὶ κοινῶν ὀνομάτων κατεσκευασμένα, ὥσπερ τὰ Λυσίου;... τί δ' οὐχὶ σύντομα καὶ στρογγόλα καὶ ἀληθείας μεστὰ καὶ τὴν ἀφελῆ καὶ ἀκατάσκευον ἐπιφαίνουτα φύσιν, καθάπερ ἐκεῖνα;...οὐχὶ δὲ καὶ πιθανὰ καὶ ἐν ήθει λεγόμενὰ τινι καὶ τὸ πρέπον τοῖς ὑποκειμένοις προσώποις τε καὶ πράγμασι φυλάττοντα; ἡδονῆς δ' ἄρα καὶ πειθοῦς καὶ χαρίτων, καιροῦ τε καὶ τῶν ἀλλων ἀπάντων, ἃ τοῖς Λυσιακοῖς ἐπανθοῦσιν, ἄρα οὐχὶ πολλὴ μοῦρα;

² Eusebius, Praepar. Evang. quoting from Porphyry (περὶ τοῦ κλέπτας εἶναι τοὺς Ἑλληνας), κ. 3 p. 775 Migne, Δείναρχος ἐν τῷ πρώτω κατὰ Κλεομέδοντα alκίας πολλὰ μετενήνοχεν αὐτοῖς ὀνόμασι ἐκ τοῦ Δημοσθένους Μετὰ (sic) Κόνωνος alkías.

³ e.g. Hermogenes quoted on §§ 1, 4.

⁴ Dionysius, de admir. vi dicendi Dem. 13 ταῦτα οὐ καθαρὰ καὶ ἀκριβῆ καὶ σαφῆ καὶ διὰ τῶν

Demosthenes has well remarked that no selection from the Private Orations can be considered complete which does not include the $Conon^1$; and many years after that remark was made, it was excellently edited for school-reading with a brief German commentary by Westermann. It has also been the subject of an appreciative criticism by Perrot, who writes as follows:

'Dans le discours contre Conon...Démosthène réunit aux qualités qui firent le succès de Lysias celles qui distinguent Isée. De Lysias, il tient l'art d'entrer dans le caractère et dans le rôle du personnage qu'il fait parler, de se transformer en lui, si l'on peut ainsi parler, de produire l'illusion la plus complète. Par la vraisemblance et la vivacité du récit, par l'art d'y semer des détails sensibles et pittoresques, de faire voir la chose telle que l'on a intérêt à la présenter, il est bien près d'égaler son modèle...Où Démosthène est tout à fait supérieur à Lysias, c'est dans ce qu'il a appris d'Isée: il tire des témoignages un bien autre parti, il les place, les encadre, les développe et les discute avec une bien autre habileté; il connaît bien mieux les lois, il remonte à leurs principes, il en expose les sens et la portée avec une autorité dont rien chez Lysias ne peut donner l'idée. Enfin, pour n'insister que sur les différences les plus notables, les figures de pensée dont Lysias ignore encore l'usage animent et colorent son style: c'est le dilemme, c'est l'apostrophe, ce sont des interrogations brusques et passionnées, ce sont des mouvements oratoires dont l'élan et la variété nous avertissent que l'éloquence attique n'a plus de progrès à faire, qu'elle touche à sa perfection 2.'

One of our own scholars, in the course of a short chapter devoted mainly to the Private Speeches contained in the present volume, has well observed:—

¹ In einer Sammlung aus den Privatreden des Demosthenes dürfte...diese nicht fehlen. A. G. Becker's Literatur des Dem.

p. 122, 1830.

² G. Perrot, Revue des deux mondes, 1873, 3 p. 952—3.

lxvi

The whole story is told and commented on with exquisite grace. The tone is that of a middle-aged man of precise habits, who knows little law, and would have known less had it not been for the defendant; anxious to seem calm, but not quite able to smother his indignation; a little wanting in a sense of the ludicrous, and so keenly alive to his own respectability—which is a recurring topic—that he must apologise for being aware that such rowdyism even exists .

To the modern reader the main interest of the speech is to be found perhaps in the lifelike pictures of Athenian manners incidentally sketched in its pages; and several scenes have accordingly been borrowed from it and interwoven with the narrative of Becker's Charicles in illustration of the private life of the ancient Greeks3. particular, we here read of the disorderly clubs formed by young men about town, who, after holding a carouse, would sally forth into the streets to assault quiet people and play practical jokes at the expense of inoffensive To these indecorous societies the defendant's sons belonged, and the defendant himself in his youth was a member of a club called after a lawless tribe of Thrace, an association that finds its modern parallel in the fraternity, which in the days of Addison took its name from the wild Mohocks of North America, and was for some time the terror of the streets of London. practical jokes of young Athens in the days of Demosthenes re-appear, some seven centuries later, in a less objectionable, not to say harmless form, in the pleasantries practised by students at the University of Athens at the expense of the 'freshmen' (οἱ νεήλυδες), who, at the first moment of their arrival, were struggled for by the young allies of the rival lecturers, good-humouredly

Youth, rather than middle age, is suggested by § 1 ὑπὲρ τὴν ἡλικίαν (and the context).

² S. H. Butcher, Demosthenes, 1881, p. 134.

³ p. 136—139 (with notes) of the 2nd Germ. ed. by K. F. Hermann=p. 80—83 of abridged English ed. of 1866.

chaffed by them, and escorted with mock gravity through the market-place to the public bath, where, after a feint of frightening them, their tormentors considered the act of initiation completed, and were very good friends to the freshmen ever after.

The pages of the Greek orators abound in references to house-breaking and highway robbery, to street-brawls and other disorderly acts imperilling the public security; and in the present speech we find that the plaintiff could not take a quiet walk along the market-place of Athens, beneath the rock of the Acropolis, past the temple erected as a memorial of the patriotic self-sacrifice of the daughters of an ancient king of Attica, and by the very scene where the tyrant Hipparchus was slain, without finding himself the victim of a brutal and outrageous assault. In times such as these at Athens, one who was tempted to take an evening stroll with a friend, if invited in language like that of Sebastian in Twelfth Night,

I pray you, let us satisfy our eyes With the memorials and the things of fame That do renown this city,

might have replied, with Antonio,

Would you'd pardon me, I do not without danger walk these streets.

¹ Gregor. Nazianzen, Or. 43 in laudem Basilii magni c. 16, who describes the initiation as τοῖς ἀγνοοῦσι λίαν φοβερὸν καὶ ἀνήμερον τοῖς δὲ προειδόσι καὶ μάλα ἡδὺ καὶ φιλάνθρωπον. Gregory's young friend Basil was one of the few who were spared the ordeal on coming into residence (in a.d. 351).

² e.g. (Dem.) Or. 47 κατ' Εὐέργου και Μνησιβούλου, Lysias Or. 3 πρὸς Σίμωνα and fragm. 75 (ed. Scheibe), a long passage quoted by Dionysius as a parallel to the Conon (as already stated, p. lxiv). Cf. Becker's Charicles, Sc. v, note 9, and Mahaffy's Social Life in Greece, p. 319.

INTRODUCTION TO

Or. LV

ΠΡΟΣ ΚΑΛΛΙΚΛΕΑ ΠΕΡΙ ΧΩΡΙΟΥ ΒΛΑΒΗΣ¹.

This is a speech on the side of the defence in an action for damages alleged to have been incurred by the plaintiff, Callicles, by reason of a wall having been built on the defendant's property to the obstruction of a watercourse carrying off the drainage of the surrounding hills. The farms of the plaintiff and defendant lay in a hilly district of Attica, separated from one another by a public road; and the defendant's father, Teisias, on coming into possession of his farm and finding that the water which flowed from the high ground had made an inroad into his property and was cutting itself a regular channel, built a stone-wall round it to prevent the water from making any further encroachment. No protest was raised on the part of the plaintiff's family either at the time or for many years subsequently; Teisias lived fifteen years after building the enclosure, and, after his death, a mountain-torrent caused by a heavy shower of rain overthrew an old wall on the plaintiff's land, flooded his property and damaged some of his stores. Thereupon the plaintiff brought an action for damages, alleging that

 $^{^{1}}$ $\pi\epsilon\rho l$ $\chi\omega\rho lov$ $\beta\lambda\delta\beta\eta s$ is the title given by Priscian II 173 H, and by Harpocration, in one of

his articles (s.v. $\chi\lambda\hat{\eta}\delta\sigma$ s, Or. 55 § 22). The MSS omit $\beta\lambda\delta\beta\eta$ s.

the flood was due to the stream being diverted to his own side of the road by the proper water-course having been blocked up by the building of the wall on the defendant's property.

The speech for the defence opens by casting on the plaintiff the imputation of bringing the action with a view to getting possession of the defendant's property (§ 1). The speaker, a son of Teisias, whose name is not given, pleads that the wall was built by his father fifteen years before his death, without any objection on the part of the plaintiff's family, and challenges the other side to prove the existence of the water-course alleged to be obstructed by the wall (\square 3-7); he had offered to refer the dispute to the arbitration of impartial persons familiar with the neighbourhood, but the plaintiff had refused the offer (\$\infty\$ 8, 9); he then describes carefully the position of the two properties on the opposite sides of the public way, and accounts for the building of the wall (§ 10, 11). He next calls evidence to prove that the alleged water-course was part of his private ground, as it contained an old burial-place, and an orchard besides (§ 12-15); he further shews that, as the water would naturally flow down the public way, there was no occasion for such a water-course (\$\\$ 16-18), and that there was no such channel immediately above or below his own property (§ 19). The plaintiff's loss was due to his own carelessness and he was most inconsistent in bringing this action (§ 20); the other neighbours who had suffered severely made no complaint, whereas the plaintiff had lost nothing worth mentioning (§§ 21, 23-25). Again, his opponents had themselves advanced their wall (and thus encroached on public property); they had also raised the level of the road and thus led to the water being liable to be diverted from the road itself to the lands adjacent). After once more referring to the plaintiff's interested motive in bringing the action, he states in conclusion that, though the plaintiff had refused his offer, he had been ready to take the legally recognised oath and to swear that he had not caused the damage alleged, feeling that that would be the strongest argument with a jury who were themselves on their solemn oath.

The general style of the Callicles, as indeed that of the Conon, is not unlike that of Lysias, and speeches on similar subjects, one on a water-conduit and another on a disputed boundary, are known to have been composed by the orator Hyperides, but the genuineness of the speech before us can hardly be seriously contested². though it has been suggested that it was written by Demosthenes in his younger days3. It is quoted without hesitation by Harpocration and the rhetoricians alike, as the work of Demosthenes himself. The narrow limits of the speech and the somewhat trivial nature of the subject will account for the exordium not being succeeded, as elsewhere, by any formal narrative or statement of the case; instead of this, the narrative of the facts is only incidentally included in the course of the speech, and is blended and interwoven with the thread of the argument. Here and there the argument is brightened by a touch of quiet humour, as in the passage where the speaker, arguing on the supposition of his allowing the rain-water to make an inroad into his property, after exhausting several alternatives of dealing with the stream when once it was there, exclaims in conclusion, 'What am I to do with it? for

¹ περί όχετοῦ and περί τῶν δρίων p. 117 Blass (ed. 1894); fragm. 113 ὅπως τὸ ἀνώμαλον τοῦ χωρίου τῷ τῶν ἀφαιροῖτο κατασκεύῃ. fragm. 132 ὁχετόκρανα (=al τῶν ὀχετών ἀραμοί.

² Bekker however in the Leipzig ed. vol. III, 1855 considers it doubtful; and it is rejected by Sigg, Apoll. p. 401 note.

³ A. Schaefer, u. s., III 2, 256.

I presume the plaintiff won't compel me to drink it up!

In the course of the speech we have also several indications of the provisions of Athenian law respecting those rights of water, with the Roman law of which we are far better acquainted. We gather that the inferior tenant held his land subject to the limiting obligation, or servitus as Roman lawyers would have called it, of giving free passage into his own land for the water, in particular the rain-water, flowing from the superior tenement; and in a passage of Plato's Laws we find provisions suggested for regulating the relations between neighbours in rights of this description and requiring the superior proprietor to do everything in his power to relieve the inferior proprietor from unnecessary inconvenience. Again, the law did not allow the diversion of the natural and regular channel of the water by the building of a wall or by any similar construction. Callicles appears to have had no case, as his property did not immediately adjoin that of the defendant but was separated from it by a public way which provided sufficiently for carrying off the water. In some instances, but (as the defendant contends) not in the present, a regularly recognised water-course, or ditch, traversed several successive properties, and it is clear that no individual proprietor could intercept this. It also appears that the proprietor of any land bordering on a public way generally turned his drainage on to the road (§ 26)².

The legal issue in the *Callicles* appears to turn in a great measure on the nature of the water-course, the existence of which is maintained by the plaintiff and

¹ p. 844, quoted in note on § Aqua in Daremberg et Saglio, 9.
2 Cf. M. Caillemer's article on

denied by the defendant. The encroachment made by the floods, before the defendant's father became the proprietor, led to a stream of intermittent rain-water gradually forming a channel for itself (μᾶλλον ώδοποίει § 11) through a burial-ground¹ planted with fruit-trees. The plaintiff appears to have contended that the channel thus formed was a water-course within the terms of the law; the defendant relies on the existence of the trees and the tombs to prove that it was not a recognised channel, but part of his private ground, accidentally inundated, and repeatedly traversed by water, more than fifteen years before. It was this damage, he contends, that led to his father building the wall for the protection of his property.

Lastly, we have several points that are curiously suggestive on the state of the country-roads in the hilly districts of Attica; the road itself is assumed to be the natural channel for the drainage of the neighbouring hills, and a proper water-course beside the road is declared to be a thing unheard of. In fact, like some of the present roads of Attica, as described in a modern writer's amusing sketches of Greek brigandage, the road and the stream were one and the same thing, and, except in dry weather, the former hardly existed².

καλ καλοί νόμοι κείμενοι οὐκ ἄξιοι παροχετεύειν λόγοις, άλλ' ὁ βουληθείς ἐπὶ τὸν αὐτοῦ τόπον ἄγειν ὕδωρ ἀγέτω μὲν ἀρχόμενος ἐκ τῶν κοινῶν ναμάτων... ἢ δ' ἀν βούληται ἄγειν, πλὴν δι' olκίας ἢ ἰερῶν τινῶν ἢ καὶ μνημάτων, ἀγέτω.

2 Edmond About, quoted on

² Edmond About, quoted on p. 259.

¹ For purposes of irrigation, Plato would allow the tenant to divert water from streams that were common property by cutting himself a channel anywhere except through a private house or through temples or tombs. Legg. p. 844 A τῶν ὑδάτων πέρι γεωργοῖσι παλαιοί

XXXVI.

ΠΑΡΑΓΡΑΦΗ ΥΠΕΡ ΦΟΡΜΙΩΝΟΣ.

ΥΠΟΘΕΣΙΣ.

Πασίων ὁ τραπεζίτης τελευτών ἐπὶ δύο παισὶν ἐξ ᾿Αρχίπτης, ᾿Απολλοδώρω καὶ Πασικλεῖ, Φορμίων᾽ οἰκέτην ἑαυτοῦ γενόμενον, τετυχηκότα δ᾽ ἔτι πρότερον ἐλευθερίας, ἐπίτροπον τοῦ νεωτέρου των παίδων Πασικλέους κατέλιπε, καὶ τὴν μητέρ᾽ αὐτῶν, παλλακὴν ἑαυτοῦ γενομένην, ἔδωκεν 5 ἐπὶ προικὶ γυναῖκα. ᾿Απολλόδωρος οὖν νέμεται πρὸς τὸν ἀδελφὸν τὴν πατρώαν οὐσίαν πλὴν τῆς τραπέζης καὶ τοῦ ἀσπιδοπηγείου · ταῦτα γὰρ Φορμίων ἐμεμίσθωτο παρὰ Πασίωνος εἰς ώρισμένον χρόνον τινά. καὶ τέως μὲν ἐλάμβανε τὸ ἤμισυ τῆς μισθώσεως ἐκάτερος, ὖστερον δὲ καὶ αὐτὰ 10 νέμονται, καὶ γίγνεται τὸ μὲν ἀσπιδοπηγείον ᾿Απολλοδώρου,

1. τελευτῶν ἐπὶ δύο παισὶν] 'Dying with (in possession of) two children,' i.e. 'leaving two children behind him at his death'; an idiom not unfrequent in late Greek, e.g. Herodian (fl. A.D. 238) τν 2 § 1 ἔθος ἐστὶ 'Ρωμαίοις ἐκθειάζειν βασιλέων τοὺς ἐπὶ παισὶ διαδόχοις τελευτήσαντας. Or. 27 Arg. § 1.

5. παλλακήν] 'Quo iure Libanius Archippam, quae et in testimonio Pasionis (Οτ. 45 § 28) et alibi (36 §§ 30, 31; 46 § 13) uxor (γυνή) eius dicitur, hoc loco παλλακήν vocaverit, non apparet' (Huettner).

6. ἐπὶ προικὶ] For the con-

struction cf. Or. 28 § 16 τούτω τὴν ἐμὴν μητέρα ἐγγνῶν ἐπὶ ταῖς ὀγδοήκοντα μναῖς, ib. § 19; 41 § 6. The marriage portion of Archippê amounted to five talents, as we learn from Or. 45 § 74, cf. ib. § 28 ἡκούσατε τὸ πλῆθος τῆς προικός, τάλαντον ἐκ Πεπαρήθου, τάλαντον αὐτόθεν, συνοικίαν ἐκατὸν μνῶν, θεραπαίνας καὶ γουσία κ.τ.λ.

10. μισθώσεως] 'rent,' as in §§ 33, 36.

aorà] They share between them the properties themselves, viz. when Phormion's lease of them had expired.

P. S. D. II.

§ 2 Πασικλέους δ' ή τράπεζα. ἀποθανούσης δὲ καὶ τῆς μητρος υστερον, νειμάμενος καὶ τὴν ἐκείνης οὐσίαν, ἐνεκάλει τῷ Φορμίωνι ὡς πόλλ' ἔχοντι ἑαυτοῦ χρήματα^α. καθίσαντες 15 οὖν ἐαυτοὺς διαιτητάς, ὡς φησι Φορμίων, ᾿Απολλοδώρῳ προσήκοντες, Νικίας καὶ Δεινίας καὶ ᾿Ανδρομένης, ἔπεισαν ᾿Απολλόδωρον διαλύσασθαι πρὸς Φορμίωνα τὰ ἐγκλήματα λαβόντα πεντακισχιλίας. ὁ μὲν οὖν ᾿Απολλόδωρος μετὰ ταῦτα πάλιν εἴληχε δίκην Φορμίωνι ἀφορμῆς · ἀφορμὴν δὲ 944 20 οἱ ᾿Αττικοὶ καλοῦσιν ὅπερ ἡμεῖς ἐνθήκην. ὁ δὲ Φορμίων § 3 παραγράφεται, νόμον παρεχόμενος τὸν κελεύοντα περὶ ὧν ἄν ἄπαξ ἀφῆ τις καὶ διαλύσηται μηκέτ᾽ ἐξεῖναι δικάζεσθαι. ἄπτεται μέντοι καὶ τῆς εὐθείας ὁ ῥήτωρ, δεικνὺς ὡς οὐκ

χρήμαθ' ἐαυτοῦ propter hiatum mavult Blass.
 + καὶ Λυσῖνος Voemel, coll. § 15.

19. είληχε] In Grammarian's Greek, this stands either for λαγχένει οτ έλαχε. So πέπομφε is used in the Argument to Or. 34, line 31, and so πεποίηκεν below. P.]

δίκην ἀφορμῆς] 'A suit referring to capital,' 'a suit for the recovery of banking stock.' § 12

έγκαλοῦντ' ἀφορμήν.

20. οἱ 'Αττικοί] Harpocration 8. ν. ἐπιψηφίζειν: παρὰ τοῖς 'Αττικοίς: 8. ν. πρυτανεῖα: παρὰ τοῖς ἀλλοις 'Αττικοῖς (after naming Isocrates).

ἐνθήκην] Harpocration s.v. ἀφορμή· ὅταν τις ἀργύριον δῷ ἐνθήκην, ἀφορμή καλεῖται ἰδίως παρὰ τοῖς ᾿Αττικοῖς. And similarly Hesychius, and Phrynichus, ed. Rutherford p. 304. For this late Greek equivalent to ἀφορμή references are given in Sophocles' Lex. of Rom. and Byzantine Greek to Phrynichus 223 (fl. a.d. 180), and Basil (the Great) III 320 (a.d. 329—379).

21. παραγράφεται κ.τ.λ.] 'Phormion raises a special plea in bar of action, by appealing to a

statute enacting that, on matters on which a release and quittance has once been granted, no subsequent litigation shall be lawful.' See note on § 25 doels και άπαλλάξας. Pollux 8 § 57 παραγραφή ' όταν τις μη είσαγώγιμον λέγη είναι την δίκην, η ώς κεκριμένος, ή διαίτης γεγενημένης, η ώς άφειμένος, η ώς των χρόνων έξηκόντων (§ 26) έν οίς έδει κρίνεσ- θai where are enumerated the four principal circumstances under which an ordinary action is not maintainable. (Cf. C. R. Kennedy, Dem. Lept. &c. Vol. III Appendix, IX p. 378; Meier and Schömann, Att. Process, p. 849-856 ed. Lipsius.)

παρεχόμενος] 'adducing.' = προϊσχόμενος. A use of the participle analogous to παρέχεσθαι μάρτυρας (Or. 27 § 8), said of one who is pleading his own cause, and so, inf. § 54, and often elsewhere. P.]

23. ἄπτεται τῆς εὐθείας] Sc. δίκης. 'Touches on, handles, grapples with, the general issue,' εὐθυδικία being the direct course

είχεν ή τράπεζα χρήματ' ίδια τοῦ Πασίωνος. τοῦτο δὲ πεποίηκεν, ἴν' ή παραγραφή μᾶλλον ἰσχύη, τῆς εὐθείας 25 δεικνυμένης τῷ ᾿Απολλοδώρω σαθρᾶς.

Την μεν ἀπειρίαν τοῦ λέγειν, καὶ ώς ἀδυνάτως
ο δεικνουένης Ζ.

of an action argued on the merits of the case, as opposed to παραγραφή, and to διαμαρτυρία in Isaeus 7 § 3. Cf. Or. 34 ὑπόθ. § 4, τὴν εὐθεῖαν, and in the speech itself, § 4, εὐθυδικίαν εἰστόντα. Or. 45 § 6 (where Apollodorus is speaking of the defendant in the present case) προλαβών μου ἄστε πρότερον λέγειν διά τὸ παραγραφὴν εἶναι καὶ μὴ εὐθυδικία (fortasse -αν) εἰστέναι.

24. τοῦτο δὲ πεποίηκεν κ.τ.λ.] 'He has done (or 'does') this to give greater force to the special plea, by proving that, even on its own merits, the case of the plaintiff is quite untenable.' (σαθρᾶς, thoroughly rotten, unsound, Or. 18 § 227.) Cf. ὑπόθεσις of Or. 32 (Zenoth.) δείκνυσιν ὡς θαρρεῖ μὲν τῆ εὐθεία, ἐκ περιουσίας δὲ αὐτῷ καὶ παραγραφὴν ὁ νόμος δίδωσιν.

§§ 1-3. The defendant Phormion's obvious inexperience and incapacity for public speaking make it necessary for his friends to state his case on his behalf. They confront the plaintiff Apollodorus with a special plea in bar of action, not to waste time and evade the main issue, but to secure a final settlement of the case. Their friend, the defendant, has conferred many kindnesses on the plaintiff; and has further been released from all the legal claims of the latter, only to find himself at last the victim of a vexatious lawsuit.

However, a brief recital of the transactions of the litigants will prove that the plaintiff's case is utterly untenable.

1. την άπειρίαν τοῦ λέγειν] Like all slaves at Athens, Phormion (once the slave of the banker Pasion) was of barbarian birth: and though subsequently rewarded with the rights of freedom and citizenship, remained unable to speak good Greek. In a later speech arising out of the present action, Apollodorus, himself the son of one who was once a slave, taunts him with his foreign extraction and his indifferent pronunciation. Or. 45 § 81 βάρβαρος ἐωνήθης, and § 30 ίσως αὐτὸν ὑπειλήφατε, ὅτι σολοικίζει τἢ φωνἢ, βάρβαρον καὶ εὐκαταφρόνητον εῖναι, ἔστι δὲ βάρβαρος οὖτος τῷ μισεῖν οὖς αὐτῷ προσῆκε τιμάν, τῷ δὲ κακουργησαι και διορύξαι πράγματα οὐδενός λείπεται. In § 77, Apollodorus himself apologizes for his broad brogue or loud voice (λαλεῖν μέγα); the speaker of πρός Πανταίνετον makes similar excuses for his διάλεκτος (Or. 37 $\S\S$ 52, 55); and a like tribute to the sensitiveness of an Attic audience is paid by the Mytilenaean in Antiphon's de Caede Herodis (Or. v § 5) δέομαι ὑμῶν ... έάν τι τῆ γλώσση ἀμάρτω, συγγνώμην έχειν μοι και ήγεισθαι ἀπειρία αὐτὸ μᾶλλον ἢ ἀδικία ἡμαρτησθαι. Cf. Cicero Or. §§ 24-27. άδυνάτως έχει] 'Is quite inἔχει Φορμίων, αὐτοὶ πάντες ὁρᾶτ' οι ἄνδρες 'Αθηναῖοι' ἀνάγκη δ' ἐστὶ τοῖς ἐπιτηδείοις ἡμῖν, ὰ σύνισμεν πολλάκις τούτου διεξιόντος ἀκηκοότες, λέγειν καὶ διδάσκειν ὑμᾶς, ἵν' εἰδότες καὶ μεμαθηκότες ὀρθῶς [τὰ δίκαια] παρ' ἡμῶν, ὰν ἢ δίκαια καὶ εὔορκα,
 ταῦτα ψηφίσησθε. τὴν μὲν οὖν παραγραφὴν ἐποιησάμεθα τῆς δίκης ο, οὐχ ἵν' ἐκκρούοντες χρόνους ἐμποιῶ-

seclusit Herwerden (Bl.).
 τῆς δίκης fortasse delendum putat Bl.

capable,' referring mainly to his inexperience and want of facility in speaking. Thus in Antiphous u. s. v § 2 ἡ τοῦ λέγεω ἀδυναμία is contrasted with ἡ ἐμπειρία τῶν πραγμάτων. It is suggested by Blass, Att. Ber. III 405¹ (463²), that ἀδυνάτως refers to feebleness of health, but this appears improbable.

δράτε] In a general sense, 'you all of yourselves observe.' Or. 3 Olynth. § 1 τοὺς λόγους...

δρώ γιγνομένους.

τοις έπιτηδείοις] as his συνήγοροι. Hyperid. Euren. 25 τι
τούτου τών έν τἢ πόλει βέλτιον τό
δημοτικώτερόν έστι...ἢ ὁπόταν τις
ιδιώτης εἰς ἀγῶνα καὶ κἰνδυνον
καταστάς μὴ δύνηται ὑπὲρ ἐαυτοῦ
ἀπολογείσθαι, τούτω τὸν βουλόμενον τῶν πολιτῶν ἀναβάντα βοηθῆσαι; κ.τ.λ.

λέγειν και διδάσκειν] Dem. is particularly fond of coupling together words that are nearly synonymous with one another, e.g. in the next line, είδότες και μεμαθηκότες, and in the next, δίκαια και εύορκα, § 4 άκοῦσαι και μαθεῖν, § 12 λέγειν και ἐπιδεικνύναι, § 18 πεπραγμένα και γεγενημένα, § 29 ὄντι και ζῶντι, § 32 δόντος και ἐπισκήψαντος, § 47 κοσμεῖν και περιστέλλειν, § 61 φυλάττετε και μέμνησθε. Also § 16 alrίας και έγκλήματα (cf.

§ 61), § 2 lσχυρὰ καὶ βέβαια. Similarly in Or. 20 § 163 λέγειν καὶ διεξιέναι, 21 § 17 εἰπεῖν καὶ διτριφασθαι (Huettner). This characteristic of his style is noticed by Dionysius Hal. περὶ τῆς Δημ. δεινότητος 58, and is illustrated by Blass, Att. Ber. III 94¹ (97²).

αν η δίκαια—εδορκα] The relative clause to ταῦτα ψηφίσησθε is placed before it partly for increased emphasis, partly to bring δίκαια closer to τὰ δίκαια

in the previous context.

2. ζυ έκκρούοντες χρόνους έμποιώμεν] 'With the evasive object of wasting time,' or (with Kennedy) 'for the sake of evasion and delay.' The phrase yoovous èμποιείν occurs in Or. 9 § 71, 23 § 93. Cf. Or. 47 § 63 διατριβάς έμποιῶν.....τεχνάζων τοῦ χρόνον έγγενέσθαι. For έκκρούοντες, cf. Or. 54 § 30; 40 § 45 τὴν δίκην ὅτι πλεῖστον χρόνον ἐκκρούειν, ib. 43; and for the general sense, Thuc. 111 38 χρόνου διατριβήν έμποιείν and Or. 45 § 4, p. 1102, χρόνου γιγνομένου και της γραφής εκκρουομένης. Liddell and Scott (ed. 6) give a phrase ἐκκρούειν χρόνον, 'to waste time,' and, to prove it, inadvertently refer to the last passage and to the words of the text, where χρόνους clearly comes

μεν, ἀλλ' ἴνα τῶν πραγμάτων, ἐὰν ἐπιδείξη μηδ' ὁτιοῦν ἀδικοῦνθ' ἑαυτὸν οὐτοσί, ἀπαλλαγή τις αὐτῷ γένηται παρ' ὑμῖν ^ἀ κυρία. ὅσα γὰρ παρὰ τοῖς ἄλλοις ἐστὶν ἀνθρώποις ἰσχυρὰ καὶ βέβαια, ἄνευ τοῦ παρ' ὑμῖν 945 ἀγωνίσασθαι, ταῦτα πάντα πεποιηκὼς Φορμίων οὑτοσί, καὶ πολλὰ μὲν εὖ πεποιηκὼς `Απολλόδωρον τουτονί, πάντα δ', ὅσων κύριος τῶν τούτου κατελείφθη, 3 διαλύσας καὶ παραδοὺς δικαίως, καὶ πάντων ἀφεθεὶς μετὰ ταῦτα τῶν ἐγκλημάτων, ὅμως, ὡς ὁρᾶτ', ἐπειδὴ φέρειν τοῦτον οὐχ οἶός τ' ἐστί, δίκην ταλάντων

d ὑμῶν Harp. s. v. ἀφείς καὶ ἀπαλλάξας.

after $\epsilon \mu \pi o \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$ (corrected in ed. 7, 1883).

ἀπαλλαγή...κυρία] A legal and valid (or final) acquittal from all future actions, πραγμάτων. Cf. Harpocr. quoted on § 25.

ἄνευ τοῦ παρ' ὑμῶν ἀγωνίσασθαι] 'Without standing a trial in your court.'

πεποιηκώς...εθ πεποιηκώς..... διαλύσας ... παραδούς ... άφεθείς] Although all these participles refer to Phormion, who is the subject of the first part of the sentence, the principal verb συκοφαντεί refers to Apollodorus. Το obviate the harshness of this anacoluthon it has been proposed (by G. H. Schaefer) to follow one of the Mss, the Augustanus primus, in reading meποίηκε for πεποιηκώς, and also to strike out καὶ before πολλά, and place a full stop at έγκλημάτων. [But we should still expect όμως δ', or άλλ' όμως. Perhaps it is better to regard this as an instance of the 'nominativus pendens.' P.] The Zürich editors refer to Funkhaenel, quaest. Dem. p. 75

3. τούτου] Apollodorus.

διαλύσας κ. παραδούς κ.τ.λ.] 'Having duly paid and delivered up everything—and having thereafter received a discharge from all further claims.' For διαλύειν τι, cf. 20 § 12 κοινή διαλύσαι τὰ χρήματα, 28 § 2; 29 § 7; 41 § 8. For another construction διαλύειν τινά, cf. § 50.

άφεθεὶς—ἐγκλημάτων] Or. 45 \S 5, 40; Lys. 3 \S 25 ἐφειμένους τῶν ἐγκλημάτων, Isaeus 5 \S 1 ἀφήκαμεν ἀλλήλους τῶν ἐγκλημάτων,

έπειδη φέρεω τοῦτον οὐχ οἰδι τ' ἐστί] i.e. since (or, at a time when) Phormion cannot submit any longer to the unconscionable claims of Apollodorus (and therefore declines to make any further concessions), the latter has vexatiously instituted the present action. The subject of the subordinate clause appears to be Phormion. For the sense, compare the language ascribed to Apollodorus in § 33 μίσθωσιν ήθελεν αὐτῷ φέρειν Φορμίων πολλήν...ἐπεὶ δ' οὐ ποιεῖ ταῦτα, τηνικαῦτα, φησί, δικάζομαι, and especially Or. 45 § 5 ἐπειδη ποιεῦ τ' οὐδὲν ῷστο δεῦν ῶν τὸδ' ποιεῦν τ' οὐδὲν ῷστο δεῦν ῶν τὸδ'

είκοσιν λαχών αὐτῷ ταύτην συκοφαντεῖ. ἐξ ἀρχῆς οὖν ἄπαντα τὰ πραχθέντα τούτῷ πρὸς Πασίωνα καὶ ᾿Απολλόδωρον ὡς ἀν δύνωμαι διὰ βραχυτάτων εἰπεῖν πειράσομαι, ἐξ ὧν εὖ οἶδ΄ ὅτι ἥ τε τούτου συκοφαντία φανερὰ γενήσεται, καὶ ὡς οὐκ εἰσαγώγιμος ἡ δίκη γνώσεσθ' ἄμα ταῦτ' ἀκούσαντες.

ι Πρῶτον μὲν οὖν ὑμῖν ἀναγνώσεται τὰς συνθήκας,

ώμολόγησε, και τὰ χρήματ' ἀποστερεῖν ένεχειρησεν ἄ τῆς τραπέζης εῖχεν ἀφορμήν, δίκην ἡναγκάσθην λαχεῖν. For φέρειν cf. 21 § 197 δν...ού φίλοι δύνανται φέρειν.— For δίκην λαχών, cf. Or. 54 § 1, ελαχον δίκην n.

συκοφαντεί] Cf. Or. 55 § 1 n. —πραχθέντα τούτφ, Or. 34

§ 36 n.

Πασίωνα] Pasion, originally the slave of Archestratus (§ 48), and accountant to the bankingfirm of Archestratus and Antisthenes, was set free by his masters and succeeded them in their business (§§ 43-48). The Trapeziticus of Isocrates, which belongs to B.C. 394, while Pasion was probably still a μέτοικος, and not yet rewarded with the citizenship of Athens, purports to be a speech written in prosecution of Pasion for defrauding a subject of Satyrus, king of Bosporus. The father of Demosthenes had some money in Pasion's bank (Or. 27 § 11). Pasion, according to his son, Apollodorus, had conferred many benefits on the state, e.g. by presenting five triremes and a thousand shields (Or. 45 § 85), and his credit was good throughout all Greece (Or. 50 § 56). He died in B.c. 370 (Or. 46 § 13). Introd. pp. xixxxi.

ούκ είσαγώγιμος] Or. 45 § 5 (of this very trial), παρεγράψατο

την δίκην ην έφευγε Φορμίων οὐκ είσαγώγιμον είναι. See ὑπόθεσις

§ 3, παραγράφεται, n.

§§ 4-11. Statement of the transactions of Phormion with Pasion and Apollodorus, After Phormion had become his own master, but before he had received the rights of Athenian citizenship, Pasion gave him a lease of the Bank and the Shield - Manufactory. Subsequently Pasion became ill and died, leaving a will whereby Phormion married his former master's widow (Archippe), and became guardian to his younger son (Pasicles). The elder son (Apollodorus) proceeded to appropriate large sums out of the common estate, and the quardians accordingly deemed it prudent on behalf of their ward to determine on a partition of all the effects except the Bank and Shield-Manufactory, leased to the defendant, who was one of the guardians. The defendant paid a moiety of the rent of that property to the elder son, Apollodorus, who when Pasicles came of age discharged the defendant from his liability under the lease and from all further claims. The said property was thereupon divided between the two brothers, the elder exercising his option in favour of the Shield-Manufactory, as the safer though less remunerative busiκαθ ας εμίσθωσε Πασίων την τράπεζαν τουτωί καὶ το ασπιδοπηγείον. καί μοι λαβε τας συνθήκας καὶ την πρόκλησιν καὶ τας μαρτυρίας ταυτασί.

ΣΥΝΘΗΚΑΙ. ΠΡΟΚΛΗΣΙΣ. ΜΑΡΤΥΡΙΑΙ.

Αἱ μὲν οὖν συνθῆκαι, καθ' ᾶς ἐμίσθωσεν ὁ Πασίων τουτφὶ τὴν τράπεζαν καὶ τὸ ἀσπιδοπηγεῖον ἤδη καθ' ἐαυτὸν ὄντι, αὖταί εἰσιν ω ἄνδρες 'Αθηναῖοι· δεῖ δ' ὑμᾶς ἀκοῦσαι καὶ μαθεῖν ἐκ τίνος τρόπου προσώφειλε

• pro τούτω scripsit Bl. hic et in §§ 11, 12; cf. §§ 35, 59, 61. Pronominum usus hic est, ut de Phorm. adhibeatur vel οὐτοσί vel δδε vel etiam οῦτος, maxime ubi compellatur Apollodorus, de Apoll. autem neque οὐτοσί (praeter ᾿Απολλ. οὐτοσί) neque δδε (praeter unum locum § 34, ubi opponitur Pasicles), sed οῦτος constanter (Blass).

ness, and leaving the Bank, with its higher but more hazardous revenue, to his younger brother.

4. ἀναγνώσεται] Βο. ὁ γραμματεύς, the clerk of the court, as in §§ 21, 24, 40.— συνθήκας: Το ξε εντικό και το διακό δια

άσπιδοπηγείου] Or. 45 § 85 δ έμδι ύμιν πατήρ (Pasion) χιλίας έδωκεν άσπίδας.

την πρόκλησω] Probably a challenge to Apollodorus for the production of the articles of agreement between Pasion and Phormion. On the term in general, see Or. 54 § 27, προκαλούνται, n. and infr. § 7 n.

ήδη καθ' ἐαυτὸν ὅντι] 'Doing business on his own account, as his own master,' no longer subject, as a slave, to the control of another, though still a μέτοικος.

This rendering is supported by C. R. Kennedy and M. Dareste. Similarly in Reiske's index: 'when he had left his master's service, and gone into business for himself, in his own name, at his own risk.' καθ' ἐαυτὸν is often used of being 'by oneself,' separate from others; 21 § 140 καθ' ἐαυτὸν ζῶντι, 10 § 52 γεγόνασι καθ' αὐτοὺς ἔκαστοι.

προσώφειλε κ.τ.λ.] The defendant has to explain how it comes to pass that Pasion is entered in the articles of agreement as owing eleven talents to the bank. He shows that this sum had been lent by Pasion on the security of certain lands and houses on which Pasion as the creditor, being an Athenian citizen, would have a claim, in the event of the loan not being refunded or the interest regularly paid. Phormion, the lessee of the banking business, had not yet acquired the rights of citizen5 τὰ ἔνδεκα τάλανθ' ὁ Πασίων ἐπὶ τὴν τράπεζαν. οὐ γὰρ δι' ἀπορίαν ταῦτ' ὤφειλεν, ἀλλὰ διὰ φιλεργίαν. ἡ μὲν γὰρ ἔγγειος ἢν οὐσία Πασίωνι μάλιστα ταλάντων εἴκοσιν, ἀργύριον δὲ πρὸς ταύτη δεδανεισμένον [ἴδιον] πλέον ἡ πεντήκοντα τάλαντα. ἐν [οὖν τοῖς πεντήκοντα ταλάντοις] τούτοις ἀπὸ τῶν παρακατα- 946 θηκῶν τῶν τῆς τραπέζης ἔνδεκα τάλαντ' ἐνεργὰ ἦν.

propter sensum seclusi; idem etiam propter syllabas breves facit Bl.
 μᾶλλον r a me collatus.
 h om. Bl. cum A, coll. Or. 3 § 10.

ship, it was therefore arranged that Pasion should not transfer these securities to Phormion but keep them in his own hands, and credit Phormion with their value: in other words, enter himself in the articles of agreement as debtor to the bank to the amount of eleven talents.

For προσώφειλε, the compound verb followed by the simple ώφειλε where the repetition of the preposition is not necessary, cf. Cic. Catil. IV 1 perferrem...feram. Cf. Or. 53 § 4.

5. ἀπορίαν...φιλεργίαν] 'Not want but thrift,' or (with Kennedy) 'Not on account of poverty, but on account of his industry in business.' In Or. 45 § 33 Apollodorus insinuates that the debt arose from Phormion's mismanagement.

έγγειος οὐσία] 'Property in land,' 'real property,' also called φανερὰ οὐσία. Harpocr. ἀφανής οὐσία καὶ φανερά 'ὰ ἀφανής μὲν ἡ ἐν χρήμασι καὶ σώμασι καὶ σκεύεσι, φανερὰ δὲ ἡ ἔγγειος. Lysias, fragm. 91, τοῦ νόμου κελεύοντος τοὺς ἐπιτρόπους τοῦς ὀρφανοῖς ἔγγειον τὴν οὐσίαν καθιστάναι (Suidas s. v. ἔγγειον).

άργύριον πρὸς ταύτη] 'In addition to this he had money of his own (personal property) lent out on interest to the amount

of more than fifty talents.' The larger amount so employed shows that he was a usurer by practice or profession. P.]

έν οθν τοίς πεντήκοντα... Ενδεκα] We have just been told that Pasion had more than 50 talents of his own money (ἀργύριον ἔδιον) lent out at interest, and we now find that έν τοις πεντήκοντα ταλάντοις there were 11 talents from the bank-deposits, profitably invested. The latter could hardly be called ίδιον ἀργύριον, unless the words are used loosely in the general sense of 'personal property' as opposed to έγγειος οὐσία or 'real property.' But we should perhaps strike out tolor and attribute its insertion to an accidental repetition of $\pi \lambda \acute{\epsilon}o\nu$, as I Δ ION and *IIAEON* are not very unlike one another. Blass accepts this, pointing out that tour is also open to objection on rhythmical grounds. Or again, keeping ίδιον we might alter ἐν οὖν into ėπ' οθν 'in addition to,' 'over and above' the 50 talents. Heraldus proposed σύν οὖν, and G. H. Schaefer unsuccessfully attempts to show that èv may mean 'besides,' by quoting the quasi-adverbial use of ev be in Soph. Ai. 675, O. C. 55, and O. T. 27.

μισθούμενος οὖν ὅδε τὴν ἐργασίαν αὐτὴν ἱτῆς τραπέζης 6 καὶ τὰς παρακαταθήκας [λαμβάνων] k , ὁρῶν ὅτι μήπω τῆς πολιτείας αὐτῷ l παρ ὑμῖν οὕσης οὐχ οἶός τ' ἔσοιτ' εἰσπράττειν ὅσα Πασίων ἐπὶ γῆ καὶ συνοικίαις

ἀντὴν Bekk. st. et Z cum S (coll. § 13). αὐτὴν τὴν Voemel cum Ar. ταύτην τὴν Bekk. Dind.
 k secl. Bl. coll. § 13.
 1 αὐτῷ Bekk. Z et Voemel (cf. tamen Buttmann. in Mid. exc. x).

[In the sense of 'in addition to' he should rather have said $\pi\rho\delta$; than (πi) . Perhaps $i\nu$ means 'mixed up with,' i.e. out at loan to the same borrowers as his own money was (Boeckh P. E. p. 480 Lewis = 622 Lamb). P.]

'Pasion a prêté en tout 50 talents, à savoir 39 de ses fonds personnels, et onze des fonds provenant des dépôts faits à la banque... Tous ces fonds sont indistinctement prêtés au nom de Pasion (lôlov), qui est seul créancier des emprunteurs, tout en restant débiteur des déposants.' Dareste, who agrees with A. Schaefer, Dem. u. s. Zeit III 2, 132.

ἐνεργὰ] 'Out on interest,' 'profitably invested,' as opposed to ἀργὰ 'lying idle.' Or. 27 § 7 τα τ' ένεργὰ αὐτῶν καὶ ὅσα ἢν ἀργὰ, § 10 ταῦτα μὲν ἐνεργὰ κατέλιπεν ...τὸ δ' ἔργον αὐτῶν πεντήκοντα μναῖ, 56 § 29 τὸ δάνειον...ένεργὸν ποιεῖν.

παρακαταθήκη] Plato defin. p. 415 δόμα μετὰ πίστεωs. Claims for the repayment of such banking deposits form the subject of two of the forensic orations of Isocrates, the Trapeziticus and the ἀμάρτυρος πρὸς Εὐθύνουν.

6. μήπω τῆς πολιτείας κ.τ.λ.] No one would lend money on the security of land unless in default of payment (Or. 35 § 12) the lender had the right to take possession of such land, and

this right of possession was confined to citizens to the exclusion of μέτοικοι (or resident aliens) like Phormion. In a similar case a special exception was once made by the Byzantines: Aristot. Oeconom. 11 4 μετοίκων τινών έπιδεδανεικότων έπὶ κτήμασιν οὐκ οὔσης αὐτοῖς έγκτήσεως, έψηφίσαντο τὸ τρίτον μέρος είσφέροντα τοῦ δανείου τὸν βουλόμενον κυρίως έχειν το κτήμα (Büchsenschütz, Besitz und Erwerb im Griechischen Alterthume, pp. 492-3, K. F. Hermann. Rechtsalt. § 13, p. 1034 Thalheim).

[On the insecurity of lending money on houses or lands, except for citizens, see Boeckh, P. E. pp. 140 and 654 Lewis², who observes on this passage that 'no resident alien could safely lend money upon houses or other landed property, a privilege which was confined to the citizens.' Of course $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \omega$ o $\delta \sigma \eta s$, 's inondum esset,' is very different from o $\delta \pi \omega$ o $\delta \sigma \eta s$, 'cum nondum esset.' P.]

έσοιτ'] See note on 53 § 8. ἐπὶ γῆ...δεδανεικὼς] Cf. ἔγγυον, or ἔγγειον, δάνεισμα and Or. 34 § 23 ἔγγειοι τόκοι. (K. F. Hermann, Privatalterthümer § 49,=p. 460 ed. Blümner, and Büchsenschütz, u. s. p. 490.)

συνοικίαις] See n. on Or. 53 § 13 τίθημι την συνοικίαν έκκαιδεκα μνών. Houses built in δεδανεικώς ήν, είλετο μάλλον αὐτὸν τὸν Πασίωνα χρήστην ἔχειν τούτων τῶν χρημάτων ἡ τοὺς ἄλλους χρήστας, οἰς προειμένος ήν. καὶ οὕτω διὰ ταῦτ' ἐγράφη [εἰς τὴν μίσθωσιν][™] προσοφείλων ὁ Πασίων ἔνδεκα τάλαντα, ὥσπερ καὶ μεμαρτύρηται ὑμῖν.

7 'Ον μέν τοίνυν τρόπον ή μίσθωσις έγένετο, μεμαρτύρηται ὑμιν ὑπ' αὐτοῦ τοῦ ἐπικαθημένου· ἐπιγενομένης δ' ἀρρωστίας τῷ Πασίωνι μετὰ ταῦτα,
σκέψασθ' ὰ διέθετο. λαβὲ τῆς διαθήκης τὸ ἀντίγραφον καὶ τὴν πρόκλησιν ταυτηνὶ καὶ τὰς μαρτυρίας
ταυτασί, παρ' οἰς αὶ διαθῆκαι κεῦνται°.

propter hiatum secl. Bl. ⁿ Bekk, ταύτην Z cum SAr; cf. § 40.
* παρ' οἰς—κεῖνται interpolata esse censet Huettner.

blocks and let out to families were commonly so called. The συνοικίαι were chiefly let as lodgings for the μέτοικοι. Cf. § 34 n.

ή τους αλλους χρήστας] 'Than the others, to whom Pasion had lent it, debtors to the bank.' Perhaps the word χρήστας is interpolated. P.]

ols $\pi \rho o \epsilon \iota \mu \ell \nu o s \, \bar{\eta} \nu]$ In the medial sense. Liddell and Scott, s. v. $\pi \rho o t \eta \mu \iota$ B iii, refer to this passage for the sense 'to give away,' 'to give freely.' But it here means 'to lend' as in Plato Demod. 384. Cf. Or. 56 §§ 2, 48, 50.

έγράφη ... προσοφείλων ενδεκα τάλ.] Οτ. 45 § 29 προσγέγραπται ενδεκα τάλαντα ο πατήρ (Passion) όφείλων είς τὰς παρακαταθήκας τούτω, and § 34 ἐω τάλλ' δσ' ὰν περί των ενδεκα ταλ. έχοιμα είπεῦν, ώς οὐκ ώφειλεν ὁ πατήρ, άλλ' οὖτος ὑφήρηται.

'Ces onze talents provenant de dépôts constituaient une dette exigible de la part des déposants, et par suite un danger pour la banque du moment que le contre-valeur n'était pas facilement et promptement réalisable. C'est pourquoi Pasion donne à Phormion sa garantie pour les onze talents. Il reste créancier de ses emprunteurs, mais il devient débiteur, envers la banque, d'une somme égale de sa créance sur ces derniers.' Dareste.

7. τοῦ ἐπικαθημένου] 'The manager, the clerk, of the bank.' Elsewhere Phormion himself is described by Apoll. as τὸν ἐπικαθήμενον ἐπὶ τῆς τραπέζης (Or. 49 § 17, cf. 49 § 33) and καθήμενον καὶ διοικοῦντα ἐπὶ τῆ τραπέζη (Or. 45 § 33), Isoor. Or. 17 (Trapez.) § 12, Pollux III 84 ἐπικαθήμενος τραπέζη, S. Matt. ix 9 καθήμενος τραπέζη in charge over) τὸ τελώνιον.

αρρωστίας] Pasion's failing health is touched upon in Or. 52 § 13 άδυνάτως ήδη έχωντα καὶ μόγις εἰς άστυ ἀναβαίνοντα καὶ το όφθαλμὸν αὐτὸν προδιδόντα, and in Or. 49 § 42 έλεγεν άρρωστῶν ὅ τι ὁφείλοιτο αὐτῷ ἔκαστον.

μαρτυρίας.. παρ' οίς $]=\mu$. τούτων τῶν μαρτύρων παρ' οίς (cf. Or. 30 § 24 τὰς μαρτυρίας ὧν ἐναντίον

ΔΙΑΘΗΚΗΡ. ΠΡΟΚΛΗΣΙΣ. ΜΑΡΤΥΡΙΑΙ.

'Επειδή τοίνυν ὁ Πασίων ἐτετελευτήκει ταῦτα διαθέμενος, Φορμίων οὐτοσὶ τὴν μὲν γυναῖκα λαμβάνει κατὰ τὴν διαθήκην, τὸν δὲ παῖδα ἐπετρόπευεν. ἀρπάζοντος δὲ τούτου καὶ πολλὰ ἀπὸ κοινῶν [ὄντων] ٩

P Bekk. ἀντίγραφον Z cum SrA.

9 om. Bl. cum FQ; cf. infra et § 39.

ἀπεκρίναντο), 'the depositions of the persons to whose keeping the will has been entrusted' (cf. Isaeus 6 §§ 7, 27; 7 § 1; 9 §§ 5, 6, 18). In times when there were no probate-courts, it is obvious that the greatest pre-cautions had to be taken to prevent forgeries by interested parties. In Or. 45 § 19 one Cephisophon deposes that his father had left behind him at his death, a document endorsed 'Pasion's Will,' which Apollodorus (ib. §§ 5, 22) denounces as a forgery (οὐδεπώποτε γενομένη...κατεσκευασμένη). The alleged terms are given ib. § 28, quoted in part in ὑπόθεσις l. 6 n. The plural παρ' of is inaccurate, as the will appears to have been in the custody of a single person only.

Probably the only instances we have of a will being in official custody are (1) Isacus 1 §§ 14, 15, 25, where it is in the hands of one of the ἀστυνόμοι, and (2) an inscription from Amorgos (C. I. G. 2264 u), κατὰ τὰς διαθήκας τὰς κειμένας ἐν ἰερῷ τῆς ᾿Αφροδίτης καὶ παρὰ τῷ θεσμοθέτη Κτησιφῶντι (Meier and Schömann, p. 52, note 31 ed. Lipsius).

8. πρόκλησις] To establish Pasion's will, Stephanus and two others deposed that they were present when Phormion challenged Apollodorus to open the

will, and that the latter refused. In Or. 45 Apollodorus sues Stephanus for having given false evidence in the present case and discusses this challenge in §§ 8—19, denying that any such challenge ever took place or that his father left such a will.

Πασίων έτετελευτήκει] Οτ. 46 § 13 έτελεύτησεν έπι Δυσνικήτου άρχοντος (Β.C. 370).

την γυναϊκα λαμβάνει κ.τ.λ.] Similarly the father of Dem. left Aphobus guardian of his children, and gave him his widow with a marriage-portion and the use of his house and furniture (Or. 27 § 5). The object of such legacies was to secure a faithful performance of the guardian's trust by connecting him more closely with the family of his ward (cf. 58 § 31). Diogenes Laertius, 1 56, quotes a law, perhaps wrongly ascribed to Solon, τον επίτροπον τη ορφανών μητρί μη συνοικείν. (Hermann, Privatalt. § 57, 16=p. 154 of Rechtsalt. Thalheim.)

τὸν παῖδα] i.e. Pasicles, who was a minor for 8 years (B.C. 370—362), as appears by comparing § 10 with § 37. He was probably 8 or 10 years old when his father died; his elder brother was 24 (inf. § 22).

τούτου] The claimant Apollodorus, whom the orator purposely represents as thriftless and unscrupulous at the very first.

τῶν χρημάτων ἀναλίσκειν οἰομένου δεῖν, λογιζόμενοι πρὸς ἐαυτοὺς οἱ ἐπίτροποι, ὅτι, εἰ δεήσει κατὰ τὰς διαθήκας, ὅσ᾽ ἄν οὖτος ἐκ κοινῶν τῶν χρημάτων ἀναλώση, τούτοις ἐξελόντας ἀντιμοιρεὶ τὰ λοιπὰ νέμειν, οὐδ᾽ ὁτιοῦν ἔσται περιόν, νείμασθαι τὰ ὄνθ᾽ 947 9 ὑπὲρ τοῦ παιδὸς ἔγνωσαν. καὶ νέμονται τὴν ἄλλην

r τούτους Z, Bekk. st. et Dind. cum S. τούτοις Reiske, Bekk., Bl.
Bekk. st. et Voemel. dντιμοιρεί (sine accentu) S, αντιμοιρεί (sic)
FQ. τὰς ἀντιμοιρίας Reiske et Bekk. 1824 cum Ar.

λογιζόμενοι] 'The guardians, calculating among themselves, that if, by the terms of the will, it proved necessary to subtract an equivalent to all that the plaintiff should have spent out of the common fund, and then divide the remainder, there would be no surplus whatever, decided in behalf of their ward on an immediate division of the property.'

κατὰ τὰς διαθήκας] goes with ἐξελόντας alone, and not with τὰ λοιπὰ νέμειν. The partition of the property was sufficiently provided for by the law, ἄπαντας τοὺς γνησίους Ισομοίρους είναι τῶν πατρώων (Isaeus 6 § 25), and did not require to be directed by the will. It may be presumed that the will provided for making payments out of the estate previous to the legal partition of the property.

κοινῶν τῶν χρημάτων] κοινῶν, predicative; while yet undivided and belonging to both alike.

ἀντιμοιρεί] 'Share for share,' cf. § 32 τὰ μητρῷα πρὸς μέρος λξίους νέμεσθαι. The adverb does not appear to occur elsewhere, and its form is suspiciously like the late Greek words ἀνωνυμεί, αὐτολεξεί, αὐτολεψεί, παμπληθεί, πανεθνεί. In earlier Greek almost the only

instances found are $a\dot{v}\tau \sigma \beta o \epsilon i$ (Thuc.) and $\pi a v \delta \eta \mu \epsilon i$ (Thuc. Andoc. Lys. Isocr.). For some others see Kühner-Blass, Gr. Gr., 12, p. 303.

[A more probable reading would be τούτοις ἐξελόντας ἀντιμοιρίας, 'taking out (and laying aside for the minor) equal sums to those taken on each occasion out of the general property by Apollodorus.' The syntax ἀντιμοιρεὶ νέμειν seems unnatural, to say nothing of the form of the adverb; and ἐξελόντας seems to require a definite accusative. P.] Blass prefers τούτοις (neut. referring to δσα) and takes ἀντιμοιρεὶ with ἐξελόντας.

νέμειν...νέμονται] Donaldson, Gk. Gr. p. 450, observes that νέμειν is here used 'of a distribution of property by executors; though we have immediately afterwards, νέμονται την άλλην οὐσίαν, because the obligation to divide, under the will, stands in a certain opposition to the act of division, which the executors performed with the same amount of care and interest as if they had divided the property among themselves...Afterwards we have (§ 10) ἐνείμαθ' οδτος πρός τὸν άδελφόν, of one of the parties immediately interested. For νέμεσθαι used in the middle voice

οὐσίαν πλὴν ὧν ἐμεμίσθωθ' οὐτοσί τούτων δὲ τῆς προσόδου τὴν ἡμίσειαν τούτῳ ἀπεδίδοσαν. ἄχρι μὲν οὖν τούτου τοῦ χρόνου πῶς ἔνεστ' ἐγκαλεῖν αὐτῷ μισθώσεως; οὐ γὰρ νῦν, ἀλλὰ τότ' εὐθὺς ἔδει χαλεπαίνοντα φαίνεσθαι. καὶ μὴν οὐδὲ τὰς ἐπιγιγνομένας μισθώσεις ὡς οὐκ ἀπείληφεν ἔστ' εἰπεῖν αὐτῷ. οὐ γὰρ ἄν ποτ', ἐπειδὴ δοκιμασθέντος Πασικλέους το ἀπηλλάττετο τῆς μισθώσεως ὅδε, ἀφήκατ' ἄν αὐτὸν ἀπάντων τῶν ἐγκλημάτων, ἀλλὰ τότ' ἄν παραχρῆμα ἀπητεῖτ', εἴ τι προσώφειλεν ὑμῖν. ὡς τοίνυν ταῦτ' ἀληθῆ λέγω, καὶ ἐνείμαθ' οὖτος πρὸς τὸν ἀδελφὸν παῖδ' ὄντα, καὶ ἀφῆκαν τῆς μισθώσεως καὶ τῶν

^t Z et Voemel cum SFQ. ἐπιγεν- Bekk. Dind.

generally (but not always) of the heirs, cf. §§ 11, 32, 38, Or. 39 § 6 το τρίτον νείμασθαι μέρος, 47 § 35 νενεμημένος ετη (ττην οὐσίαν πρός τον δέελφόν), Lysias 16 § 10; 19 § 46; 32 § 4; Isaeus 1 § 16 οὶ τούτων φίλοι...ἡξίουν νείμασθαι ττην οὐσίαν; 7 §§ 5, 25. The active διένειμεν is applied to the father dividing his property among his sons in Or. 43, Macart. § 49 (followed by νειμάμενοι, of the sons) and in Lysias 19 § 46.

relμασθαι] The subject is not the 'brothers,' but the 'guardians,' as is clear from the subsequent verbs rέμονται and dπεδίδοσαν.

9. ἀπεδίδοσαν] The guardians paid Apollodorus the share due to him, viz. half the rent of the bank and shield-manufactory. ἀποδίδναι is 'to pay a man his due,' as in Isaeus 5 § 21 οῖς ἔδει αὐτὸν ἀποδίδντα τὴν τιμήν, ἡμῶν τὰ μέρη ἀποδοῦναι, and frag. 29 ἀποδεδωκότι τὰς μισθώσεις. Cf. Or. 41 § 9 τὴν τιμήν; 34 § 18 δάνειον; 15 § 17 χάριν; 16 § 2

εθνοιαν. See note on 53 § 10. άχρι μὲν οδν κ.τ.λ.] 'Down to this date, then, there is not the slightest claim against Phormion in respect of the lease.' For the rather rare construction of έγκαλειν c. gen. cf. Or. 54 § 2 and Plut. Αγιστ. 10, 9 τῆς βραδυτῆτος αὐτοῖς ἐνεκάλει. In § 12 we have ἐγκαλοῦντ' ἀφορμήν.

μισθώσεως, 'lease'; μισθώσεις, 'rents.' Cf. § 33 n.

10. δοκιμασθέντος] The δοκιμασία (see Dict. Antiq.) took place on 'coming of age,' usually at 18. Aristotle's Constitution of Athens, 42 § 1 έγγράφονται δ' els τοὺς δημότας δκτωκαίδεκα ἔτη γεγονότες. § 2 μετὰ δὲ ταῦτα δοκιμάζει τοὺς ἐγγραφέντας ἡ βουλή.

άφήκατ'... ἀφῆκαν] The forms ἀφήκατε and ἀφῆκαν and παρέ-δωκαν §§ 14, 44 are rather unsual. But Isaeus 5 § 1 has ἀφήκαμεν; Dem. 38 § 18, 27 § 3, Dinarch. 1 § 57, Aeschin. 3 § 85, ἀφήκατε; Thuc. 7, 19, 4 ἀφῆκαν. Attic writers, however, prefer in the plural the inflexion of the

ἄλλων άπάντων ἐγκλημάτων, λαβὲ ταυτηνὶ τὴν μαρτυρίαν.

MAPTTPIA.

Εὐθὺς τοίνυν ὧ ἄνδρες 'Αθηναῖοι, ὡς ἀφεῖσαν τουτονὶ τῆς μισθώσεως, νέμονται τὴν τράπεζαν καὶ τὸ ἀσπιδοπηγεῖον, καὶ λαβὼν αἴρεσιν 'Απολλόδωρος αἰρεῖται τὸ ἀσπιδοπηγεῖον ἀντὶ τῆς τραπέζης. καίτοι εἰ ἦν ἰδία^α τις ἀφορμὴ τουτῳὶ^ο πρὸς τῆ τραπέζη, τί δή ποτ ἀν εἴλετο τοῦτο μᾶλλον ἡ ἐκείνην; οὕτε γὰρ ἡ πρόσοδος [ἦν] τλείων, ἀλλ' ἐλάττων (τὸ μὲν γὰρ τάλαντον, ἡ δ' ἐκατὸν μνᾶς ἔφερεν), οὕτε τὸ κτῆμ' ἤδιον κ, εἰ προσῆν χρήματα τῆ τραπέζη [ἴδια] . ἀλλ' οὐ προσῆν. διόπερ σωφρονῶν εἴλετο τὸ ἀσπιδο-

a kal Voemel cum S. r propter syllabas breves antecedentes secl. Bl.
 w Wolf. ήδεῖον Ar, ίδιον FSQ. r propter hiatum secl. Bl.

second aorist, dφεῖμεν, ἀφεῖτε and (as in §§ 11, 14) ἀφεῖσαν. See Veitch's Greek Verbs and Kühner-Blass, Gr. Gr. 1 2, pp. 196, 214.

The two brothers Apollodorus and Pasicles are directly addressed in $\dot{\alpha}\phi\dot{\eta}\kappa\alpha\tau\epsilon$, not the jury, as is shown by $\dot{\alpha}\pi\gamma\gamma\epsilon\epsilon\hat{\tau}\epsilon$ following.

άφήκαν τής μισθώσεως κ.τ.λ.]
Or. 45 § 5 μάρτυρας ώς άφήκα αὐτὸν τῶν ἐγκλημάτων παρέσχετο ψευδεῖς, καὶ μισθώσεώς τινος ἐσκευωρημένης καὶ διαθήκης οὐδεπώποτε
γενομένης.

11. alpeau The choice lay with him by virtue of being the elder brother (§ 34).

καίτοι κ.τ.λ.] Phormion argues that if the plaintiff had had any private capital of his own in the bank, he would have chosen the banking-business in preference to the manufactory. He did not, and therefore he contends there was no such fund.

lδία ἀφορμὴ is private bankingstock, as opposed to deposits, παρακαταθῆκαι (cf. § 11).—τάλαντον = 60 minae.

το μέν γάρ-αλλοτρίων] 'For the manufactory is a property free from risk, whereas the bank is a business yielding a hazardous (speculative, precarious) revenue from other people's money.' The bank was not a $\kappa \tau \hat{\eta} \mu a$, but only an $\epsilon \rho \gamma a \sigma i a$, not a secure property, but a precarious trading with other people's money.

ηδιον] The labour and trouble and other disagreeable incidents of manufacturing shields made such a property less desirable in itself than a banking business. But the bank business was speculative, and involved the risk of losing the deposits, and therefore the manufactory, with all its drawbacks, was preferred by Apollodorus, as being at least safe. P.1

948 πηγεῖου³ το μεν γαρ κτημ' ακίνδυνον έστιν, ή δ' έργασία προσόδους έχουσ' επικινδύνους από χρημάτων αλλοτρίων.

Πολλά δ' ἄν τις ἔχοι λέγειν καὶ ἐπιδεικνύναι 12 σημεῖα τοῦ τοῦτον συκοφαντεῖν ἐγκαλοῦντ' ἀφορμήν. ἀλλ', οἶμαι, μέγιστον μέν ἐστιν ἀπάντων τεκμήριον τοῦ μηδεμίαν λαβεῖν ἀφορμὴν εἰς ταῦτα τουτονὶ τὸ ἐν τῆ μισθώσει γεγράφθαι προσοφείλοντα τὸν Πασίων' ἐπὶ τὴν τράπεζαν, οὐ δεδωκότ' ἀφορμὴν τουτωί°, δεύτερον δὲ τὸ² τοῦτον ἐν τῆ νομῆ μηδὲν* ἐγκαλοῦντα

y + οῦτος Bekk. Z et Voemel cum S. + οὐτοσί Dind. om. Bl. cum rA coll. § 13 extr. * om. Z cum SQ. το Bekk. cum marg. S. * μηδε S, μηδ' Voemel. 'quidni μηδε ante verba ἐν τῷ νομῷ positum esse malis? at μηδεν intellegendum est μηδεμίαν ἀφορμήν' Huettner.

§§ 12—17. The plaintiff's claim to a sum of banking-stock alleged to have been held by the defendant may be proved groundless by many arguments: (1) Plaintiff's father is entered in the lease, not as creditor on account of banking-stock assigned to defendant, but actually as debtor to the bank. (2) On the partition of the property, plaintiff put in no claim to such stock. (3) After the termination of defendant's lease of the bank, plaintiff let it to others for the same sum and no less; and did not specially transfer to them any banking-stock besides. (4) The plaintiff during the life of his mother, who was perfectly familiar with all these details, made no demand on the defendant; it was only when she died that he set up a fraudulent claim, not for any banking-stock as now, but for a sum of 3000 dr. The claim was submitted to the arbitration of some relatives of the plaintiff, and upon their award the defendant for peace and quietness' sake paid the money and a second time received from the plaintiff a release from all his claims.

12. πολλά - ἐπιδεικνύναι] Or. 20 § 163 πολλά δ' ἄν τις έχοι

λέγειν ετι καὶ διεξιέναι.
σημεία...τεκμήριον] Or. 54 § 9.
συκοφαντεῖν κ.τ.λ.] Kennedy:
'This claim of the plaintiff's to a sum of banking-stock is false and fraudulent.' — έγκαλοῦντ' ἀφορμὴν, the first distinct reference in the speech to the nature of the plaintiff's case. He alleges that the defendant had a grant of capital from Pasion and had appropriated it.
τουτονί...τουτφί...τοῦνον] The first two refer to the defendant, the third to the plaintiff, Apollodorus. The ambiguity arising

first two refer to the defendant, the third to the plaintiff, Apollodorus. The ambiguity arising from a similar pronoun being applied to two different persons, would be readily dispelled by the orator's delivery. Cf. § 42 n.

προσοφείλοντα] sc. 11 talents, §§ 4—6.—τη νομη, § 8 fin.

φαίνεσθαι, τρίτον δ', ὅτι μισθῶν ἐτέροις ὕστερον ταὐτὰ ταῦτα τοῦ ἴσου ἀργυρίου^δ, οὐ φανήσεται προσ13 μεμισθωκὼς ἰδίαν ἀφορμήν. καίτοι εἰ, ἡν ὁ πατὴρ παρέσχεν, ὑπὸ τοῦδ' ἀπεστερεῖτο^c, αὐτὸν νῦν προσῆκεν ἐκείνοις ἀ ἄλλοθεν πορίσαντα δεδωκέναι. ὡς τοίνυν ταῦτ' ἀληθῆ λέγω, καὶ ἐμίσθωσεν ὕστερον Εένωνι καὶ Εὐφραίφ καὶ Εὔφρονι καὶ Καλλιστράτφ, καὶ οὐδὲ τούτοις παρέδωκεν ἰδίαν ἀφορμήν, ἀλλὰ τὰς παρακαταθήκας καὶ τὴν ἀπὸ τούτων ἐργασίαν αὐτὴν

b ἀργυρίου propter hiatum secl. Bl., qui etiam τοῦ tσου cum § 37 pugnare putat et in § 13 abesse animadvertit.

απεστέρητο G. H. Schaefer (Dind.). ἀπεστέρειτο S (Bl., coll. § 36; 37 § 35; 38 § 20). ἀποστέροῖτο FQ, ἀπεστέροῖτο Voemel.
 d om. Bl. cum FQ.

 $\mu \iota \sigma \theta \hat{\omega} \nu \ \dot{\epsilon} \tau \dot{\epsilon} \rho o \iota s \kappa . \tau . \lambda .] i.e. to$ Xenon and the others in \S 13. The argument is: assume the defendant defrauded the plaintiff of bank-stock amounting to 20 talents. Then the stock in question could not have formed part of the business when the plaintiff let it to the later lessees. The plaintiff then should either have let it to them at lower terms than to the defendant, or have handed over to the bank an equivalent to the stock alleged to be missing. He did neither; he made no fresh transfer and he charged them the same rent. Therefore the property must have been in the same condition as when the defendant originally leased it from the plaintiff's father .--The context compels us to make Apollodorus the subject of the sentence $\mu \sigma \theta \hat{\omega} \nu - \phi \alpha \nu \eta \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha i$, but the bank, it will be remembered, became the property not of Apollodorus, but of Pasicles, when the latter came of age and Phormion's lease expired; we must therefore conclude that the elder brother acted as agent on behalf of his less experienced younger brother.

τοῦ tσου ἀργυρίου] viz. 2t 40m for the whole business, 1t for the shield-manufactory, and 1t 40m (= 100m) for the bank (cf. § 11). It has been suggested that τοῦ tσου ἀργυρίου is a false statement, but a careful consideration of §§ 11 and 37 shows that this is not the case.

13. τοῦδε...αὐτὸν] Defendant and plaintiff respectively.—νῦν 'in that case,' referring to the hypothesis εl—ἀπεστερεῖτο.— αὐτὸν, standing first in the clause, must mean ipsum.

The sense is: Surely, if Apollodorus had been defrauded by Phormion of capital supplied by Pasion, he would himself (on that supposition) have had to provide capital from other sources, and deliver it to those new lessees.' Otherwise, he could not have got the same amount of rent.

έμισθώσαντο, λαβέ μοι την τούτων μαρτυρίαν, καὶ ώς τὸ ἀσπιδοπηγείον είλετο°.

MAPTTPIA.

Μεμαρτύρηται μέν τοίνυν ύμιν & ἄνδρες 'Αθη- 14 ναίοι, ὅτι καὶ τούτοις ἐμίσθωσαν καὶ οὐ παρέδωκαν ἰδίαν ἀφορμὴν οὐδεμίαν, καὶ ἐλευθέρους τ' ἀκρείσαν ὡς μεγάλ εὖ πεπονθότες, καὶ οὐκ ἐδικάζοντ' οὔτ' ἐκείνοις τότ' οὔτε τούτω. δν μὲν τοίνυν χρόνον ἡ μήτηρ ἔζη ἡ πάντ' ἀκριβῶς εἰδυῖα h, οὐδὲν ἔγκλημα πώποτ' ἐποιήσατο πρὸς τουτονὶ Φορμίων' 'Απολλό-949 δωρος : ὡς δ' ἐτελεύτησεν ἐκείνη, τρισχιλίας ἐγκαλέσας

- * καὶ ὑς—εἴλετο delenda esse censuit G. H. Schaefer ab Huettnero approbatus.
 - f μεν τοίνυν] μέντοι Α, μεν mavult Bl. g add. Bl. cum FQ.
- $^{\rm h}$ ἀκριβῶς ταῦτ' εἰδυῖα Z et Voemel cum S. ἀκριβῶς εἰδυῖα ταῦτα FQ. ταῦτ' ἀκριβῶς εἰδυῖα Bekk. Dind. ταῦτα om. Bl.

 $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\dot{\iota}\sigma\theta\omega\sigma\epsilon\nu$] Granted the lease (on behalf of Pasicles). Below we have $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\sigma\theta\dot{\omega}\sigma a\nu\tau o$, referring, as usual, to the lessees.

αὐτὴν] 'Alone'; explained by οὐ παρέδωκαν Ιδίαν ἀφορμήν.

14. ἐμίσθωσαν ... παρέδωκαν]
The plurals refer to the two brothers. For παρέδωκαν cf. § 10 on ἀφῆκαν.

ελευθέρους τ' ἀφεῖσαν] Or. 29 §§ 25, 31 τὸν Μιλόαν ἐλευθέρον εἰναι ἀφεθεντα, 47 § 55 ἀφειμένη ἐλευθέρος. Αξείναι ἀφεθεντα, 47 § 55 ἀφειμένη ἐλευθέρα. Χεποπ, Ευρhraeus and the other lessees appear (like Phormion) to have been slaves originally. The family show their gratitude for their services by giving them freedom (ώς μεγάλ' εὖ πεπουθότες). It is so translated by M. Dareste. G. H. Schaefer and C. R. Kennedy (perhaps less satisfactorily) understand the words: 'set free from all further

claims'; 'gave them a complete discharge': a sense which is at first sight partly supported by καὶ οὐκ ἐδικάζοντο below.

ώς έτελεύτησεν] The speaker insinuates that Apoll. purposely waited till his mother's death, as her familiarity with all the details of her late husband's property would have thwarted his plots against Phormion. Her death is described by Apollodorus in Or. 50 § 60, 'While I was abroad my mother lay ill and was at death's door, and therefore little able to help in retrieving my affairs. It was just six days after my return that, when she had seen me and spoken to me, she breathed her last, when she no longer had such control over her property as to be able to give me all that she desired.' The death took place in Feb. B.C. 360.

ἀργυρίου δραχμὰς πρὸς αἶς ἔδωκεν ἐκείνη δισχιλίαις τοῖς τούτου παιδίοις, καὶ χιτωνίσκον τινὰ καὶ θερά15 παιναν, ἐσυκοφάντει. καὶ οὐδ' ἐνταῦθα τούτων οὐδὲν ών νῦν ἐγκαλεῖ λέγων φανήσεται. ἐπιτρέψας δὲ τῷ τε τῆς ἑαυτοῦ γυναικὸς πατρὶ καὶ τῷ συγκηδεστῆ τῷ αὐτοῦ¹ καὶ Λυσίνῳ καὶ ᾿Ανδρομένει, πεισάντων τούτων Φορμίωνα τουτονὶ δοῦναι δωρειὰν³ τὰς τρισχιλίας καὶ τὸ προσόν, καὶ φίλον μᾶλλον ἔχειν τοῦτον ἢ διὰ ταῦτ' ἐχθρὸν εἶναι³, λαβὼν τὸ σύμπαν πεντακισχιλίας, καὶ πάντων ἀφεὶς τῶν ἐγκλημάτων τὸ

ι έαυτοῦ Ζ.

³ δωρεάν codices; δωρειάν Bl. Atheniensium lapides inscriptos secutus.

³ αὐτὸν εἶναι S (Dind.); εἶναι αὐτὸν Ar.; om. Bl.

πρὸs als] She had left Phormion's children 2000 drachmas, but Apollodorus claims more than that sum also as his own. His avaricious and mean character is shown by his claim to a χιτωνίσκος, a chemise or 'slave's frock,' perhaps. A man who would make such demands was little likely to omit his present claims, if he had then believed in the justice of them. P.]

χιτωνίσκον] Or. 21 § 216 θοιμάτιον προέσθαι και μικροῦ γυμνὸν ἐν τῷ χιτωνίσκῳ γενέσθαι.

15. ἐπιτρέψας κ.τ.λ.] The plaintiff submitted the claims to the private arbitration of Deinias and Nicias (§ 17), his own father-in-law and brother-in-law respectively. Pollux: ἔλεγον δὲ ἐπιτρέψαι δίαιτα, καὶ ἡ δίαιτα ἐκαλεῖτο ἐπιτροπή.

δοῦναι δωρειάν] By 'making a present' of the 3000 drachmae, Phormion satisfies Apollodorus without admitting his legal claim to the sum. Or. 19 § 170; 42 § 19; Isaeus 2 § 31 διήτησαν ήμᾶς ἀποστῆναι ὧν οδτος άμφι-

σβήτησε καὶ δοῦναι δωρειάν οὐ γὰρ ἔφασαν εἶναι ἄλλην ἀπαλλαγὴν οὐδεμίαν, εἰ μὴ μεταλήψονται οὖτοι τῶν ἐκείνου.

δωρειὰ is the form found in inscriptions before and during the time of Dem. δωρει is first found as early as 403 B.C. (see note on Dem. Lept. § 2).

τὸ προσὸν] Not the 2000 drachmae of § 14; for they were already given by the mother (ξδωκεν έκείνη), but the 'additional articles' χιτωνίσκος καὶ θεράπαινα. [τὸ προσὸν may however refer to $\pi \rho \delta s$ als $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$. supra. He got the 3000 and the 2000 also that had been left to Phormion's boys. He got from him 5000 in all, and gave him a full release from all further claims; and yet now again he says Phormion has kept back some of Pasion's money! But (he argues) the discharge then given justifies the παραγραφή now put

άφείς...τὸ δεύτερον] The former release is mentioned in § 10 fin. This second release is solemnly given in the temple of

δεύτερον εἰς τὸ ἱερὸν τῆς ᾿Αθηνᾶς ἐλθών, πάλιν, ὡς 16 δρᾶτε, δικάζεται, πάσας αἰτίας συμπλάσας καὶ ἐγκλήματ ἐκ παντὸς τοῦ χρόνου τοῦ πρὸ τούτου (τοῦτο γάρ ἐστι μέγιστον ἀπάντων), ὰ οὐδεπώποτ ἢτιάσατο. ὡς τοίνυν ταῦτ᾽ ἀληθῆ λέγω, λαβέ μοι τὴν γνῶσιν τὴν γενομένην ἐν ἀκροπόλει, καὶ τὴν μαρτυρίαν τῶν παραγενομένων, ὅτ᾽ ἠφίει κοὶ τὸν ἐγκλημάτων ἀπάντων ᾿Απολλόδωρος, λαμβάνων τοῦτο τὸ ἀργύριον.

ΓΝΩΣΙΣ. ΜΑΡΤΥΡΙΑ.

'Ακούετε της γνώσεως ὧ ἄνδρες δικασταί, ην 17 ἔγνω Δεινίας, οὖ την θυγατέρ' οὖτος ἔχει, καὶ Νικίας ὁ την ἀδελφην της τούτου γυναικὸς ἔχων. ταῦτα τοίνυν λαβων καὶ ἀφεὶς ἀπάντων τῶν ἐγκλημάτων, ὥσπερ ἡ πάντων τεθνεώτων τούτων ἡ της ἀληθείας οὐ γενησομένης φανερᾶς, δίκην τοσούτων ταλάντων λαχων τολμᾶ δικάζεσθαι.

^k άφιει Z cum S. άφιει F. 'codices modo hoc modo illud exhibent; vid. Dind. ad 21 § 79, 36 § 24; Rehdantz, ad 3 § 5,' Huettner; edidit Bl. άφιει 6 § 20; ήφιει 18 § 218, 25 § 38, 36 §§ 16, 24; ήφιετε 23 § 188; ήφιεσαν 21 § 79.

Athênâ on the Acropolis. Isocr. Trapez. § 20 ταῦτα συγγράψαντες καὶ ἀναγαγόντες εἰς ἀκρόπολιν Πόρωνα......δίδομεν αὐτῷ φυλάττευ τὰς συνθήκας, ib. 17 and Andoc. 1 § 42. Οr. 33 § 18 ἀπαντήσας εἰς τὸ Ἡφαιστεῖον.

16. συμπλάσαs] 'Having concocted,' 'fabricated,' 'patched up,' 'put into shape.' Aeschin 3 § 77 τῶν θεῶν συμπλάσαs ἐαυτῷ ἐνύπνιον κατεψεύσατο. The metaphor (as in the words feigning and fiction) is from the moulding of clay in the hands of the potter. Cf. § 33 πλάσμα.

τὴν γνῶσιν] 'The award' of the arbitrators. Or. 27 § 1 τοῖς οἰκείοις ἐπιτρέπειν and τοῖς ὑπ'

έκείνων γνωσθεῖσιν ἐμμένειν. Cf. § 17.—ἐν ἀκροπόλει. So supra τὸ ἰερον τῆς ᾿Αθηνᾶς. Pollux, διήτων δ΄ ἐν ἱεροῖς (VIII 126). Or. 59 § 46 (of two arbitrators) συνελθύντες ἐν τῷ Ἱερῷ, and Or. 54 § 26, τὸν λίθον, n.

 $\lambda a \mu \beta d \nu \omega \nu$] 'On the receipt of this money,' viz. the 5000 drachmae.

17. τούτων] των μαρτύρων των παραγενομένων, § 16.

τοσούτων ταλ.] § 3 ταλάντων είκοσι.

 $\tau o \lambda \mu \hat{q}$] It was acting in open defiance of the law to bring an action after a full acquittance had been given.

18 Τὰ μὲν οὖν πεπραγμένα καὶ γεγενημένα Φορμίωνι πρὸς ᾿Απολλόδωρον ἐξ ἀρχῆς ἄπαντ᾽ ἀκηκόατ᾽ οὖ ἄνδρες ᾿Αθηναῖοι. οἰμαι¹ δ᾽ ᾿Απολλόδωρον τουτονὶ 950 οὐδὲν ἔχοντα δίκαιον εἰπεῖν περὶ ῶν ἐγκαλεῖ, ἄπερ παρὰ τῷ διαιτητῆ λέγειν ἐτόλμα, ταῦτ᾽ ἐρεῖν, ὡς τὰ γράμμαθ᾽ ἡ μήτηρ ἠφάνικε πεισθεῖσ᾽ ὑπὸ τούτου, καὶ τούτων ἀπολωλότων οὐκ ἔχει τίνα χρὴ τρόπον ταῦτ᾽ 19 ἐξελέγχειν ἀκριβῶς. περὶ δὴ τούτων καὶ ταύτης τῆς αἰτίας σκέψασθ᾽ ἡλίκ᾽ ἄν τις ἔχοι τεκμήρι᾽ εἰπεῖν ὅτι ψεὐδεται. πρῶτον μὲν γὰρ οὖ ἄνδρες ᾿Αθηναῖοι, τίς ἐνείματ᾽ ἀν™ τὰ πατρῷα μὴ λαβὼν γράμματα,ἐξ ὧν

¹ S. οίομαι Z (v. Veitch, Gk. Vbs., Voemel, Proleg. Gram. § 128, et Dind. Praef. p. xiii).

^m αν ἐνείματο syllabis brevibus bis iteratis codices; ἐνείματ' αν Bl.

§§ 18—21. Anticipation of the arguments likely to be brought forward by the plaintiff. He will repeat what he stated before the arbitrator, that his mother destroyed his father's papers at the defendant's instigation. If so (1) how came the plaintiff to make a partition of his patrimony, without any papers to determine its amount? Unless those claims were false and fraudulent, which the plaintiff will scarcely admit, he must have gained possession of his father's papers, and his mother could not have made away with them. (2) Why was no question raised when the plaintiff's younger brother came of age and was receiving from his guardians an account of their trust? (3) On what papers did the plaintiff base all his many law-suits for the recovery of large sums due to his father? 18. τὰ μὲν οὖν κ.τ.λ.] Transi-

tion from the διήγησις or πρόθεσις

to the mlotes or 'proofs' (Ar. Rhet. 111 13), from the brief recital of the transactions between plaintiff and defendant (§§ 4—17) to the legal and other arguments.

τῷ διαιτητῆ] Pollux viii 126 πάλαι δ' οὐδεμία (?) δίκη πρὶν ἐπὶ διαιτητὰς ἐλθεῖν εἰστηγετο. Cf. 54 § 26, ἡ δίαιτα n.

τὰ γράμματα Νοτ Pasion's will, but his private papers and ledgers or banking-books, τὰ γράμματα τὰ τραπεζιτικά (Or. 49 § 43, 59 quoted below in note on § 21, ἐκ ποίων γραμμάτων). Cf. Or. 49 § 5 οὶ τραπεζίται εἰώθασιν ὑπομνήματα γράφεσθαι ὧν τε διδάσι χρημάτων, κ.τ.λ. and Or. 52 § 4.

ήφάνικε] Cf. § 20 διεφθαρκέναι.

19. eveluar' av] 'Who would have taken his share of his father's property, if he had not the books from which alone he could know the amount of property left?' He refers to the

ἔμελλεν εἴσεσθαι τὴν καταλειφθεῖσαν οὐσίαν; οὐδὲ εἶς δήπου. καίτοι δυοῖν δέοντ' εἴκοσιν ἔτη ἐστὶν ἐξ ὅτου ἐνείμω, καὶ οὐκ ἀν ἔχοις ἐπιδεῖξαι, ὡς ἐνεκάλεσας πώποθ' ὑπὲρ τῶν γραμμάτων. δεύτερον δέ, τίς οὐκ 20 ἄν, ἡνίκα Πασικλῆς ἀνὴρ γεγονὼς ἐκομίζετο τὸν λόγον τῆς ἐπιτροπῆς, εἰ δι' αὐτοῦ τὰ γράμματ' ὤκνει τὴν μητέρ' αἰτιᾶσθαι διεφθαρκέναι, τούτφ ταῦτ' ἐδήλωσεν, ὅπως διὰ τούτου ταῦτ' ἤλέγχθη; τρίτον δ', ἐκ ποίων γραμμάτων τὰς δίκας ἐλάγχανες; οὖτος γὰρ πολλοῖς τῶν πολιτῶν δίκας λαγχάνων πολλὰ χρήματ' εἰσπέπρακται, γράφων εἰς τὰ ἐγκλήματα "ἔ-

n ήμελλεν Z cum S.

° FQ (Bl.). о П. ceteri.

division of the patrimony decided on by the guardians in consequence of the elder brother spending largely out of the common fund, § 8 fin. This event took place eighteen years before the date of the speech; which, if we could assume that the partition was in the same year as the father's death, viz. 370, would belong to B.C. 352. This however we cannot assume, indeed the language of § 8, πολλά άναλίσκειν, κ.τ.λ., implies that the elder brother's course of extravagance lasted some time before the partition was decided on. We may therefore perhaps place the partition in B.c. 368, and the speech in B.c. 350. See Introd. p. xxvii f.

ξμελλεν] used alternatively with ἡμελλεν in the Attic Orators. See Voemel, Dem. Cont. p. 83, Benseler's Isocr. 1 p. xxii, Veitch, Gk. Vbs., and Kühner-Blass, Gr. Gr. 1 2, 484.

ύπερ των γραμμάτων] sc. περί της άφανίσεως αὐτων.

20. ἀνήρ γεγονώς] Cf. § 10 δοκιμασθέντος Πασικλέους.

ἐκομίζετο κ.τ.λ.] 'Was getting in an account of the guardianship,' i.e. the accounts from his guardians. Or. 27, κατ' Αφόβου έπιτροπής, § 50 πότερον ἐπιτροπευθεὶς ἀπεδέξατ' ἀν τοῦτον τὸν λόγον παρὰ τῶν ἐπιτρόπων;

τούτω...τούτου] It is best to refer these pronouns to Pasicles (with Reiske, Kennedy and Dareste); not to Apollodorus (with G. H. Schaefer). The sense is: 'Assuming Apollodorus hesitated with his own lips to accuse his mother of destroying the documents; at any rate, when Pasicles came of age and was in course of receiving the report of his guardians' administration, is there any one who, under the circumstances, would not have stated the fact to his younger brother, and by his instrumentality had the matter investigated?'

 $\delta\pi\omega$ s ἡλέγχθη] inf. § 47 ν a, 'that so they might have been proved true or false,' &c.

πολλά χρήματ' εἰσπέπρακται]
'He has succeeded in recovering large sums of money.' The

"βλαψέ μ' ὁ δεῖν' οὐκ ἀποδιδοὺς ἐμοὶ τὸ ἀργύριον, δ
"κατέλιπεν δ πατὴρ ὀφείλοντ' αὐτὸν ἐν τοῖς γράμ21 "μασιν." καίτοι εἰ ἡφάνιστο τὰ γράμματα , ἐκ ποίων
γραμμάτων τὰς δίκας ἐλάγχανεν; ἀλλὰ μὴν ὅτι
ταῦτ' ἀληθῆ λέγω, τὴν μὲν νομὴν ἀκηκόαθ', ἡν ἐνείματο, καὶ μεμαρτύρηται ὑμῖν' τῶν δε λήξεων τούτων
ἀναγνώσεται ὑμῖν' τὰς μαρτυρίας. λαβὲ τὰς μαρτυρίας μοι.

MAPTTPIAI.

Οὐκοῦν ἐν ταύταις ταῖς λήξεσιν ώμολόγηκεν ἀπει- 95 Ι ληφέναι τὰ τοῦ πατρὸς γράμματα· οὐ γὰρ δὴ συκοφαντεῖν γε, οὐδ' ὧν οῦκ ὤφειλον οὖτοι δικάζεσθαι φήσειεν ἄν.

2 Νομίζω τοίνυν ο ἄνδρες 'Αθηναΐοι, μεγάλων καὶ

P κατέλειπεν Z et Voemel cum S. -έλιπεν Bekk.

To γράμμα Voemel cum S.
delere vult Bl.

famous general Timotheus, under pressure of political exigencies, in the years 374 to 372 B.C., borrowed more than forty-four minae from the banker Pasion, on whose death his son Apollodorus sues Timotheus for payment in a speech still extant, belonging probably to the year B.C. 362. (Or. 49, πρὸς Τιμόθεον ὑπέρ χρέως.) Cf. infr. §§ 36 and 54.

21. ἐκ ποίων γραμμάτων] If there were no papers, then the grounds of your actions were fraudulent, συκοφαντίαι, inf. In Or. 49, Pasion's papers are expressly cited, e.g. § 43 κελεύρντος ἐνεγκεῦν τὰ γράμματα ἀπὸ τῆς τραπέζης και ἀντίγραφα αιτοῦντος...ἐξενέγκας ἔδωκα ζητεῦν τὰ γράμματα καὶ ἐκγράφεσθαι ὅσα οδτος ὑφειλεν, and § 59 τοῦς γράμμασι τοῦς τραπεζιτικοῖς.

λήξεων μαρτυρίας] 'The depositions in support of' (or 'verifying') 'these plaints.' For ληξις, cf. supr. δίκας έλάγχανεν, also Or. 45 § 50 τη τοῦ διώκοντος λήξει ην έγω τούτω ψευδομαρτυριών είληχα, 33 § 35 έγκέκληκε καί...την λήξιν πεποίηται, 39 § 16 ληξις δίκης, 58 § 32. § 22. Argument from the silence of plaintiff's younger brother. Pasicles, as a minor, had been much more liable to be wronged by the defendant, who as testamentary quardian had control over his ward's property. Pasicles makes no complaint. Therefore (it is tacitly assumed) he had no complaint to make. A fortiori defendant is not likely to have wronged the plaintiff, who at his father's death was a man of four and twenty, and fully able to defend himself.

πολλών ὄντων έξ ων ἔστιν ἰδεῖν οὐκ ἀδικοῦντα Φορμίωνα τουτονί, μέγιστον ἀπάντων εἶναι, ὅτι Πασικλῆς, ἀδελφὸς ὡν ᾿Απολλοδώρου τουτουί, οὔτε δίκην εἶληχεν οὕτ' ἄλλ' οὐδὲν ων οὕτος ἐγκαλεῖ. καίτοι οὐ δήπου τὸν μὲν παῖδ' ὑπὸ τοῦ πατρὸς καταλειφθέντα, καὶ οῦ τῶν ὄντων κύριος ἢν, ἐπίτροπος καταλελειμμένος, οὐκ ἄν ἢδίκει, σὲ δέ, δς ἀνὴρ κατελείφθης τέτταρα καὶ εἴκοσιν ἔτη γεγονώς, καὶ ὑπὲρ σαυτοῦ ῥαδίως ἄν τὰ δίκαι' ἐλάμβανες εὐθύς, εἴ τι ἢδικοῦ. οὐκ ἔστι ταῦτα. ὡς τοίνυν ταῦτ' ἀληθῆ λέγω καὶ ὁ Πασικλῆς οὐδὲν ἐγκαλεῖ, λαβέ μοι τὴν τούτου μαρτυρίαν.

MAPTTPIA.

^Α τοίνυν ήδη περί αὐτοῦ τοῦ μὴ εἰσαγώγιμον 23

Φορμίωνα τουτονί] τουτονί need not refer to Apollodorus, but may be taken with Φορμίωνα, cf. infr. 'Απολλοδώρου τουτουί, and §§ 15, 18, 26, 28, 47, 57.

and §§ 15, 18, 26, 28, 47, 57.

οὐτ' ἄλλ' κ.τ.λ.] sc. οὐτε ἄλλο

οὐδὲν ἐγκαλεῖ ὧν οὖτος (ἐγκαλεῖ).

τὸν] Construe with καταλειφ-

θέντα, παΐδα being a predicate.

κύριος...έπίτροπος] Cf. Or. 38 § 6 τῶν ἐπιτρόπων οι μετὰ τὸν ἐκείνου θάνατον τῶν ἡμετέρων ἐγένοντο κύριοι. κύριος here refers to the property, ἐπίτροπος to the person of the ward (Schömann on Isaeus I § 10).

σè δè] so. ἀν ἡδίκει. Notice the double force of the negative, où δήπου ούκ ἀν ἡδίκει, 'Surely he would not have abstained from wronging one who had been left a minor by his father, and over whose property he had a legal power and authority, as having been left guardian of it, and yet have wronged you,' &c. So inf. § 46 οὐδὲ τὸν Φορμίωνα ἐκεῖνος οὐχ ὀρᾶ. Επρεσταδαπ, οῦ δήπου σὲ μὲν ἄν ἡδίκει, τὸν δὲ παίδα οῦ. Shilleto, De Fals.

Leg. § 390, not. crit.

ούδὲν ἐγκαλεῖ] 'Brings no claim against Phormiou,' i.e. for property of his father's withheld. Cf. Or. 45 §§ 83, 84, where Apollodorus meets the objection arising from the silence of Pasicles by broaching a suspicion that he is his half-brother only and by insinuating he is really a son of Archippe and Phormion. 'Say no more, pray, of Pasicles; no! let him becalled your son, Phormion, not your master; and my opponent (he is bent upon it)—not my brother.'

§§ 23-25. The speaker now passes from the arguments in support of the main issue (or the case upon its merits) to those on which the defendant raises a special plea in bar of action.

The plaintiff's case cannot come before the court because he has given the defendant a discharge from the original lease of the Bank and Manufactory, and a second discharge

είναι την δίκην δεί σκοπείν ύμας, ταῦτ' ἀναμνήσθητ' ἐκ τῶν εἰρημένων. ήμεῖς γὰρ ὧ ἄνδρες 'Αθηναῖοι, γεγενημένου μὲν διαλογισμοῦ καὶ ἀφέσεως τῆς τραπέζης καὶ τοῦ ἀσπιδοπηγείου τῆς μισθώσεως, γεγενημένης δὲ διαίτης καὶ πάλιν πάντων ἀφέσεως, οὐκ ἐώντων τῶν νόμων δίκας ὧν ἃν ἀφῆ τις ἄπαξ λαγχά-24 νειν, συκοφαντοῦντος τούτου καὶ παρὰ τοὺς νόμους δικαζομένου παρεγραψάμεθ' ἐκ τῶν νόμων μὴ εἶναι τὴν δίκην εἰσαγώγιμον. ἵν' οὖν εἰδῆθ'* ὑπὲρ οὖ τὴν ψῆφον οἴσετε, τὸν νόμον θ' ὑμῖν τοῦτον ἀναγνώσεται καὶ τὰς μαρτυρίας ἐφεξῆς τῶν παρόντων, ὅτ' ἡφίει* 952 τῆς μισθώσεως καὶ τῶν ἄλλων ἀπάντων ἐγκλημάτων 'Απολλόδωρος*. λαβέ μοι τὰς μαρτυρίας ταυτασὶ καὶ τὸν νόμον.

MAPTTPIAI. NOMOS.

25 'Ακούετε τοῦ νόμου λέγοντος & ἄνδρες 'Αθηναίοι,

ίδητε Z cum correcto S. εἰδηθ' Bekk. ίδηθ' Bekk. st.

τόν τε νόμον vulgo; τὸν νόμον r; τὸν νόμον θ' propter syllabas
 breves Bl.
 ἀφίει Z cum SA (cf. § 16).

* Φορμίωνα add. Bekk. Dind.

from a subsequent claim which was settled by arbitration; and the laws allow no right of action where a release and discharge have been given or received.

23. μη είσαγώγιμου] Cf. ὑπόθεσις end of § 2, note on παραγράφεται.
—διαλογισμοῦ, a reckoning up, or producing of accounts as between the two parties, Phormion and Apoll. Cf. § 60. The word is not found elsewhere in Dem.

άφέσεως — μισθώσεως] The corder is (γεγενημένης) άφέσεως τῆς μισθ. τῆς τραπέζης κ.τ.λ. Cl. § 24 ἡφίει τῆς μισθώσεως αλι supr. § 10. Or. 38 § 8 πάντων άπαλλαγῆς καὶ άφέσεως γενο-

μένης. 45 § 41; 38 §§ 5, 9, 14; ἄφεσις (τῶν ὀφλημάτων) 24 §§ 46, 87; (τῶν τόκων) 56 §§ 28, 34. διαίτης κ.τ.λ.] § 16.

24. ἐκ τῶν νόμων] Contrasted with παρὰ τοὺς νόμους. As he brought his action contrary to the law, we have put in an objection to it which is fully allowed by the law.

25. ἀκούετε κ.τ.λ.] Ο Γ. 38, παραγραφή πρὸς Ναυσίμαχον, § 5
ἀκούετε τοῦ νόμου σαφῶς λέγοντος
ἔκαστα ὧν μη είναι δίκας, ὧν ἕν
ἐστιν, ὁμοίως τοῖς ἄλλοις κύριον,
περὶ ὧν ἄν τις ἀφῆ καὶ
ἀπαλλάξη, μὴ δικάζεσθαι.
Cf. 37 §§ 1, 19; 38 § 3.

τά τ' ἄλλ' ὧν μὴ εἶναι δίκας καὶ ὅσα τις ἀφῆκεν ἡ ἀπήλλαξεν. εἰκότως εἰ γάρ ἐστι δίκαιον, ὧν ἃν ἄπαξ γένηται δίκη, μηκέτ' ἐξεῖναι δικάζεσθαι, πολὺ τῶν ἀφεθέντων δικαιότερον μὴ εἶναι δίκας δ. ὁ μὲν γὰρ ἐν ὑμῖν ἡττηθεὶς τάχ' ἂν εἴποι τοῦθ' ὡς ἐξηπατήθηθ' ὑμεῖς ὁ δ' αὐτοῦ φανερῶς καταγνοὺς καὶ ἀφεὶς καὶ ἀπαλλάξας, τίν' ἂν ἑαυτὸν αἰτίαν αἰτιασάμενος τῶν

*** καὶ δσα—μή είναι δίκας propter ὁμοιοτέλευτον omisit S, supplevit manus multo recentior.

ών μὴ εἶναι δίκαs] Infin. in relative clause influenced by λέγοντος. 'Among other cases in which an action cannot be maintained, those especially in which a discharge and release have been given or received.'

el yap k.t.l.] The sense is, 'If it is just that, when once a case has been tried, it should not be tried again, even although the defeated litigant might fairly plead that the court had been imposed upon, a fortiori there is no ground for re-opening the question when a man has judged his own case and has palpably decided against himself by giving and receiving a discharge.

μηκέτ' έξεῖναι δικάζεσθαι] Οτ. 38 § 16 ἄπαζ περί των αὐτών πρός τὸν αὐτών είναι τὰς δίκας. 20 Lept. § 147 οι νόμοι δ' οὐκ έῶσι δίς πρός τὸν αὐτὸν περί τῶν αὐτών οῦτε δίκας οὐτ' ἐὐθύνας οῦτε διαδικασίαν οῦτ' ἄλλο τοιοῦτ' οὐδὲν είναι.

έξηπατήθητε] Or. 37 § 20 περὶ ὧν έγνω τὸ δικαστήριον, ἔστιν εἰπεῖν ὡς έξαπατηθὲν τοῦτ' ἐποίησε ... â δ' αὐτὸς ἐπείσθη καὶ ἀφῆκεν, οὐκ ἔνι δήπουθεν εἰπεῖν οὐδ' αὐτὸν αἰτόασασθαι ὡς οὐ δικαίως ταῦτ' ἐποίησεν.

αὐτοῦ...καταγνούς] The two subsequent participles are sub-

ordinate in construction to καταγνούs. 'He who has clearly condemned (given a verdict against) himself by both granting and getting a release and discharge.' Madvig, Gr. Synt. § 176, d.

αφείς και ἀπαλλάξας] It is clear the words do not mean the same thing, for below we have γέγονεν ἀμφότερα και γάρ ἀφῆκε και ἀπήλλαξε. Similarly 37 § 1 and 38 § 1 after ἀφείς και ἀπαλλάξας we have γεγενημένων ἀμφοτέρων, and in 37 § 19 after ὧν ἀν ἀφῆ και ἀπαλλάξη τις we have ἀμφότερ ἐστὶ πεπαγμένα.

dφί¢ναι is very frequently used of the lender, or the landlord, who, on settlement of his claims, releases the borrower or the tenant from all further liability (§§ 10, 15, 16, 17, 24; 45 §§ 5, 40). Similarly of a ward releasing his guardian from all further claims, in 38 §§ 3, 4, 6, 18, 27; and of a creditor forgiving a debt, 53 §§ 8, 13. Cf. 56 §§ 26, 28, 29.

dπαλλάττειν often refers to the debtor or tenant getting quit of his creditor or landlord by discharging or compromising the debt (34 § 22 τους δανείσαντας άπήλλαξεν, 49 § 17; 53 § 11; 33 § 9 and Isaeus Or. 5 Dicasop.

:.. : δηπου. : τεις ών μή

" at an whom I · · sendoning z payment, εταλλάττω im on me, : the debt, by putting off So he who . .-. [passive]; : `:--ει, ἀφίεται i not conceive But owing . .: ε of ἀπαλλάτ-. ring free and ... ther, the ques-- .. : quite as simple : make it appear. Frapez. § 26, after . . : : ε ε σθαι τῶν έγκληeen used in §§ 23, ······ released from e same person is ... κ. .. τηλλαγμένος των .. which is possibly a having got himself haims.'—The diswe in Platner's Pro-· that apelval regards ... rom an existing ob-... :: ly from the point . the person granting . . . whereas ἀπαλλάττευν . . :::otold transaction cement on the part . exrsons concerned. -... p. 230 of his trans. . Nm. Pant., approves . . supposition that 'the ... verbs have no dis-....ngs'; and similarly . c. held that 'although a difference might be _ ... end perhaps originally ween them, they had become synonyms. have been given above ting from this view.

είναι δίκας, όσα τις άφηκεν ή άπηλλαξεν. ά τφδί* γέγονεν αμφότερα καὶ γὰρ ἀφηκε καὶ ἀπήλλαξεν. ώς δ' άληθη λέγω, μεμαρτύρηται ύμιν ο άνδρες 'Αθηναῖοι.

Λαβε δή μοι καὶ τὸν τῆς προθεσμίας νόμον.

26

ΝΟΜΟΣ.

'Ο μέν τοίνυν νόμος ω ανδρες 'Αθηναίοι σαφώς ούτωσὶ τὸν χρόνον ὥρισεν· ᾿Απολλόδωρος δ' ούτοσὶ παρεληλυθότων έτων πλέον ή είκοσι την έαυτοῦ συκοφαντίαν άξιοι περί πλείονος ύμας ποιήσασθαι τών νόμων, καθ' οθς όμωμοκότες δικάζετε. καίτοι πασι μεν τοις νόμοις προσέχειν είκός έσθ' ύμας, ούχ ηκιστα δὲ τούτω ω ἄνδρες 'Αθηναίοι. δοκεί γάρ μοι καὶ ὁ 27 Σόλων οὐδενὸς ἄλλου ἔνεκα θεῖναι αὐτὸν ἡ τοῦ μὴ

* Bl. coll. § 53; τωδε syllabis brevibus iteratis codices.

§ 26. The plaintiff's suit is also inadmissible for another reason; it contravenes the statute of limitations, in which the term of five years is fixed as a sufficient time for injured parties to recover their dues, whereas the plaintiff puts forward his claim after a lapse of more than twenty years.

προθεσμίας νόμον] (See Dict. Antiq. s.v.)—Harpoer. Δημοσθένης ὑπερ Φορμίωνος τὴν τῶν έ έτων αν λέγοι προθεσμίαν ο ρήτωρ, ώς $\dot{\epsilon}$ ν τ $\dot{\varphi}$ λόγ $\dot{\varphi}$ ὑποσημαίνει. See Or. 38 §§ 17, 27, and cf. Isaeus, 3 § 58, and Plato Leg. pp. 928°, 954°. (Caillemer, la Prescription à Athènes, 1869, and Hermann, Privatalt. § 71, 5 and 6 = Rechtsalt. p. 1224 Thalheim.)

 $\pi \lambda \acute{e}ov \ \mathring{\eta} \ \acute{e}ko\sigma i$] The speaker apparently goes back to the time of Pasion's lease of the banking business to Phormion, which cannot well have been later than B.c. 371, when Pasion was so infirm that he died a year after. This would bring the date of the speech to B.c. 351 at the earliest, and B.c. 350 cannot be far wrong. See Introd. p. xxvii f.

πλέον ... πλείονος] Kühner-Blass, Gr. Gr. 1 1, 571.

καθ' οδε όμωμοκότες κ.τ.λ.] Pollux: ὁ δ' ὅρκος ἦν τῶν δικαστῶν, περί μέν ών νόμοι είσί, ψηφιείσθαι κατά τούς νόμους, περί δὲ ών μή είσι, γνώμη τῆ δικαιοτάτη (VIII 122). See Dr Hager in Journal of Philology, v110, and Meier and Schömann, p. 152—5 Lipsius.

27. δοκεί ὁ Σόλων] A favourite rhetorical device, to remind the dicasts of the solemnity and high authority of the law they administer.

συκοφαντείσθαι ύμας. τοις μέν γαρ αδικουμένοις τα πέντ' ἔτη ίκανον ἡγήσατ' εἶναι εἰσπράξασθαι· κατὰ 953 δὲ τῶν ψευδομένων τὸν χρόνον ἐνόμισε σαφέστατον ἔλεγχον ἔσεσθαι. καὶ ἄμ' ἐπειδὴ ἀδύνατον ἔγνω ον τούς τε συμβάλλοντας καὶ τοὺς μάρτυρας ἀεὶ ζῆν, τὸν νόμον ἀντὶ τούτων ἔθηκεν, ὅπως μάρτυς εἴη² τοῦ δικαίου τοις ἐρήμοις.

y Bekk. καὶ ἄμα καὶ Z et Voemel cum S.
z μαρτυρησείη Voemel (μαρτυρησεί η S).

τοις άδικουμένοις...τών ψευδομένων] i.e. the legal term of five years would be quite sufficient for injured parties to recover their rights if their claim were an honest one, whereas those who set up false claims, (a pointed thrust at the present plaintiff,) would be convicted by the fact that they had allowed the statutable period to elapse without taking action. (έλεγχον έσεσθαι BC. si per tot annos tacuissent. G. H. Schaefer.) τῶν ψευδομένων is sometimes wrongly supposed to imply that as in Roman law there was no statute of limitations against right of recovery of things stolen, (quod subreptum erit, eius rei aeterna auctoritas esto,) so in Attic law there was none in case of falsehood, i.e. that even after five years a claim based on a false assertion might be disputed. (Telfy, Corpus iuris Attici § 1587, and Hermann, Privatalt. § 71, 6 = Rechtsalt. p. 1224 Thalheim.) Here τῶν ψευδομένων merely means τῶν συκοφαντούντων.

τὰ πέντ' έτη] The well-known legal term of five years. Or. 38 § 27 τοῦ νόμου πέντε έτῶν τὴν προθεσμίαν δεδωκότος.

τὸν χρόνου—ξλεγχου] Lysias

Or. 19 § 61 τῷ χρόνῳ δν ὑμεῖς σαφέστατον ἔλεγχον τοῦ ἀληθοῦς νομίσατε.

τον νόμον ἀντὶ τούτων κ.τ.λ.] That is, 'The contracting parties themselves, and the witnesses to that contract, could not live for ever; and therefore the legislator laid down the law, with its limit of time, designing that, in lieu of living witnesses, the destitute should find therein a deathless witness on the side of right.'

§§ 28—32. Plaintiff's probable reply anticipated. Surely he will not ask his audience to resent the defendant's marriage with the plaintiff's mother. Among bankers, there are many precedents for such an arrangement, and on grounds of expediency, as the only means of keeping up the business, Pasion acted prudently in directing that Phormion should marry his widow and thereby binding him more closely to his own household.

As to the point of honour, 'you may turn up your nose at Phormion's marrying into your family, but remember that in high character, he is more like your father than you are.'

That the marriage was directed by Pasion is not only expressly

Θαυμάζω τοίνυν ἔγωγ' ὧ ἄνδρες δικασταί, τί ποτ' 28 ἐστὶν ἃ πρὸς ταῦτ' ἐπιχειρήσει λέγειν 'Απολλόδωρος οὐτοσί. οὐ γὰρ ἐκεῖνό γ' ὑπείληφεν, ὡς ὑμεῖς, μηδὲν ὁρῶντες εἰς χρήματα τοῦτον ἠδικημένον, ὀργιεῖσθ' ὅτι τὴν μητέρ' ἔγημεν αὐτοῦ Φορμίων. οὐ γὰρ ἀγνοεῖ τοῦτο, οὐδ' αὐτὸν λέληθεν, οὐδ' ὑμῶν πολλούς, ὅτι Σωκράτης ὁ τραπεζίτης ἐκεῖνος, παρὰ τῶν κυρίων ἀπαλλαγεὶς ὥσπερ ὁ τούτου πατήρ, ἔδωκε Σατύρω τὴν ἑαυτοῦ γυναῖκα, ἑαυτοῦ ποτὲ γενομένω. ἔτερος 29 Σωκλῆς τραπεζιτεύσας ἔδωκε τὴν ἑαυτοῦ γυναῖκα Τιμοδήμω τῷ νῦν ἔτ' ὄντι καὶ ζῶντι, γενομένω ποθ' αὐτοῦ². καὶ οὐ μόνον ἐνθάδε τοῦτο ποιοῦσιν οἱ περὶ τὰς ἐργασίας ὄντες ταύτας ὧ ἄνδρες 'Αθηναῖοι, ἀλλ' ἐν Αἰγίνη ἔδωκε Στρυμόδωρος 'Ερμαίω τῷ ἑαυτοῦ οἰκέτη τὴν γυναῖκα, καὶ τελευτησάσης ἐκείνης ἔδωκε

è ἀσυτοῦ Ζ.
 b FQ (Bl.). ταῦτα ceteri.
 c ποτ' propter hiatum inserebat Bl.

proved by the will, but is inferentially concluded from the plaintiff's own admission; for onhis mother's death he permitted her two children by Phormion to share her property equally with himself and Pasicles, her two children by Pasion, and thus allowed the legality of this second marriage.

28. θαυμάζω κ.τ.λ.] Οτ. 37 § 44 Εγωγε, ὅ τι ποτ' ἐρεῖ πρὸς ὑμᾶς, θαυμάζω.

τί ποτ' ἐστὶν ἃ] Cf. 54 § 13 n. μηδὲν ὁρῶντες] i. e. ἢν καὶ μηδὲν ὁρῶντες Goodwin, Moods and Tenses, § 52, 1; § 109, 6; §§ 472, 841, ed. 1889.

παρὰ τῶν κυρίων ἀπαλλαγείς ἄσπερ ὁ τούτου πατήρ] A very close parallel. The banker referred to, like the plaintiff's father, had himself been a slave once, had been set free by his masters, and had given his wife in marriage to one who was formerly his slave. Cf. § 43 for λρχεστράτου. On ἐκεῖνος see Or. 40 § 28.

29. δντι και ζώντι] Who is still 'alive and in being.' The redundancy is intended to strengthen the emphasis. Cf. De Corona § 72 τὴν Μυσών λείαν καλουμένην τὴν Ἑλλάδα οδσαν όφθῆναι ζώντων και δντων 'Αθηναίων.

τελευτησάσης... εδωκε τὴν θυγατέρα] After the will had been made, the wife apparently died before the husband and the latter then gave his daughter in marriage to his former servant. The first εδωκε therefore must mean, 'directed in his will that, after his own death, his widow should marry Her-

πάλιν τὴν θυγατέρα τὴν ἑαυτοῦ. καὶ πολλοὺς αν ἔχοι 30 τις εἰπεῖν τοιούτους. εἰκότως · ὑμῖν μὲν γὰρ ιδ ἄνδρες 'Αθηναῖοι, τοῖς γένει πολίταις, οὐδὲ ἐν πλῆθος χρημάτων ἀντὶ τοῦ γένους καλόν ἐστιν ἑλέσθαι · τοῖς δὲ τοῦτο μὲν δωρειὰν ἢ παρ' ὑμῶν ἢ παρ' ἄλλων τινῶν λαβοῦσι, τἢ τύχη δ' ἐξ ἀρχῆς ἀπὸ τοῦ χρηματίσασθαι καὶ ἐτέρων πλείω κτήσασθαι αλὶ αὐτῶν τούτων ἀξιωθεῖσι, ταῦτ' ἐστι ψυλακτέα. διόπερ Πασίων ὁ πατὴρ ὁ σὸς οὐ πρῶτος οὐδὲ μόνος, οὐδ' αὐτὸν ὑβρίζων 954 οὐδ' ὑμᾶς τοὺς υἱεῖς, ἀλλὰ μόνην ὁρῶν σωτηρίαν τοῖς ἑαυτοῦ πράγμασιν, εἰ τοῦτον ἀνάγκη ποιήσειεν οἰκεῖον ὑμῖν, ἔδωκε τὴν ἑαυτοῦ γυναῖκα, μητέρα δ' ὑμετέραν

d και έτέρων πλείω κτήσασθαι om. Huettner cum Ar.

maeus.' M. Dareste, however, supposes that there is no reference to any will. He holds that the woman had either been divorced from her husband, or was not his lawful wife.

30. $\dot{\nu}\mu\hat{\nu}\dots\tau$ oîs γ évei π o λ l τ ais $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$] A compliment to the audience, designed to smooth the way for what might otherwise prove an invidious reference to the money-making of bankers in general and to the wealth of Pasion in particular. 'For you, gentlemen of Athens, you who are citizens by birth, it is discreditable to prize any amount of money, however large, more highly than that honourable birth (lit. 'no amount of wealth is honourable for you to accept in place of your free birth'); but those who (like Pasion) have received the rights of citizenship as a free gift either from yourselves or from others, and who, thanks in the first instance to their good fortune, were deemed worthy of the selfsame privileges, by reason of having prospered in money-making and acquired more wealth than their neighbours, must do their best to preserve their pecuniary advantages.'

The sense is, 'though it would be wrong for those who are citizens by birth to prefer wealth to citizenship, it would also be unreasonable for those who are citizens by adoption to be careless of the wealth which has gained them that very honour and privilege.'

αὐτὸν ὑβρίζων κ.τ.λ.] Disgracing, outraging, easting contumely on, himself and his family. Though you threatened Phormion with a $\gamma \rho a \phi \eta$ $\delta \beta \rho c \omega s$ for marrying your mother (Or. 45 § 3—4), your father was guilty of no $\delta \beta \rho s$ to his family in arranging for that marriage.

dνάγκη] Necessitate, 'by a family tie.' Isocr. ad Dem. 10, Lys. 32 § 5.

ύμῶν...ὑμετέραν] 'You and yours.' 'Your family.' Cf. Or. 55 § 5, n.

Toute. Spès per our te suppéepart éen écetailes, 31 καλώς βεβουλευμένου αίτου εξρήσεις. εί δέ πρός γένους δόξαν αναίνει Φορμίωνα κηδεστήν, όρα μή γελοίον ή σε ταύτα λέγειν. εί γαρ τις έροιτό σε TOÎO TIP HYEÎ TO TATÉPA TO GEATTED ! CHAL YOU στον εὐ οἰδ ότι φήσειας άν. πότερ' οὐν οἴει μάλλον councerat too thousand had marte too Bior Hagiane σαυτόν ή τουτονί; εγώ μεν γάρ [εδ οίδ δτι] τούτον. είθ ός έστιν όμοιστερος σου τώ σώ πατρί, τούτον, εί 32 την μητέρα την σην έγημεν, αναίνει ε άλλα μην ότι δόντος γελ και έπισκή ψαντος του σου πατρός ταυτ' έπράγθη, οὐ μόνον ἐκ τῆς διαθήκης ἔστιν ίδειν δ ανδρες 'Αθηναίοι, άλλα και σύ μάρτυς αυτός γέγονας. δτε γάρ τὰ μητρώα πρὸς μέρος ηξίους νέμεσθαι, δντων παίδων έκ της γυναικός Φορμίωνι τουτωί, τότε ώμολόγεις κυρίως δόντος τοῦ πατρός τοῦ σοῦ κατά τοὺς νόμους αὐτὴν γεγαμῆσθαι. εἰ γὰρ αὐτὴν εἰχε λαβών αδίκως όδε μηδενός δόντος, οὐκ ήσαν οἱ παίδες κληρο-

draley Z.
 f S. ἡγŷ Z.

31. πρός γένους δόξαν] Sc. βλέπων.

drairei] 'Disdain,' 'scorn,' 'disown,' 'turn up your nose at' in family pride. Harpocr. draireofta κοινῶς μὲν τὸ ἀρνεῖσθαι, ἰδίως δὲ ἐπὶ τῶν κατὰ τοὺς γάμους ...λέγεται. Δημ. ἐν τῆ ὑπὲρ Φορμίωνος παραγραφῆ.—κηδεστὴν in general a relation by marriage, here used of the stepfather.

σὲ ταῦτα λέγεω] Notice the emphatic pronoun.

32. δόντος κ. ἐπισκήψαντος] By your father's special grant and injunction.

πρὸς μέρος] 'Share and share alike.' § 8, ἀντιμοιρεί νέμειν, νέμεσθαι. Οn παίδων...Φορμίωνι see note on τὸ τέταρτον μέρος infr.

ούκ ήσαν...κληρονόμοι] The proposition is categorically, not conditionally stated, 'then the children were not heirs; and if they were not heirs, then they

⁵ τον εαυτου S. σαυτοῦ Z. τον σεαυτοῦ propter hiatum secl. Bl.,
4 modo de Pasione sermo fuit.

* πότερον codices; πότερ' Bl.

i eὖ οἰδ' ὅτι quae modo praecesserunt om. Bl.; ἐγώ μὲν τοῦταν Α. Schaefer.

k γε δόντοs codd. propter syllabas breves transposuit Bl.

1 τούτφ codd.

νόμοι, τοῖς δὲ μὴ κληρονόμοις οὐκ ἦν μετουσία τῶν δυτων. ἀλλὰ μὴν ὅτι ταῦτ' ἀληθῆ λέγω μεμαρτύρητοι τὸ[™] τέταρτον μέρος λαβεῖν καὶ ἀφεῖναι τῶν ἐγκλημάτων ἀπάντων.

Κατ' οὐδὲν τοίνυν ὦ ἄνδρες 'Αθηναῖοι δίκαιον οὐδὲν ἔχων εἰπεῖν ἀναιδεστάτους λόγους ἐτόλμα λέγειν πρὸς τῷ διαιτητῆ, περὶ ὧν προακηκοέναι βέλτιον ἐσθ' 955 ὑμᾶς, ἔνα μὲν τὸ παράπαν μὴ γενέσθαι διαθήκην, ἀλλ' εἶναι τοῦτο πλάσμα καὶ σκευώρημ' ὅλον, ἔτερον τῷ τὸ Reiske, Dind.

had no share in the property.' The right of inheritance was confined to the children born \$\frac{\psi}{2}\$ dorffs κal \$\frac{\psi}{2}\$ vurankos, Isae. 6 \ \frac{8}{3}\$ 47; 8 \ \frac{8}{3}\$ 19; 12 \ \frac{8}{3}\$ 9. Dem. Or. 57 \ \frac{8}{3}\$ 58 \$\frac{\psi}{2}\$ \psi p rovrous (ross συγγενέσι) el νόθος \$\frac{\psi}{2}\$ \frac{\psi vov}{2}\$ σως κληρουόμοις είναι τῶν ἐμῶν πάντων. Arist. Aves, 1640—78. (Hermann Privatalt. \ \frac{8}{3}\$ 29, 5 = p. 258 Blümer, and \ \frac{8}{3}\$ 57, 27 Thalheim; Att. Process, ed. Lipsius, p. 501.)

τὸ τέταρτον μέρος] The property is divided into four parts, one of which is taken by Apollodorus, another by his brother Pasicles. The other two go to the children of the second marriage, who must have been two in number.

dφείναι των έγκλ.] § 8 dφεθείε, § 25 dφείς κ. dπαλλάξας, n.

38 - 35. Anticipation of plaintiff's arguments, continued. He will impudently assert (1) that his father made no will and that the document produced was a forgery; and (2) that the reason why he forbore to press the charge at the proper time was that defendant promised to pay him a high rent.

In answer to (1), if there was no will, how came the plaintiff to succeed to the lodging-house which he holds in accordance with the terms of the will! In answer to (2), it is in evidence that after the termination of the defendant's lease, the plaintiff let the business to others; had the plaintiff any lawful claim on the defendant, he ought certainly to have brought it forward at the time of the subsequent lease.

88. εἰπεῖν...λέγειν] Almost identical in meaning and used, as often, for variety of expression. Phil. II § 11 ταῦθ' ἄ πάντες μὲν ἀεὶ γλίχονται λέγειν, ἀξίως δ' οὐ-δεὶς εἰπεῖν δεδύνηται. Isocr. ad Dem. § 41 and Paneg. § 11 n.

dναιδεστάτουs] The 'vocabulary of denunciation' in the private speeches of Dem. includes adjectives such as dναιδής (37 §§ 3, 27; 54 § 38), άναισχυντος, πονηρός, κακός, άδικος, μαρός, βδελυρός, άνόσιος, άκάθαρτος, σχέτλιος; the adverbs ἀναιδώς, αίσχρῶς, άδίκως, πλεονεκτικῶς; the substantives ἀναίδεια, ἀναισχυντία, πονηρία, αίσχροκέρδεια, πανουργία, μαρία, κακουργία; and the verbs ἀναισχυντεῦν and πανουργεῦν (W. H. Kirk, Demosthenic Style in the Private Orations, 1895, p. 8).

πλάσμα κ. σκευώρημ' όλον] 'A figment and a forgery from be-

δ΄ ἔνεκα τούτου πάντα ταῦτα συγχωρεῖν τὸν πρὸ τοῦ χρόνον καὶ οὐχὶ δικάζεσθαι, ὅτι μίσθωσιν ἤθελεν αὐτῷ φέρειν Φορμίων πολλὴν καὶ ὑπισχνεῖτ' οἴσειν ἐπειδὴ δ' οὐ ποιεῖ ταῦτα, τηνικαῦτα, φησί, δικάζομαι. ὅτι δὴ ταῦτ' ἀμφότερ', ἐὰν λέγῃ, ψεύσεται καὶ τοῖς ὑφ' 34 ἐαυτοῦ πεπραγμένοις ἐναντί' ἐρεῖ, σκοπεῖτ' ἐκ τωνδί. ὅταν μὲν τοίνυν τὴν διαθήκην ἀρνῆται, ἐκ τίνος τρόπου πρεσβεῖα λαβών τὴν συνοικίαν κατὰ τὴν διαθήκην ἔχει, τοῦτ' ἐρωτᾶτ' αὐτόν. οὐ γὰρ ἐκεῖνό γ' ἐρεῖ, ὡς

n ἐπεὶ SA (Dind.).

ὅτι δὲ tribus brevibus collocatis codices. ὅτι δὴ Bl. coll. 21
 § 184; 22 § 9.

ginning to end.' Hesych. σκευώρημα' πλάσμα, κακουργία, κατασκευή, τὸ γινόμενον κατασκεύασμα είς βλάβην, and id. σκευωρία· κατασκευή. Pollux x 15 τάχα δ' ἀπὸ τούτων (sc. σκευῶν) καὶ ἡ σκευοποιία καὶ ἡ σκευωρία (Or. 55 § 2) καὶ τὸ ἐσκευοποιημένον πραγμα, ὡς 'Ισαῖος ἐν τῷ περὶ τοῦ 'Αρχεπόλιδος κλήρου' διαθηκῶν δὲ τεττάρων ὑπ' αὐτῶν ἐσκευοποιημένων.

In Or. 45§ 42 Apollodorus himself, in criticising the διαθήκη, concludes with the words πάντα πεπλασμένα καὶ κατεσκευασμένα έλέγχεται. Cf. ib. 29 πλάσμα δλον έστιν ἡ διαθήκη, and 41§ 24 σκευώρημα.

τον πρό τοῦ χρόνον] 'During the former period.' πρό τοῦ sometimes spelt as one word

προτοῦ.
οὐχὶ δικάζεσθαι] See Shilleto
on Thuc. 1, p. 153.

μισθωσιν...φέρειν] We have frequently had μισθωσιν in the sense of 'lease' (§§ 6, 7, 10 bis, 11, 12, 23, 24; also in § 60); we here find it used like μισθωμα for 'rent' (§§ 36, 37 bis, 38, 41, 51 bis); cf. Or. 28 § 12 ἀποδέ-

δωκε την μισθωσιν followed by λαβών την πρόσοδον. Both senses occur in § 9 supra. It sometimes means a 'tenancy,' or 'the 'terms of a tenancy,' or 'the conditions of a lease' (27 § 59; 37 §§ 5, 6); and, once in Dem. (56 § 25), 'hiring.'

34. πρεσβεία] By right of primogeniture (39 § 29). Pollux: πρεσβεία έστι γέρα τὰ τοῖς πρεσβυτέροις δεδομένα. The recognition of any such right seems quite exceptional in Attic law. See Hermann's Rechtsalt. § 9, p. 624 note 2, Thalheim.

την συνοικίαν] "It should be observed that the Attic language distinguishes between dwellinghouses (olkiai) and lodginghouses (συνοικίαι); accidentally indeed a dwelling-house might be let out for lodgings, and a lodging-house have been inhabited by the proprietor himself" (Boeckh, Publ. Econ. 1 90). Apoll, may have already had a household of his own and his father may therefore have assigned him a συνοικία (A. Schaefer, Dem. u. s. Zeit, 111 2, 133). Cf. § 6 èmi συνοικίαις, n.

ὅσα μὲνν πλεονεκτεῖν τόνδ' ἔγραψεν ὁ πατὴρ, κύριά 35 ἐστι τῆς διαθήκης, τὰ δ' ἄλλ' ἄκυρα. ὅταν δ' ὑπὸ τῶν τοῦδ' ὑποσχέσεων ὑπάγεσθαι φῷ, μέμνησθ' ὅτι μάρτυρας ὑμῖν παρεσχήμεθα, οἱ χρόνον πολὺν τοῦδ' ἀπηλλαγμένου μισθωταὶ τούτοις ἐγίγνοντο τῆς τραπέζης καὶ τοῦ ἀσπιδοπηγείου. καίτοι τόθ', ὁπηνίκ' ἐμίσθωσεν ἐκείνοις, τῷδ' ἐγκαλεῖν παραχρῆμ' ἐχρῆν, εἴπερ ἀληθῆ ἦν ὑπὲρ ὧν τότ' ἀφεὶς νῦν τούτων δικάζεται. ὡς τοίνυν ἀληθῆ λέγω, καὶ πρεσβεῖά τε τὴν συνοικίαν ἔλαβε κατὰ τὴν διαθήκην, καὶ τῷδ' οὺχ ὅπως ἐγκαλεῖν ῷετο δεῖν, ἀλλ' ἐπήνει, λαβὲ τὴν μαρτυρίαν.

MAPTTPIA.

p ώs å μèν Huettner (ωσαμèν S prima manu); οσα μèν Voemel.

q modo τῷδε de eodem fuit; igitur aut delendum aut in τουτωί
 mutandum censet Bl. coll. § 4.
 S. τοίνυν ταῦτ' Z.

35. ὑποσχέσεων] He will tell you, perhaps, that Phormion promised to pay a good rent (ὑπισχνεῖτο § 33), and so for a long time he withheld further action.

χρόνον πολύν] 'For a long time' (ten years as appears by § 37), acc. of duration of time, to be taken with μισθωταλ έγίγ-Kennedy seems to be mistaken in taking it with τοῦδ' άπηλλαγμένου and translating 'who, long after the defendant's retirement, took a lease.' On the contrary, the new lease must have been granted not long after the defendant's connexion with the business ended, as eighteen years elapsed from the division of the property to the date of the speech, and the first eight belong to Phormion's lease and the last ten to the later lease of Xenon, &c. (cf. §§ 37, 19, 12). The general sense is this:

We have proved that, after Phormion had given up the bank, others became and long remained lessees (§ 13) of it. Apollodorus ought, the moment they took it, to have looked after his dues, and seen that all his money was in the business. But he made no claim at all, nay even thanked Phormion for his good services in the management.

§§ 36—42. The plaintiff will complain that he is utterly destitute and ruined. You must know then that, from the debts due to his father and the rents due to himself, he has received more than forty talents.

Oh, but he has lavishly spent his money in the public service on trierarchal and choragic ἔχων ἐκ τῶν μισθώσεων καὶ ἐκ τῶν χρεῶν ὡς ἀπορῶν καὶ πάντ' ἀπολωλεκὼς ὀδυρεῖται, βραχέ' ἡμῶν
956 ἀκούσατε. οὖτος γὰρ ἐκ μὲν τῶν χρεῶν ὁμοῦ τάλαντ'
εἴκοσιν εἰσπέπρακται ἐκ τῶν γραμμάτων ὧν ὁ πατὴρ
κατέλιπεν, καὶ τούτων ἔχει πλέον ἢ τὰ ἡμίσεα, πολλῶν γὰρ τὰ μέρη τὸν ἀδελφὸν ἀποστερεί. ἐκ δὲ τῶν 37
μισθώσεων, ὀκτῶ μὲν ἐτῶν ἃ Φορμίων εἶχε τὴν τράπεζαν, ὀγδοήκοντα μνᾶς τοῦ ἐνιαυτοῦ ἐκάστου, τὸ ἤμισυ
τῆς ὅλης μισθώσεως, καὶ ταῦτ' ἐστι δέκα τάλαντα καὶ
τετταράκοντα μναῖ. δέκα δὲ τῶν μετὰ ταῦτα, ὧν ἐμί-

- Bekk. et Dind. coll. 37 § 48. оборетал Z et Bekker st. cum SFQ.
- t Bekk. κατελείπεν Z cum S.
- u πλέον Bekk. πλείον Z cum S. πλείω FQ.
- ἡμίσεα Bl. titulos Atticos secutus (cf. Meisterhans, p. 118);
 ἡμίση S (Dind.).
- w άποστερεί Bekk, Bl. coll. 28 § 13. άπεστέρει Z et Dind, et Voemel cum S. άποστερών Ar omisso γάρ.
- * δέκα δὲ τῶν Bl. δέκα δ΄ ἐτῶν codices, 'quod esse debebat δέκα δ' ἐτῶν τῶν.'

charges! On the contrary, all that he gave on his own account after the property was divided, barely amounted to twenty minae. Even assuming his boasted liberality to be true, that is no reason for giving the defendant's property to the plaintiff, and thus reducing the former to poverty, while we see the latter squandering his money in his customary manner.

36. μισθώσεων] 'Rents.' Cf. § 33.

όδυρείται] 21 § 186 όδυρείται και πολλούς λόγους και ταπεινούς έρει.

είσπέπρακται έκ τ. γραμ.] § 21 έκ ποίων γραμμάτων, n.

άποστερεί] 'defrauds' his brother of his shares in many of the debts. άποστερείν is con-

stantly used of 'withholding what is due to another,' 'keeping another out of his rights.'

37. τὴν τράπεζαν] The bank alone is mentioned, but it must not be forgotten that Phormion had a lease of the shield-manufactory as well.

ογδοήκοντα μπάs] The share of Apollodorus, eighty minae, is half the annual rent of the whole business, the shield-manufactory and the bank. Consistently with this, the whole rent, as stated in § 51, Or. 45 § 32, is 2 talents and 40^m (i.e. 160^m) per annum. Of this (as appears from § 11) one talent was paid for the shield-manufactory, and one talent and 40^m for the bank.

σθωσαν ὕστερου³ Ξένωνι καὶ Εὐφραίφ καὶ Εὐφρονι καὶ 38 Καλλιστράτφ, τάλαντου² τοῦ ἐνιαυτοῦ ἐκάστου. χωρὶς δὲ τούτων, ἐτῶν ἴσως εἴκοσι τῆς ἐξ ἀρχῆς νεμηθείσης οὐσίας, ῆς αὐτὸς ἐπεμελεῖτο, τὰς προσόδους, πλέον ἡ μνᾶς τριάκοντα. ἐὰν δ' ἄπαντα συνθῆτε, ὅσ' ἐνείσος ἐκαντα συνθῆτες ὅσ' ἐνείσος ἐκαντα συνθῆτες ὅσ' ἐνείσος ἐκαντα ἐκαντα συνθῆτες ὅσ' ἐνείσος ἐκαντα ἐναντα ἐκαντα ἐκα

- y om. Bl. cum FQ coll. § 12.
- * και δισχιλίας sine causa addidit Voemel.

Eδφραίφ] In Or. 49 προς Τιμόθεον § 44, Phormion and Euphraeus are mentioned by Apollodorus, as having paid from Pasion's bank certain sums of money to persons named by Timotheus. Like Phormion, Euphraeus had risen from a subordinate position, to be one of the lessees of the bank. Cf. § 14 ελευθέρουν ἀφείσαν, n.

τάλαντον] This is the rent of the shield-manufactory alone, as appears from § 11 $\tau \delta$ ($\dot{a}\sigma \pi \iota \delta \sigma$) πηγείον) τάλαντον έφερεν. It is this rent alone that is here referred to. Xenon and his partners paid a total sum of 2^t 40^m for the whole business, consisting of the manufactory and the bank. The rent of the manufactory (1t) belonged to Apollodorus, that of the bank to Pasicles (1t 40m). The rent thus paid for the whole business was the same as that which had been paid by Phormion (τοῦ ἴσου ἀργυρίου, § 12). It is from not understanding this, that Voemel was led to conjecture τάλαντον καί δισχιλίας, i.e. $1^t 20^m = 80^m = the$ sum paid by Phormion to Apollodorus. But it was only the total rent that was the same in both cases; the way in which it was divided between the brothers was different.

38. έτῶν ἴσως εἴκοσι] In § 19 the interval is more strictly stated at eighteen years. It has

been suggested by Mr A. Wright that it is here put at 'nearly 20' to help the audience to follow the arithmetic. If so, the item ένειματο will become 10', though it is really less; and the half of the item εἰσεπράξατο may be put at 10', though it is really more. But the total would remain the same.

٠ ١

της έξ ἀρχης κ.τ.λ.] See § 11. Apollodorus had chosen the shield-manufactory; and the rents of it, under his own management, are now reckoned as part of his general income.

δο' ἐνείματο, δο' είσεπράξατο, δο' είληφε] 'Anaphora' with 'asyndeton'; cf. §53; 27 §38; 30 §30; 37 §\$36, 37, 44; 38 §28; 54 §28 (Kirk's Demosthenic Style, p. 10). πλέον ή τετταράκοντα τάλαντα]

ένείματο more than 30^m for eighteen years = more than 540^m = more than 9^t είσεπράξατο 20^t; έχει πλέον ἢ τὰ ἡμίση or more than 10^t, say 11 είληφε μίσθωσιν from Phormion for the bank and manufac-

tory 80^{m} for eight years $=10 \ 40^{m}$ from Xenon, &c. for the

manufactory alone,

1' for ten years = 10

Total more than 40t 40m

ματο, δσ' εἰσεπράξατο, δσ' εἴληφε μίσθωσιν, πλέον
ἡ τετταράκοντα τάλαντ' εἰληφὼς φανήσεται, χωρὶς
ὧν οὖτος εὖ πεποίηκε, καὶ τῶν μητρώων, καὶ ὧν ἀπὸ 39
τῆς τραπέζης ἔχων οὐκ ἀποδίδωσι πένθ' ἡμιταλάντων
καὶ ἑξακοσίων δραχμῶν. ἀλλὰ νὴ Δία ταῦθ' ἡ πόλις
εἴληφε, καὶ δεινὰ πέπονθας πολλὰ καταλελητουργηκώς. ἀλλ' ὰ μὲν ἐκ κοινῶν ἔλητούργεις τῶν χρημάτων,
σὺ καὶ ὁ ἀδελφὸς ἀνηλώσατε ' ἃ δ' ὕστερον, οὐκ ἔστιν
ἄξια μὴ ὅτι δυοῖν ταλάντοιν προσόδου, ἀλλ' οὐδ'
εἴκοσι μνῶν. μηδὲν οὖν τὴν πόλιν αἰτιῶ, μηδ' ἃ σὺ

ών οὖτος εὖ πεπ.] Referring probably to Phormion's free gift of 3000 dr. (§ 15). —τῶν μητρώων, a fourth part of his mother's property (§ 32). Otherwise we must understand it of an occasional bonus for the good-will of the bank; and to this ἐπήνει might refer in § 35.

πένθ' ἡμιταλάντων] Two and a half talents, not four and a half as Jerome Wolf and Kennedy translate it (which would require πέμπτου ἡμιταλάντου). The plaintiff's unpaid debt of 156^m is with a bitter emphasis mentioned last in the list of his resources.

39. άλλὰ νη Δία] Introducing a supposed rejoinder on the opposite side. 'Oh! but he will say, All this wealth has been received, in fact, not by him, but by the city.' Cf. Or. 54 § 34 n.

καταλελητουργηκώς] You make outthat you are cruelly wronged, after having lavishly spent, (as it were) 'liturgised away,' your money in the public service. For this use of κατα- cf. Isaeus Or. 5 § 43 οδτε γάρ εἰς τὴν πόλιν οδτε εἰς τοὺς φίλους φανερὸς εἶ δαπανηθεἰς οὐδέν. άλλὰ μὴν οὐδὲ καθιπποτρόφηκας, οὐ γὰρ πώ-

ποτε έκτήσω ίππον πλείονος άξιον η τριών μνών ούτε κατεζευγοτρόφηκας, έπει ούδὲ ζεῦγος έκτήσω όρικὸν οὐδεπώποτε έπι τοσούτοις άγροῖς και κτήμασιν. [80 καταχαρίζεσθαι, 'to give away in presents,' καταχρήσθαι, καταπροδοῦναι, καταπολιτεύεσθαι, καθυποκρίνεσθαί τινα, De Fals. Leg. §§ 362, 389. P.1

έκ κοινῶν κ.τ.λ.] i.e. You cannot take the sole credit for the sums spent before the property was divided. Half of that expenditive came out of your brother's money (8.8)

brother's money (§ 8).

ελητούργοις] See Dict. Antiq.;
also Boeckly's Public Econ.,
Book 4 §§ 1(1—15, and Introduction to Dem. Leptines pp. ii—xi.

Among the λητουργία were the τριηραρχία and χορηγία referred to in § 44 fin. λητουργία (not λειτουργία) is the form found in inscriptions of the time of Dem.

(ib. p. iii n. 2).

μή δτι...άλλ' οὐδ'] See note on Or. 34 § 14, and cf. 27 § 7; 45 § 9; 56 § 39. Madvig's Gk. Syntax, § 212, and Kühner's Gr. Gr. 11 § 525, 4.

bout 20 years, § 38.

μηδέν—αἰτιώ] 'Don't accuse

τῶν ὄντων αἰσχρῶς καὶ κακῶς ἀνήλωκας, ὡς ἡ πόλις 40 εἴληφε, λέγε. ἵνα δ' εἰδῆτ' ὦ ἄνδρες 'Αθηναῖοι τό τε πλῆθος τῶν χρημάτων ὧν εἴληφε, καὶ τὰς λητουργίας ὰς λελητούργηκεν, ἀναγνώσεται ὑμῖν καθ' ἐν ἔκαστον. λαβέ μοι τὸ βιβλίον τουτὶ καὶ τὴν 957 πρόκλησιν ταυτηνὶ καὶ τὰς μαρτυρίας ταυτασί.

ΒΙΒΛΙΟΝ^ο. ΠΡΟΚΛΗΣΙΣ. ΜΑΡΤΥΡΙΑΙ.

Τοσαῦτα [μὲν] τοίνυν χρήματ' εἰληφως καὶ χρέα πολλων ταλάντων ἔχων, ὧν τὰ μὲν παρ' ἐκόντων, τὰ δ' ἐκ τῶν δικῶν εἰσπράττει, ὰ τῆς μισθώσεως ἔξω τῆς τραπέζης καὶ τῆς ἄλλης οὐσίας, ῆν κατέλιπε Πασίων, ώφείλετ' ἐκείνω καὶ νῦν παρειλήφασιν οὖτοι, καὶ τοσαῦτ' ἀνηλωκως ὅσ' ὑμεῖς ἠκούσατε, οὐδὲ πολλοστὸν μέρος τῶν προσόδων, μὴ ὅτι τῶν ἀρχαίων, εἰς τὰς λητουργίας, ὅμως ἀλαζονεύσεται καὶ τριηραρχίας ἐρεῖ

• Bekk. om. Z cum S.

b Bekk. ταύτην Z et Voemel cum SA. c addidit Reiske. d seclusit Bl.

the state then,' 'don't be charging the state with being the cause and object of your lavish expenditure.'

41. ἀ τῆς μισθ. κ.τ.λ.] The order is ἀ (Εξω τῆς μισθώσεως τῆς τραπέξης κ.τ.λ.) ώφελετο τῷ Πασίωνι καὶ ἀ οὖτοι (εc. Apoll. and Pasicles) παρειλήφασα.

Pasicles) παρειλήφασα.

ούδὲ πολλοστὸν κ.τ...] 'The smallest fraction of his income, not to say (I needn't say) of his capital.' This explains το σαῦτ', tantilla.

άλαζονεύσεται και τριηραριίας έρει] 'Will in bragging terms talk of his trierarchal (and choragic) expenses.' Of such άλαζονεία there are instances again and again in Dem. and the other orators, e.g. Or. 21

(Midias) § 160—. Or. 38 § 25 τάχα τοίνυν ἴσως καὶ τριηραρχίας ἐροῦσι καὶ τὰ ὅντα ὡς ἀνηλώκατω εἰς ὑμᾶς, 20 § 151. In Or. 45 § 85, Apollodorus appeals to his father's trierarchies, and in § 66 taunts one of Phormion's witnesses, Stephanus, with having never done the smallest service to the state by τριηραρχία οτ χορηγία or any other λητουργία whatever.

The plaintiff had really some good reason for being proud of his trierarchal services. Among the orations of Dem. a speech has come down to us (Or. 50, $\pi\rho\delta$ s $\Pi o\lambda \nu \kappa \lambda \epsilon a$) in which Apollodorus states that being appointed trierarch (in B.C. 362) he gave his vessel a splendid

καὶ χορηγίας. ἐγωὰ δ', ὡς μὲν οὐκ ἀληθῆ ταῦτ' ἐρεῖ, 42 ἐπέδειξα, οἰμαι° μέντοι', κὰν εἰ [ταῦτα] πάντ' αληθῆ λέγοι, κάλλιον εἰναι καὶ δικαιότερον τόνδε ἀπὸ τῶν αὐτοῦ λητουργεῖν ὑμῖν ἡ τούτω δόντας τὰ τοῦδε ħ, μικρὰ τῶν πάντων αὐτοὺς μετασχόντας, τόνδε μὲν ἐν ταῖς ἐσχάταις ἐνδείαις ὁρᾶν, τοῦτον δ' ὑβρίζοντα καὶ εἰς ἄπερ εἴωθεν ἀναλίσκοντα. ἀλλὰ μὴν περὶ τῆς γ' 143

equipment and liberal wages to the crew; and for more than seventeen months traversed the Hellespont and other waters, often encountering perilous storms, in the public service.

χορηγίαs] One of these services of Apollodorus is mentioned in an inscription of 352—1 Β.C., [ΟΙνητ]ς παίδων [ένικα]. 'Απολλόδωρος Πασί[ωνος 'Αχαρνεύς] έχορήγει. Αυσιάδης ['Αθηναΐος έδιδασκε]. 'Αριστόδημος ήρ[χε]. C. I. A. II 3, 1238.

42. τόνδε ἀπὸ τῶν αὐτοῦ] 'That he should continue to serve you from his own resources,' ἀc.—pointing to Phormion, who is also referred to in τόνδε μὲν two lines further on.—τούτψ δόντας τὰ τοῦδε, i.e. handing over to the plaintiff (Ap.) the property of the defendant (Phormion). For a similarly ambiguous use of demonstrative pronouns, see above, § 12 n.

τόνδε μὲν...τοῦτον δ'] Defendant and plaintiff respectively.

els ἄπερ εἰωθεν ἀναλ.] A deliberately vague innuendo, which is partly justified by the details of a subsequent section (§ 45). In Or. 45 § 77, Apollodorus says with some self-complacency: τῷ μέτριος κατὰ πάσας τὰς εἰς ἐμαντὸν δαπάνας εἶναι πολὸ τούτου καὶ τοιούτων ἐτέρων εὐτακτότερον ζῶν ἄν φανείην.

§§ 48—48. As to the defendant's wealth, and his having got it from your father's estate, you should be the last man in all the world to use such language. The defendant, like your own father, made his money by faithful and honest service, by personal integrity of character, and by that good credit and fair fame which in the commercial world is the best kind of capital.

Again, if you claim the defendant's property on the ground that he was once your father's slave, then Antimachus, a surviving son of your father's former master, might go still further, and claim your own estate and the defendant's too; yet, though now in a humble position, far below his merits and his proper rank, he does not go

S. οίομαι Z (cf. § 18). Γμέν τοίνυν SFQ.

⁸ ταθτα πάντα S; πάντα ταθτα vulg.; πάντα Bl.

h τούτου codices; τοῦδε Bl., coll. §§ 35, 46, 'ubi τούτου pro τοῦδε in quibusdam codd. est; statim autem τόνδε...τοῦτον opponentur; cf. etiam 58.'

i περί της γ' Bl. περί γε της syllabis brevibus codices.

εὐπορίας, ὡς ἐκτῶν τοῦ πατρὸς τοῦ σοῦ κέκτηται, καὶ ὧν ἐρωτήσειν ἔφησθα, πόθεν τὰ ὄντα κέκτηται Φορμίων^k, μόνφ τῶν ὄντων ἀνθρώπων σοὶ τοῦτον οὐκ ἔνεστ' εἰπεῖν τὸν λόγον. οὐδὲ γὰρ Πασίων ὁ σὸς πατὴρ ἐκτήσαθ' εὐρὼν οὐδὲ τοῦ πατρὸς αὐτῷ¹ παραδόντος, ἀλλ' ἢ^m παρὰ τοῖς αὐτοῦ κυρίοις 'Αντισθένει καὶ 'Αρχεστράτως τραπεζιτεύουσι πεῖραν δοὺς ὅτι χρη-44 στός ἐστι καὶ δίκαιος, ἐπιστεύθη. ἔστι δ' ἐν ἐμπορίως

to law with them, because they have money to spend while he is in destitution.

Instead of making the most of the good fortune by which your father and the defendant alike received the rights of freedom and citizenship, you are heartless enough to cast contumely on yourself and your parents, and on Athens too, for granting her privileges to people like yourself; you are senseless enough to forget that, by insisting that the defendant's former servitude should not be brought up against him, we are really speaking on your side and defending your own position. The rule, that you lay down to the detriment of the defendant, can as easily be advanced against yourself by the house to which your father was once a slave. 43. $\hat{\omega}\nu = \pi\epsilon\rho i \tau o i \tau \omega \nu \ a.$

#δθεν—κέκτηται Φ.] In Or. 45 § 80, Apollodorus unfairly says of Phormion, εἰ ἦν δίκαιος, πένης ἄν ἦν τὰ τοῦ δεσπότου διοικήσας. ...Had I dragged you off to prison as a thief caught in the act, with your present pro-

έκτήσαθ' εὐρὼν] 'Got it by good luck' as a 'godsend,' a 'windfall,' a εὔρημα or Έρμαῖον. Passages like the present and the parallel from Or. 45 § 81 (given above) should be quoted in Liddell and Scott (s. v. εὐ-ρἰσκω, 4).

Αρχεστράτω] Isocr. Trapez. § 43 Πασίων δὲ Αρχέστρατόν μοι ἀπὸ τῆς τραπέζης ἐπτα ταλάντων ἐγγυητὴν παρέσχεν. (Λ. Schaefer, Dem. u. s. Zeit, III 2, 131.)

δίκαιος] 'Honest.'

έπιστεύθη] 'Won his master's confidence,' 'was trusted.' So in Or. 50 § 56, Apollodorus describes the wide extent of his father's connexion and good credit (ἐπεξενῶσθαι πολλοῖς καὶ πιστευθηναι ἐν τῆ Ἑλλάδι).

44. ἐν ἐμπορίφ καὶ χρήμασιν ἐργαζομένοις] Kennedy: 'In the

k καὶ ὧν ἐρωτήσειν—Φορμίων secludenda esse censet Huettner.
¹ αὐτῶ Ζ.

 $[^]m$ à $\lambda\lambda$ ' $\mathring{\eta}$ Bl. coll. Thuc. 5, 60, 1; a $\lambda\lambda\eta$ A; a $\lambda\lambda\dot{\alpha}$ tribus brevibus conjunctis codices.

καὶ χρήμασιν ἐργαζομένοις ἀνθρώποις φιλεργὸν δόξαι καὶ γρηστὸν είναι τὸν αὐτὸν θαυμαστὸν ήλίκον. οὖτ' οὖν ἐκείνω τοῦθ' οἱ κύριοι παρέδωκαν, ἀλλ' αὐτὸς ἔφυ 958 χρηστός, οὖτε τώδ' ὁ σὸς πατήρι σὲ γὰρ ὰν πρότερον τοῦδε χρηστον εποίησεν, εί ην επ' εκείνφ. εί δε τοῦτ' άγνοεις, ότι πίστις άφορμη των πασων έστι μεγίστη πρὸς χρηματισμὸν, πᾶν ᾶν ἀγνοήσειας. τούτων πολλά καὶ τῷ σῷ πατρὶ καὶ σοὶ καὶ ὅλως τοῖς ύμετέροις πράγμασι Φορμίων γέγονε χρήσιμος. άλλ',

n A (Bl.); om. ceteri,

commercial world and the money-market it is thought a wonderful thing, when the same person shows himself to be both honest and diligent.' The order is: θαυμαστον ήλίκον έστιν άνθρώποις έργαζομένοις έν έμπορίω καί (έργαζομένοις) χρήμασι, τον αὐτον δόξαι φιλεργόν και είναι χρηστόν, i.e. a reputation for businesslike habits and a really honest character, when combined in the same person, have a striking influence in the moneymarket and the commercial world.

έν should be taken with έμ- $\pi o \rho l \psi$ only, the construction being (as G. H. Schaefer notices) έργάζεσθαι έν έμπορίω with the preposition, and εργάζεσθαι χρήμασιν without. Cf. Or. 57 § 31, ἐν τῆ ἀγορᾶ ἐργάζεσθαι with Or. 33 § 4, where τηs έργασίας της κατά θάλατταν is followed by τούτοις (sc. τοις χρήμασι) πειρώμαι ναυτικοίς έργάζεσθαι.

δόξαι is slightly contrasted with elvas, the outward reputation for business habits with the inward and inherent honesty (cf. ξφυ χρηστός below). G. H. Schaefer says, 'dativus regitur a verbo δόξαι. Deinde τὸ ἐξῆς est: τον αύτον δόξαι είναι φιλεργόν καί χρηστόν.' But the position of δόξαι and είναι makes against this construction. Cf. Aesch. Theb. 592 οὐ γὰρ δοκεῖν ἄριστος άλλ' είναι θέλει.

It is the combination of δόξαι φιλεργόν and είναι χρηστόν that is insisted on, because a forger, for instance, might have all the air of a painstaking man of business without being really χρηστός: and vice versa, a man of unblemished morale might never get a name for financial skill, or even ordinary businesslike habits.

 $o \vec{o} \tau \epsilon - o \vec{o} \tau \epsilon$ 'As then his masters did not bequeath to Pasion this virtue, but his honesty was natural, so neither did Pasion bequeath it to Phormion: for he would have made you honest rather than him, had it been in his power.'

πίστις ἀφορμή] If you don't know that for money-making the best capital of all is good credit; then, what do you know?'

άφορμή] Cf. § 12 n. χωρίς...πατρί] An accidental iambic line. See Isocr. Paneg. § 170 n.

ὄλως] 'Generally.'—On ὑμετέροις, cf. § 30 fin.

άλλ', οίμαι...τίς δυ δύναιτ']

ολμαι, τής σής ἀπληστίας καλ τοῦ σοῦ τρόπου τίς ἄν 45 δύναιτ' ἐφικέσθαι; καλ δήτα θαυμάζω πῶς οὐ λογίζη° πρὸς σεαυτὸν ὅτι ἔστιν ᾿Αρχεστράτφ τῷ ποτὲ τὸν σὸν πατέρα κτησαμένφ υἰὸς ἐνθάδε, ᾿Αντίμαχος, πράττων οὐ κατ' ἀξίαν, δς οὐ δικάζεταί σοι οὐδὲ δεινά φησι πάσχειν, εἰ σὺ μὲν χλανίδα φορεῖς, καλ τὴν μὲν λέλυσαι, τὴν δ΄ ἐκδέδωκας ἐταίραν, καλ ταῦτα γυναῖκ' ἔχων ποιεῖς, καλ τρεῖς παῖδας ἀκολούθους περιάγει ٩, καλ ζῆς

- λογίζη Z et Bl. cum S; λογίζει Dind.
- P Bekk. ἐαυτὸν Z cum S (cf. Isocr. ad Dem. § 14 n.).
- 4 Cobet (Bl.); περιάγεις codices.

Questions of this kind are often best rendered by a negative sentence. 'But no one, I feel, can come up to your covetousness and your general character.' 'Your covetousness &c. no language, I take it, can adequately describe.' ἐρικέσθαι, sc. τῷ λόγψ. Or. 14 § 1 ὧν οὐδ΄ ὧν εἶτ ἀξίως ἐρικέσθαι τῷ λόγψ δύναιτο. For the genitive, cf. Isoor. 4 § 187; 9 § 49; 10 § 13.

45. χλανίδα] 'A mantle,' a light upper garment of fine wool. Aeschin. Timarch. § 131, τὰ κομψὰ ταῦτα χλανίσκιακαὶ τοὺς μαλακοὺς χιτανίσκους. Dem. Or. 21 § 133 (of Midias) χλανίδας καὶ κυμβία καὶ κάδους ξχων. Pollux vii 48: χλανίς δὲ ἰμάτιον λεπτόν. Hermann, Privatalt. § 21, p. 177 ed. Blümner.

λέλυσαι] 'Redeemed' from her owner. Herod. II 135 (of Rhodôpis), άπικομένη κατ έργασίω έλύθη χρημάτων μεγάλων ὑπ' ἀνδρὸς Μυτιληναίου. Ατ. Vesp. 1353 έγώ σε... λυσάμενος ἔξω παλλακήν. Dem. Or. 48 § 53 έγαίραν λυσάμενος ἔνδον ἔχει. [Demosthenes is particularly fond of using perfect passives in the medial sense. P.]

ἐκδέδωκας] Given away in

marriage. Or. 59, κατὰ Nealpas, § 73 (ἡ ἄνθρωπος) ἐξεδόθη τῷ Διονόσῳ γυνή, and Or. 27 § 69 θυγατέρας παρὰ σφῶν αὐτῶν ἐκδόντας.

καὶ ταῦτα γυναῖκ' ἔχων.....]
'And that too, when you have a wife.' In his speech πρὸς Πολυκλέα, Apollodorus, contrary to what might be expected from the present passage, speaks in affectionate terms of his wife. Or. 50 § 61 ἡ γυνὴ ἡν ἐγὼ περὶ πλείστου ποιοῦμαι ἀσθενῶς διέκειτο πολὸυ χρόνον.

παίδας ἀκολούθους] Or. 21 (Midias) § 158 τρεῖς ἀκολούθους ἢ τέτταρας αὐτὸς ἄγων διὰ τῆς ἀγορᾶς σοβεῖ. Χεπ. Μεπ. 1 7 2, σκεύη τε καλὰ κέκτηνται καὶ ἀκολούθους πολλούς περιάγονται. (Becker, Charicles III 19, ed. Göll, = p. 362 of Eng. ed.; Hermann, Privatalt. § 12, p. 85, ed. Blümner.)

περιάγει] Cobet, after quoting the above passage of Xenophon (to alter σκεύη καλὰ into σκευήν καλήν), takes the hint suggested by the last word περιάγονται, to propose the middle for the active in the present passage. 'Reponendum est necessario περιάγει. Discrimen inter περιά-

ασελγῶς ὅστε καὶ τοὺς ἀπαντῶντας αἰσθάνεσθαι, αὐτὸς δ΄ ἐκεῖνος πολλῶν ἐνδεής ἐστιν. οὐδὲ τὸν Φορ- 46 μίων ἐκεῖνος οὐχ ὁρᾳ. καίτοι εἰ κατὰ τοῦτ οἴει σοι προσήκειν τῶν τούτου, ὅτι τοῦ πατρός ποτ ἐγένετο τοῦ σοῦ, ἐκείνω προσήκει μᾶλλον ἢ σοί· ὁ γὰρ αὖ σὸς πατὴρ ἐκείνων ἐγένετο. ὥστε καὶ σὺ καὶ οὖτος ἐκείνου γίγνεσθ ἐκ τοῦδε τοῦ λόγου. σὺ δ' εἰς τοῦθ ἤκεις ἀγνωμοσύνης ὥσθ ἃ προσῆκέ σοι τοὺς λέγοντας ἐχθροὺς νομίζειν, ταῦτ αὐτὸς ποιεῖς ἀνάγκην εἶναι λέγειν, καὶ ὑβρίζεις μὲν σαυτὸν καὶ τοὺς γονέας τεθ- 47 νεῶτας, προπηλακίζεις δὲ τὴν πόλιν, καὶ ᾶι τῆς τούτων φιλανθρωπίας ἀπολαύσας εὕρεθ ὁ σὸς πατὴρ καὶ μετὰ ταῦτα Φορμίων οὐτοσί, ταῦτ ἀντὶ τοῦ κοσμεῖν

r S. + οῦτως Z.

* τοῦδε FQ (Bl.): τούτου.

* προσήκε Bl.: προσήκειν A, προσήκει vulgo, Dind.

" $+\delta \omega$ Z et Dind. cum S. $\delta \omega$ om. Bekk., Voemel, Blass; ' $\delta \omega$ ab interprete aliquo ad verbi ($d\pi o\lambda a\dot{v}\sigma as$) vim explanandam adscriptum est,' Huettner.

γω et περιάγομαι tam perspicuum est quam perpetuum. Si quem circumductamus spectaturum aliquid, aut omnino si cui damus operam ut circumiens inspiciat aliquid aut agat, eum περιάγευ dicimur; sin autem quis quaqua incedit secum trahit aliquem, cuius opera officioque utatur, eum περιάγευθαι dicitur, ut herus pedissequos, aut tyrannus satellites.' (Novae lectiones, p. 652.)

46. οὐδὲ τὸν Φορμίωνα] Nor is Phormio's position unknown to him.' Kennedy. For the double negation, see on § 22. Though Phormion was once the slave of one who was himself a slave of the father of Antimachus, the latter, who is well aware how Phormion has risen, does not grudge him his success and does not hold himself

aggrieved by him.— $\delta \rho \hat{q}$, § 50 and 23 § 100 $\hat{\eta} \delta \eta$ $\delta \epsilon$ $\tau \nu \nu a$ $\epsilon t \delta \sigma \nu$.— $\epsilon \kappa \epsilon \ell \nu \varphi$, to Antimachus.

άγνωμοσύνης] 'Heartlessness,' 'want of proper feeling'; 'churlishness,' Or. 54 § 14 άγνώμονας και πικρούς. Or. 14 § 5; 18 §§ 207, 252; 60 § 20. [The polite Greeks had many terms of this kind, άγροικία, σκαιότης, άμαθία, άπαιδευσία, άπειροκαλία. P.]

47. υβρίζεις... προπηλακίζεις] Or. 23 § 120, ὧν υβρισε καὶ προύπηλάκισεν, 9 § 60; 18 § 12. κοσμεῖν καὶ περιστέλλειν]' Adorning and cherishing' the right of citizenship. Or. 24 § 139 τὰ πάτρια περιστέλλειν. [Here it is a metaphor from putting on and gracefully adjusting clothes. Whence he adds εὐσχημονέστατα. P.]

καὶ περιστέλλειν, ἵνα καὶ τοῖς δοῦσιν ὡς εὐσχη-959 μονέστατ' ἐφαίνετο καὶ τοῖς λαβοῦσιν ὑμῖν, ἄγεις εἰς μέσον, δεικνύεις, ἐλέγχεις, μόνον οὐκ ὀνειδίζεις οἰον 48 ὅντα το ἐποιήσαντ' 'Αθηναῖοι. εἰτ' εἰς τοῦθ' ῆκεις μανίας (τί γὰρ ἄλλο τις εἰπη τ) ὥστ' οὐκ αἰσθάνει ὅτι καὶ νῦν ἡμεῖς μὲν ἀξιοῦντες, ἐπειδήπερ ἀπηλλάγη Φορμίων, μηδέν' ὑπόλογον εἰναι, εἴ ποτε τοῦ σοῦ πατρὸς ἐγένετο, ὑπὲρ σοῦ λέγομεν, σὰ δὲ μηδέποτ' ἐξ ἴσου σοι γενέσθαι τοῦτον ἀξιῶν κατὰ σαυτοῦ λέγεις ὰ γὰρ ἀν σὰ δίκαια σαυτῷ κατὰ τούτου τάξης, ταὐτὰ ταῦθ' ἡξει κατὰ σοῦ παρὰ τῶν τὸν σὸν πατέρ' ἐξ ἀρχῆς κτησαμένων. ἀλλὰ μὴν ὅτι κἀκεῖνος ἦν τινῶν, εἶτ' ἀπηλλάγη τὸν αὐτὸν τρόπον ὅνπερ οὖτος ἀφ' ὑμῶν, λαβέ μοι ταυτασὶ τὰς μαρτυρίας, τώς ἐγένετο Πασίων 'Αρχεστράτου'.

* ota vel otor, vel potius (omisso 'Αθηναΐοι) 'Αθηναΐον inserebat H. Zurborg (Hermes, xiii, 1878, p. 285).

* Bl. coll. 8 § 44; 19 § 88 τί γὰρ ἄλλο τις εἶποι \mathbf{A} , τί γὰρ ἂν ἄλλο τις εἶποι syllabis brevibus vulg.

× S. alσθάνη Z.

y S. τὰ αὐτὰ Z.

2-2 'verba interpolata,' Huettner.

να—έφαινετο] Cf. δπως ἡλέγχθη, § 20. Goodwin's *Moods* and Tenses, § 44, 3 =§ 333 ed. 1889. Kühner, § 553, 7.

άγεις εἰς μέσον κ.τ.λ.] 45 § 16. 'You drag it into public view, point (the finger of scorn) at it, criticize it; and all but taunt Athens with naturalizing (admitting to the freedom of the city) such a character as yourself.' For the asyndeton, cf. §5; and Or. 39 § 34 αν δ' ἐπιβουλέος, δικάζη, φθονῆς, βλασφημῆς.

δικάξη, φθονής, βλασφημής.
48. εἰς τοῦθ' ἤκεις μανίας] Cf. §
46 εἰς τοῦθ' ἤκεις ἀγνωμοσύνης.
Madvig Gk. Syntax, § 50 ad fin.
27 § 24; 33 § 19; 40 §§ 28, 49,

58; 56 § 3.

μηδέν' ὑπόλογον είναι] Lit. 'should not be taken into account against him,' 'should not detract from his credit.' A metaphor from book-keeping, appropriate in a speech on banking-stock. Lys. 28 § 13 οὐδὲ ἀδίκως τούτοις φημί ᾶν είναι ὑπόλογον τὴν ἐκείνου φυγήν, ib. 4 § 18; Plat. Lach. 189 Β.

[Cf. δ παράλογος, δ κατάλογος, δ μετάμελος, words formed from a primary use of the simple noun governed by the preposition. Translate: 'And now we, in requiring that, as Phormio has left Pasion's service, it should not be remembered against him that he was once Pasion's pro-

MAPTTPIAI.

Είτα τὸν σώσαντα μὲν ἐξ ἀρχῆς τὰ πράγματα καὶ 49 πολλά χρήσιμον αύτὸν παρασχόντα τῷ πατρὶ τῷ τούτου, τοσαθτα δ' αὐτὸν τοθτον ἀγάθ' εἰργασμένον, δσ' ύμεις ακηκόατε, τοῦτον οίεται δειν έλων τηλικαύτην δίκην αδίκως έκβαλειν. οὐ γάρ ἄλλο γ' ἔχοις α οὐδὲν

SrA. ἐκβάλλειν Ζ.

Bekk. ἔχοι Z cum S.

perty, are in fact speaking in your behalf; while you, in demanding that Phormio shall not be put on the same footing as yourself, are speaking against yourself.' P.]

§§ 49-52. The defendant's management of the family property was the very saving of the business, and in this and many other respects he has been a great benefactor to the plaintiff's father and to the plaintiff himself; and yet the latter is now demanding a verdict, which, if granted, will turn the defendant out of house and home, a ruined bankrupt, like those whom we remember. The plaintiff's father, esteeming the defendant more highly than his own son, wisely and prudently left him manager of his leases when he died, besides showing his esteem for him during h**i**s lifetime. And that esteem was well deserved, for while the other bankers, to whose losses allusion has just been made, did business on their own account, and therefore had to pay no rent to another, and were nevertheless ruined; the defendant not only paid a rent for the bank but kept up the business for the family of the plaintiff, who, so far from being grateful, takes no account of all this, but even persecutes and calumniates him. Our friend, if for a moment we may call him so, little thinks that honesty is the best policy (as is proved by the defendant's The plaintiff at prosperity). any rate is a case in point; he has (if we are to believe him) lost all his money; had he been a man of sound sense he would not have thrown it away.

49. ἐκβαλείν] In Or. 45 κατά Στεφάνου A § 70, Apollodorus taunts Stephanus (one of Phormion's witnesses in the present trial) with turning his own uncle out of his patrimony for arrears of debt: τοκίζων...έξέβαλες έκ

της πατρώας οὐσίας.

οὐ γὰρ ἄλλο γ'] i.e. If heavy damages are granted the plaintiff, the penalty will prove none other than (will not fall short of) turning the defendant out of house and home. 'Examine the nature of his property closely and you will soon see whose it really is, and into whose hands it will fall, if (which heaven forbid) the court is misled into condemning him.' The property consists largely of deposits at the bank, invested in different speculations, and incapable of being realized at a moment's notice. If Phormion has to pay damages, there will at once be a run upon his bank; his customers, to secure their property before it is paid away in damages, will claim their

αν ποιήσαι. είς μεν γαρ τα δυτ' εί βλέποις δάκριβως, ταῦθ' εύρήσεις ών ἔστιν, ἐὰν α, δ μη γένοιτο, ἐξαπατη-50 θωσιν οὖτοι. όρᾶς τὸν 'Αριστόλοχον' τὸν Χαριδήμου; ποτ' είγεν αγρον, είτα γε νῦν πολλοί πολλοίς γαρ έκείνος οφείλων αὐτὸν έκτήσατο, καὶ τὸν Σωσίνομον καὶ τὸν Τιμόδημον καὶ τοὺς ἄλλους τραπεζίτας, οί, έπειδη διαλύειν έδέησεν οίς ὤφειλον, έξέστησαν ἀπάντων τῶν ὄντων. σὰ δ' οὐδὲν οἴει δεῖν σκοπεῖν οὐδ' 960 ων ό πατήρ σοῦ πολλώ βελτίων ων καὶ ἄμεινον 51 Φρονών πρὸς ἄπαντ' ἐβουλεύσατο: δς, ὦ Ζεῦ καὶ θεοὶ, τοσούτω τοῦτον ήγεῖτο σοῦ πλείονος ἄξιον είναι καὶ σοὶ καὶ ἐαυτώ καὶ τοῖς ὑμετέροις πράγμασιν, ὥστε ανδρός όντος σοῦ τοῦτον, οὐ σὲ τῶν ἡμίσεων κατέ-

b Bl.: βλέπεις codices.

· Bekk. αύτὰ Z cum SFQ.

d SrA. åv Z.

S. 'Αρχίλοχον Ζ.

f ἐπειδή vulg. Bl.: ἐπεὶ S (Dind.).

 $\mathbf{g} + \sigma \circ \mathbf{\hat{v}} \mathbf{SA}$. om. Z, Bl.

^h S. αὐτῶ Z.

1 A (Bl.), γρ FQ, ημισθωσεων (ut videtur) prima manu S, μισθώσεων vulg. 'Bona dicuntur quae Pasiclis fiebant' (Blass).

deposits, and Phormion, like others before him, will be bank-

έχοις οὐδὲν ἄν] Notice the strong affinity or attraction that \vec{a}_{ν} has to the negative; which is the reason of the common hyperthesis οὐκ ᾶν οἶμαί σε ποιεῖν, &c. Goodwin's Moods and Tenses, § 42, 2, n. = § 220, ed. 1889; and Short's Order of Words in Attic Greek Prose, p. xciv (3) (b).

50. 'Αριστόλοχον] In 45 § 64 Stephanus is described as cringing to Aristolochus the banker in his prosperity, and deserting his son when in great distress after Aristolochus was ruined.

ποτ' είχεν άγρὸν κ.τ.λ.] 'Ηθ had a farm once,'-'he owned some land in his day; that land has passed to many owners

ποτè (olim) is seldom found in so emphatic a position. –πολλοί (80. ἔχουσι τὸν ἀγρόν). διαλύει»] ΒC. (τούτους) οἶς ὧ- $\phi \epsilon i \lambda o \nu$ 'to settle with, to satisfy, their creditors.' Cf. Or. 37 § 12 note; 30 § 8; 34 § 40; 49 § 29. εξέστησαν] 'Had to give up,' 'were ousted from.' 45 § 64 άπώλετο και των δντων έξέστη. Apatur. § 25, Pantaen. 37 § 49, Antiphon, 2 B § 9, της οὐσίας ἐκστησόμενος, Ar. Acharn. 615 (Hermann Privatalt. § 71, 3= Rechtsalt. p. 122 Thalheim). The special word for becoming bankrupt is ἀνασκευάζεσθαι (contrasted with κατασκευάζεσθαι to establish a bank); Dem. Apatur. 33 § 9 της τραπέζης άνασκευασθείσης. Or. 49 § 68 τοις άνεσκευασμένοις των τραπεζιτών. Cf. infra § 57, ἀνατρέψαι, n.

λιπεν ἐπίτροπον καὶ τὴν γυναῖκ' ἔδωκε καὶ ζῶν αὐτὸν ἐτίμα, κ δικαίως, ὧ ἄνδρες 'Αθηναῖοι' οἱ μὲν γὰρ ἄλλοι τραπεζῖται μίσθωσινοὐ φέροντες, ἀλλ' [αὐτοὶ] αὐτοῖς δεργαζόμενοι πάντες ἀπώλοντο, οὖτος δὲ μίσθωσιν φέρων δύο τάλαντα καὶ τετταράκοντα μνᾶς ὑμῖν ἔσωσε τὴν τράπεζαν. ὧν ἐκεῖνος μὲν χάριν εἶχεν, σὺ δ' οὐδένα 52 ποιεῖ λόγον, ἀλλ' ἐναντία τῷ διαθήκῃ καὶ ταῖς ἀπ' ἐκείνης αὐραις ὑπὸ τοῦ σοῦο πατρός, ἐλαύνεις διώκεις συκοφαντεῖς διώ δελτιστ', εἰ οἶόν τε σὲ τοῦτ' εἰπεῖν, οὐ παύσει καὶ γνώσει τοῦθ', ὅτι πολλῶν χρημάτων τὸ χρηστὸν εἶναι λυσιτελέστερόν ἐστι; σοὶ γοῦν, εἴπερ ἀληθῆ λέγεις, χρήματα μὲν

51. δύο τάλ. κ.τ.λ.] As rent for the bank and the manufactory. Cf. §§ 11, 37; 45 § 32.

52. $\tau a \hat{s} d \rho a \hat{s}$] Solemn imprecations on those who violated the conditions of the will.

έλαύνεις διώκεις συκοφαντείς] 'Harass, prosecute, calumniate. In the Paris Ms διώκεις comes rather feebly after the stronger word συκοφαντείς. The order adopted in the text is to some extent confirmed by the Rhetorician Tiberius (περί σχημά- $\tau\omega\nu$, c. 31), who refers to this passage as an instance of a figure of speech described by another Rhetorician (Alexander, περί σχημάτων, c. 10) as έπί πλειον έπι του αυτου νοήματος έπιμονη μετά αὐξήσεως. His words are: ἐπιμονὴ δέ ἐστιν ὅταν τ γνώση Ζ.

τις πλείω βήματα όρθὰ ἀλλήλοις ἐπιβάλλη, ὡς ἐν τῷ ὑπὲρ Φορμίωνος πρὸς τὸν ᾿Απολλόδωρον, ἄγεις, ἐλαύνεις, διώκεις, συκοφαντεῖς. δείνωσιν τὸ σχήμα ἔχει. The insertion of ἄγεις in this quotation is probably due to a reminiscence of a similar passage in § 47 ἄγεις εἰς μέσον, δεικνύεις, ἐλέγχεις.

ob παύσει κ.τ.λ.] 'Do stop, and make up your mind to this truth, that being honourable pays a man better than being very wealthy.'

πολλών χρημάτων το χρηστον λυσ.] Honesty is the best policy. The collocation of the cognate words χρηματα and χρηστος may be only accidental.

σοί γοῦν] 'In your case, at any rate.' From this primary

k ἐτίμα. Z. ¹ propter hiatum secl. Bl.

m Z, Bl.: éavroîs S (Dind.).

 $[^]n$ è π ' èxelv η s conicit Huettner, exsecrationes istas extra testamentum inscriptas esse arbitratus.

o add. SrA. om. Z.

P διώκεις συκοφαντεΐς Bekk. Bl.: συκοφανταῖς διώκεις Z et Dind. et Voemel cum SrA.

^q παύση Ζ.

τοσαῦτ' εἰληφότι πάντ' ἀπόλωλεν, ὡς φής $^{\circ}$ εἰ δ' ἢσθ' ἐπιεικὴς, οὐκ ἄν ποτ' αὔτ' ἀνήλωσας.

- 53 'Αλλ' ἔγωγε μὰ τὸν Δία καὶ θεοὺς πανταχῆ σκοπῶν οὐδὲν ὁρῶ, δι' ὅ, τι^τ ἃν σοὶ πεισθέντες τουδὶ καταψηφίσαιντο. τί γάρ; ὅτι πλησίον ὄντων τῶν ἀδικημάτων ἐγκαλεῖς; ἀλλ' ἔτεσιν καὶ χρόνοις[™] ὕστερον αἰτιᾶ.
 - φhs rectius scribi docuit Cobet ad Hyper. or. ed. ii p. 108
 (Huettner).
 - t διότι Bekk. διά τί Z et Voemel (διατί SA).
 - u +τοσούτοις Seager. ετεσι καί fortasse ex ετεσι κ' corruptum.

sense $\gamma \circ \hat{\nu} \nu$ often takes the secondary meaning 'for instance.'

§§ 53-57. But though (for the sake of argument) the speaker has pointed out the results which would ensue, if the defendant were condemned, he protests that he can see no ground for such condemnation. Plaintiff brings forward his charge ever so many years after the alleged offence, and meanwhile has found time for incessant litigation, especially in public causes where his personal interests were but partially affected. While prosecuting so many others, how came he to let Phormion alone? The presumption is that the plaintiff was never really wronged by him, and that the claim now put in, so long after the event, is utterly false and groundless.

To meet these charges, it will be much to the purpose to produce evidence of the bad character of the plaintiff, and also of the integrity and kindly feeling, the generosity and the public services of the defendant.

53. άλλ'...άλλ'...άλλά] For this use of άλλά of. Dem. 18 § 24 τιγλρ και βουλόμενοι μετεπέμπεσθ' άν αύτους έν τοσούτω τῷ καιρῷ; ἐπὶ τὴν εἰρήνην; άλλ' ὑπῆρχεν ἄπασυ. άλλ' ἐπὶ τὸν πόλεμον;

άλλ' αὐτοί περί εἰρήνης έβουλεύεσθε (Huettner).

έτεσιν και χρόνοις υστερον] i.e. 'years and ages later,' 'ever so many years after,' 'years and years later.' The phrase is curious and is perhaps rightly suspected by Seager, who suggests the emendation έτεσι καλ χρόνοις τοσούτοις ὔστερον (Classical Journal 1829, Vol. 30, No. 59, p. 109). Cf. Or. 59 § 98 ύστερον δε ώς πεντήκοντα έτεσιν. It is defended by G. H. Schaefer, who refers to Pausanias x 17 § 3 έτεσι δὲ ὕστερον μετὰ τοὺς Λιβύας ἀφίκοντο. We may compare Lysias 3 § 39 οι μέν άλλοι...δργιζόμενοι παραχρήμα τιμωρεῖσθαι ζητοῦσιν, οὖτος δὲ χρόνοις ὕστερον. But the two phrases έτεσιν ύστερον and χρόνοις ύστερον, however defensible in themselves separately, do not apparently occur in combination elsewhere; and it may therefore be worth while to suggest either άλλα τοσούτοις χρόνοις υστερον, or simply άλλα χρόνοις υστερον just as in the passage of Lysias above quoted. In the latter case έτεσι και may be a corruption of έτεσι κ' i.e. 'twenty years,' a marginal note explaining χρόνοις by referring to § 26, παρεληλυθότων έτων πλέον ή είκοσι, and § 38, έτων

άλλ' ὅτι τοῦτον ἀπράγμων ἦσθα τὸν χρόνον; καὶν τίς οὐκ οἶδεν ὅσα πράγματα πράττων οὐ πέπαυσαι, οὐ μόνον δίκας ἰδίας διώκων οὐκ ἐλάττους ταυτησί, ἀλλὰ καὶ™ δημοσία συκοφαντῶν καὶ κρίνων τινάς; τοὐχὶ Τιμομάχου κατηγόρεις; οὐς Καλλίππου τοῦ νῦν ὄντος

FQ (Bl.): ἀλλὰ Dind.
 FQ (Bl.): om. vulg.
 γ FQ (Bl.): οὐχὶ vulg.

tows εκοσι. (Shilleto suggests as a parallel to έτεσι και χρόνοις, Cic. Verr. n 3 § 21 tot annis atque adeo saeculis tot.)

άπράγμων] Often used of quiet and easy-going people who shrink from litigation. Or. 40 § 32 άπράγμων καὶ οὐ φιλόδικος, 42 § 12. Cf. ἀπραγμοσύνη and its opposites, πολυπράγμων, πολυπραγμοσύνη. So also, in the next line, πράγματα πράττων, as is clear from the rest of the sentence, refers to the plaintiff's incessant litigation. Or. 27 § 1 οὐδὲν ἀν ἔδει δικῶν οὐδὲ πραγμάτων. 54 § 24.

κρίνων τινάς] The force of the sentence is much improved by Dobree's almost certain emendation κρίνων τίνας οδ; οὐχὶ Τιμομάχου κατηγόρεις; where the loss of οὔ would be accounted for by οὐχὶ (or οὐ) following immediately after. Or. 37 § 14 πολλὰ δεηθέντος καὶ τί οὐ ποιήσαντος 47 § 43 δεομένων ἀπάστων καὶ ἰκετευόντων καὶ τίνα οὖ προσπεμπύντων; Felicissime restituit, says Shilleto of Dobree (F. L. § 231).

Τιμομάχου κ.τ.λ.] All these prosecutions are almost certainly connected with the naval operations extending over the plaintiff's protracted trierarchy of seventeen months in the Thracian waters (in B.C. 362—

361). In his speech against Polycles (Or. 50) Autocles, Meno, and Timomachus are mentioned as successive commanders of the fleet (§§ 12-14 and Or. 23 § 104-5); and while he there speaks in general terms of the maladministration of all the commanders (§ 15 τὰ τῶν στρατηγών ἄπιστα), he uses the strongest language against Timomachus, mainly for his treasonable collusion with an exiled relative, Callistratus. (See next note.) Timomachus was condemned, and put to death (Schol. on Aeschin. I § 56).

Καλλίππου τοῦ νῦν... έν Σικελία] The context shows that this Callippus (who must not be confounded with the plaintiff in the speech of Apollodorus πρὸς Κάλλιππον Or. 52) can be none other than 'the son of Philon, of the deme Aexone,' who, at the request of Timomachus, conveyed Callistratus on board an Athenian trireme to Thasos from his place of exile in Macedonia, after Apollodorus had stoutly refused to allow his own vessel to be used for so unlawful a purpose (Or. 50 §§ 46-52). He may, with great probability, be identified with Plato's pupil of that name, with whom another of Plato's disciples, the well-known Dion ἐν Σικελίᾳ; οὐ πάλιν Μένωνος; οὐκ Αὐτοκλέους; οὐ 961
54 Τιμοθέου; οὐκ ἄλλων πολλών; καίτοι πῶς ἔχει λόγον
σέ, ᾿Απολλόδωρον ὄντα, πρότερον τῶν κοινῶν, ὧν μέρος

of Syracuse, lived on friendly terms at Athens on his banishment from Sicily in B.C. 366. In August 357, Dion, with a small force, started from the island of Zacynthus, and during the absence of Dionysius the younger, made a triumphal entry into Syracuse, attended by his friend Callippus, who was one of his captains, and is described by Plutarch as λαμπρός έν τοις αγώσι και διάσημος. Ultimately, in the spring or summer of 858, Dion was assassinated by Callippus, who after usurping the government for thirteen months, was defeated in battle by a brother of the younger Dionysius, and after wandering about in Sicily and establishing himself in Southern Italy, at Rhegium, was shortly after (probably in B.c. 350) himself killed by his friends, with the very sword (as the story runs) with which he murdered Dion. (Plutarch, Dion, 17, 28-58; Plato, Ep. vii; Diodorus, xvi passim.)

In the present passage Apollodorus is stated to have prosecuted Callippus τοῦ νῦν ὅντος ἐν The Athenian fleet Σικελία. (with Callippus) reached Athens from the Thracian coasts in Feb. 860, and Callippus started for Syracuse from Zacynthus in Aug. 357, so that the plaintiff's prosecution of him cannot well be placed later than the spring of 857, though it may have been two years earlier in 359, and in any case about the same time as his prosecutions of Timomachus. Meno and Autocles. (A. Schaefer Dem. u. s. Zeit, III 2, 158—161.)

If the present speech is as late as 350 s.c., Callippus was still alive; at any rate, the news of his death cannot have reached Athens. Introd. p. xxix.

οὐ Τιμοθέου;] The charge against Timotheus, the celebrated Athenian general, may have been connected with his defeat at Amphipolis, B.c. 360. At first sight the allusion might be explained of the plaintiff's private suit (Or. 49) against the general for sums borrowed from Pasion (cf. above § 36 n.); but the context appears to point expressly to public indictments (δημοσία in the previous sentence and τῶν κοινῶν in the next); though this reason is not conclusive, as the first part of the previous sentence refers to δίκαι ίδιαι.

54. 'Απολλόδωρον όντα κ.τ.λ.] aculeatum et amarum dictum. Reiske. Itisnotlike Apollodorus, it is inconsistent with his true character, to be going out of his way to undertake public prosecutions where his own interests were but partially affected, to the neglect of private suits in which, as he says, he has a direct and an important concern. If Apollodorus had been really wronged by Phormion, he would have prosecuted him before. For the emphatic reference to the name, cf. Or. 30 § 20; 37 § 38; also Cicero, ad Atticum v 2, '...cum Hortensius veniret et infirmus et tam longe et Hortensius.'

μέρος] 'In part alone,' as only one aggrieved person out

ηδικού, δίκην άξιούν λαμβάνειν, ή των ίδίων ών νύν έγκαλείς, άλλως τε καὶ τηλικούτων δύτων, ώς σύ φής; τί ποτ' οὖν ἐκείνων κατηγορῶν τόνδ' εἴας; οὐκ ἠδικοῦ, \vec{a} λλ', οἶμαι, συκοφαντεῖς νῦν, ἡγοῦμαι τοίνυν ω άνδρες 'Αθηναίοι πάντων μάλιστ' είς τὸ πράγμα είναι τούτων μάρτυρας παρασχέσθαι τον γάρ συκοφαντοῦντ' ἀεὶ τί χρη νομίζειν νῦν ποιεῖν; καὶ νη 55 Δί' ἔγωγ' ὦ ἄνδρες 'Αθηναῖοι νομίζω πάνθ' ὅσα τοῦ τρόπου τοῦ Φορμίωνός ἐστι σημεῖα καὶ τῆς τούτου δικαιοσύνης καὶ φιλανθρωπίας, καὶ ταῦτ' εἰς τὸ πράγμ' είναι πρὸς ύμας είπειν. ὁ μὲν γὰρ περὶ πάντ' άδικος τάχ' άν, εί τύχοι, καὶ τοῦτον ήδίκει · ὁ δὲ μηδένα μηδεν ήδικηκώς, πολλούς δ' εὖ πεποιηκώς έκών. έκ τίνος εἰκότως ἄν* τρόπου τοῦτον μόνον ηδίκει τῶν πάντων; τούτων τοίνυν των μαρτυριών ἀκούσαντες γνώσεσθε τὸν έκατέρου τρόπου, "Ιθι δη λαβέ" τὰς 56 πρός 'Απολλόδωρον της πονηρίας.

MAPTTPIAI.

- Αρ' οὖν ὅμοιος οὑτοσί: σκοπεῖτε. λέγε.
- * SrA. αν είκοτως Ζ.
- MAPTYPIAI om. Reiske, G. H. Schaefer, Bl.
- * καὶ codices; λέγε G. H. Schaefer (λέγε καὶ Dind.); λαβέ Bl.

of many. So τὸ μέρος in Herod. 1 120, 11 173, and μέρος τι in Thuc. IV 30, and Dem. 50 § 35. Cf. n. on 45 § 70 τὸ σαυτοῦ μέρος. πάντων μάλιστ' els το πραγμα] 'Very much to the purpose, 'anything but irrelevant,' 57 § 7 είς αὐτὸ τὸ πρᾶγμα πάντα λέγειν. The depositions about to be produced on the general character of plaintiff and defendant, are liable to objection on the ground of their being beside the question. The speaker here meets that objection beforehand.

55. πάντ'] Masc. cf. Xen.

Anab. 1 6 § 8 περί έμε άδικος. 56. τàs] sc. μαρτυρίας. 'Testimony to the plaintiff's bad character.'

The four sets of depositions may probably be grouped as follows: (1) General evidence of Phormion's good character. (2) On his opponent's bad cha-(3) On Phormion's racter. generosity to those in need (§ 58, άκούετε...οίον έαυτὸν τοῖς δεηθείσι παρέχει). (4) On Phormion's public benefactions (§§ 56, 57, χρήσιμος τη πόλει, and § 58 ad fin.).
αρ' οῦν ὅμοιος οὐτοσί; σκοπεῖτε]

MAPTTPIAI.

'Ανάγνωθι δή καὶ ὅσα δημοσία χρήσιμος τῆ πόλει γέγον' οὐτοσί.

MAPTTPIAI.

- 57 Τοσαῦτα τοίνυν ὦ ἄνδρες 'Αθηναῖοι Φορμίων χρήσιμος τῆ πόλει γεγονὼς καὶ πολλοῖς ὑμῶν, καὶ οὐδέν οὕτ' ἰδία οὕτε δημοσία κακὸν οὐδὲν εἰργασμένος, οὐδ' ἀδικῶν 'Απολλόδωρον τουτονί, δεῖται καὶ ἱκετεύει καὶ ἀξιοῖ σωθῆναι, καὶ ἡμεῖς συνδεόμεθ' οἱ 962 ἐπιτήδειοι ταῦθ' ὑμῶν. ἐκεῖνο δ' ὑμᾶς ἀκοῦσαι δεῖ. τοσαῦτα γὰρ ὦ ἄνδρες 'Αθηναῖοι χρήμαθ' ὑμῖν ἀνεγνώσθη προσηυπορηκώς ', ὅσ' οὐθ' οὖτος οὕτ' ἄλλος
 - FQ (Bl.); γεγονώς $\tau \hat{y}$ πόλει A; γεγονώς και $\tau \hat{y}$ πόλει S (Dind.).
 - legendum fortasse τασθ'.

^c προσευ- Z cum S.

Look here, upon this picture, and on this.— $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon$. Thus used by Dem. in 28 §§ 11, 12, 13 only (Sigg, p. 431).

§ 57 to end. The defendant not only implores your protection, but claims it as his right. Generous in his benefactions and apart from his actual resources enjoying credit for at least as much besides, he is enabled by means of that good credit to be of advantage, not to himself alone, but to yourselves as well. Do not suffer so worthy, so energetic, so generous a man of business to be ruined by this abominable blackguard. of the plaintiff's statements you will simply disregard as baseless calumny, but you must order him to prove either that there was no will (cf. § 33), or that there is some other lease besides that produced on our side (cf. § 9), or that he did not give the defendant a release from all claims (§§ 15, 16), or that the laws allow a claim to be set up when once such a release has been given (§§ 23-5), Challenge him to prove any one of these points, or anything like them. If, for want of such proof, he resorts to ribaldry, don't attend to him, don't allow his loud and shameless assertions to mislead you; but carefully remember what you have heard on our side. If so, you will give a verdict which will be true to your consciences, true to the cause of justice. (The clerk shall read you the law and the remaining depositions.)

That is our case, gentlemen: I need not detain you any longer. 57. δείται και ικετεύει και άξιοῖ σωθήναι] Requests, implores and claims your protection. Or. 27 § 68, and 57 § 1, δέομαι και

ἰκετεύω καὶ ἀντιβολῶ. χρήμαθ' ὑμῖν ἀνεγνώσθη προσηυπορηκὼs] Kennedy translates: οὐδεὶς κέκτηται. πίστις μέντοι Φορμίωνι παρά τοῖς εἰδόσι καὶ τοσούτων καὶ πολλῷ πλειόνων χρημάτων, δι' ἦς καὶ αὐτὸς αὐτῷ καὶ ὑμῖν χρήσιμός ἐστιν. â 58 μὴ προῆσθε, μηδ' ἐπιτρέψητ' ἀνατρέψαι τῷ μιαρῷ

d + $\epsilon \sigma \tau l$ (Dind.). om, FQ (Bl.).

° Bekk. cum Ar. $\pi poei\sigma \theta e prima manu S. \pi p on \sigma \theta e Z$ (vulgo et correctus S).

'It has been read out to you, that he has acquired such a heap of money as neither he nor any one else possesses.' This can hardly be right, particularly as such a blunt assertion of Phormion's affluence would be a very invidious statement for his friends to make, and would not ingratiate him in the eyes of the court.

εύπορεῖν χρήματα (or χρημά-των) has two senses, (1) 'to be well off'; (2) 'to supply money.' 'εὐπορεῖν,' says Lobeck (Parerga p. 595), 'non solum significat abunde habere ... sed etiam suppeditare: ἐπικουρίαν ταῖς χρείαις έξευπορείν Plato Legg. xi 153; χρήμαθ' υμίν προσευπορηκώς Dem. Phorm. 962. Cf. Apat. 894, 14 (= Or. 33 § 7 εὐπορήσειν αὐτῷ δέκα μνᾶs): de reb. Chers. p. 94 (συνευπορούντας εκείνω χρημάτων); Boeot. p. 1019 (=Or. 40 § 36 χρήματα εὐστορήσαs); Neaer. 1369, 10; Aeschin. Timarch. p. 121; Lycurg. Leocr. p. 233; quibus inter se collatis intelligitur, quanta sit utriusque notionis contagio, a Romanis quoque unius verbi suppetendi angustiis conclusa.' (See note on Or. 40 § 36, and cf. 33 § 6 τριάκοντα μνάς συνευπορήσαι.)

Having regard to the context, we must here take the second sense of εὐπορεῦν, and explain the passage as follows: 'The depositions read aloud to you

show that the defendant has (lit, he has been recited to you as having) provided you on emergencies with larger sums of money than his own (obros i.e. our friend, the defendant's) or any one else's private fortune amounts to; but then he has credit, &c.' The sentence πίστις μέντοι κ.τ.λ. shows how it came to pass that Phormion was enabled, as a capitalist in the enjoyment of extensive credit in the commercial world, to advance sums of money larger than the private resources of any single individual.

πίστις] 'Credit.' Cf. § 44

πίστις άφορμή κ.τ.λ.

58. $d \mu \eta \pi \rho \rho \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon$ 'Do not throw this away,' i.e. 'do not sacrifice these advantages to the interests of the plaintiff.'

μηδ΄ ἐπιτρέψητ' ἀνατρέψαι]
Possibly an unintentional collocation of two compounds of
τρέπειν. One word, however,
might suggest the other. 'Do
not suffer this wretch to overturn it,' i.e. over-throw the defendant from his high position
and good credit.

[The metaphor is perhaps from overthrowing a fabric of wealth, as in Aesch. Pers. 165, μη μέγας πλοῦτος κονίσας οδθας ἀντρέψη ποδὶ δλβον δν Δαρεῖος ῆρεν οὐκ ἀνευ θεῶν τινός, i.e. 'iniurioso pede proruere.' P.] In Theb. 1076 the context shows that the

τούτφ [ἀνθρώπφ]^ξ, μηδὲ ποιήσητ' αἰσχρὸν παράδειγμα, ὡς τὰ τῶν ἐργαζομένων καὶ μετρίως ἐθελόντων ζῆν τοῖς βδελυροῖς καὶ συκοφάνταις ὑπάρχει παρ' ὑμῶν λαβεῖν· πολὺ γὰρ χρησιμώτερ' ὑμῖν παρὰ τῷδ΄ ὄνθ' ὑπάρχει. ὁρᾶτε γὰρ αὐτοὶ καὶ ἀκούετε τῶν μαρτύρων,

f propter hiatum secl. Bl.

metaphor is not from an earthquake, but from the capsizing of a ship, πόλιν μη άνατραπηναι μηδ' άλλοδαπών κύματι φωτών κατακλυσθήναι, and the way in which the word is used by the orators proves that they also regarded it as a nautical metaphor: Dem. 9 § 69 δπως μηδείς άνατρέψει (τὸ σκάφος), 19 § 250 ούχ όπως όρθη πλεύσεται (ή πόλις) προείδετο, άλλ' άνέτρεψε και κατέδυσε. Aeschin. 3 § 158 πλοΐον άνατρέψη and την πόλιν άρδην άνατετροφότα. It is metaphorically applied in Dem. 18 § 296 to the δροι των άγαθων καί κανόνες, in 25 Aristog. 1 § 28 to τὰ κοινά δίκαια and in § 32 to την πόλιν; in Aeschin. 1 § 187 to την κοινην παιδείαν, in § 190 to πόλεις; in Deinarchus 1 § 30 to πράγματα ή ίδια ή κοινά, in § 88 to την πόλιν (with επιτρέψετε in the previous clause), and in 3 § 4 to ἄπαντα τὰ ἐν τῆ πόλει.

In Liddell and Scott (ed. 6) the phrase ἀνατρέπειν τράπεζαν is explained 'to upset a banker's table, i.e. to make him bankrupt.' The only passage quoted is Dem. 403, 7, where however there is no reference whatever to a bankruptcy, but only to the overturning of a table towards the close of a disorderly banquet. (The reference to Dem. 743, 1 [= Timocr. § 136] in ed. 7 should be to the Scholium on that passage, quoted below.)

In Andocides de Mysteriis,

§ 130, we have a curious passage stating that in Athens there was a story current among the old wives and the little children, that the house of Hipponicus was haunted by an unquiet spirit that overturned his table (Ιππόνικος έν τη οἰκία άλιτήριον τρέφει, δε αὐτοῦ τὴν τράπεζαν άνατρέπει). πώς οθν (the orator continues) ή φήμη ή τότε οὖσα δοκεῖ ὑμῖν ἀποβήναι; οιόμενος γάρ Ίππόνικος υιόν τρέφειν, άλιτήριον αὐτῷ ἔτρεφεν, δε άνατέτροφεν έκείνου τὸν πλοῦτον, την σωφροσύνην, τον άλλον βίον ἄπαντα. But the only place, so far as I can find, in which the phrase has a distinct reference to bankruptcy is the Scholium on Dem. Timocr. § 136, where δανείσαι τοίς τραπεζίταις is followed by έτυχεν ύστερον άνατραπήναι τàs τραπέcas (Baiter and Sauppe, Orat. Att. II 119, 6, 35). See § 50 έξέστησαν, n.

aiσχρὸν παράδειγμα κ.τ.λ.] 'A disgraceful precedent that the property of men in business, who live respectable lives, may be obtained from you by miscreants and pettifoggers.' Kennedy. ὑπάρχει, 'that the laws allow,' 'that it is a condition of your polity.'

πολύ γάρ—ύπαρχει] Or. 38 § 28 ά και ύμιν έστιν έπ' ώφελεία μείζονι παρ' ήμιν όντα ή παρά τούτοιs. Lysias Or. 18 §§ 20, 21;

19 § 61; 21 §§ 12—14.

οδον έαυτὸν τοῖς δεηθεῖσι παρέχει. καὶ τούτων οὐδὲν 59 εἴνεκα τοῦ λυσιτελοῦντος εἰς χρήματα πεποίηκεν, ἀλλὰ φιλανθρωπία καὶ τρόπου εἀπιεικεία. οὔκουν ἄξιον, ιδ ἄνδρες ᾿Αθηναῖοι, τὸν τοιοῦτον ἄνδρα προέσθαι τούτω, οὐδὲ τηνικαῦτ᾽ ἐλεεῖν ὅτ᾽ οὐδὲν ἔσται τουτωὶ πλέον, ἀλλὰ νῦν ὅτε κύριοι καθέστατε σῶσαι · οὐ γὰρ ἔγωγ᾽ ὁρῶ καιρὸν ἐν ῷ τινιὶ μᾶλλον ἀν βοηθήσειέ τις αὐτῷ. τὰ μὲν οῦν πόλλ᾽ ων ᾿Απολλόδωρος ἐρεῖ, νομίζετ᾽ 60 εἶναι λόγον¹ καὶ συκοφαντίας, κελεύετε δ᾽ αὐτὸν ὑμῖν ἐπιδεῖξαι, ἡ ως οὐ διέθετο ταῦθ᾽ ὁ πατήρ, ἡ ως ἔστι τις ἄλλη μίσθωσις πλὴν ἡς ἡμεῖς δείκνυμεν, ἡ ως οὐκ ἀφῆκεν αὐτὸν διαλογισάμενος τῶν ἐγκλημάτων ἀπάντων, ὰ ἔγνω θ᾽° ὁ κηδεστὴς ὁ τούτου καὶ οὖτος

- ε και τρόπων vel τρόπου και Bl.
- h τουτω Bl. coll. § 4; τούτω vulg.; πλέον τούτω FQ, τούτω fortasse delendum putat Bl. coll. §§ 35, 42.
 - i φ τινι Bl. coll. 8 § 77, et supra § 53, ubi διà τί pro δι' ὅτι scriptum.
 - k μάλλον αν propter hiatum Bl.: αν μάλλον vulg.
 - 1 λόγους maluit Reiske.
- m Bekk. om. Z et Bekker st. cum S ubi per imprudentiam (ut videtur) vuūr in versu extremo praetermissum.
 - n Bekk. Av Z cum Sr (etiam FQ).
 - o propter hiatum addidit Bl.

59. τοῦ λυσιτελ. εἰς χρήματα] Pecuniary advantage; instead of being placed between the article and participle, as would be most natural, εἰς χρήματα is reserved for a more emphatic position.

for a more emphatic position. καιρὸν ἐν ῷ τινι] The manuscript reading ἐν τίνι involves a confusion between ἐν τίνι καιρῷ, and καιρὸν ἐν ῷ, κ.τ.λ. Cf. Or. $56 \ \S \ 24 \ n.$, and Plat. Rep. p. $399 \ \mathtt{E}$, βίου ρυθμούς $1 \delta e \hat{\imath} \nu$ κοσμίου τε και ἀνδρείου τίνες είσίν οὖς $1 \delta \delta e \hat{\imath} \nu$ κοτμίου τε και ἀνδρείου τίνες είσίν οὖς $1 \delta \delta e \hat{\imath} \nu$ κοτμβουλεύειν, ὧν χρη...δρέγεσθαι και τίνων ἔργων ἀπέχεσθαι, n.

60. λόγον και συκοφ.] i.e. empty talk and baseless misrepresentation. For λόγος, meretalk, cf. Or. 20 § 101 el δέ ταθτα λόγους και φλυαρίας είναι φήσεις, έκεθνό γ' οὐ λόγος, 8 § 13 λόγοι και προφάσεις, 10 § 101 λόγους και φλυαρίας. Similarly λόγοι in 30 § 34 and λόγος in 20 § 92.

έπιδείξαι] Plaintiff is challenged to prove his statements, not to rest content with vague calumny.

διαλογισάμενος] See § 23. έγκλημάτων ά έγνω] Claims which were the subject of the

αὐτὸς συνεχώρησεν, ἡ ὡς διδόασιν οἱ νόμοι δικάζεσθαι τῶν οὕτω πραχθέντων, ἡ τῶν τοιούτων τι δεικνύναι. 61 ἐἀν δ' ἀπορῶν αἰτίας καὶ βλασφημίας λέγη καὶ κακο-λογῷ, μὴ προσέχετε τὸν νοῦν, μηδ' ὑμᾶς ἡ τούτου 963 κρανγὴ καὶ ἀναίδει' ἐξαπατήση. ἀλλὰ φυλάττετε καὶ μέμνησθ' ὄσ' ἡμῶν ἀκηκόατε. κάν ταῦτα ποιῆτε, αὐτοί τ' εὐορκήσετε καὶ τουτονὶ δικαίως σώσετε, ἄξιον ὄντα νὴ τὸν Δία καὶ θεοὺς ἄπαντας.

i2 - `Ανάγνωθι λαβών αὐτοῖς τὸν νόμον καὶ τὰς μαρ-- τυρίας τασδί.

ΝΟΜΟΣ. ΜΑΡΤΥΡΙΑΙ.

Οὐκ οἰδ ὅ τι δεῖ πλείω λέγειν οἰμαι γὰρ ὑμᾶς οὐδὲν ἀγνοεῖν τῶν εἰρημένων. ἐξέρα τὸ ὕδωρ.

P και κακολογŷ delenda esse existimat Huettner, 'nam κακολογῶ idem declarat, quod βλασφημίας λέγευ, et verbum satis rarum est apud antiquos scriptores; cf. Lys. 8 § 5, Pseudodem. 35 § 94.'

nward (proofs) of Deinias, 'á typus quae disceptavit.' G. H. Sphaefer. Cf. § 17 init.

bine to try if he can show,' do.
'To be distinguished from extract, just above.

(i), heye] 'Go on talking.'

61. Myp] 'Go on talking.'

pulserers and plumpede 'Keep

in mind and remember.' Or. 20

14.168, 167; 26 § 219 raira pu
direct and papulation address,

15.287.

62 : As right nei rds maproples]
The content does not about what
another
another
and furand furat to the

obκ oth oth other control of the same sentence verbatim is found at the close of Or. 20 (Lept.), 38 (Nausimach.), and 54 (Conon); and also at the end of the 7th and 8th speeches of Isseus.

δτι δεί] Not 'what I should say further,' (which would require πλέον), but 'why I should say any more,' 'what need there is for my saying any more.' Similarly in 41 § 25, ηγοῦμαι μὲν οὐδὲν ἔτι δεῖν πλείω λέγεω, we must be careful to take οὐδὲν before δεῦν and not after λέγεω.

ἐξέρα τὸ δδωρ] 'Pour out the water.' See Midias, § 129. (Cf. ἐξερῶν τοὺς λίθους in Ar. Ach. 341, and τὰς ψήφους in Vesp. 993.) The only other passage where the phrase is found is at the

end of Or. 38, where the whole of this short epilogue recurs.

The speaker having concluded his speech within the legal limits of time measured by the $\kappa \lambda \epsilon \psi \delta \rho \alpha$, pointedly calls on the attendant to empty the 'water-clock' (54 § 36). The court is thus reminded that the speaker has spared them a longer speech, and the defendant gets the credit of having so good a cause that the orator does not find it necessary to avail him-

self of the full time at his disposal.

The result of Phormion's plea is thus stated by Apollodorus Or. 45 § 6 οῦτω διέθηκε τοὺς δικαστὰς ὥστε φωνὴν μηδ' ἡντινοῦν ἐθέλειν ἀκούειν ἡμῶν· προσοφλών δὲ τὴν ἐπωβελίαν καὶ οὐδὲ λόγου τυχεῖν ἀξιωθείς, ἀλλ' ὑβρισθείς ὡς οὐκ οἰδ' εἴ τις πώποτε άλλος ἀνθρώπων, ἀπήειν βαρέως, ἀ ἀνδρες ᾿Αθηναῖοι, καὶ χαλεπῶς φέρων.

XLV.

ΚΑΤΑ ΣΤΕΦΑΝΟΥ ΨΕΥΔΟΜΑΡΤΥΡΙΩΝ Α.

ΥΠΟΘΕΣΙΣ.

"Ότε 'Απολλόδωρος ἔκρινε Φορμίωνα τῆς τραπέζης ἀφορμὴν
ἐγκαλῶν, ὁ δὲ τὴν δίκην παρεγράψατο, Στέφανος μετ' ἄλλων
τινῶν ἐμαρτύρησε Φορμίωνι, ως ἄρα ὁ μὲν Φορμίων προὖκαλεῖτ' 'Απολλόδωρον, εἰ μή φησιν ἀντίγραφ' εἶναι τῶν ΙΙΟΙ
5 διαθηκῶν τῶν τοῦ πατρὸς Πασίωνος, ἄ Φορμίων παρέσχεν,
ἀνοῖξαι τὰς διαθήκας αὐτάς, ἄς ἔχει καὶ παρέχεται 'Αμφίας,
'Απολλόδωρος δ' ἀνοίγειν οὐκ ἤθέλησεν, ἔστι δ' ἀντίγραφα
τάδε τῶν διαθηκῶν τῶν Πασίωνος. ταύτην ἐμαρτύρησαν τὴν
μαρτυρίαν οἰ περὶ Στέφανον, τοῦ 'Απολλοδώρου λέγοντος
10 κατὰ τοῦ Φορμίωνος, ως ἄρα τὰς διαθήκας πέπλακε καὶ τὸ

()rationem Demosthenis non esse putabant Z.

Argumentum in ultima columna antecedentis orationis 36 addidit manus recentior in S.

- * & Erfparos S (Dind.).
- Φορμίωνος Πασίωνος παρασχείν vulg.; Πασίωνος, παρασχείν Z.

φορμίωνι πασίωνος παρασχεῖν S; hinc Πασίωνος, Φορμίωνι παρασχεῖν Dind. II., δ Φορμίων παρέσχεν Bl.

τραπέζει ἀφορμὴν ἐγκαλῶν]
 Hee § Ν οι ὑπόθεσις το Οτ. 36.
 Οπ παρεγράψατο μου ib. § 8.

Στόφανοι όμαρτύρησε κ.τ.λ.]
 Hee infru § 8. The dhλoι τωές are called "Βνδιοι and Σκύθης in the document there quoted.

4. εί μή φησιν] 'P. made A. a proposal, that if A. denies that the copies put in by Phormion

are copies of the will of his father Pasion, he shall open the will itself which is in the custody of, and is produced by, Amphias.'

7. ξστι δ' ἀντίγραφα κ.τ.λ.] The clause is continued from ώs, 'that the document produced is a copy of Pasion's will.'

10. πέπλακε...σκευώρημα] Or.

όλον πραγμα σκευώρημ' έστίν. ήττηθεὶς τοίνυν τὴν δίκην ᾿Απολλόδωρος ὑπὲρ τῆς μαρτυρίας ὡς ψευδοῦς οὖσης τῷ Στεφάνῳ δικάζεται.

Καταψευδομαρτυρηθείς & ἄνδρες 'Αθηναίοι καὶ τ παθών ὑπὸ Φορμίωνος ὑβριστικὰ καὶ δεινὰ δίκην παρὰ τῶν αἰτίων ἥκω ληψόμενος παρ' ὑμῖν. δέομαι δὲ πάντων ὑμῶν καὶ ἰκετεύω καὶ ἀντιβολῶ πρῶτον

36 § 33 πλάσμα καὶ σκευώρημα δλον, and infra § 42.

§§ 1, 2. Exordium (προοίμιον). Having been defeated by false testimony in my suit against Phormion, I have come into court to claim a verdict against those who compassed that outrageous and atrocious wrong. I ask the jury to give me a friendly and favourable hearing; and, if I make good my case, to grant me the redress which is my due.

In the former trial, the defendant Stephanus in particular gave false evidence against me, prompted by corrupt motives; and I propose to prove this from his own testimony. A brief recital of the relations between Phormion and myself will help the jury to form an opinion on the villainy of Phormion and the falsehood of his witnesses.

The Exordium is not unlike that of Or. 54, κατὰ Κόνωνος, where, as here, the προαύλιον (Ar. Rhet. III 14) or, as we should say, the key-note of the whole speech is struck in the opening words: ὑβρισθείς ὧ ἀνδρες δικασταὶ καὶ παθών ὑπὸ Κόνωνος κ.τ.λ. Cf. 21 § 1; 57 § 1.—

The appeal ad captandam benevolentiam, πρῶτον μἐν εὐνοϊκῶς ἀκοῦσαὶ μου, also occurs in Or. 54 § 2, and similarly the formula εἰτ' ἐὰν (ἡδικῆσθαι καὶ πα-

ρανενομήσθαι) δοκώ, βοηθήσαι μοι τὰ δίκαια (cf. 21 § 7; 27 § 3; 37 § 3; 38 § 2), and lastly the promise of brevity, ώς ἀν οίδς τε ὧ διὰ βραχυτάτων (cf. 36 § 3; 27 § 3; 37 § 3).

καταψευδομαρτυρηθείς] 'Crushed by' (or 'having been the victim of') 'false testimony.' Cf. Or. 21 § 136; 33 § 37; and Plat. Gorg. 472 B. Harpoer. Kaταψευδομαρτυρησάμενος άντὶ τοῦ παρασχών τὰ ψεύδη (an ψευδή?) μαρτυρήσοντας. Δημοσθένης έν τῷ κατά Στεφάνου. The lexicographer intended doubtless to refer to Or. 29 (ὑπὲρ Φάνου πρὸς "Αφοβον ψευδομαρτυριών) § 6, where the middle participle explained by him is to be found. The mistake possibly arose out of a confusion between the titles of the two speeches, κατά Στε-φάνου and ὑπὲρ Φάνου (A. Schaefer in Neue Jahrb. 1870, vol. 101 p. 523).

ω ἀνδρες 'Αθήναῖοι] occurs 24 times in Or. 36, and 21 times in this speech, but never in the rest of the speeches of Apollodorus in private causes, though found 9 times in Or. 59, in Nearam, delivered by the same speaker in a public cause (Huettner).

παθών — ὑβριστικά] Or. 23 § 56.

δέομαι ... Ικετεύω ... άντιβολώζ

μέν εὐνοϊκῶς ἀκοῦσαί μου· μέγα γὰρ τοῖς ἦτυχηκόσιν, ὅσπερ ἐγώ, δυνηθῆναι περὶ ὧν πεπόνθασιν εἰπεῖν καὶ εὐμενῶς ἐχόντων ὑμῶν ἀκροατῶν τυχεῖν· εἰτ' ἐὰν ἀδι2 κεῖσθαι δοκῶ, βοηθῆσαί μοι τὰ δίκαια. ἐπιδείξω δ' ὑμῖν τουτονὶ Στέφανον καὶ μεμαρτυρηκότα τὰ ψευδῆ, καὶ δι' αἰσχροκέρδειαν τοῦτο πεποιηκότα, καὶ κατήγο- 1102 ρον αὐτὸν αὐτοῦ γιγνόμενον· τοσαύτη περιφάνεια τοῦ

om. Z cum libris. addidit Reiskius.
 d Z, Bl. αlσχροκερδίαν ubique S (Bekk., Dind.).

Cf. infra§ 85, Or. 27 (Aphobus A) § 68, and 57 (Eubul.) § 1; Lysias Or. 18 § 27, and 21 § 21. Such combinations of two or three nearly synonymous verbs are very common in the undisputed speeches of Demosthenes (e.g. Or. 36 § 47 ἄγεις είς μέσον, δεικνύεις, έλέγχεις; ib. 52 έλαύνεις, συκοφαντείς, διώκεις; ib. 57 δείται και Ικετεύει και άξιοί; Or. 54 § 33 δικάζομαι καὶ μισῶ καὶ ἐπεξέρχομαι). The speech ὑπὲρ Φορμίωνος alone contains nearly forty such passages; of the speeches delivered by Apollodorus, the first oration against Stephanus has more than 30, while in the rest there is hardly anything of the kind, though in the second speech against Stephanus, § 28, we have δέομαι καὶ Ικετεύω. (J. Sigg in Neue Jahrb., Suppl. vi p. 419.)

μέγα γὰρ—τυχεῖν] Or. 57 § 1
τοῖς γὰρ ἐν κινδύνψ καθεστηκόσιν
εἰκὸς εὐνοϊκωτέρους ὑπάρχειν.

eὐμενῶs] Almost equivalent to εὐνοϊκῶs in the last sentence; εὐμενής, however, is not so trivial a word as εὐνους. The former is frequent in Attic verse, the latter is generally found in prose; the former is most often used of the gracious condescension of a deity; the latter of the kindly feelings of ordinary

human beings. Or. 4 § 45, τὸ τῶν θεῶν εὐμενές, illustrates the rule, while the exceptions in the present passage and Ep. 3 § 45, and in Isocr. 4 § 43 and in Plato, Rep. 607 d., may be paralleled from Herod. VII 237 ξεῖνος δὲ ξείνω... εὐμενέστατον πάντων.

βοηθήσαι—δίκαια] Or. 54 § 2 = βοηθοῦντας ἀποδοῦναί μοι τὰ δίκαια (54 § 42).

2. ἐπιδείξω — γνώσεσθε] Or. 36 § 3.

μεμαρτυρηκότα τὰ ψευδή] 'guilty of having given false evidence.' Elsewhere in the speech τὰ ψευδή occurs 16 times; ψευδή only in § 41, where it has a predicative sense. In Or. 46 τὰ ψ alone is used; in Or. 47 τλ ψ is found 8 times, ψ in §§ 4, 11, 79 (see Blass, in Rheinisches Museum 1889, p. 20).

τοσαύτη περιφάνεια κ.τ.λ.] 'So transparent is the case.' So plain and clear from every point of view.' Or. 29 § 1 (also of false witness) βαδίως εξελέγξας διὰ τὴν περιφάνειαν τῶν πραγμάτων. Isaeus, Or. 7 § 28 τοσαύτη περιφάνεια τῆς ἐμῆς ποιήσεως εγένετο παρ αὐτοῖς...ἐπὶ τοσούτων μαρτύρων γέγονεν ἡ ποίησις. Cf. Hom. Od. 1 426 περισκέπτω ἐυὶ χώρω, and ib. v 476 ἐν περιφανομένω.

πράγματός έστιν. έξ άρχης δ' ώς αν οδός τ' ω δια βραχυτάτων εἰπεῖν πειράσομαι τὰ πεπραγμένα μοι πρὸς Φορμίωνα, έξ ων ἀκούσαντες τήν τ' ἐκείνου° πονηρίαν καὶ τούτους, ὅτι τὰ ψευδη μεμαρτυρήκασι, γνώσεσθε.

'Εγώ γὰρ ὦ ἄνδρες δικασταὶ πολλῶν χρημάτων 3 ὑπὸ τοῦ πατρὸς καταλειφθέντων μοι, καὶ ταῦτα Φορμίωνος ἔχοντος, κἄτι πρὸς τούτοις τὴν μητέρα γήμαντος τὴν ἐμὴν ἀποδημοῦντος ἐμοῦ δημοσία τριηραρ-

* τήν τε τούτου Z cum libris. τήν τ' έκείνου Bekker cum γρ. FQB. Cf. § 40.

έξ ὧν...γνώσεσθε] This being the syntax, ἀκούσαντες must be taken by itself, 'when you have heard it.'

έκείνου] BC. Φορμίωνος.

§§ 3—8. Narrative (διήγησις). My father Pasion left behind him at his death a large property which got into the hands of Phormion, who also married Pasion's widow, my mother Archippe, during my absence from Athens on public service. On my return, I threatened Phormion with legal proceedings in consequence of this marriage. but my case did not come on; and afterwards a reconciliation was brought about. Subsequently, however, on Phormion's refusing to fulfil his engagements and attempting to rob me of the banking-stock leased him by my father, I was compelled to prosecute him at the earliest opportunity.

Phormion thereupon put in a special plea in bar of action, and brought forward false witnesses to show that I gave him a discharge from all further claims, and to attest to a lease which in fact was a fabrication

and to a will that never existed.

The result of his plea, which

The result of his plea, which gave him the advantage of the first hearing, was that the jury would not listen to me at all; I was fined for failing to make good my case and left the court in high dudgeon at my ill-treatment. On reflexion, however, I feel that the jury, in their ignorance of the real facts, could not, on the evidence, have found any other verdict; but I have a right to be indignant with the false witnesses who brought about that result,-and with Stephanus in particular whose evidence shall be read to the court. (The evidence is read.)

& ἄνδρες δικασταί] occurs only 11 times in this speech, and only twice in Or. 36 (Huettner).

δημοσία] To be taken with αποδημούντος, 'cum publice (in causa publica) abessem'; Or. 48 § 24 δημοσία τούτου αποδημούντος στρατευομένου. The fondness of the Greeks for participles is shown by the addition of τριηραρχούντος which is subordinate to, and explanatory of, αποδημούντος. Or. 36 § 25, and Madv. Gk. Synt. § 176, d.

χοῦντος ύμιν (δυ τρόπου δ', οὐκ ἴσως καλὸν υίει περί μητρός ακριβώς είπειν), έπειδή καταπλεύσας ήσθόμην καὶ τὰ πεπραγμέν' είδον, πόλλ' άγανακτήσας καὶ χαλεπώς ἐνεγκών, δίκην μὲν οὐχ οίός τ' ἦν ἰδίαν 4 λαχείν (οὐ γὰρ ἦσαν ἐν τῷ τότε καιρῷ δίκαι, ἀλλ' ἀνεβάλλεσθ' ύμεις διὰ τὸν πόλεμον), γραφὴν δ' ὕβρεως

This trierarchy of Apollodorus may almost certainly be connected with the negociations between Athens and the elder Dionysius towards the close of his career. It appears from a decree discovered near the Propylaea in 1837, and restored by A. Kirchhoff in the Philologus for 1857 (xii p. 571-8), that Athenian ambassadors were sent to Syracuse in the summer of в.с. 369 and in в.с. 368. C. I. A. 11 i 51, 52; Dittenberger's Sylloge, 72, 73. The trierarchy may be identified with that of Or. 53 § 5, and probably belongs to the later of these two embassies in B.C. 368, as we read in Or. 46 § 21 έγὼ μὲν ἀπεδήμουν τριηραρχών, τετελευτήκει δ' ὁ πατὴρ πάλαι, ὅτε οδτος έγημε. πάλαι, though a vague word, shows at any rate that a considerable time elapsed between the death of Pasion in B.c. 370 (Or. 46 § 13), and his widow's marriage with Phormion. (Im. Hermann, de tempore, etc. p. 9; A. Schaefer, Dem. und seine Zeit, III 2, 146; and Lortzing, Apollodorus, p. 3.)

δν τρόπον δὲ (ΒC. ἔγημε)—άκριβῶς εἰπεῖν] Cf. § 27 διεφθάρκει ην έμοι μέν ου καλόν λέγειν. (Similarly in 40 § 8 τη τούτων μητρί έπλησίασεν όντινα δή ποτ' οὖν τρόπον οὐ γὰρ ἐμὸν τοῦτο λέγειν ἐστί.) This affectation of dutiful delicacy of feeling towards his mother in the early

portions of the speech is rather inconsistent with the apparently gratuitous insinuation towards its close, where he broaches the suspicion that his own brother Pasicles (who was eight years old at his father Pasion's death) was really her son by Phormion (§ 84).

ούκ ίσως] 21 §§ 15, 126; 14 § 23.—ἀκριβώς είπεῖν, 8 § 38; 41 § 17.—ήσθόμην καλ...είδον, 18 § 133 τὸ πρᾶγμ' αἰσθομένη καὶ ίδοῦσα.-άγανακτήσας και χαλεπως ένεγκών, 21 §§ 108, 123; 23 § 167. ἐνεγκών, 21 § 48; 24 § 141; 23 § 170; 54 § 9; ένέγκαντες and έπενέγκας in non-Demosthenic speeches, 49 § 51; 59 § 5 (Huettner).

δίκην ίδιαν...γραφην υβρεως] Cf.

Or. 54 § 1, ad fin.

 πόλεμον] This suspension of lawsuits, which the plaintiff found in force on returning from his trierarchy in B.C. 368, was due to the hostilities between Athens and Thebes in the period between the battle of Leuctra in B.c. 371, and the death of Epaminondas at the battle of Mantineia in B.C. 362. The courts were not sitting for ordinary business, perhaps because there was no pay for the dicasts (cf. Or. 39 § 17); and the only process that was available under the circumstances was a public action. So (just below) δικών ούκ ούσων means, as the courts continued closed for

γράφομαι πρὸς τοὺς θεσμοθέτας αὐτόν. χρόνου δὲ γιγνομένου, καὶ τῆς μὲν γραφῆς ἐκκρουομένης, δικῶν δ' οὐκ οὐσῶν, γίγνονται παίδες ἐκ τούτου τῆ μητρί. καὶ μετὰ ταῦτ' (εἰρήσεται γὰρ ἄπασα πρὸς ὑμᾶς ἡ ἀλήθει' ὧ ἄνδρες δικασταί) πολλοὶ μὲν καὶ φιλάνθρωποι λόγοι παρὰ τῆς μητρὸς ἐγίγνοντο καὶ δεήσεις ὑπὲρ Φορμίωνος τουτουί, πολλοὶ δὲ καὶ μέτριοι καὶ ταπεινοὶ παρ' αὐτοῦ τούτου. ἴνα δ' ὧ ἄνδρες 'Αθη- 5 ναῖοι συντέμω ταῦτα, ἐπειδὴ ποιεῖν τ' οὐδὲν ῷετο δεῖν ὧν τόθ' ὡμολόγησε, καὶ τὰ χρήματ' ἀποστερεῖν ἐνε-χείρησεν ἃ τῆς τραπέζης εἶχεν ἀφορμήν, δίκην ἠναγ-

private suits. $\dot{\nu}\mu e\hat{\imath}s$ refers to the citizens generally, who are said, in the medial sense, 'to have had the sessions $(\tau \dot{\alpha}s \ \delta k \kappa as)$ postponed.' Cf. Meier and Schömann, p. 187, n. 116 Lipsius.

Θεσμοθέτας] Isocr. Or. 20, κατὰ Λοχίτου § 2, περί τῆς ὅβρεως... ἔξεστι τῷ βουλομένῳ τῶν πολιτῶν γραψαμένῳ πρὸς τοὺς Θεσμοθέτας εἰσελθείν εἰς ὑμᾶς. (Meier and Schömann, pp. 79, 397 Lips.)

χρόνου γιγνομένου—γραφης ἐκκρουομένης] See note on Or. 36 § 2, το' ἐκκρούοντες χρόνους ἐμποιῶμεν. For χρόνου δὲ γιγνομένου, Reiske ingeniously, but perhaps unnecessarily, proposes χρόνου δ' ἐγγιγνομένου, which at any rate modifies the slight inelegance of the triple repetition γιγνομένου ... γίγνοντα... ἐγίγνοντο. χρόνος ἐγγίγνεται is found in Or. 19 § 324; 37 § 2; 47 §§ 29, 30, 32, 63. χρ. γενομένου occurs in Hdt. I 73, and Diod. xx 109; cf. Lycurg. 21 ἐπειδη χρόνος ἐγἐνετο.

φιλάνθρωποι λόγοι] 'Kindly overtures' (blanditiae, G. H. Schaefer). Cf. 6 § 1; 23 § 165; 19 §§ 220, 315; de Corona § 6 and § 298 ούτε φιλανθρωπία λόγων

οὖτ' ἐπαγγελιῶν μέγεθος, Midias § 75 οὖτε κλαύσαντα οὖτε δεηθέντα ...οὖτε φιλάνθρωπον...οὐδ' ὀτιοῦν πρὸς τοὺς δικαστὰς ποιήσαντα, where perhaps bribery is tacitly meant. (Cf. Shilleto on Fals. leg. § 117.)

μέτριοι...ταπεινοί] i.e. 'both moderate and reasonable in their terms.' Fals. leg. § 15 μετρίους λόγους, where Shilleto quotes Ulpian: ήγουν έπιεικεῖς, φιλανθρώπους.

5. tνα...συντέμω] Or. 39 § 4; 37 § 21. The reason for the speaker's hurrying over this part of his statement is partly because the overtures of reconciliation on Phormion's side, which he takes credit to himself for candidly admitting, are really more to Phormion's credit than to his own.

έπειδή ποιείν κ.τ.λ.] Or. 36 8 33.

άποστερεῖν] 'withhold'; 36 § 13; 21 § 44; 27 §§ 6, 12, 18, 37 &c.

δίκην] i.e. the suit κατά Φορμίωνος, to meet which a special plea is put in on Phormion's behalf in Or. 36. The words ἐπειδὴ τάχωτα ἐξουσία ἐγένετο are possibly meant as a partial

χοῦντος ύμῶν (δν τρόπον δ', οὐκ ἴσως καλὸν υίεῖ περὶ μητρὸς ἀκριβῶς εἰπεῖν), ἐπειδὴ καταπλεύσας ἠσθόμην καὶ τὰ πεπραγμέν' εἶδον, πόλλ' ἀγανακτήσας καὶ χαλεπῶς ἐνεγκών, δίκην μὲν οὐχ οἶός τ' ἦν ἰδίαν λαχεῖν (οὐ γὰρ ἦσαν ἐν τῷ τότε καιρῷ δίκαι, ἀλλ' ἀνεβάλλεσθ' ὑμεῖς διὰ τὸν πόλεμον), γραφὴν δ' ὕβρεως

This trierarchy of Apollodorus may almost certainly be connected with the negociations between Athens and the elder Dionysius towards the close of his career. It appears from a decree discovered near the Propylaea in 1837, and restored by A. Kirchhoff in the *Philologus* for 1857 (xii p. 571-8), that Athenian ambassadors were sent to Syracuse in the summer of в.с. 369 and in в.с. 368. C. I. A. 11 i 51, 52; Dittenberger's Sylloge, 72, 73. The trierarchy may be identified with that of Or. 53 § 5, and probably belongs to the later of these two embassies in B.C. 368, as we read in Or. 46 § 21 έγὼ μὲν ἀπεδήμουν τριηραρχών, τετελευτήκει δ' ὁ πατήρ πάλαι, ὅτε οδτος έγημε. πάλαι, though a vague word, shows at any rate that a considerable time elapsed between the death of Pasion in B.c. 370 (Or. 46 § 13), and his widow's marriage with Phormion. (Im. Hermann, de tempore, etc. p. 9; A. Schaefer, Dem. und seine Zeit, III 2, 146; and Lortzing, Apollodorus, p. 3.)

δν τρόπον δὲ (κα. ἔγημε)—ἀκριβῶς εἰπεῖν] Cf. § 27 διεφθάρκει ἡν ἐμοὶ μὲν οὐ καλὸν λέγειν. (Similarly in 40 § 8 τῆ τοὐτων μητρὶ ἐπλησίασεν ὅντινα ὅη ποτ' οδν τρόπον οὐ γὰρ ἐμὸν τοῦτο λέγειν ἐστί.) This affectation of dutiful delicacy of feeling towards his mother in the early

portions of the speech is rather inconsistent with the apparently gratuitous insinuation towards its close, where he broaches the suspicion that his own brother Pasicles (who was eight years old at his father Pasion's death) was really her son by Phormion (§ 84).

οὐκ ἰσωs] 21 §§ 15, 126; 14 § 23.—ἀκριβῶς εἰπεῖν, 8 § 38; 41 § 17.—ἦσθόμην καὶ...εἶδον, 18 § 133 τὸ πρᾶγμ' αἰσθομένη καὶ ἰδοῦσα.—ἀγανακτήσας καὶ χαλεπῶς ἐνεγκών, 21 §§ 108, 123; 23 § 167. ἐνεγκών, 21 § 48; 24 § 141; 23 § 170; 54 § 9; ἐνέγκαντες and ἐπενέγκας in non-Demosthenic speeches, 49 § 51; 59 § 5 (Huettner).

δίκην ίδιαν...γραφην υβρεως] Cf. Or. 54 § 1, ad fin.

 πόλεμον] This suspension of lawsuits, which the plaintiff found in force on returning from his trierarchy in B.c. 368, was due to the hostilities between Athens and Thebes in the period between the battle of Leuctra in B.c. 371, and the death of Epaminondas at the battle of Mantineia in B.c. 362. The courts were not sitting for ordinary business, perhaps because there was no pay for the dicasts (cf. Or. 39 § 17); and the only process that was available under the circumstances was a public action. So (just below) δικών ούκ ούσών means, as the courts continued closed for

γράφομαι πρὸς τοὺς θεσμοθέτας αὐτόν. χρόνου δὲ γιγνομένου, καὶ τῆς μὲν γραφῆς ἐκκρουομένης, δικῶν δ' οὐκ οὐσῶν, γίγνονται παῖδες ἐκ τούτου τῆ μητρί. καὶ μετὰ ταῦτ' (εἰρήσεται γὰρ ἄπασα πρὸς ὑμᾶς ἡ ἀλήθει' ὧ ἄνδρες δικασταί) πολλοὶ μὲν καὶ φιλάνθρωποι λόγοι παρὰ τῆς μητρὸς ἐγίγνοντο καὶ δεήσεις ὑπὲρ Φορμίωνος τουτουί, πολλοὶ δὲ καὶ μέτριοι καὶ ταπεινοὶ παρ' αὐτοῦ τούτου. ἴνα δ' ὧ ἄνδρες 'Αθη- 5 ναῖοι συντέμω ταῦτα, ἐπειδὴ ποιεῖν τ' οὐδὲν ῷετο δεῖν ὧν τόθ' ὡμολόγησε, καὶ τὰ χρήματ' ἀποστερεῖν ἐνεχείρησεν ὰ τῆς τραπέζης εἶχεν ἀφορμήν, δίκην ἠναγ-

private suits. $\dot{\nu}\mu\hat{e}\hat{\imath}s$ refers to the citizens generally, who are said, in the medial sense, 'to have had the sessions $(\tau \dot{\alpha}s \ \delta k \kappa as)$ postponed.' Cf. Meier and Schömann, p. 187, n. 116 Lipsius.

Θεσμοθέτας] Isocr. Or. 20, κατὰ Λοχίτου § 2, περὶ τῆς ὅβρεως... τῷ βουλομένῳ τῶν πολιτῶν γραψαμένῳ πρὸς τοὺς Θεσμοθέτας εἰσελθεῖν εἰς ὑμᾶς. (Meier and Schömann, pp. 79, 397 Lips.)

χρόνου γιγνομένου—γραφής έκκρουσμένης] See note on Or. 36§ 2, τυ' ἐκκρούοντες χρόνους ἐμποιῶμεν. For χρόνου δὲ γιγνομένου, Reiske ingeniously, but perhaps unnecessarily, proposes χρόνου ἐγγιγνομένου, which at any τατέ modifies the slight inelegance of the triple repetition γιγνομένου ... γίγνοντα. ... ἐγίγνοντο. ... χρόνος ἐγγίγνεται is found in Or. 19§ 324; 37§ 2; 47§§ 29, 30, 32, 63. χρ. γενομένου occurs in Hdt. I 73, and Diod. xx 109; cf. Lycurg. 21 ἐπειδη χρόνος ἐγένετο.

φιλάνθρωποι λόγοι] 'Kindly overtures' (blanditiae, G. H. Schaefer). Cf. 6 § 1; 23 § 162; 19 §§ 220, 315; de Corona § 6 and § 298 ούτε φιλανθρωπία λόγων

οὖτ' ἐπαγγελιῶν μέγεθος, Midias § 75 οὖτε κλαύσαντα οὖτε δεηθέντα ...οὖτε φιλάνθρωπον...οὖδ' ὀτιοὖν πρὸς τοὺς δικαστὰς ποιήσαντα, where perhaps bribery is tacitly meant. (Cf. Shilleto on Fals. leg. § 117.)

μέτριοι...ταπεινοί] i.e. 'both moderate and reasonable in their terms.' Fals. leg. § 15 μετρίους λόγους, where Shilleto quotes Ulpian: ήγουν ἐπιεικεῖς, φιλανθούπους.

5. tra... συντέμω] Or. 39 § 4; 37 § 21. The reason for the speaker's hurrying over this part of his statement is partly because the overtures of reconciliation on Phormion's side, which he takes credit to himself for candidly admitting, are really more to Phormion's credit than to his own.

έπειδή ποιείν κ.τ.λ.] Or. 36 § 33.

άποστερεῖν] 'withhold'; 36 § 13; 21 § 44; 27 §§ 6, 12, 18, 37 &c.

δίκην] i.e. the suit κατά Φορμίωνος, to meet which a special plea is put in on Phormion's behalf in Or. 36. The words έπειδη τάχιστα έξουσία έγένετο are possibly meant as a partial

κάσθην αὐτῷ λαχεῖν, ἐπειδὴ τάχιστ' ἐξουσία ἐγένετο^ι. 1103 γνοὺς δ' οὖτος ὅτι πάντ' ἐξελεγχθήσεται καὶ κάκιστος ἀνθρώπων περὶ ἡμᾶς γεγονὼς ἐπιδειχθήσεται, μηχανᾶται καὶ κατασκευάζει ταῦτα, ἐφ' οἶς οὖτοσὶ Στέφανος^κ τὰ ψευδῆ μου κατεμαρτύρησεν. καὶ πρῶτον μὲν παρεγράψατο τὴν δίκην, ἡν ἔφευγε [Φορμίων]h, μὴ εἰσαγώγιμον εἶναι' ἔπειτα μάρτυρας, ὡς ἀφῆκ' αὐτὸν τῶν ἐγκλημάτων, παρέσχετο ψευδεῖς, καὶ μισθώσεώς τινος ἐσκευωρημένης καὶ διαθήκης οὐδεπώποτε γενομένης. προλαβὼν δέ μου πρότερος¹ λέγειν διὰ τὸ παραγραφὴν εἶναι καὶ μὴ εὐθυδικία εἰσιέναι, καὶ ταῦτ'

reply (they are at any rate the only reply given in this speech) to that portion of Phormion's plea which traversed his opponent's suit on the ground that it infringed the 'statute of limitations' (Or. 36 § 26). But it may be noticed on Phormion's side that at least 18 years had elapsed since the death of Apollodorus' father, and eight since that of his mother, before the suit was instituted; and during the interval the plaintiff found time for ever so many lawsuits in cases where his private interests were but partially concerned (Or. 36 § 53).

γνούς κ.τ.λ.] Or. 59 § 68 γνούς Στέφανος ούτοτι ότι έξελεγχθήσεται...πάντ'. Αος. Or. 19 161; 8 § 9; 41 § 29; 54 § 30; 28 § 1...μηχανᾶται και κατασκευάζει. Antiphon 1 § 28. The former verb occurs in § 24 and Or. 19 § 21: the latter, in §§ 13, 20, 22, 39, 41, 42 of this speech (Huettner).

παρεγράψατο κ.τ.λ.] See notes on p. 2. For μάρτυραs ώs άφῆκα, see Or. 36 §§ 24, 25; and for the depositions on the 'lease,' ib. § 4, and on the 'will,' ib. § 7.

6. πρότερος λέγειν] Cf. Isoor. παραγραφή πρὸς Καλλίμαχον § 1 φεύγων την δίκην πρότερος λέγω τοῦ διώκοντος, Cf. Or. 34 § 4 κατηγορεῦν τοῦ διώκοντος, and ibid. § 1 ἐν τῷ μέρει λεγόντων. Meier and Schömann, p. 250 Lips.—προλαβών = φθάσας, 'having got the advantage of me.'

εὐθυδικία εἰσιέναι] We might expect the acc. as in Or. 34 § 4 εὐθυδικίαν εἰσιόντα, οὐ κατηγορεῦν τοῦ διώκοντος (cf. Or. 36 Arg.

¹ fortasse ἐξεγένετο Bl.

⁸ Bl. coll. §§ 7, 36, 40, 53, 56. Στέφανος οὐτοσί (syllabis brevibus) vulgo.

h 'secl. quo sententia plane obscuratur' Bl.

¹ μου ὥστε πρότερον codices; et propter hiatum et propter syllabas breves suspectum a Blassio, qui μου πρότερος scripsit. τὸ πρότερος Rhet. Gr. v 112, iv 291 Walz; cf. Or. 18 § 7 ἐκ τοῦ πρότερος λέγειν. 'Malim πρότερος.' Dobree.

ἀναγνοὺς καὶ τἄλλ' ὡς αὐτῷ συμφέρειν ἡγεῖτο, ψευσάμενος, οὕτω διέθηκε τοὺς δικαστάς, ὥστε φωνὴν μηδ' ἡντινοῦν ἐθέλειν ἀκούειν ἡμῶν προσοφλὼν δὲ τὴν ἐπωβελίαν καὶ οὐδὲ λόγου τυχεῖν ἀξιωθείς, ἀλλ' ὑβρισθεὶς ὡς οὐκ οἰδ' εἴ τις πώποτ' ἄλλος ἀνθρώπων, ἀπήειν βαρέως ὡ ἄνδρες 'Αθηναῖοι καὶ χαλεπῶς φέρων. λόγον δ' ἐμαυτῷ διδοὺς εὐρίσκω τοῖς δικάσασι μὲν τότε 7 πολλὴν συγγνώμην οὖσαν (ἐγὼ γὰρ αὐτὸς οὐκ ἄν οἶδ' ὅ τι ἄλλ' εἶχον ψηφίσασθαι, τῶν πεπραγμένων μὲν μηδὲν εἶδὼς, τὰ δὲ μαρτυρούμεν' ἀκούων), τούτους δ' ἀξίους ὄντας ὀργῆς, οῖ τῷ τὰ ψευδῆ μαρτυρεῖν αἴτιοι τούτων ἐγένοντο. περὶ μὲν δὴ τῶν ἄλλων τῶν μεμαρτυρκότων, ὅταν πρὸς ἐκείνους εἰσίω, τότ' ἐρῶ περὶ

k άλλ' ὑβρισθεὶs add. Q (Bl. coll. 21 § 6).

 25 ἄπτεται τῆς εὐθείας n.); but the dat. is found in Isaeus, Or. 6 (Philoctem.) §§ 3, 43, 53 μη διαμαρτυρία κωλύειν ἀλλ' εὐθυδικία εἰσιέναι.

τὴν ἐπωβελίαν] The legal fine of one-sixth of the amount claimed (lit. one obol in each drachma, or 6 obols), inflicted on the plaintiff in private suits (see on Or. 56 § 4) if he failed to secure a fifth part of the votes. In the present case, Apoll. had to pay, in addition to costs, a sixth part of 20 talents, $3^t 20^m = £666$, if (with Goodwin) we reckon the talent at £200. (Boeckh, Publ. Econ. Book III chap. 10, pp. 473, 482 trans. Lamb.)-For οὐδὲ λόγου τυχείν cf. § 19 άπεκλείσθην τοῦ λόγου τυχείν. Haec verba non idem valent quod quae antecedunt φωνήν-άκούειν, sed Apollodorus, quia causa ceciderat, actione principali desistere coactus est (Huettner).

ύβρισθεὶς κ.τ.λ.] Or. 21 § 6

 $\dot{\nu}$ βρισμένος οξ' οὐκ οξδ' εξ τις άλλος, of. 54 § 43.

7. $\lambda \delta \gamma o \sigma \delta' \epsilon \mu a \nu \tau \hat{\phi} \delta i \delta o \delta s$] Frequent in Hdt.; not found in Dem.— $\tau o \hat{s} \delta \iota \kappa d \sigma a \sigma \iota \kappa.\tau.\lambda.$, 21 § 75; 59 § 8 (Huettner).

ούκ αν οίδ' ὅ τι ἄλλ' είχον] αν is often attracted to the negative and separated from its verb (e.g. είχον) by the interposition of olda (as here), οίομαι, δοκώ, φημί (as elsewhere). (Cf. note on Or. 37 (Pant.) § 16 ovô' dv et τι γένοιτο ψήθην δίκην μοι λαχείν, also Goodwin's Moods and Tenses § 42, 2, and Shilleto on Thuc. 176 § 4.) It is quite unnecessary to accept the suggestion of Cobet our old av o τι (Nov. Lect. 581), or that of Dobree 'distingue αὐτὸς οὐκ αν, οιδ' ότι, άλλο είχον.'

τούτους κ.τ.λ.] 59 § 5 τῷ οὖν ἐξαπατήσαντι τῷ λόγῳ τοὺς δικαστὰς δίκαιον ὀργίζεσθαι, οὐ τοῖς ἐξαπατηθεῖσι.

πρός έκείνους είσίω] sc. είς δικαστήριον. 'When I proceed

ων δ' ούτοσὶ Στέφανος μεμαρτύρηκεν, ήδη πειράσομαι 8 διδάσκειν ύμᾶς. λαβὲ δ' αὐτὴν τὴν μαρτυρίαν καὶ ἀνάγνωθί μοι, ἵν' ἐξ αὐτῆς ἐπιδεικνύω. λέγε σὺ δ' ἐπίλαβε τὸ ὕδωρ.

MAPTTPIA.

I 104

1Στέφανος Μενεκλέους 'Αχαρνεύς, "Ενδιος 'Επιγένους

1 testimonium omisit S. 'uncos in hac quoque oratione additamentis huius generis addidimus' Z (Dind.).

against them,' Endius and Scythes, contrasted with οὐτοτὶ, the present defendant. Compare §17 ἐπὶ τοῦτον ἦα, infr. § 41 ὅταν εἰσιω πρὸς...and Or. 54 § 32 ad fin. εἰσιέναι, or εἰσελθεῦν, is used of εἰτιὰν litigant (e.g. in Or. 40 § 1, of the plaintiff; and ib. § 5 of the defendant); and also of the lawsuit itself in Or. 34 § 18. Of. Or. 34 § 1 οὐδεμίαν πώποτε δίκην πρὸς ὑμᾶς εἰσήλθομεν, οὖτ' ἐγκαλοῦντες οὖτ' ἐγκαλοῦντες οὖτ' ἐγκαλοῦντες οὖτ' ἐγκαλούμενοι ὑφ' ἐτέ-ρων.

 έξ αὐτῆs] ex ipsa, perhaps, rather than ex ea.—On ἐπίλαβε τὸ ὕδωρ cf. note on Or. 54 § 36.

 $\Sigma \tau \dot{\epsilon} \phi a \nu o s \quad M \epsilon \nu \epsilon \kappa \lambda \dot{\epsilon} o \nu s \quad \kappa \cdot \tau \cdot \lambda \cdot]$ Like many, if not most of the documents inserted in speeches of the Attic Orators, this deposition has been regarded as spurious. Its purport is to be found in §§ 9-26 and in Or. 46 § 5. The names of Teisias, Cephisophon and Amphias are given in §§ 10, 17, and Or. 46 § 5. Stephanus and Teisias, as well as Pasion and Apollodorus, are assigned to the deme Acharnae in the documents only (§§ 28, 46), not in the speech itself. Στέφανος 'Aγαρνεύς appears in an inscription as trierarch in B.C. 322, but this (it has been suggested) is not likely to be the defendant in the present action, for at that date the latter, if (as is not improbable) he was about the same age as Apollodorus, would be about seventy; and we can hardly suppose that one who was so poor a patriot as not to have undertaken any public services up to the age of 47 or thereabouts (§ 66), would have embarked on a trierarchy at so advanced an age. But the name was far from uncommon, and the deme may (it is thought) have been assigned at random by the writer of the document.

The name Ένδιος Ἐπιγένους Λαμπτρεύς is given in one Ms only (Q). An inscription, however, of B.C. 325 gives the name Κριτόδημος Ἐνδίου Λαμπτρεύς whose father may be the Ένδιος of the text, though the name is not a rare one.

Lastly, $\sum k \delta \theta \eta_S$ is naturally an uncommon name for an Athenian, though found as such in an inscription. The name of his father, 'Apµare's, does not occur elsewhere, except in Stephanus of Byzantium, who makes it mean 'an inhabitant of Harma' which he wrongly supposes to be a deme of Attica, whereas it was really the name of a part of the range of Parnes. (Abridged from A. Westermann's

Λαμπτρεύς^m, Σκύθης 'Αρματέως Κυδαθηναιεύς μαρτυροῦσι παρείναι πρὸς τῷ διαιτητῆ Τεισίᾳ 'Αχαρνεῖ, ὅτε προϋκαλείτο Φορμίων 'Απολλόδωρον, εἰ μή φησιν ἀντίγραφα εἶναι τῶν διαθηκῶν τῶν Πασίωνος τὸ γραμματεῖον ὁ ἐνεβάλετο Φορμίων εἰς τὸν ἐχῖνον, ἀνοίγειν τὰς διαθήκας τὰς Πασίωνος, ἄς παρεῖχεⁿ πρὸς τὸν διαιτητὴν 'Αμφίας ὁ Κηφισοφῶντος κηδεστής.' 'Απολλόδωρον δὲ οὐκ ἐθέλειν ἀνοίγειν εἶναι δὲ τάδ'ο ἀντίγραφα τῶν διαθηκῶν τῶν Πασίωνος.'

'Ηκούσατε μὲν τῆς μαρτυρίας ὧ ἄνδρες δικασταί, 9

- ^m Λαμπρεύs Q (Bekker 1824). 'immo Λαμπτρεύs' Z, et Bekker st.
- ⁿ Reiske, coll. § 10, 46 § 5; παρείχετο vulgo (quod testimonii spurii indicium putat Huettner).
- ° τὰ Bekker (Dind.). ταῦτα (Dobree). τάδ' (Sauppe, cf. Arg. ξοτι δ' ἀντίγραφα τάδε). 'Sequebatur enim quod hic deest testimonium Pasionis, cf. § 10 ad fin.' Z.

Untersuchungen über die in die Attischen Redner eingelegten Urkunden, pp. 105—8.)

Blass, however, sees no ground for rejecting the documents in this speech; the names of the witnesses, as Westermann himself admits, are supported by the evidence of inscriptions (Blass Att. Ber. III 409). Their genuineness has been maintained in a dissertation by Kirchner, 1883; and attacked by Schucht, De documentis oratoribus Atticis insertis, 1892.

προύκαλεῖτο...ἀνοίγειν] 'Challenged him (in the event of his denying that the document Phormion put into the box was a copy of Pasion's will), to open the will of Pasion which &c.' On $\dot{\epsilon}\chi\hat{\epsilon}\nu\nu\nu$ see note on Or. 54 § 27.

εἶναι τάδ' ἀντίγραφα κ.τ.λ.] τὰ ἀντίγραφα τῶν διαθηκῶν cannot be construed as the subject, and unless we accept either τάδ' or ταῦτ' for τὰ we must rather awkwardly get the predicate out of τa $d\nu \tau l\gamma \rho a\phi a$. The speaker himself expresses the sense better in §§ 10, 23

(Westermann, u. s. p. 108). §§ 9—14. It is deposed that Phormion challenged me to open the will, produced (it is alleged) before the arbitrator; that I refused the challenge and would not open the 'will'; that the document to which they depose is a counterpart of the original will; and then follows the copy.

Let us examine this evidence. In the first place, why should one have refused to open the document?

'Oh! to prevent the jury from hearing the terms of the will.'

But, I reply, the witnesses deposed to the will as well as to the challenge, and thus the jury would hear the terms of the 'will' publicly recited from the 'copy' whether I opened it or not. What was I to gain by refusing? Why! even if they had

νομίζω δ' ύμας, εἰ καὶ μηδεν τῶν ἄλλων αἰσθάνεσθέ πω, τοῦτό γ' αὐτὸ θαυμάζειν, τὸ τὴν μὲν ἀρχὴν τῆς μαρτυρίας εἶναι πρόκλησιν, τὴν δὲ τελευτὴν διαθήκην. οὐ μὴν ἀλλ' ἔγωγ' οἶμαι δεῖν, ἐπειδὰν, ὁ τῶν μεμαρτυρημένων ώσπερεὶ κεφάλαιόν ἐστ', ἐπιδείξω ψεῦδος ὅν, τηνικαῦτ' ἤδη καὶ περὶ τῶν τοιούτων ποιεῖσθαι τοὺς λόγους. ἔστι δὴ μεμαρτυρημένον αὐτοῖς προκα-

given no challenge, and had made a mere assertion, and if some one had produced a document purporting to be Pasion's will, it would have been my interest to challenge them and to open the will. In this case, (1) had the contents differed from the terms of the deposition, I should have appealed to the bystanders to bear witness to the discrepancy, which would have been a strong proof that the rest of their case was got up for a purpose. (2) Had the contents agreed, I should have required the producer himself to give evidence. Had he consented, I should have had in him a responsible witness; had he declined, here again I should have had sufficient proof that the affair was a fabrication. On this hypothesis, I should have had to deal with one witness only, instead of with many (as my opponents have made it out); and of course I should have preferred the former, and so would every one else. For where (as here) there is room for cool calculation, no one would be so foolish as to abandon his own interests and do what would damage his case. And yet, by deposing that I refused to open the 'will,' these witnesses represented me as doing what is improbable, unreasonable, and contrary to all experience.

In brief, the first point which the plaintiff attempts to make in proving the evidence to be false, is that, assuming he was challenged to open the 'will,' he sees no reason why he should have refused a challenge which it would have been to his interest to accept. On the other side, it may be noticed that the plaintiff had a strong reason for refusing to open the 'will,' and thus give express recognition to an important document, the contents of which, as he himself says elsewhere (§ 21), were detrimental to his own interests. (A. Schaefer, Dem. III 2, p. 171.) So far, the case clearly tends against Apollodorus.

9. οῦ μὴν ἀλλ...] 'Nevertheless,' 'however,' 'not but that.' The ellipse which this combination of particles always involves may be here supplied by some such words as οῦ μὴν (ὑμᾶς τοῦτο χρὴ θαυμάζειν) οτ (παρακέπειν τοῦτο χρὴ) ἀλλ' ἔγωγεκ.τ.λ. Kühner's Gk. Gr. § 535, 7.

τών μεμαρτ.—κεφάλ.] 8C. έμὲ οὐκ έθέλειν ἀνοίγειν. Cf. 21 § 18 δύο ταῦθ' ώσπερεὶ κεφάλαι' ἐφ' ἄπασι...ἐπέθηκεν.—ψεῦδο δν. § 41. ψευδὲς is not found in Dem.—τηνικαῦτα 8c. in §§ 15—28.—ποιεῖσθαι τοὺς λόγους, verba facere; ποιεῖσθαι λόγους, agere, disceptare cum aliquo (Huettner).

λείσθαι Φορμίων' ἀνοίγειν τὰς διαθήκας, ἃς παρέχειν πρὸς τὸν διαιτητὴν Τεισίαν 'Αμφίαν τὸν Κηφισοφῶντος κηδεστήν· ἐμὲ δ' οὐκ ἐθέλειν ἀνοίγειν· εἶναι δ' ἃς αὐτοὶ μεμαρτυρήκασι διαθήκας ἀντιγράφους ἐκείνων. εἶθ ἡ διαθήκη γέγραπται. ἐγὼ τοίνυν περὶ μὲν 11 τοῦ προκαλεῖσθαί μ' ἡ μὴ ταῦτα Φορμίων' οὐδέν πω λέγω/οὐδ' ὑπὲρ τοῦ τὰς διαθήκας ἀληθεῖς ἡ ψευδεῖς εἶναι, ἀλλ' αὐτίχ' ὑμᾶς περὶ τούτων διδάξω· ἀλλ', ἀ μεμαρτυρήκασι, μή μ' ἐθέλειν τὸ γραμματεῖον ἀνοίγειν, ώδὶ δὴ σκοπεῖτε^ν. τοῦ τις ᾶν εἴνεκ' ^α ἔφευγεν ἀνοίγοιν τὸ γραμματεῖον: ¹² ρουν τὸ γραμματεῖον; ἵν' ἡ διαθήκην οὐτοι, λόγον εἶχέ τιν' ᾶν τὸ φεύγειν ἔμ' ἀνοίγειν τὸ γραμματεῖον· προσμαρτυρούντων δὲ τούτων καὶ τῶν δικαστῶν ὁμοίως

 p ώδι δη σκοπείτε vulgo per se positum, a Blassio autem cum sequentibus verbis coniunctum, cum antecedentibus coniunxi.

q єїνεκεν Z cum codd.: ἔνεκα Dind.

10. ds παρέχειν] sc. μαρτυροῦσι. For the infinitive in the relative clause influenced by the principal verb, cf. Or. 36 § 25.

ell' ἡ διαθήκη γέγραπται] 'Then follows a copy of the will,' or (with Kennedy) 'and then the will is set out.' 'Deinde sequitur (in testimonio eorum) testamentum exscriptum, sequitur exemplum testamenti.' Seager, Classical Journ. Lx p. 267. Cf. Dem. 9 § 42 εlθ' ἡ alτία γέγραπται.

11. περl...ὑπὲρ] These prepositions are here, as often, practically synonymous. Cf. infra § 50, Fals. Leg. § 94, p. 371, οὐ περὶ τοῦ εἰ ποιητέον εἰρήνην... ἀλλ ὑπὲρ τοῦ ποίαν τινά, Or. 4 § 43, ἀc.

τοῦ τις ἄν είνεκ' ἔφευγεν κ.τ.λ.]

'What reason would any one have had for declining, &c.'
'Malim ξνεκεν και ξφευγεν...
Latine porro,' says Dobree, who would similarly read in Or. 37
\$27, τίνος γὰρ ξνεκα και ξπειθον, 'ut in tali re usitatum est dicere' (Cobet, Nov. Lect. 606).

νη Δία] Or. 36 § 39, and Or. 54 § 34 n.

12. el...μη προσεμαρτύρουν] 'Had they not deposed to the will, as well as to the challenge, I might reasonably have declined to open the document (purporting to be a copy of the will): but, as they actually deposed to both, and as the jury would have to hear the will whether I opened it or not, what was the use then of my refusing to open it?'

ακουσομένων, τί ην μοι κέρδος το μη θέλειν^α; οὐδὲ εν δήπου. αὐτο γὰρ τοὐναντίον ὧ ἄνδρες 'Αθηναιοι, κὰν εἰ μηδὲν προὐκαλοῦνθ' οὖτοι, λόγω δ' ἐχρωντο το μόνον, καὶ παρειχέν τις αὐτοις γραμματειον ώς διαθήκην, ἐμὸν ην τὸ προκαλεισθαι καὶ κελεύειν ἀνοίγειν ταύτην, ἵν' εἰ μὲν ἄλλ' ἄττα τῶν ὑπὸ τοὑτων μεμαρτυρημένων ην τἀκει γεγραμμένα, μάρτυρας εὐθὺς τῶν περιεστηκότων πολλοὺς ποιησάμενος, τεκμηρίω τοὑτω καὶ περὶ τῶν ἄλλων, ώς κατασκευάζουσιν, ἐχρώμην. εἰ δὲ ταὐτ' ἐνην, τὸν παρασχόντ' αὐτὸν ηξίουν μαρτυρειν. ἐθελήσαντος μέν γ' ὑπεύθυνον ἐλάμβανον, εἰ

qq Cf. § 15. * ἀνοίγειν vulg. (Dind.). κελεύειν ἀνοίγειν Q (γρ. in margine). 'recte, opinor; sin, deleam καὶ ante ἀνοίγειν'
G. H. Schaefer. * ταῦτ' Reiske; ταῦτα vulg. (Dind.).
* μέν γ' codd.; μὲν γὰρ Baiter, Dind.

κάν εί] The άν strictly belongs to the apodosis εμόν ην, but is here, as often, put as early as possible. Cf. Or. 36 § 42, οίμαι...κάν εί...λέγοι, κάλλιον elvai, 19 § 282; 21 § 51; 24 § 109. Sometimes the construction of the apodosis shows that kår el is regarded as much the same as kal el, e.g. Plato Meno 72 C κάν εί πολλαί και παντοδαπαί είσιν, ξν γέ τι είδος ταὐτὸν ἄπασαι έχουσι. Kühner, Gk. Gr. § 398, p. 210. Buttmann calls this 'av consopitum,' where its force is, as it were, dormant. It is peculiar to the later or middle Attic.

§ 13. ἴν — ἐχρώμην] § 17; Or. 36 § 47, ἵνα— ἐφαίνετο n.

άλλ' άττα τῶν κ.τ.λ.]= ἄλλ' άττα ἡ τὰ, i.e. 'had the contents of the alleged will been different from the terms deposed to by these witnesses.' For this rather uncommon use of άλλος with gen. (like ἔτερος, ἀλλότριος, διάφορος) of. Xen. Mem. IV

4 § 25 πότερον τούς θεούς ἡ γ $\hat{\eta}$ τὰ δίκαια νομοθετεῖν ἡ ἄλλα τῶν δικαίων. ἀλλότριος is so used in Dem. 18 § 182, but I cannot find any similar use of ἄλλος in Demosthenes.—Dobree suggests ἀλλ' ἀττα ἀντὶ τῶν.

τεκμηρίω κ.τ.λ.] sc. τούτω έχρώμην τεκμηρίω ώς και τάλλα κατασκευάζουτι.—In the next clause αὐτὸν ('to give evidence himself') is contrasted with the several witnesses, of π ερί Σ τέφανον.

έθελήσαντος μέν] i.e. el μέν ήθελησε, contrasted with el δ' έφενγε. We should naturally expect έθελήσαντα, as the use of gen. absolute, in reference to the same person as the acc. ὑπεύθυνον, is somewhat exceptional, the rule being that the gen. absolute is generally found only when there is no other case in the sentence to which the participle might attach itself. Cf. however, Xen. Cyr. I 4 § 2 ἀσθενήσαντος αὐτοῦ (sc.

δ' ἔφευγε, πάλιν αὐτὸ τοῦθ' ἱκανὸν τεκμήριον ἦν μοι τοῦ πεπλάσθαι τὸ πράγμα. καὶ δὴ καὶ συνέβαινεν έκείνως μέν εν' είναι, πρός ον τὰ πράγματ' εγίγνετό μοι, ώς δ' οὖτοι μεμαρτυρήκασι, πρὸς πολλούς. ἔστιν οὖν ὅστις ἀνα ύμῶν ταῦθ' εἴλετο; ἐγω μὲν οὐδέν' ού τοίνυν ούδε κατ' άλλου πιστεύειν έστε 14 δίκαιοι. καὶ γὰρ ὦ ἄνδρες 'Αθηναῖοι, ὅσοις μὲν πρόσεστιν ὀργὴ τῶν πραττομένων ἢ λῆμμά τι κέρδους ἢ u âv om. codd.: addidit Dobree. [▼] 'fere λημμα idem est

quod κέρδος (52 § 26), unde conici liceat verba τι κέρδους delenda esse' (Huettner).

τοῦ πάππου) οὐδέποτε ἀπέλιπε τον πάππον. (Kühner, Gk. Gr. § 494 b. Madvig, Gk. Synt. § 181 R. 6.)

ὑπεύθυνον Liable to a prosecution for false witness. Found in Dem. with ποιείν, διδόναι, παρέχειν, καθιστάναι, but not with λαμβάνειν (Huettner).

πεπλάσθαι] 18 § 10; 28 § 9;

38 § 9.

συνέβαινεν κ.τ.λ.] Kennedy translates: 'And the result was, that in that way, I had one person to deal with.' The conditional is only implied and not directly expressed, in other words συνέβαινεν (like ήξίουν... έλάμβανον...ην above) is put without av. - 'Malim καί δη καν συνέβαινεν et mox ξστιν οδν δστις δν ύμῶν, says Dobree, comparing § 33 bis, and also proposing in § 34 τίς γάρ αν άνθρώπων. The last two emendations are accepted in Dindorf's text.

All the imperfects in this remarkable sentence, which does not read altogether like the style of Demosthenes, depend on the preceding ba, 'in which case it would have happened that, &c.' The addition of av would be quite out of place here, though it is necessary in the clause έστιν οδν δστις αν ύμων, which passes into quite a different construction. P.]

έκείνως] 'In the former case,' lit. 'in that other way,' under the hypothesis just mentioned (as opposed to the fact ws obrou μεμαρτυρήκασι), 80. εί τὸν παρασχόντ' ήξίουν μαρτυρείν, including the subsequent subdivision of that supposition into the two further hypotheses, εθελήσαντος μέν κ.τ.λ. and εί δ' έφευγε κ.τ.λ. πρὸς πολλούς] ες. τὰ πράγ-

ματα γενέσθαι μοι. 14. οὐ...οὐδὲ κατ' ἄλλου πιστεύειν] 'Well then, you cannot fairly believe it of any one else

either.'

δσοις...των πραττομένων] The participle is best taken not as gen. after δργή but after δσοις, which is neuter. Cf. § 15 ooa... τῶν πεπραγμένων. 'In every course of action attended by anger, or by getting of gain, or by any exasperation ('keen resentment, 'strong provocation'), or by a spirit of jealousy, one man may act in one way, another in another, according to his individual character.'

λημμα] 49 § 57 των άλλων

λημμάτων τοῦ ἀργυρίου.

παροξυσμός ή φιλονικία*, ταῦτα μὲν ἄλλος ἃν ἄλλως πράξειε πρὸς τὸν αὐτοῦ τρόπον· ὅσοις δὲ τούτων μὲν μηδέν, λογισμὸς δ᾽ ἐφ᾽ ήσυχίας τοῦ συμφέροντος, τίς οὕτως ἄφρων ὅστις ἃν τὰ συνοίσοντ᾽ ἀφείς, ἐξ ὧν κάκιον ἔμελλεν ἀγωνιεῖσθαι, ταῦτ᾽ ἔπραξεν; ὰ γὰρ 1106 οὕτ᾽ εἰκότ᾽ οὕτ᾽ εὔλογ᾽ οὖτ᾽ ἂν ἔπραξεν οὐδὲ εἶς*, ταῦθ᾽ οὖτοι μεμαρτυρήκασι περὶ ήμῶν.

φιλονεικία vulg.

* οὐδὲ εἶs Bl.; οὐδεὶς vulgo.

παροξυσμός] This word, found twice in the New Testament (Hebr. x 24, Acts xv 39), is not used again by Demosthenes, nor indeed does it appear to occur elsewhere in the sense of 'exasperation' in any of the earlier Greek writers. In the Aphorisms of Hippocrates, 1243 (Liddell & Scott), it is a medical term, in the sense perpetuated in our 'paroxysm.' The verb however is found in Or. 21 (Meid.) § 2 ώργίσθη καὶ παρωξύνθη, 57 (Eubul.) § 49 ή πόλις πασα...δργιζομένη παρώξυντο, ib. § 2, Or. 47 (Euerg.) § 19; also the adj. in Or. 20 (Lept.) § 105 λόγοι παροξυντικοί πρός τό... πείσαι.

λογισμός κ.τ.λ. 'A calm calculation of one's interest.' λ. τῶν πραγμάτων (18 § 229), τῶν ξργων (18 § 231), τοῦ κινδύνου (16 § 30).

§§ 15—19. Again, the witnesses depose to a Challenge as well as to a Will. Now Challenges are meant to meet the case of those transactions, which it is otherwise impossible to bring before the court. In the present case, what call was there for a Challenge? The arbitration took place in Athens, and they have deposed that the original will was produced before the

arbitrator. If this was true, they ought to have put the original will into the box and the producer should have proved it by evidence. In that case the jury, after weighing the credibility of the deposition and inspecting the seals of the will, would have decided accordingly; and, had I thought myself wronged by the verdict, I might have proceeded against the deponent in question. But, as it is, no single witness has undertaken the whole responsibility; no! they have cleverly divided it, by one witness (Cephisophon) deposing to having a document inscribed 'Pasion's Will'; and another (Amphias), to having produced it after being sent to do so by the former witness; but whether it was genuine or not, was 'more than he knew.'-In fact, Stephanus and his friends made the Challenge a mere mask to enable them to depose to a will, so that the jury were led to believe that the will was my father's, and I myself was debarred from being heard on my wrongs, and so that by these very means my opponents might ultimately be convicted of having given false evidence—a result which they hardly expected.

73

Οὐ τοίνυν μόνον έξ ὧν έμὲ μὴ θέλειν τὸ γραμμα- 15 τεῖον ἀνοίγειν μεμαρτυρήκασι, γνοίη τις ἃν αὐτοὺς ὅτι ψεύδονται, ἀλλὰ καὶ ἐκ τοῦ πρόκλησιν ὁμοῦ διαθήκη μαρτυρεῖν. οἶμαι γὰρ ἄπαντας ὑμᾶς εἰδέναι, ὅτι ὅσα μὴ δυνατὸν πρὸς ὑμᾶς ἀγαγεῖν ἐστι τῶν πεπραγμένων, τούτων προκλήσεις εὐρέθησαν. οἶον βασανίζειν οὐκ 16 ἔστιν ἐναντίον ὑμῶν ἀνάγκη τούτου πρόκλησιν εἶναι.

γ θέλειν S (Bl.); έθέλειν vulgo.

15. $\mu \eta \theta \ell \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$] $\ell \theta \ell \lambda \omega$ is the proper form in Attic prose, $\theta \ell \lambda \omega$ in Attic verse, but the latter is occasionally found in Dem. in such formulæ as $\delta \nu \theta \epsilon \delta s \theta \ell \lambda \eta$. (See Veitch Gk. Vbs.) It may also be used when a vowel precedes.

προκλήσειs] Harpoer. s. v. εἰώθεσαν ὁπότε δικάζουτό τυες, έξαιτεῖν ἐνἰοτε θεραπαίνας ἢ θεράποντας εἰς βάσανον ἢ εἰς μαρτυρίαν τοῦ πράγματος, καὶ τοῦτο ἐκαλεῖτο προκαλεῖσθαι, τὸ δὲ γραμματεῖν τὸ περὶ τοῦτου γραφόμενον ὡνομάζετο πρόκλησις. παρὰ πολλοῖς δὲ ἐστι ῥήτοροι. Δημοσθένης δ᾽ ἐν τῷ κατὰ Στεφάνου καὶ περὶ ὧν πρόκλησις γίνεται δηλοῖ. Hermann, Public Antiquities, § 141, 20; Meier & Schömann, p. 871 Lips.

16. olov...olov] 54 § 17 olov

...πάλιν...πάλιν.

βασανίζειν οὐκ ἐστιν ἐναντίον ὑμῶν] In Dobree's Adversaria, we have the suggestive note 'Qu. interrog. Qu. the fact.' As a general rule doubtless this examination of slaves took place in private, before a magistrate or arbitrator or other authorized person, in the presence of a number of bystanders either concerned as witnesses or merelypresent out of curiosity (Or. 47 § 12); and the text as it stands would seem to imply that ad-

ministration of torture in open court was not allowed .-- We find Aeschines (Fals. Leg. § 126) proposing to 'question' certain slaves in public: ἄγωμεν δὲ καὶ τούς οίκέτας και παραδιδώμεν els βάσανον...παρέσται δὲ ήδη ὁ δήμιος καί βασανιεί έναντίον ύμων, άν κελεύητε...κάλει μοι τούς olκέτας δεῦρο ἐπὶ τὸ βῆμα... At this point (it is important to notice) follows a Challenge which Demosthenes declines. Thus we have a proposal only, and it may be concluded from ầν κελεύητε, that even if the Challenge had been accepted, the court would have had to give a special order for such departure from ordinary usage.

Again in [Dem.] Or. 47 κατά Εὐέργου ψευδομαρτυριών § 17, we read : έδει αὐτόν, είπερ άληθη ήν α φασιν αὐτὸν προκαλέῖσθαι, κληρουμένων τών δικαστηρίων κομίσαντα την άνθρωπον, λαβόντα τὸν κήρυκα, κελεύειν έμέ, εί βουλοίμην, βασανίζειν, και μάρτυρας τούς δικαστάς είσιόντας ποιείσθαι ώς έτοιμός έστι παραδούναι (cf. ib. § 6). But it would be idle to suppose that this passage proves that the torture might take place in open court; all that is meant is that the defendant might have produced the girl, when the court was about to sit, challenged the plaintiff to 'ques-

οίον εί τι πέπρακται καὶ γέγον' έξω που τῆς χώρας. ανάγκη καὶ τούτου πρόκλησιν είναι, πλείν ή βαδίζειν οὖ τὸ πρᾶγμ' ἐπράχθη· καὶ τῶν ἄλλων τῶν τοιούτων. όπου δ' αὐτὰ τὰ πράγματ' ἐφ' αύτῶν ἔστιν ὑμῖν ἐμφανή ποιήσαι, τί ήν άπλούστερον ή ταθτ' άγειν είς μέ-17 σου; 'Αθήνησι μεν τοίνυν ο πατήρ ετελεύτησεν ουμός, έγίγνετο δ' ή δίαιτ' έν τη ποικίλη στοά, μεμαρτυρήκασι δ' οὖτοι παρέχειν τὸ γραμματεῖον 'Αμφίαν πρὸς τον διαιτητήν. οὐκοῦν εἴπερ ἀληθες ἦν, ἐχρῆν αὐτὸ

tion' her, and called on the jurors to bear witness that he was ready to hand her over to be tortured in the usual manner and not in public court.-Cf. Meier & Schömann, p. 895 Lips.

οίον-- έξω της χώρας] As an illustration of this form of Challenge, we find in Or. 32 the plaintiff (Zenothemis) borrowing money in Syracuse (§ 4) and the defendant challenging him at Athens to sail to Syracuse and appear before the authorities there (§ 18). Cf. ex iure manum consertum voco in Cicero pro Murena § 26 (with Mr Heitland's note).

πλείν ή βαδίζειν] Here, as often, contrasted with one another, as the ordinary words for 'going by sea or by land,' Fals. Leg. § 164 οδτ' ἐπείγεσθαι βαδίζουσιν ούτε πλείν αὐτοίς έπήει, and § 181.

 $\delta \pi o v$] 'since,' or 'in a case where '; 22 § 11 ὅπου δ' αἰτεῖν οὐκ ἐᾳ̂, πῶς οὐ σφόδρα δοῦναί γε κωλύει;

17. ἐτελεύτησεν] B.C. 370; Or. 46 § 13.

ποικίλη στοά] 'The painted So called from its pictures, representing the legendary wars of Athens and the battle of Marathon. See Or. 59 (Neaer.) § 94 and Aeschin,

Ctesiph. § 186. As is well known, it was this portico which gave the name of Stoics to the followers of Zeno of Citium. Persius III 53 quaeque docet sapiens bracatis illita Medis Porticus. It is placed east of the market of the Cerameicus in Curtius, Text der sieben Karten p. 35.

The public arbitrators had particular buildings assigned them according to the tribe to which they belonged: thus in Or. 47 § 12 the arbitration takes place in the Heliaea, οἱ γὰρ τὴν Οίνητδα και την Έρεχθητδα διαιτῶντες ἐνταῦθα κάθηνται.

έχρην] As usual, without αν. We might have had είπερ άληθές ήν, ενέβαλεν αν το γραμματείον, implying άλλ' οὐκ ἐνέβαλεν, whereas the sentence as it stands does not require av because it implies not ἀλλ' οὐκ ἐχρῆν, but χρη μέν έμβαλεῖν άλλ' οὐκ ένέβαλεν. So also with ώφελον, ξμελλον, ξδει, προσηκεν; 'sed multo latius patet haec ratio ... Omnino, ubicunque non potest contrarium opponi, recte abest particula.' Hermann de particula åv § xII. On a similar principle we have τί ην ἀπλούστερον above, which follows the analogy of δίκαιον ην, είκὸς ην, &c.

τὸ γραμματείον εἰς τὸν έχίνον έμβαλείν καὶ τὸν παρέχοντα μαρτυρείν, ίν' ἐκ τῆς ἀληθείας καὶ τοῦ τὰ σημεί' ίδειν οι μεν δικασταί το πράγμ' έγνωσαν, έγω δ', εί τις ηδίκει μ', έπὶ τοῦτον ηα· νῦν δ' είς μὲν οὐδεὶς ὅλον 18 τὸ πρâγμ' ἀνεδέξατο, οὐδὲ μεμαρτύρηκεν ἀπλώς, ώς άν τις τάληθη μαρτυρήσειε, μέρος δ' έκαστος, ώς δή σοφὸς καὶ διὰ τοῦτ' οὐ δώσων δίκην, ὁ μὲν γραμματεῖον έχειν έφ' φ γεγράφθαι διαθήκη Πασίωνος, δ δε πεμφθείς ύπὸ τούτου παρέχειν τοῦτ', εἰ δ' ἀληθὲς ἡ ψεῦ-ΙΙΟ7 δος, οὐδὲν εἰδέναι. οίδὶ δὲ τῆ προκλήσει χρησάμενοι 19 παραπετάσματι, διαθήκας έμαρτύρησαν, ώς αν μάλισθ

* τὰ δίκαια γρ. FQ; cf. 25 § 4.

τὰ σημεῖα] Or. 42 §§ 2, 8. Probably the seals attached to the will (cf. Becker's Charicles, Sc. 1x note 14), and not those on the deposition-case or exiros (as supposed in Stark's addenda to Hermann's Privatalt. § 65. 9). On the $\dot{\epsilon}\chi\hat{\imath}\nu$ os cf. Or. 39 § 18 σεσημασμένων των έχίνων, and note on Or. 54 § 27. For the opening of the seals of a will, see Ar. Vesp. 584 κλάειν ημείς μακρά την κεφαλήν είποντες τή διαθήκη, και τη κόγχη τη πάνυ σεμνώς τοις σημείοισιν έπούση.

ya] 'perhaps old Attic, Plat. Theaet. 180, Rep. 449' Veitch, Gk. Vbs. s.v. elm. Cf. Kühner-Blass, Gr. Gr., 1 2 § 292, 3. As first person yeur is rare, but προσή ειν is not. In § 6 we have had ἀπήειν. See Cobet, Variae

Lect. p. 307.

18. els...οὐδεls] 'No single witness has accepted the whole responsibility'; cf. § 38 διείλοντο τάδικήματα. είs οὐδεὶs is a much stronger negative than où dels. Or. 21 (Midias) § 12 εν γάρ οὐδέν έστιν έφ' φ...ού δίκαιος ῶν ἀπολωλέναι φανήσεται. Cf. Fals. Leg. § 201, ἐν οὐδ' ὁτιοῦν, Thuc. II.

ό μέν...ό δέ] Cephisophon (§§ 21, 22)... Amphias (δ Κηφισοφῶντος κηδεστής, §§ 10, 17).— The subject of ἔκαστος (ὁ μὲν...δ δè) is μεμαρτύρηκε implied by the former part of the sentence. This is all that is meant by Dobree's punctuation 'Distingue δ $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$, $\pi \epsilon \mu \phi \theta \epsilon i s$, to show that πεμφθείς is subordinate to παρέχειν and is not to be taken with ο δέ. Trans. 'another, that he produced the will on being sent by him (Cephisophon).

19. παραπετάσματι] εc. προφάσει (46 § 9 πρόφασιν...την πρόκλησιν), προσχήματι (5 § 6), as a 'cloak,' or 'pretext,' lit. a 'screen' or 'curtain.' Plat. Protag. 316 Ε ταις τέγναις ταύταις παραπετάσμασιν έχρήσαντο, immediately after προσχήμα ποιείσθαι καί προκαλύπτεσθαι.

ώς αν μάλισθ' οι μέν δικασταί ... ἐπίστευσαν... ἐγὼ δὲ ἀπεκλείσθην ... οδτοι δὲ φωραθεῖεν ...] This sentence, as it stands in the mss, can only mean 'The present witnesses (Stephanus, &c.) used the challenge as a pretext for giving evidence of a will.

οί μὲν δικασταὶ ταύτην τὴν διαθήκην ἐπίστευσαν τοῦ πατρὸς εἶναι, ἐγω δ' ἀπεκλείσθην τοῦ λόγου τυχεῖν ὑπὲρ ὧν ἀδικοῦμαι, οὖτοι δὲ φωραθεῖεν τὰ ψευδῆ μεμαρτυρηκότες. καίτοι τό γ' ἐναντίον ἤοντο τούτου. ἵνα δ' εἰδῆθ' ὅτι ταῦτ' αληθῆ λέγω, λαβὲ τὴν τοῦ Κηφισοφωντος μαρτυρίαν.

* addidit Bl. coll. 46 § 9.

b ὅτι ταῦτ' Bl.; ταῦτα ὅτι (syllabis brevibus) codices.

in the very way in which the court would have believed that the will was my father's, and I should have been debarred from getting a hearing, and in which my opponents would now be palpably convicted of giving false evidence.' This makes nonsense, as the jury in the former trial did believe the witnesses, and Apollodorus was debarred from speaking. &v is quite out of place with έπίστευσαν and άπεκλείσθην, but not so with φωραθείεν (which cannot here be taken as a simple optative expressing a wish). It thus appears that we should (with G. H. Schaefer) remove av from the aorist indicative and place it with the aor. optative, and read as follows: $\dot{\omega}_{5}$ (or $\ddot{\omega}_{\sigma}\theta$) οί δικασταί... έπίστευσαν, έγω δέ άπεκλείσθην...οδτοι δ' αν μάλιστα φωραθείεν. The sense thus gained is fairly satisfactory: 'the witnesses combined the attestation of a challenge with the attestation of a will (made the former a pretext for the latter). The immediate result was that the jury in the previous trial believed the will was really my father's and therefore decided against me without giving me a hearing on my present wrongs. The ultimate result was that by that very means my opponents would be clearly convicted of having given false evidence.'

Hermann attempts to explain the passage by the following translation:

'Illi vero, provocationis praetextu usi, de testamento testati sunt eo modo, quo facillime judices hoc patris testamentum esse crederent, ego autem ab oranda causa mea excludidebebam[?], ipsi vero—falsa testati esse deprehenderentur; atqui contrarium sperabant. Illa enim οδτοι δέ (ĥic voce paullum subsistit orator) φωραθείεν τὰ ψευδη μεμαρτυρηκότες, ironice dicta esse patet' (Opuscula rv 27, de particula ἀν 1 7).

Dobree says: 'Sensus est: ita rem administrarunt, ut tunc quidem judices deciperent; postea autem hoc palam fieret, quamvis id non praeviderent.—Qu. de modorum permutatione. Similis locus F. Leg. 424. 16' τοσοῦτ' ἀπέχουσι τοῦ τοιοῦτόν τι ποιεῦν, ὥστε θαυμάζουσι καὶ ζηλοῦσι καὶ βούλοιντ' ἀν αὐτὸς ἔκαστος τοιοῦτος εἶναι.

I suggest is &ν el μάλισθ' ol δικασταl, and perhaps οδτοί γε infra (though οδτοί δὲ might mean 'yet these' &c.). 'They gave their evidence so, that if the dicasts were ever so much persuaded, and I was stopped from further proceedings then, yet they will be detected in

MAPTTPIA.

°Κηφισοφῶν Κεφαλίωνος 'Αφιδναῖος μαρτυρεῖ καταλειφθηναι αὐτῷ ὑπὸ τοῦ πατρὸς γραμματεῖον, ἐφ' ῷ ἐπιγεγράφθαι διαθήκη Πασίωνος.°

- e testimonium om. 8; uncis incl. Z, Dind.
- d Κεφαλίωνος Bl.; Κεφάλωνος codices (Dind.).

having lied.' ώς ἄν φωραθεῖεν is a virtual synonym of ωστε φωραθήναι. Cf. Plat. Phaedr. p. 230 Β καὶ ὡς ἀκμὴν ἔχει τῆς άνθης, ώς άν εὐωδέστατον παρέχοι τὸν τόπον, 'see how this willow is in full blossom, so as to fill the place with fragrance! Symp. p. 187 D τοις μέν κοσμίοις τῶν ἀνθρώπων, καὶ ὡς ἄν κοσμιώτεροι γίγνοιντο οι μήπω δντες, δεί χαρίζεσθαι. For the use of δè in apodosis, cf. Or. 21 (Mid.) p. 547 § 100 el δέ τις πένης μηδέν ήδικηκώς ταῖς ἐσχάταις συμφοραῖς άδίκως ύπο τούτου περιπέπτωκε, τούτω δ' οὐδὲ συνοργισθήσεσθε;

* dν et ad ἐπίστενσαν et ad φωραθεῖεν pertinet, verbis ἐγὼ — ἀδικοῦμαι interpositis: ea ratione testati sunt, qua maxime iudices crederent,—ego autem impeditus sum, ne causam meam dicerem,—isti autem falsi testimonii coarguantur' (Huettner).
§§ 19—23. To prove this,

§§ 19—23. To prove this, take the evidence of Cephisophon. He deposes to a document having been left him by my father, inscribed 'Pasion's Will'; thinking that to depose to this only was a mere trifle, and that he could not safely go so far as to add (what in itself would have been a simple matter) 'that this was the document produced by the deponent.'—Now, had Phormion's name appeared outside, the deponent might reasonably have kept the document for Phormion; further, had it really been en-

dorsed 'Pasion's Will,' it would have belonged to me by inheritance like the rest of my father's property, and I should of course have appropriated it, feeling that, with a lawsuit before me, the will, if its terms were those alleged, would be rather detrimental to my interests. The fact that, in spite of the alleged endorsement, it has been produced to Phormion, not to myself, and been let alone by me, proves the forgery of the will and the falsehood of the deposition of Cephisophon. However, I dismiss him for the present, especially as he has given no evidence on the contents of the will, which by the way is a strong proof of the falsehood of the deposition of Stephanus and his friends. Cephisophon, the very person who deposes to having the document, did not dare to depose to its identity with that produced by Phormion; and yet the present witnesses (Stephanus and his friends) have declared that it is a copy of the other, though they cannot claim to have been present when the will was drawn up, never saw it opened before the arbitrator, and indeed have deposed that I refused to open it. If so, have they not clearly charged themselves with having given false evidence?

Mapropla] The wording of this deposition is identical with that of the speech itself (§§ 18 and 20), with the exception of 20 Οὐκοῦν ἢν ὁπλοῦν ὁ ἄνδρες δικασταί, τὸν ταῦτα μαρτυροῦντα προσμαρτυρῆσαι " εἶναι δὲ τὸ γραμμα- "τεῖον, δ αὐτὸς παρέχει, τοῦτο," καὶ τὸ γραμματεῖον ἐμβαλεῖν. ἀλλὰ τοῦτο μὲν οἶμαι τὸ ψεῦδος ἡγεῖτ' ὀργῆς ἄξιον, καὶ δίκην ἀν ὑμᾶς παρ' αὐτοῦ λαβεῖν, γραμματεῖον δ' αὐτῷ καταλειφθῆναι μαρτυρῆσαι φαῦλον καὶ οὐδέν. ἔστι δὲ τοῦτ' αὐτὸ τὸ δηλοῦν καὶ κατηγο- 21 ροῦν ὅτι πᾶν τὸ πρᾶγμα κατεσκευάκασιν. εἰ μὲν γὰρ ἐπῆν ἐπὶ τῆς διαθήκης "Πασίωνος καὶ Φορμίωνος" ἢ "πρὸς Φορμίωνα" ἢ τοιοῦτό τι, εἰκότως ὰν αὐτὴν ἐτήρει τούτῷ εἰ δ', ὥσπερ μεμαρτύρηκεν, ἐπῆν "διαθήκη "Πασίωνος," πῶς οὐκ ὰν ἀνηρήμην αὐτὴν ἐγώ, συνει-

the clause ύπὸ τοῦ πατρὸς (naturally suggested by κατα- $\lambda \epsilon \iota \phi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$), and the description of the witness as Κεφάλωνος (or Κεφαλίωνος) 'Αφιδναΐος. Κεφά- $\lambda\omega\nu$ is a parallel form of $K\epsilon\phi a$ - $\lambda l\omega\nu$ and is found elsewhere (Plut. Arat. 52). One Κηφισοφων 'Αφιδναίος is mentioned in inscriptions as trierarch and commander of the fleet, and it has been proposed to identify him with the witness in this case, though the name of the trierarch's father is not given (Boeckh, Seewesen p. 442). The composer of the deposition may have been led to assign Cephisophon to Aphidna by a passage in Or. 59 κατά Νεαίρας §§ 9-10, where a person of that name bribes one Stephanus of Eroeadae to charge Apollodorus with causing the death of a woman at Aphidna. (A. Westermann u.s. pp. 108—9, cf. § 8 supra.) The authenticity of the document is, however, confirmed by the fact that an inscription of the year 343 B.C. mentions Κηφισοφών Κεφαλίωνος 'Αφιδvaios (C. I. A. 11 1, 114 c 6 quoted by Kirchner p. 28).

20. $\epsilon \mu \beta a \lambda \epsilon i \nu$] so. ϵls τον $\epsilon \chi \tilde{\nu} \nu \sigma \nu$, § 17.— $\delta \rho \gamma \eta$, the indignation of the court.— $\tilde{a}\nu$ $\lambda a \beta \epsilon \tilde{\nu} \nu$ depends, like the previous clause, on $\tilde{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \hat{\iota} \tau \sigma$.

γραμματείον δε] 'Whereas to give evidence of a document having been bequeathed to him was a trifle of no importance.' Kennedy.

"Πασίωνος και Φορμίωνος."]
'At ineptus Pasio fuisset, si hoc inscripsisset; de utrisque enim, et Phormione et fillis, in eo constituerat' (Lortzing Apoll. p. 78).—ἐτήρει τούτω sc. Φορμίωνι. 'If the inscription had been, This belongs to Pasio, and to Phormion, or for Phormion, or anything of that sort, he would reasonably have kept it for him.'

21. πῶς οἰκ αν ἀνηρήμην...] 'I should of course have appropriated it.' The plaintiff actually says that if the terms of the will were such as alleged and if it had been really inscribed 'Pasion's Will' (διαθήκη is emphatic: 'had the endorse-

δως μεν έμαυτῷ μέλλοντι δικάζεσθαι, συνειδως δ' ύπεναντίαν οὖσαν, εἴπερ ἢν τοιαύτη, τοῖς ἐμαυτῷ συμφέρουσι, κληρονόμος δ' ὧν καὶ ταύτης, εἴπερ ἢν τοὐμοῦ πατρός, καὶ τῶν ἄλλων τῶν πατρώων ὁμοίως; οὐκοῦν 22 τῷ παρέχεσθαι μὲν Φορμίωνι, γεγράφθαι δὲ Πασίω-108 νος, εἰᾶσθαι δ' ὑφ' ἡμῶν, ἐξελέγχεται κατεσκευασμένη μὲν ἡ διαθήκη, ψευδὴς δ' ἡ τοῦ Κηφισοφῶντος μαρτυρία. ἀλλ' ἐῶ Κηφισοφῶντα· οὔτε γὰρ νῦν μοι πρὸς ἐκεῖνόν ἐστιν οὔτ' ἐμαρτύρησεν ἐκεῖνος περὶ τῶν ἐν ταῖς διαθήκαις ἐνόντων οὐδέν. καίτοι καὶ τοῦτο σκο-23 πεῖτε, ὅσον ἐστὶ τεκμήριον ὧ ἄνδρες 'Αθηναῖοι τοῦ τούτους τὰ ψευδῆ μεμαρτυρηκέναι. εἰ γὰρ ὁ μὲν αὐτὸς ἔχειν τὸ γραμματεῖον μαρτυρῶν οὐκ ἐτόλμησ' ἀντίγραφ' εἶναι ἃ παρείχετο Φορμίων τῶν παρ' αὐτῷ

o add. Bl. coll. § 27; 19 § 78; 21 § 10; 24 § 153; 37 § 49; 52 § 13.

ment been, not merely, 'This is Pasion's,' but 'This is Pasion's will,'&c.), then he would certainly have claimed it as heir to his father's property and, finding it detrimental to his own interests, would have kept it close.' The effrontery of this statement is sufficiently startling.

As regards the phrase διαθήκην άναιρεῖσθαι, it may be noticed that in Isaeus Or. 6 (Philoct.) §§ 30-33, we have πείθουσι τον Εὐκτήμονα την διαθήκην άνελεῖν ώς οὐ χρησίμην οδσαν τοις παισί followed by δ Εὐκτήμων ἔλεγεν ὅτι βούλοιτ' άνελέσθαι την διαθήκην and ποιησάμενος πολλούς μάρτυρας ώς οὐκέτι αὐτῷ κέοιτο ἡ διαθήκη, φχετο ἀπιών. Cf. also Isaeus Or. 1 (Cleonym.) § 14, ἀσθενῶν... έβουλήθη ταύτας τας διαθήκας άνελεῖν, where Schömann remarks 'ἀναιρεῖν est λύειν tollere, rescindere : ἀναιρεῖσθαι autem, de contractuum testamentorum - que tabulis, proprie est repetere ab eo, apud quem depositae fuerunt, quod fit a sublaturo.¹ In these passages, however, the phrase is used of a testator revoking his own will; here of an heir claiming his father's will, with a view to suppressing it. See note on Or. 34 § 31, and cf. 48 § 46.

22. $\tau\hat{\varphi}$ παρέχεσθαι Φορμίωνι] 'By its being produced, not by, but to Phormion.'—είασθαι δ' 'and yet let alone (not made away with) by myself.' (See last note.) The pf. pass. είασθαι is apparently never used elsewhere. Or. 8 § 59 ἐᾶσθαι, 10 § 8 ἐαθέντα, Isoor. 4 § 97 εἰάθησαν.

23. αὐτὸς ἔχειν] 'That he had the document in his own keeping.'

παρείχετο] παρέχεσθαι dicitur, qui sua causa, παρέχειν, qui alterius causa facit (Huettner).

μαρτυρήσαι, οὖτοι δὲ οὖτ' ἐξ ἀρχής ὡς παρήσαν ἔχοιεν ἀν εἰπεῖν, οὖτ' ἀνοιχθὲν εἰδον πρὸς τῷ διαιτητή τὸ γραμματεῖον, ἀλλὰ καὶ μεμαρτυρήκασιν αὐτοὶ μὴ θέλειν ἔμ' ἀνοίγειν, ταῦθ' ὡς ἀντίγραφ' ἐστὶν ἐκείνων μεμαρτυρηκότες, τί ἄλλ' ἡ σφῶν αὐτῶν κατήγοροι γεγόνασιν ὅτι ψεὐδονται;

ΥΕτι τοίνυν ὧ ἀνδρες 'Αθηναίοι πῶς ' γέγραπταί τις ἀν ἐξετάσας τὴν μαρτυρίαν, γνοίη παντελῶς τοῦτο μεμηχανημένους αὐτούς, ὅπως καὶ ε δικαίως καὶ ἀδίκως δόξει ταῦθ' ὁ πατὴρ ούμὸς διαθέσθαι. λαβὲ δ' αὐτὴν τὴν μαρτυρίαν, καὶ λέγ' ἐπισχών οὖ ἀν σε κελεύω, ἵν' ἐξ αὐτῆς δεικνύω.

MAPTTPIAI.

Μαρτυροῦσι παρείναι πρὸς τῷ διαιτητῆ Τεισία, ὅτε προὖκαλεῖτο Φορμίων ᾿Απολλόδωρον, εἰ μή φησιν ἀντίγραφα εἶναι τῶν διαθηκῶν τῶν Πασίωνος—

25 'Επίσχες. ἐνθυμεῖσθ' ὅτι "τῶν διαθηκῶν" γέγραπται "τῶν Πασίωνος." καίτοι χρῆν τοὺς βουλομένους τάληθῆ μαρτυρεῖν, εἰ τὰ μάλιστ' ἐγίγνεθ' ἡ πρόκλη' ὡς vulg.; πῶς propter hiatum Bl.
8 add. Bl. coll. 44 § 35;
Andoc. 1 § 135; Arist. Plut. 233, Ach. 373, Eq. 256.

ėξ ἀρχῆs] Emphatic position, as in 9 § 25 'Were present in the first instance,' as witnesses when Pasion made his will (of. Meier & Schömann, p. 878 Lips.). But it may be remarked that, even supposing they were so present, it does not follow that they would know the contents of the document. (See note on Or. 46 § 2 and Becker's Charicles, Sc. Ix, note 18.)

§§ 24—26. Let us now examine the terms of the deposition, and we shall see that its object is to make it appear by any means, fair or foul, that my father made this will. It speaks of 'the will of

Pasion'; whereas it ought to have run 'the will which Phormion asserts to have been left by Pasion'; and you are aware that there is a vast difference between a thing being really true and Phormion's saying so.

24. πῶς γέγραπται κ.τ.λ.] i.e. εἰ τις ἐξετάσειε πῶς γέγραπται ἡ μαρτυρία, γνοίη κ.τ.λ. For πῶς after ἐξετάζειν, cf. 23 § 196.

δικαίως καὶ ἀδίκως δόξει] 'That rightly or wrongly it may appear that my father made this will.' A singular expression, the adverbs belonging to δόξει and not to διαθέσθαι.

25. $\epsilon l \tau \dot{a} \mu \dot{a} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau$ '] 'If it

σις, ώς οὐκ ἐγίγνετο, ἐκείνως μαρτυρεῖν. λέγε τὴν μαρτυρίαν ἀπ' ἀρχῆς πάλιν.

1109

MAPTTPIA.

Μαρτυροῦσι παρεῖναι πρὸς τῷ διαιτητῆ Τεισίᾳ— Μαρτυροῦμεν· παρῆμεν γὰρ δή. λέγε.

ότε προϋκαλείτο Φορμίων Απολλόδωρον—

Καὶ τοῦτ', εἴπερ προὖκαλεῖτ', ὀρθῶς αν ἐμαρτύρουν.

εὶ μή φησιν ἀντίγραφα εἶναι τῶν διαθηκῶν τῶν Πασίωνος—

*Εχ' αὐτοῦ. οὐδ' αν εἶς ἔτι δήπου τοῦτ' ἐμαρτύ- 26 ρησεν, εἰ μή τις καὶ παρῆν διατιθεμένω τῷ πατρὶ τῷ ἐμῷ· ἀλλ' εὐθὺς αν εἶπε "τί δ' ἡμεῖς ἴσμεν, εἴ τινές "εἰσι διαθῆκαι Πασίωνος;" καὶ γράφειν αν αὐτὸν ἤξίωσεν, ὥσπερε ἐν ἀρχῷ τῆς προκλήσεως " εἰ μή " φημ' ἐγὼ ἀντίγραφα εἶναι τῶν διαθηκῶν," οὕτως "ὧν φησι Φορμίων Πασίωνα καταλιπεῖν," οὐ "τῶν "Πασίωνος." τοῦτο μὲν γὰρ ἦν εἶναι διαθήκας μαρτυρεῖν ὅπερ ἦν τούτοις βούλημα, ἐκεῖνο δὲ φάσκειν Φορμίωνα πλεῖστον δὲ δήπου κεχώρισται τό τ' εἶναι καὶ τὸ τοῦτον φάσκειν.

"Ινα τοίνυν είδηθ' ύπερ ηλίκων και δσων ήν το 27

88 aut $\tilde{\omega}\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho$ fortasse delendum, aut postea obrws cum Blassio inserendum.

h obrws $\gamma\rho$ Q (adscripto obrws $\tilde{\omega}s$ pro $\tilde{\omega}v$).

were ever so true that the challenge took place, which I utterly deny.' Cf. 16 § 27.—ἐκείνως, 'in a form which I am about to show,' viz. in § 26 τῶν διαθηκῶν (ποτ τῶν Πασίωνος) but ὧν φησι Φορμίων Πασίωνα καταλιπεῦν. Cf. 46 § 5.

26. εί τινές είσι] 'if there is any will of Pasion's at all.'

φάσκειν] (sc. εἶναι διαθήκας.) Here, as often, used with the collateral notion of saying what is untrue. Thus both φημὶ and φάσκω are used in Soph. El. 319 of promising without performing: $\phi\eta\sigma\ell\nu$ γε φάσκων δ' οὐδὲν ὧν λέγει ποιεῖ. [For the whole senence, cf. Eur. Alc. 528, χωρίς το τ' εἶναι καὶ τὸ μὴ νομίζεται.]

§§ 27, 28. An examination of the terms of the 'Will' proves that Phormion had important motives for forging it, viz. (1) to escape the penalty for seducing one who shall be nameless, (2) to secure all my father's money

κατασκεύασμα τὸ τῆς διαθήκης, μίκρ' ἀκούσατέ μου. ἢν γὰρ ὧ ἄνδρες 'Αθηναῖοι τοῦτο πρῶτον μὲν ὑπὲρ τοῦ μὴ δοῦναι δίκην ὧν διεφθάρκει, ἢν ἐμοὶ μὲν οὐ καλὸν λέγειν, ὑμεῖς δ' ἴστε, κᾶν ἐγὼ μὴ λέγω, ἔπειθ' ὑπὲρ τοῦ κατασχεῖν ὅσ' ἢν τῷ ἡμετέρφ πατρὶ χρήματα παρὰ τῆ μητρί, πρὸς δὲ τούτοις ὑπὲρ τοῦ καὶ τῶν ἄλλων τῶν ἡμετέρων ἀπάντων κυρίφ¹ γενέσθαι. ὅτι δ' οὕτω ταῦτ' ἔχει, τῆς διαθήκης αὐτῆς ἀκούσαντες γνώσεσθε· φανήσεται γὰρ οὐ πατρὸς ὡς ὑπὲρ¹ υἰέων γράφοντος ἐοικυῖα [διαθήκη] k, ἀλλὰ δούλου λελυμασ-

¹ κύριον Lambinus (G. H. Schaefer).

j ως ὑπὲρ H. Wolf (Bl.). ὥσπερ Z cum libris. περί G. H. Schaefer. ὑπὲρ (tribus brevibus coniunctis) Bekk. Dind. k propter syllabas breves secl. Bl., 'quod cum proximis ἀλλὰ δούλου κτέ. non convenit' (Huettner).

that was in my mother's hands; and (3) to obtain control over all the rest of the family property.

27. κατασκεύασμα] The 'fabrication,' 'forgery,' of the will. Cf. 21 § 123; 23 § 13; 24 § 16 (κατασκευασμός ὑπὲρ τοῦ κ.τ.λ.), and κατασκευάζειν in §§ 13 and 20.

ων διεφθάρκει, ην...] 'Το escape the penalty of having corrupted her whose name I cannot here mention without impropriety, but whom you yourselves know without my naming her.' (For this delicacy of allusion, cf. note on § 3.) ων διεφθάρκει ην is equivalent to της διαφθοράς της γυναικός ην (or τοῦ διεφθαρκέναι ταύτην ην). The substantive is here 'thrown into' the verb as in Fals. Leg. § 238 p. 415 èv autoîs ols èti- $\mu \hat{a} \sigma \theta \epsilon$, in the very honours you enjoyed, where Shilleto quotes de Corona § 312 έφ' ofs έλυμήνω and a striking instance from Plato, Phaedo p. 94 c. We may add Midias § 189 p. 576 ἐφ' οἶς ἐλειτούργουν ὑβρίζειν, and Ar. Ach. 677 οὐ γὰρ ἀξίως ἐκείνων ὧν ἐναυμαχήσαμεν γηροβοσκούμεσθ' ὑφ' ὑμῶν. Cf. inf. § 68 and Or. 16 § 13; 19 § 77; 21 §§ 25, 128; 23 § 120; 55 § 32. In 29 § 51, τἀντίγραφα, ὡς σύ με προύκαλέσω, must be corrected into τάντίγραφα ὧν κ.τ.λ., as has independently occurred to another scholar.

ύπερ τοῦ κατασχεῖν] 'for the purpose of securing.' So inf. § 47 ὅπως τὴν ἀφορμὴν τῆς τραπέζης κατάσχοι.

κυρίω γενέσθαι] The dative is used as though the sentence had begun with $\dot{\eta}$ διαθήκη κατεσκεύαστο Φορμίωνι instead of with its equivalent in sense $\dot{\eta}\nu$ τδ κατασκεύασμα τὸ τῆς διαθήκης. Cf. 20 (Lept.) § 107 ἐκεῖ μὲν γάρ ἐστι τῆς ἀρετῆς ἄθλον τῆς πολιτείας κυρίω γενέσθαι. The regular construction would of course require κύριον.

λελυμασμένου] Also a de-

μένου τὰ τῶν δεσποτῶν, ὅπως μὴ δώσει δίκην σκο-IIIO ποῦντος. λέγε δ' αὐτοῖς τὴν διαθήκην αὐτήν, ἡν οὖτοι 28 μετὰ¹ τῆς προκλήσεως μεμαρτυρήκασιν· ὑμεῖς δ' ἐνθυμεῖσθ' ὰ λέγω.

ΔΙΑΘΗΚΗ.

[™]Τάδε διέθετο Πασίων 'Αχαρνεύς · δίδωμι τὴν ἐμαυτοῦ γυναῖκα 'Αρχίππην Φορμίωνι, καὶ προῖκα ἐπιδίδωμι 'Αρχίππη τάλαντον μὲν τὸ ⁿ ἐκ Πεπαρήθου, τάλαντον δὲ τὸ ⁿ αὐτόθεν, συνοικίαν ἐκατὸν μνῶν, θεραπαίνας καὶ χρυσία ^o καὶ τάλλ' ὅσα ἐστὶν αὐτῆ ἔνδον, ἄπαντα ταῦτα 'Αρχίππη δίδωμι ^m.

'Ηκούσατ' ὧ ἄνδρες 'Αθηναίοι τὸ πλήθος τής προικός, τάλαντον ἐν Πεπαρήθου, τάλαντον αὐτόθεν, συνοικίαν ἑκατὸν μνῶν, θεραπαίνας καὶ χρυσία, καὶ τἄλλα, φησὶν, ὅσ' ἐστὶν αὐτῆ, δίδωμι, τούτφ τῷ γράμματι καὶ τοῦ ζητήσαί τι τῶν καταλειφθέντων ἀποκλείων ἡμᾶς.

Reiske. πρὸ libri. διὰ Dobree, coll. § 31.
 m-m om. S; uncis incl. Z, Dind.
 n 'Malim τῶν.....τῶν.'
 Dobree.
 Q (Bl.); τὰ χρυσία vulgo (Dind.).

ponent perfect in Or. 19 § 105 and Or. 21 § 173 (λελύμανται). The inf. is found as pass. in Or. 20 § 142.—The sense is:— 'a slave who is thinking how to escape punishment for having wronged, dishonoured, his master's household, damaged his master's property.' τὰ τῶν δεσποτῶν refers to his master's wife, but is expressed purposely in a general way. Cf. 9 § 31 δοῦλος ὑποβολιμαῖος τὰ μὴ προσήκοντ' ἀπώλλυε καὶ ἐλυμαίνετο.

28. διαθήκην μετά της προκλήσεως] § 12 προσεμαρτύρουν τη προκλήσει την διαθήκην and § 15 πρόκλησιν όμοῦ διαθήκη μαρτυρείν. τάδε διέθετο] The usual formula. Thus, Plato's will began:

τάδε κατέλιπε Πλάτων και διέθετο, and Aristotle's: τάδε διέθετο 'Αριστοτέλης (Diog. Laert. 111 41 and v 11).

τάλαντουκ.τ.λ.] Sumsingross, charged on land, are meant (as Pabst and Kennedy understand it); not annual rents (as G. H. Schaefer supposes).—On συνοικίαν see notes on Or. 36 §§ 6 and 34.

Πεπαρήθου] A small island, N.W. of Euboea. As it was an Athenian colony, Athenians could hold property there (Dareste). Its wine is mentioned in 35 § 35.

και του ζητήσαι... άποκλείων] See on Or. 40 § 15 εάν τι οδτοι των πατρώων επιζητώσι. 29 Φέρε δὴ δείξω τὴν μίσθωσιν ὑμῖν, καθ' ἢν ἐμεμίσθωτο τὴν τράπεζαν παρὰ τοῦ πατρὸς οὖτος. καὶ γὰρ ἐκ ταύτης, καίπερ ἐσκευωρημένης, ὄψεσθ' ὅτι πλάσμ' ὅλον ἐστὶν ἡ διαθήκη. δείξω δ' ἢν οὖτος παρέσχετο μίσθωσιν, οὖκ ἄλλην τινά, ἐν ἢ προσγέγραπται ἔνδεκα τάλαντα ὁ πατὴρ ὀφείλων εἰς τὰς παρακαταθήκας 30 τούτφ. ἔστι δ' οἶμαι ταῦτα τοιαῦτα. τῶν μὲν οἴκοι

§§ 29-36. Again, the 'lease' upon which Phormion took the bank from my father, though itself a fabrication, will prove the 'Will' an absolute forgery.

It concludes with a clause stating that my father eleven talents to the bank. This was added in order that whatever sums were traced to Phormion might be made out to have been 'paid' in discharge of this debt, and not embezzled .- (You imagine perhaps that, as Phormion speaks bad Greek, he is merely a foreigner and a fool. To be sure, he is anything but a good Greek in hating those he ought to honour, but in villany and knavery he is far from a fool.)

The terms of the 'lease' shall now be read and examined.

(1) No one would have paid so large a rent, as that alleged, for the banking business.

(2) No one would have committed the rest of his property to a man under whose management

the Bank got into debt.

(3) The stringency of the provision preventing Phormion from doing business as a banker on his own account is inconsistent with the singularly generous terms of the will and proves the latter to be a forgery.

29. φέρε δή...δείξω...δείξω] 30 § 25 φέρε δη...έπιδείξω...μάρτυρας δε των μεν ύμων παρέξομαι, των δ' έπιδείξω μεγάλα τεκμήρια. φέρε δή may be followed either by the Aor. Subj. or by the Fut. Ind. δείξω here, and in 20 § 26, is apparently Future; $\epsilon \pi \iota \delta \epsilon i \xi \omega$ in 52 § 20, and $\delta \pi \iota \sigma \mu \nu \eta \sigma \omega$ in 22 § 60, are ambiguous; $\epsilon l \pi \iota \omega$ (19 §§ 169, 234), $\delta \nu \alpha \gamma \nu \omega$ (18 § 267), $\pi \alpha \rho \delta \sigma \chi \omega \mu \omega$ (20 § 62) are Aorists Subjunctive. On the other hand $\delta \nu \alpha \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \omega \omega$ (Andoc. 1 § 47) is clearly Future (Huettner).

την μίσθωσιν κ.τ.λ.] See Or. 36 §§ 4—6.—καὶ γὰρ ἐκ ταύτης, 'for from this too,' ἀc., 18 § 25; 21 § 10; 37 § 35; 54 §§ 24, 26. [The clause καὶπερ ἐσκευωρημένης reads unlike the style of Demosthenes. P.]

πλάσμα] Cf. πέπλακε in line

10 of Argument, and Or. 36 § 33. Hesychius has πλάσμα· σχηματισμός ('pretence'). ψεθσμα.

ή κτίσμα.

ένδεκα τάλ.] The origin of this 'debt' is carefully explained in Or. 36 §§ 4—5 (see note on προσώφειλε p. 7), and in the present speech the plaintiff says nothing that materially shakes that explanation. [The construction is, $\delta \phi \epsilon l\lambda \omega \nu$ τούτω ϵls τάς π ., 'owing Phormion eleven talents on the deposits,' or 'for the deposits' which he had put out to interest. Kennedy translates, 'upon the deposits or Phormion.' See § 31 fin. P.]

χρημάτων ώς ἐπὶ τῆ μητρὶ δοθέντων διὰ τῆς διαθήκης αὐτὸν ἐποίησε κύριον, ὤσπερ ἀκηκόατ' ἄρτι, τῶν δ' ἐπὶ τῆς τραπέζης ὅντων, ἃ πάντες ἤδεσαν καὶ λαθεῖν οὐκ ἦν, διὰ τοῦ προσοφείλοντ' ἀποφῆναι τὸν πατέρ' ἡμῶν, ἵν', ὅσ' ἐξελέγχοιτο ἔχων, κεκομίσθαι φαίη. ὑμεῖς δ' ἴσως αὐτὸν ὑπειλήφατε, ὅτι σολοικίζει 1111 τῆ φωνῆ, βάρβαρον καὶ εὐκαταφρόνητον εἶναι. ἔστι

80. ὡς ἐπὶ τῆ μητρὶ δοθέντων]
'As my mother's dowry.' Or.
40, περὶ προικός, § 6 ἐκδόντος αὐτὴν
...καὶ προῖκα τάλαντον ἐπιδόντος,
and 59 § 50 προῖκα ἐπ' αὐτῆ
δίδωσι τριάκοντα μνᾶς.

κύριον] 27 § 55 και αὐτῆς (the mother of Dem.) και τῶν χρημάτον κύριον ποιείν.

πάντες ήδεσαν κ.τ.λ.] This must be taken as a rhetorical exaggeration. All that the speaker probably means is that as Phormion was only the lessee, not the owner of the bank, he could be called upon by Apollodorus, the lessor after Pasion's death, to give an account of all the moneys held by the bank. As a contrast we have in § 66 εργασίας αφανεῖς διὰ τῆς τραπέζης ποιῆται.

κεκομίσθαι] In middle sense. Or. 41 § 11 οὐκ ἀνενηνόχασι κεκομισμένοι (τὴν φιάλην). Οτ. 56 (Dionysod.) § 3 δέον δ΄ αὐτὰν ἐν τῷ πέρνσιν ὥρα κεκομίσθαι τὰ χρήματα. Trans. 'that whatever sums he might be proved to possess, he might pretend he had recovered in the way of debts.'

σολοικίζει τῆ φωνῆ, βάρβαρον] (See note on Or. 36 § 1 τὴν ἀπειρίαν τοῦ λέγειν.) Ηἀτ. τν 117 φωνῆ δὲ οἱ Σαυρομάται νομίζουσι Σκυθικῆ, σολοικίζοντες αὐτῆ. Αεschin. 3 § 172 βάρβαρος ἐλληνίζων τῆ φωνῆ. σόλοικος is a word of narrower meaning than βάρβαρος and is applied mainly

to faults of pronunciation or mistakes in Grammar, especially Syntax, due to foreign extraction. The word βάρβαρος originally referred to language (as an onomatopœetic word connected with the Sanskrit varvara, 'a jabberer') and was used to describe the incoherent jargon (as the Greeks considered it) of all languages but their own (Aesch. Ag. 1050). But it gradually attained a wider signification and embraced all that was non-Hellenic in the customs, the politics, the laws, and the moral and intellectual characteristics of foreign nations. (Cf. Isocr. Paneg. § 3 n.)

Hesychius (possibly with the present passage in view) has the gloss σολοικίζει βαρβαρίζει, and Aristotle (περί σοφιστικών έλέγχων § 3) explains σολοικίτειν by $\tau \hat{\eta}$ $\lambda \hat{\epsilon} \xi \epsilon i \beta a \rho \beta a \rho i \xi \epsilon i \nu$ and (in § 14) illustrates it by instances from the rules of gender. The distinction drawn between \$apβαρισμός and σολοικισμός by Zeno and the Stoics, and accepted by the writers on Rhetoric. is perhaps best expressed by Quintilian: 'vitium quod fit in singulis verbis, sit barbarismus ...cetera vitia omnia ex pluribus vocibus sunt, quorum est soloecismus' (1 5, 6 and 34).

βάρβαρον καὶ εὐκαταφρόνητον] Ar. Nubes 492 ἀμαθής...καὶ βάρβαρος. δὲ βάρβαρος οὖτος τῷ μισεῖν οὖς αὐτῷ προσῆκε τιμᾶν· τῷ δὲ κακουργῆσαι καὶ διορύξαι πράγματ' 31 οὐδενὸς λείπεται. λαβὲ δὴ τὴν μίσθωσιν καὶ λέγε, ἡν τὸν αὐτὸν τρόπον διὰ προκλήσεως ἐνεβάλοντο.

ΜΙΣΘΩΣΙΣ ΤΡΑΠΕΖΗΣ^p.

Πασίων τὴν τράπεζαν Φορμίωνι μίσθωσιν φέρειν Φορμίωνι τῆς τραπέζης τοῦς παισὶ τοῦς Πασίωνος δύο τάλαντα καὶ τετταράκοντα μνᾶς τοῦ ἐνιαυτοῦ ἐκάστου, χωρὶς τῆς καθ ἡμέραν διοικήσεως· μὴ ἐξεῖναι δὲ τραπεζιτεῦσαι χωρὶς Φορμίωνι, ἐὰν μὴ πείση τοὺς παῖδας τοὺς Πασίωνος. ὀφείλει δὲ Πασίων ἐπὶ τὴν τράπεζαν ἔνδεκα τάλαντα εἰς τὰς παρακαταθήκας.

Πασίωνος.

Τάλαντα εἰς τὰς παρακαταθήκας.

Πασίων ἐπὶ τὴν τράπεζαν ἔνδεκα τάλαντα εἰς τὰς παρακαταθήκας.

Πασίωνος.

Τάλαντα εἰς τὰς παρακαταθήκας.

Κασίων ἐπὶ τὴν τράπεζαν ἔνδεκα τάλαντα εἰς τὰς παρακαταθήκας.

Τὰς ταρακαταθήκας.

Τὰς ταρακαταθήκας.

Τὰς παρακαταθήκας.

Τὰς ταρακαταθήκας.

Τὰς ταρακαταθήκας.

Τὰς ταρακαταθήκας.

Τὰς ταρακαταθήκας.

Τὰς ταρακαταθήκας.

Τὰς ταρακα

"Ας μεν τοίνυν παρέσχετο συνθήκας ώς κατά ταύτας μισθωσάμενος την τράπεζαν, αὖταί εἰσιν ὦ ἄνδρες δικασταί. ἀκούετε δ' ἐν ταύταις ἀναγιγνωσκομέναις μίσθωσιν μεν φέρειν τοῦτον, ἄνευ τῆς καθ' ἡμέραν διοικήσεως, δύο τάλαντα καὶ τετταράκοντα μνᾶς τοῦ ἐνιαυτοῦ ἑκάστου, μὴ ἐξεῖναι δὲ τραπεζιτεύειν αὐτῷ,

P μίσθωσις Σ.

q-q om. Σ.

διορύξαι πράγματα] Lit. 'to undermine,' 'to ruin' [here, perhaps, 'to be a rogue in business']. A metaphor from house-breaking. Or. 9 § 28 κακῶς διακείμεθα καὶ διορωρύγμεθα κατά πόλεις. Or. 35 (Lacr.) § 9 οἰα ἐτοιχωρύχησαν οῦτοι περὶ τὸ δάνειον, and Philostratus 552 (quoted by Liddell & Scott), τοιχωρυχεῖν τοὺς λόγους τινός. Huettner quotes Aristides, adv. Lept. 37 διορύξαι τὸ πρᾶγμα.

31. ἐνεβάλοντο] § 17.
διὰ προκλήσεως] 'by means of,'
i.e. 'under cover of,'— 'using the
Challenge as a cat's paw.' Cf.
Fals. Leg. § 291 ἔκρινε Φιλόνεικον
καὶ δι' ἐκείνου τῶν σοὶ πεπραγμένων κατηγόρει, where Shilleto

quotes the present passage.
κατὰ τάδε ἐμίσθωσε] Similarly
in an inscription recording a
lease of the year 300 b.c. we
have: κατὰ τάδε ἐμίσθωσαν 'Αντίμαχος 'Αμφιμάχου...τὸ ἐργαστήριον τὸ ἐν Πειραιεῖ...Εὐκράτει
'Ἐξηκίου 'Αφιδναίω (Revue Archéol. 1866, xīv 352, Dittenberger, Sylloge, 440); and in
an inscription of 345 b.c. κατὰ
τάδε ἐμίσθωσαν Αἰξωνεῖς τὴν Φ[ε]λείδα Αὐτοκλεῖ (C.I.G. 93; C.I.A.
π 1055). Kirchner p. 39.

32. ΄μίσθωσιν φέρειν] 36 §§ 33, 51.

της καθ' ημέραν διοικήσεως] 'The daily expenditure' involved in managing the bank, paying under-clerks, &c.

έὰν μὴ ἡμᾶς πείση. προσγέγραπται δὲ τελευταῖον "ὀφείλει δὲ Πασίων ἔνδεκα τάλαντα εἰς τὰς παρα"καταθήκας." ἔστιν οὖν ὅστις ᾶν τοῦ ξύλου καὶ 33 τοῦ χωρίου καὶ τῶν γραμματείων τοσαύτην ὑπέμεινε φέρειν μίσθωσιν; ἔστι δ΄ ὅστις ᾶν, δι΄ δν ὡφειλήκει τοσαῦτα χρήμαθ΄ ἡ τράπεζα, τούτω τὰ λοιπὰ ἐπέτρεψεν; εἰ γὰρ ἐνεδέησε τοσούτων χρημάτων, τούτου διοικοῦντος ἐνεδέησεν. ἔστε γὰρ πάντες, καὶ ὅτ΄ ἦν ὁ πατὴρ ἐπὶ τοῦ τραπεζιτεύειν, τοῦτον καθήμενον καὶ διοικοῦντ' ἐπὶ τῷ τραπέζη, ὥστ' ἐν τῷ μυλῶνι^τ

τ μυλώνι Z et Bekker st.; μύλωνι Bl. accentum omisit S.

33. ἔστιν οδν — ὑπέμεινε] Or. 19 § 308 ἔστιν οδν...; ἔστιν ὅστις ἀν...ὑπέμεινεν (Huettner).

ξύλου... χωρίου... γραμματείων]
The bench (desk or counter)...
the site (in the market-place)...
the banking-books (ledgers, &c.,

Or. 52 §§ 6, 14).

ώφειλήκει ή τράπεζα] Phormion's account is that Pasion owed 11 talents to the bank; whereas Apollodorus unfairly, as it seems, treating this sum as a deficit though it stood in Pasion's hands to the credit of the bank, denounces Phormion for having caused the bank to get into debt. [Apollodorus wishes to throw a doubt on Phormion's ever having had a lease at all on the terms now brought forward. He says he would have been a fool to pay so much for a business that was encumbered if not insolvent: and Pasion would have been equally foolish if he had let the bank to one who had managed it so badly as Phormion. P.]

el γαρ κ.τ.λ.] A sophistical argument to bear out the previous clause δι' δν ώφειλήκει ή τράπεζα. It is quite true that

ή τράπεζα ἐνεδέησε χρημάτων, but then the 11 talents in question were held by Pasion on the security of land and were part of the assets of the business.—
On $\kappa \alpha \theta \eta \mu e \nu \sigma \kappa .\tau .\lambda$. v. Or. 36

§ 7, n. έν τῷ μυλῶνι] So far from being made master of the rest of the household, Phormion ought to have been punished, as a slave, with hard-labour at the mill, for bad management. For the mill, as a common part of slaves' labour, cf. the Phormio of Terence II 1, 18 herus si redierit, Molendum usque in pistrino, vapulandum, habendae compedes. In Lysias Or. 1 § 18 a master threatens his $\theta \epsilon \rho \delta \pi \alpha \iota \nu \alpha$ with the punishment μαστινωθείσαν είς μυλώνα έμπεσείν, and Dinarchus, contr. Dem. § 23, says that Memnon the miller was condemned to death for making a freeborn boy work in his mill. Cf. Eur. Cycl. 240 els μυλώνα καταβαλείν, and Pollux ενα κολάζονται οἱ δοῦλοι, μυ-λώνες κ.τ.λ. (Κ. F. Hermann, Privatalt. § 24, 9, p. 216 Blümner.) The parallel of Samson, 'eyeless in Gaza at the mill προσήκεν αὐτὸν εἶναι μᾶλλον ἡ τῶν λοιπῶν κύριον
34 γενέσθαι. ἀλλ' ἐῶ ταῦτα καὶ τἄλλ' ὅσ' ἀν περὶ [112
τῶν ἔνδεκα ταλάντων ἔχοιμ' εἰπεῖν, ὡς οὐκ ὤφειλ' ὁ
πατὴρ, ἀλλ' οὖτος ὑφήρηται. ἀλλ' οὖ ἀνέγνων εἴνεκα[®],
τοῦ τὴν διαθήκην ψευδή δεῖξαι, τοῦθ' ὑμᾶς ἀναμνήσω.
γέγραπται γὰρ αὐτόθι, μὴ ἐξεῖναι δὲ τραπεζιτεύειν
Φορμίωνι, ἐὰν μὴ ἡμᾶς πείση. τοῦτο τοίνυν τὸ
γράμμα παντελῶς δηλοῖ ψευδῆ τὴν διαθήκην οὖσαν.
τίς γὰρ ἀνθρώπων, ὰ μὲν ἤμελλε[®] τραπεζιτεύων

- єїнска Z et Bl. cum S; ёнска vulgo (Dind.).
- t år add. G. H. Schaefer. 'non dubitarem recipere, si modo libri praeberent...sed necessariam esse voculam år neutiquam mihi persuadere possum' (Gebauer, de argumenti ex contrario formis, p. 181). om. Bekker et Z cum libris.
 - " ημελλε Z et Bl. cum S. ξμελλε vulgo (Dind.).

with slaves,' will occur to every reader (Judges xvi 21, Milton Samson Agonistes 41, &c.).—\(\mu^{\chi}\). \(\lambda\nu^{\chi}\) in respect of socent, a false form. (Chandler, \(Gk.Acc.\) \(638.\))

34. ἐῶ ταῦτα κ.τ.λ.] The speaker, it will be observed, makes no attempt to meet fairly the statement made on the opposite side, accounting for the 11 talents not being actually in the bank, (Or. 36 §§ 4—6.) ψήρηται] 20 § 24. [Phor-

mion, he says, has filched, or secretly withdrawn, eleven talents from the bank, which he now pretends Pasion's heirs were bound to repay. P.]

μή τραπεζιτεύειν] The object of this clause appears to have been to prevent Phormion's doing business on his own account, apart from the profits made on the bank. The plaintiff seems rather unfairly to suggest that Phormion was al-

lowed to make no profit what-

ever out of the lease.

τίς γὰρ ἄν κ.τ.λ.] 'Is there any man, I ask, who, after taking precautions to ensure his own children receiving the profits of a lessee's management of the bank, by preventing him from doing business on his own behalf, would have nevertheless actually provided for that lessee's appropriating the profits he had himself laid by in his lifetime and left behind him on his death?' [The two things, he says, are inconsistent. If Phormion must bank only in the interest and for the benefit of Pasion's family, it was not likely that he would have had so much money left him by Pasion; i.e. he must have got it unfairly. The sentence is artificially constructed, and is one of those sometimes called 'bimembered,' where each clause is antithetical to the other, as here προύνοήθη δπως to παρεσκεύασεν δπως. Ρ.]

οὖτος ἐργάζεσθαι, ταῦθ' ὅπως ἡμῖν τοῖς αὐτοῦ παισὶν, ἀλλὰ μὴ τούτω γενήσεται προὐνοήθη, καὶ διὰ τοῦτο μὴ ἐξεῖναι τούτω τραπεζιτεύειν ἔγραψεν, ἴνα μὴ ἀφίστηται ἀφ' ἡμῶν· ἃ δ' αὐτὸς εἰργασμένος ἔνδον κατέλειπε, ταῦθ' ὅπως οὖτος λήψεται παρεσκεύασεν; καὶ τῆς μὲν ἐργασίας ἐφθόνησεν, ῆς οὐδὲν αἰσχρὸν ῆν 35 μεταδοῦναι· τὴν δὲ γυναῖκ' ἔδωκεν, οὖ μεῖζον οὐδὲν ἀν κατέλειπεν τονείδος, τυχών γε τῆς παρ' ὑμῶν δωρειᾶς, εἰθ' ὥσπερ ἀν δοῦλος δεσπότη διδούς, ἀλλ' οὐ τοὐναντίον, εἴπερ ἐδίδου, δεσπότης οἰκέτη, προστιθεὶς

- * Bekker. om. Z cum S. 'quid si [omisso ἐργάξεσθαι] τραπεζιτεύειν scribimus idque praegnanter dictum putamus pro τραπεζιτεύων ἐργάζεσθαι, quem ad modum Horatius carm. III 16, 26 arandi verbo usus est?' (Gebauer l. c.)
 - " Z cum Σ prima manu; κατέλιπεν Bekker, Dind.
- z breidos; edd. interrogationis signum ad finem paragraphi transferendum esse indicavit H. W. Moss,

35. και τῆς μὲν ἐργασίας ἐφθόνησεν] The subject is τίς ἀνθρώπων repeated from the previous sentence.

οῦ] sc. δνείδους, viz. the disgrace τοῦ γυναῖκα τούτφ δεδωκέναι.

τυχών γε της παρ' ύμων δωpeias The fact that Pasion was made a citizen of Athens increases the disgrace brought on his family by his providing in his alleged will that his wife should marry Phormion.—Or. 59 § 2 ψηφισαμένου τοῦ δήμου τοῦ 'Αθηναίων 'Αθηναίον είναι Πασίωνα καί έκγόνους τούς έκείνου διά τάς εύεργεσίας τας είς την πόλιν followed by τη του δήμου δωρειά. Or. 36 § 47. [τυχών γε seems an imaginary answer in favour of Phormion; 'very true; but then it was after he had received the franchise (that he took the wife).' 'So then' (the retort is), 'like a slave who makes a

wife over to his master, rather than in the converse case, Pasion gave him, it seems, a marriage portion larger than any citizen ever didl' P.]

ωσπερ αν] sc. διδοίη. Pasion's gift of his wife with a large dowry to Phormion, is the kind of gift a slave might offer his master in acknowledgment that all the slave had belonged by right to his master, and not such a gift as might be expected from a superior to an inferior. In the latter case a very slight favour would be enough. At any rate the inferior would be content with being allowed to have the honour of being married to his superior's wife, without any dowry at all.

etπερ εδίδου] which Apoll. does not admit.

προστιθείς προῦκα] Eur. Hippol. 628 προσθείς...πατὴρ φερνάς. Or. 40 § 25 προσθέντας (80.

37

36 προίκα ὅσην οὐδεὶς τῶν ἐν τἢ πόλει φαίνεται; καίτοι τούτφ μὲν αὐτὸ τοῦτ' ἀγαπητὸν ἦν, τὸ τῆς δεσποίνης ἀξιωθῆναι· τῷ πατρὶ δὲ οὐδὲ λαμβάνοντι^ν τοσαῦτα χρήματα, ὅσα φασὶ διδόνθ' οὖτοι, εὔλογον ἦν πρᾶξαι ταῦτα. ἀλλ' ὅμως ἃ τοῖς εἰκόσι, τοῖς χρόνοις, τοῖς πεπραγμένοις ἐξελέγχεται ψευδῆ, ταῦτα μαρτυρεῖν οὐκ ἄκνησεν οὐτοσὶ Στέφανος.

Είτα λέγει περιιών, ώς έμαρτύρησε μὲν Νικοκλῆς

y Bekker. λαμβάνοντα Z cum 8.

προϊκα) ἐκδοῦναι. Fals. Leg. §
195 προϊκα προσθεὶς ἐκδώσω καὶ
ού περιόψομαι παθούσας οὐδὲν
ἀνάξιον οὐθ' ἡμῶν οῦτε τοῦ πατρός
(cf. § 54 infra προϊκα ἐπιδοὺς
ἐκδοῦναι, n.). Isaeus Or. 3
(Pyrrhus) § 51 δοκεῖ δ' ἀν τις
ὑμῶν οῦτως ἀναιδὴς ἢ τολμηρὸς
εἰσποίητος γενέσθαι ὥστε μηδὲ τὸ
δέκατον μέρος ἐπιδοὺς ἐκδοῦναι τῷ
γνησία θυγατρὶ τῶν πατρώων;
Hyperides, Lycophron col. 11
line 16 εὐθὺς ἐξεδόθη, τάλαντον
ἀργυρίου προσθέντος αὐτῆ Εὐφή
μου. The commoner term was
ἐπιδοῦναι (cf. §§ 30, 54, &c.).

δσην οὐδείς κ.τ.λ.] The mother of Demosthenes had a dowry of only 80^m: the mother of Mantiheus 60^m; the two daughters of Polyeuctus 40^m each. (Dareste.)

36. λαμβάνοντι χρήματα] Not even if he got from Phormion (viz. as a bribe for leaving him his wife) the same large amount which the defendants pretend that he gave Phormion as a marriage portion.—φασί διδόντα, supply πράξαι ταῦτα.

roîs εἰκόσι...ἐξελέγχεται ψευδη]
'That which the facts, the dates, the probabilities of the case, show to be false, Stephanus the defendant has not scrupled to bear witness to.' Kennedy. For roîs εἰκόσι see esp. §§ 9—14. roîs

χρόνοις... ἐξελέγχεται (of. 19 § 60) seems inexplicable, except as a rhetorical flourish, for we have had nothing like an argument from dates; and Dobree rightly asks Quomodo?. Huettner suggests a reference to § 33. Even τοῖς πεπραγμένοις is barely justifiable, unless it is to be referred to §§ 15—18.

§§ 37-39. Phormion attempts to prove the existence of the 'will,' by going about saying that Nicocles gave evidence to having been guardian, and Pasicles to having been in wardship, under the will. Why then were not the terms of the will deposed to by Nicocles and Pasicles, instead of by Stephanus and his friends? Was it because the former did not know the terms? If not, much less could the latter. How then came the latter witnesses to depose to one set of facts, the former to another? It's the old story; they divided the responsibility of the wrong; the guardian and ward deposed to the guardianship as being under the will, and the other witnesses, under cloak of a challenge, deposed to the contents the scandalous contents—of the 'will.'

37. Νικοκλής] Possibly the

έπιτροπεύσαι κατά την διαθήκην, έμαρτύρησε δέ Πασικλής επιτροπευθήναι κατά την διαθήκην. αὐτὰ ταῦτ' οἶμαι τεκμήρι' εἶναι τοῦ μήτ' ἐκείνους τά-ΙΙΙ 3 ληθη μήτε τούσδε μεμαρτυρηκέναι. ὁ γὰρ ἐπιτροπεῦσαι κατά διαθήκας μαρτυρών, δήλον ὅτι καθ' ὁποίας αν είδείη, και ο έπιτροπευθήναι κατά διαθήκας μαρτυρών, δήλον ὅτι καθ' ὁποίας ὰν εἰδείη, τί οὖν μαθόν- 38 τες εμαρτυρείθ' ύμεις έν προκλήσει διαθήκας, άλλ' οὐκ ἐκείνους εἰᾶτε; εἰ γὰρ αὖ μή φήσουσιν εἰδέναι τὰ γεγραμμέν εν αὐταῖς, πῶς ὑμᾶς οἱόν τ' εἰδέναι τοὺς μηδαμή μηδαμώς τοῦ πράγματος έγγύς; τί ποτ' οὖν οί μεν εκείνα, οί δε ταθτ' εμαρτύρησαν; όπερ είρηκα καὶ πρότερον, διείλοντο τάδικήματα, καὶ ἐπιτροπεῦσαι μεν κατά διαθήκην οὐδεν δεινον ήγειτο μαρτυρείν ό μαρτυρών, οὐδ' ἐπιτροπευθίναι κατὰ διαθήκην, ἀφαι- 39 ρῶν ἐκάτερος τὸ μαρτυρεῖν τὰ ἐν ταῖς διαθήκαις ὑπὸ τούτου γεγραμμένα, οὐδὲ καταλιπεῖν τὸν πατέρ' αὐτῷ b

* παθόντες H. Wolf et Dindf. (1867). μαθόντες Bekker Z et Dindf. (1846 et 1855) cum libris.

a Z cum S.

^b αὐτῷ Z.

same as N. the Anagyrasian, son of Hegesippus, mentioned in C. I. G. 1 no. 408 (A. Schaefer, Dem. 111 2, 133). The evidence of Nicocles is not expressly mentioned in Or. 36; that of Pasicles is referred to in § 22 of that speech.

κατά τὴν διαθήκην] Οτ. 36 § 8 Φορμίων τὴν μὲν γυναῖκα λαμβάνει κατά τὴν διαθήκην, τὸν δὲ παῖδα ἐπετρόπευεν.

καθ' ὁποίας &ν είδείη] 'would know the purport of (the terms of) such will. The repetition of the clause δηλον—είδείη seems needless, and perhaps is due to a copyist. P.]

38. τί μαθόντες] Or. 20 § 127;

29 § 20. Madvig, Gk. Synt. § 176 (b) R.; or Goodwin's Moods and Tenses § 109 (b). ['What then induced you to give evidence of a will in connexion with a challenge, instead of letting them prove it for you?' P.] ὑμεῖs] sc. ol περί Στέφανον.—

έκείνους, Nicocles and Pasicles.

οἱ μὲν...οἱ δὲ] Nicocles and

ol μέν...ol δέ] Nicocles and Pasicles...ol περl Στέφανον....ε'-ρηκα και πρότερον refers to § 18.

39. ἀφαιρῶν ἐκάτερος] i.e. both of them declining to depose to the terms entered in the will by Phormion, not by Pasion himself as is alleged.

καταλιπείν] 80. δεινόν ήγείτο μαρτυρείν. The previous parti-

ἐπιγεγραμμένον γραμματεῖον διαθήκην, οὐδὲ τὰ τοιαῦτα· διαθήκας δὲ μαρτυρεῖν, ἐν αῖς χρημάτων τοσούτων κλοπὴ, γυναικὸς διαφθορὰ, γάμοι δεσποίνης, πράγματ' αἰσχύνην καὶ ὕβριν τοσαύτην ἔχοντα, οὐδεἰς ἤθελε πλὴν οὖτοι, πρόκλησιν κατασκευάσαντες, παρ' ὧν δίκαιον τῆς ὅλης τέχνης καὶ κακουργίας δίκην λαβεῖν.

40 "Ινα τοίνυν & ἄνδρες 'Αθηναῖοι μὴ μόνον ἐξ ὧν ἐγὼ κατηγορῶ καὶ ἐλέγχω, δῆλος ὑμῖν γένηται τὰ ψευδῆ μεμαρτυρηκὼς οὐτοσὶ Στέφανος, ἀλλὰ καὶ ἐξ ὧν πεποίηχ' ὁ παρασχόμενος αὐτόν°, τὰ πεπραγμέν' ἐκείνω βούλομαι πρὸς ὑμᾶς εἰπεῖν. ὅπερ δ' εἶπον ἀρχόμενος τοῦ λόγου, δείξω κατηγόρους γιγνομένους αὐτοὺς ἑαυτῶν. τὴν γὰρ δίκην, ἐν ἢ ταῦτα ἐμαρτυρήθη, παρεγράψατο Φορμίων πρός μεὰ μὴ εἰσαγώγιμον εἶναι, 1114

propter syllabas breves antecedentes τοῦτον mavult Bl. coll.
 § 71.
 ^d πρός με Bl. coll. 48 §§ 32, 50, 53; πρὸς ἐμὲ vulgo.

cipial sentence is subordinate only, and does not carry κατα λιπεῖν with it. 'There was no danger in a minor (i.e. Pasicles) deposing that his father had left him a document entitled "a will."' Kennedy. Lit. 'with the word WILL written upon it'; § 18 & επιγεγράφθαι διαθήκη Πασίωνος.

For επιγεγραμμένον διαθήκην of. Virg. Ecl. 111 196 inscripti nomina regum...flores.

χρημάτων κλοπή] § 84 ὑφήρηται and § 81 init.—γυναικὸς διαφθορὰ §§ 27 and 3.—On θ βρω cf. § 4, where the γάμος leads to a γραφή θ βρεως being threatened by Apollodorus.

§§ 40—42. In bar of the previous action, Phormion pleaded a discharge deposed to have been granted by me, releasing him from all further claims. This is false, as I shall prove at. the proper time; but even assuming it to be true, it shows that Stephanus has given false evidence and that the will to which he bears witness is a forgery. For no one would be so foolish as to take the precaution of having witnesses present when he gave a discharge to a lessee with a view to getting rid of any claims against himself on the part of that lessee; and yet allow the 'lease' itself and the 'will' to remain sealed to his detriment. The plea is therefore inconsistent with the evidence and the lease is inconsistent with the will; and thus the whole affair is proved to be a fabrication and a fraud.

40. παρεγράψατο... ώς άφέντος] See notes on Or. 36 Argument 1. 23 and ib. § 25. The

ώς ἀφέντος ἐμοῦ τῶν ἐγκλημάτων αὐτόν. τοῦτο τοίνυν 41 ἐγωὶ μὲν οἶδα ψεῦδος ὄν, καὶ ἐλέγξω δ', ὅταν εἰσίω πρὸς τοὺς ταῦτα μεμαρτυρηκότας · τούτω δ' οὐχ οἶόν τε τοῦτ' εἰπεῖν. εἰ τοίνυν ° ἀληθῆ πιστεύσαιτ' εἶναι τὴν ἄφεσιν, οὕτω καὶ μάλιστ' ὰν οὖτος φανείη ψευδῆ μεμαρτυρηκώς καὶ κατεσκευασμένης διαθήκης μάρτυς γεγονώς. τίς γὰρ οὕτως ἄφρων ὥστ' ἄφεσιν μὲν ἐναντίον μαρτύρων ποιήσασθαι, τοῦ βεβαίαν αὐτῷ τὴν ἀπαλλαγὴν εἶναι, τὰς δὲ συνθήκας καὶ τὰς διαθήκας καὶ τἄλλ', ὑπὲρ ὧν ἐποιεῖτο τὴν ἄφεσιν, σεσημασμέν'

• γàρ exspectaveris (Bl.).

¹ αὐτῶ Ζ.

distinction there drawn between ἀφιέναι and ἀπαλλάττειν may be exemplified thus:

41. τούτφ κ.τ.λ.] Stephanus, however, has no right to declare that the evidence to the release is false. [The meaning is, that Stephanus was in league with Phormion, and therefore was not in a position to deny, though he knew it to be untrue, any plea of Phormion's against Apollodorus. P.]

τοῦ βεβαίαν αὐτῷ τὴν ἀπαλλαγὴν είναι] The plaintiff's object in having witnesses to his alleged dφεσιs of Phormion would be to ensure his own dπαλλαγή, that is, his getting quit of any counter-claim on the part of the latter. Or. 33 § 3 πάντων ἀπαλλαγῆς καὶ ἀφέσως γενομένης. Cf. 36 § 25.

If ἀπαλλαγη were synonymous with ἀφεσις, we should have to render 'in order to make his discharge of Phormion's dues valid.' 'Who would be such a fool,' he would then ask, 'as to give an ἀφεσις in presence of

witnesses and so lose all right to further claims?' But the sense is rather: 'Admit it true that the plaintiff gave a release to Phormion in the presence of witnesses with a view to his own riddance of any counter-claim on Phormion's part; no one who had (as alleged) done this, would be such a fool as to allow the compacts and agreements, the will, &c. (και τάλλα sc. περι την μίσθωσιν) to remain in existence to his own detriment. No! if he had given a receipt, he would have opened and suppressed the documents. But, as a fact, he had not touched them, and his refraining from suppressing them is thus inconsistent with the alleged grant of a release to Phormion.'— ποιήσασθαι ἄφεσιν not 'to get' but 'to give a release,'= ἀφείναι, as 'any verb in Greek may be resolved into the cognate substantive with ποιεῖσθαι.' Shilleto on Fals. Leg. § 103.

βεβαίαν] This form of the feminine is found in 1 § 7; 2 § 10; 16 § 10; 17 § 18. βέβαιος, in 24 § 37; 23 § 3; 20 § 71.

- 42 έᾶσαι καθ' αύτοῦ κεῖσθαι; οὐκοῦν ἐναντία μὲν ἡ παραγραφὴ πᾶσι τοῖς μεμαρτυρημένοις, ἐναντία δ', ἢν ἀνέγνων ὑμῖν ἄρτι, μίσθωσις, τῆδε τῆ διαθήκη οὐδὲν δὲ τῶν πεπραγμένων οὔτ' εὔλογον οὔθ' ἀπλοῦν οὔθ' ὁμολογούμενον αὐτὸ ἑαυτῷ φαίνεται. ἐκ δὲ τούτου τοῦ τρόπου πάντα πεπλασμένα καὶ κατεσκευασμέν ἐλέγχεται.
- 43 'Ως μὲν τοίνυν ἐστὶν ἀληθῆ τὰ μεμαρτυρημένα, οὖτ' αὐτὸν τοῦτον οὖτ' ἄλλον ὑπὲρ τούτου δεῖξαι δυνήσεσθαι νομίζω. ἀκούω δ' αὐτὸν τοιοῦτόν τι παρεσκευάσθαι λέγειν, ὡς προκλήσεώς ἐστιν ὑπεύθυνος, οὐχὶ μαρτυρίας, καὶ δυοῖν αὐτῷ προσήκει δοῦναι λόγον, οὐ πάντων τῶν γεγραμμένων, εἴτε προὐκαλεῖτό με ταῦτα Φορμίων ἡ μή, καὶ εἰ μὴ ἐδεχόμην ἐγώ ταῦτα μὲν γὰρ ἀπλῶς αὐτὸς μεμαρτυρηκέναι φήσει, τὰ δ' ἄλλ' ἐκεῖνον προκαλεῖσθαι, εἰ δ' ἐστὶν ἡ μὴ 44 ταῦτα, οὐδὲν προσήκειν αὐτῷ σκοπεῖν. πρὸς δὴ τὸν
 - s Z, Dind. Bl. cum libris. τοῦ τούτου τρόπου Bekker cum Reiskio.

42. ἐναντία μίσθωσις...διαθήκη] §§ 34—36. For πεπλασμένα καὶ κατεσκευασμένα cf. § 13, Or. 36 § 33; also 38 § 9; 22 § 4; 19 § 154; and Isaeus 11 § 22 (Huettner).—ἐκ τούτου τοῦ τρόπου, 'in this manner.' Kennedy, doubtless following Bekker's text (ἐκ τοῦ τούτου τρόπου), translates: 'just what you might expect from this man's character.'

§§ 43—46. Stephanus will urge, that he is not responsible for a deposition but for a challenge, and for the latter on two points only, (1) the question whether Phormion made this challenge or not, and (2) whether I refused it; and that the terms of the challenge mentioned in the

deposition are Phormion's business, not his. If so, the witness ought to have had the words erased when his deposition was drawn up. It is now too late to disclaim them, and he is bound in this trial by the terms of his own plea that he 'gave true testimony, in testifying to that which is written in the record.'

43. ώς μέν κ.τ.λ.] Imitated in Or. 59 § 119.

προκλήσεως ὑπεύθυνος] liable to be prosecuted for giving evidence of a pretended challenge that never took place. This is clear from what follows: δεῖ αὐτὸν δοῦναι λόγον είτε προύκαλεῖτο Φ. ἢ μἡ.

λόγον τοῦτον καὶ τὴν ἀναίδειαν βέλτιον ἐστι μικρά ΙΙΙ 5 προειπεῖν ὑμῖν, ἵνα μὴ λάθητ' ἐξαπατηθέντες. πρῶτον μεν, όταν εγχειρή λέγειν τουθ', ώς άρ' ου πάντων ύπεύθυνός έστιν, ένθυμεῖσθ' ὅτι διὰ ταῦθ' ὁ νόμος μαρτυρείν εν γραμματείω κελεύει, ίνα μήτ' άφελείν έξη μήτε προσθείναι τοίς γεγραμμένοις μηδέν. τότ' οὖν αὐτὸν ἔδει ταῦτ' ἀπαλείφειν κελεύειν, ἃ νῦν οὐ φήσει μεμαρτυρηκέναι, οὐ νῦν ἐνόντων ἀναισχυντεῖν. ἔπειτα καὶ τόδε σκοπεῖτε, εἰ ἐάσαιτ' αν ἐναντίον ὑμῶν 45 έμε προσγράψαι τι λαβόντα τὸ γραμματείον. οὐ δήπου. οὔκουν οὖδὲ τοῦτον ἀφαιρεῖν τῶν γεγραμμένων έαν προσήκει τίς γαρ αλώσεται ποτεί ψευδομαρτυριών, εί μαρτυρήσει θ' à βούλεται, και λόγον ών βούλεται δώσει; άλλ' ούχ ούτω ταῦτ' οὔθ' ὁ νόμος διείλεν οὐθ' ὑμίν ἀκούειν προσήκει άλλ' ἐκείν' ἀπλοῦν καὶ δίκαιον. τί γέγραπται: τί μεμαρτύρηκας: ταῦθ'

h οὐ φήσει prima manu S (Bl.); οὔ φησι vulgo (Dind.).

i πώποτε Z cum libris; ποτε Bl. ετι ποτε et hiatu et syllabis brevibus admissis Dind.

44. μαρτυρεῖν ἐν γραμματεἰφ]
'All testimonial evidence was required to be in writing, in order that there might be no mistake about the terms and the witness might leave no subterfuge for himself when convicted of falsehood.' C. R. Kennedy in Dict. Antiq. s. v. Marturia.

dπαλείφεω] Used of any obliteration or erasure, whether the document was on a tablet of wax, or, as in this case, of some other material, as we learn from Or. 46 § 11 where the deposition in question is described as λελευκωμένον and not έν μάλθη γεγραμμένον.

οὐ νῦν ἐνόντων ἀναισχυντεῖν]
'The terms being in the depo-

sition, he ought not to have the impudence to repudiate them now.

46. $\epsilon l \ \dot{\epsilon} d\sigma a \iota \tau' \ \dot{a} \nu$ When ϵl stands for $\epsilon' \iota \tau \epsilon$ or $\tau \dot{\sigma} \tau \epsilon \rho \sigma \nu$, to express an alternative of probabilities, it sometimes takes $\dot{a} \nu$, which would, in the ordinary sense of ϵl , be inadmissible.

άλώσεται......ψευδομαρτυριών] For the gen. cf. Or. 24 § 103 ἐἀν τις ἀλῷ κλοπῆς καὶ μὴ τιμηθῆ θανάτου..., καὶ ἐἀν τις ἀλοὺς τῆς κακώσεως τῶν γονέων..., κὰν ἀστρατείας τις ὄφλη. (Kühner, Gk. Gr. § 419, 2 p. 331.)—ὧν βούλεται, supply μόνον.

διείλεν] 23 §§ 54, 70, 79. See note on Lept. § 28 διείρηκεν ὁ νόμος.

ώς άληθη δείκνυε. καὶ γὰρ ἀντιγέγραψαι ταῦτ' " άληθη μεμαρτύρηκα, μαρτυρήσας τάν τῷ γραμ-" ματείφ γεγραμμένα," οὐ τὸ καὶ τὸ τῶν ἐν τῷ γραμ-46 ματείφ. ὅτι δ' οὕτω ταῦτ' ἔχει, λαβὲ τὴν ἀντιγραφὴν αὐτήν μοι. λέγε.

ANTIPPAOH.

ΙΑπολλόδωρος Πασίωνος Άχαρνεύς Στεφάνω Μενεκλέους 'Αγαρνεί ψευδομαρτυριών, τίμημα τάλαντον.

Στέφανος μαρτυρήσας τα έν τῷ γραμματείφ γεγραμμένα.

τὰ ψευδή μου κατεμαρτύρησε ¹τάληθή έμαρτύρησα μαρτυρήσας τὰ ἐν τῷ γραμματείφ γεγραμμένα.^j

Ταῦθ' οὖτος αὐτὸς™ ἀντεγράψαθ', ἃ χρὴ μνημο- 1116 νεύειν ύμας, καὶ μὴ τοὺς ἐπ' ἐξαπάτη νῦν λόγους

k γράψας Pollux.

1 Στέφανος Μενεκλέους 'Αχαρνεύς addidit cum Reiskio Bekker, Dind. om. Z et Bl. cum libris.

m om. Z et Bekker st. cum S; om. Pollux.

δείκνυε] Plat. Phaedr. 228 E, 268 A. Neither delkvu nor edelkνυ is found in Dem. ἐδείκνυε 34 § 42 (Huettner). occurs in 18 § 233; 19 § 114;

pleaded' in answer to the indictment or plaint (ληξις); see Dict. Antiq. s. v. Antigraphe. 'The two pleadings together, the plaint on the left side, the plea on the right, form (as we should say) the issue on the record. The deposition complained of was annexed' (C. R. Kennedy). Cf. Meier and Schömann, p. 830 Lips.— $\tau \delta$ kal $\tau \delta$, 'so and so'; 'this or that,' cf. 9 § 68; 18 § 243; 19 § 74; 21 § 141; 54 § 26, and similarly $\tau \delta \sigma a$ καὶ τόσα. 34 § 24.

46. την άντιγραφην] Harpoer. Τὰ τῶν δικαζομένων γράμματα, α εδίδοσαν περί του πράγματος,

και τὰ τοῦ διώκοντος και τὰ τοῦ φεύγοντος, άντιγραφή, καί τά μαρτύρια: Δημ. κατά Στεφάνου... The document that follows, is the only specimen of an dvriγραφή that has come down to us. Though rejected by Westermann, and bracketed by Dindorf, it is quoted by Pollux 8, 58 and in the lexicon rhetoricum Cantabrigiense, p. 664.

έπ' έξαπάτη] Or. 20 (Lept.) § 98 έξαπάτης ένεκα.—δηθησομέvous. This future is used chiefly in the participle and infinitive (22 § 4; 27 § 53; 47 § 3; 58 § 25), while the 'third future' is probably confined to the third person singular εἰρήσεται (Veitch Greek Verbs s. v. *εἴρω). ἡηθή-σεται however is found in Thuc. 1 73, Ar. Ethics IV. 1, 14, and Rhet. 1 12 and 13.

[ὑπὸ τούτου]ⁿ ἡηθησομένους πιστοτέρους ποιεῖσθαι τῶν νόμων καὶ τῶν ὑπὸ τούτου γραφέντων εἰς τὴν ἀντιγραφήν.

Πυνθάνομαι τοίνυν αὐτοὺς καὶ περὶ ὧν ἔλαχον 47 τὴν ἐξ ἀρχῆς δίκην ἐρεῖν καὶ κατηγορήσειν, ὡς συκοφαντήματ' ἢν. ἐγὼ δ' δν μὲν τρόπον ἐσκευωρήσατο τὴν μίσθωσιν, ὅπως τὴν ἀφορμὴν τῆς τραπέζης κατάσχοι, εἶπον καὶ διεξῆλθον ὑμῖν, ὑπὲρ δὲ τῶν ἄλλων οὐκ ᾶν οἴος τ' εἴην λέγειν ἄμα καὶ τούτους ἐλέγχειν περὶ τῆς μαρτυρίας · οὐ γὰρ ἰκανόν μοι τὸ ὕδωρ ἐστίν. ὅτι δ' οὐδ' ὑμεῖς ἐθέλοιτ' ᾶν εἰκότως ἀκούειν περὶ τού- 48 των αὐτῶν, ἐκεῖθεν εἴσεσθ', ᾶν λογίσησθε πρὸς ὑμᾶς αὐτοὺς ὅτι οὔτε νῦν ἐστιν χαλεπὸν περὶ ὧν μὴ κατηγόρηται λέγειν, οὔτε° ψευδεῖς ἀναγνόντα μαρτυρίας

- n secl. Bl. qui ὑπὸ τούτων conicit; τουτ' ουν prima manu S.
- οὅτε τότε, quod ad sententiam attinet, optime Dobree; sed syllabae breves ferri nequeunt.

§§ 47-50. I hear they propose to speak of my original action and to denounce it as fraudulent and vexatious. But I submit that this would be irrelevant to the present issue, and I claim that, instead of their being allowed to go into the proofs of the original claim which they debarred me from adducing, they should be compelled, in the interests of justice and for the convenience of the jury, to keep to the record, and prove that the testimony by which they deprived me of those proofs was true.

47. πυνθάνομαι] Lycurg. 55 πυνθάνομαι δέ, Dem. 21 § 208 πέπυσμαι τοίνυν.

περὶ ὧν = περὶ τούτων περὶ ὧν. δίκην λαγχάνειν περὶ τινος is, however, rare (40 §§ 17, 31, 35); cf. λαγχάνειν περὶ τινος (37 § 18). Elsewhere the genitive alone is

used (Huettner).

την έξ άρχης δίκην] The original indictment of Phormion in the δίκη ἀφορμης to which Or. 36 is a παραγραφή.

συκοφαντήματ] not found elsewhere in the Demosthenic speeches.

δπως κατάσχοι, sup. § 27.—είπον και διεξήλθον sc. in §§ 29—36. τὸ ὕδωρ] see Or. 54 § 36.

48. of $re \ v \hat{v} r \ \kappa . \tau \lambda.$] i.e. 'it is easy enough for my opponents to introduce into their reply matter that is irrelevant to the case and is in no part of my indictment, just as formerly it was easy enough for them to get an acquittal by reciting false depositions.'

Whether we read οδτε τότε ψευδεῖε or not, we must in either case take the second clause as a pointed reference to the former trial. 49 ἀποφεύγειν. ἀλλ' οὐδέτερον γε δίκαιον τούτων οὐδ'
αν εἶς φήσειεν εἶναι, ἀλλ' δ ἐγὼ προκαλοῦμαι νῦν.
σκοπεῖτε δ' ἀκούσαντες. ἐγὼ γὰρ ἀξιῶ, οῦς μὲν ἀφεί-
λοντό μ' ἐλέγχους περὶ τῶν ἐγκλημάτων, οῦς προσῆκον
ἢν ἡηθῆναι, μὴ ζητεῖν αὐτοὺς νῦν, αἶς δ' ἀφείλοντο
μαρτυρίαις, ὡς εἰσὶν ἀληθεῖς, δεικνύναι. εἰ δ' ὅταν μὲν
τὴν δίκην εἰσίω, τὰς μαρτυρίας μ' ἐλέγχειν ἀξιώσου-
σιν, ὅταν δὲ ταύταις ἐπεξίω, περὶ τῶν ἐξ ἀρχῆς ἐγκλη-
μάτων λέγειν με κελεύσουσιν, οὔτε δίκαι' οὔτε ὑμῖν
50 συμφέροντ' ἐροῦσιν. δικάσειν γὰρ ὀμωμόκαθ' ὑμεῖς
οὐ περὶ ὧν ὰν ὁ φεύγων ἀξιοῖ, ἀλλ' ὑπὲρ αὐτῶν ὧν ὰν
ἡ δίωξις ἢ. ταύτην δ' ἀνάγκη τῆ τοῦ διώκοντος λήξει
δηλοῦσθαι, ἡν ἐγὼ τούτω ψευδομαρτυριῶν εἴληχα.
μὴ δὴ τοῦτ' ἀφεὶς περὶ ὧν οὐκ ἀγωνίζεται λεγέτω· ΙΙΙ7
μηδ' ὑμεῖς ἐᾶτ', ἀν^ν ἄρ' οὖτος ἀναισχυντῆ.

Οἴομαι^α τοίνυν αὐτὸν οὐδὲν οὐδαμῆ^τ δίκαιον ἔχοντα λέγειν ἥξειν κἀπὶ τοῦτο, ὡς ἄτοπον ποιῶ, παραγραφὴν ἡττημένος, τοὺς διαθήκην μαρτυρήσαντας

P έδν Z cum S.

q Z et Bl. cum S (cf. Or. 36 § 18); οίμαι Dind.

r Z et Bl. cum S; οὐδαμή Dind.

49. als δὲ ἀφείλοντο μαρτυρίαιs] 86. τοὺς ἐλέγχους.—Ου τὴν δίκην εἰσίω, 86e note on § 7 πρὸς ἐκείνους εἰσίω.

50. περί...ὑπὲρ] § 11 n.

δίωξις] (Dem.) Or. 47 § 70 οι δὲ νόμοι τούτων κελεύουσι τὴν δίωξιν είναι. The word is also found in Antiphon Or. 6 § 7 τὴν δίωξιν εὐσεβείας ἔνεκα ποιεῦσθαι.—Οη λήξει...είληχα of. Or. 36 § 21 λήξεων.

§§ 51, 52. The defendant will urge that the jury in the former trial were led to dismiss my suit by reason of the witnesses in support of the discharge on which Phormion's special plea was

based; and not by reason of those who (like himself) gave evidence to the will, as part of the main issue. But I reply that every one knows that juries look to the main issue as well as to the special plea, and I contend that witnesses to the main issue (like the defendant) crippled my case on the special plea. Where all gave false evidence, it is not enough for any individual defendant to point out that some other witness damaged my case more than he did, but he must prove that his own evidence is true.

51. παραγραφην ηττημένος] 24 § 15 τὰς γραφὰς ήττηντο.

διώκων, καὶ τοὺς δικαστὰς τοὺς τότε φήσειν διὰ τοὺς ἀφεῖναι μεμαρτυρηκότας ἀποψηφίσασθαι μᾶλλον ἡ διὰ τοὺς διαθήκην μαρτυρήσαντας. ἐγὼ δ' ὦ ἄνδρες ᾿Αθηναῖοι νομίζω πάντας ὑμᾶς εἰδέναι, ὅτι οὐχ ἡττον τὰ πεπραγμέν εἰώθατε σκοπεῖν ἡ τὰς ὑπὲρ τούτων παραγραφάς περὶ δὴ τῶν πραγμάτων αὐτῶν τὰ ψευδῆ καταμαρτυρήσαντες οὖτοί μου, ἀσθενεῖς τοὺς περὶ τῆς παραγραφῆς ἐποίησαν λόγους. χωρὶς δὲ 52 τούτων ἄτοπον, πάντων τὰ ψευδῆ μαρτυρησάντων, τίς μάλιστ ἔβλαψεν ἀποφαίνειν, ἀλλ' οὐχ ὡς αὐτὸς ἔκαστος ἀληθῆ μεμαρτύρηκε δεικνύναι. οὐ γὰρ, ᾶν ἔτερον δείξη δεινότερ εἰργασμένον, ἀποφεύγειν αὐτῷ προσήκει, ἀλλ' ᾶν αὐτὸς ὡς ἀληθῆ μεμαρτύρηκ ἀποφήνη.

'Εφ' & τοίνυν & ἄνδρες 'Αθηναίοι μάλιστ' ἀπο-53 λωλέναι δίκαιός ἐστιν οὐτοσὶ Στέφανος, τοῦτ' ἀκούσατέ μου. δεινὸν μὲν γάρ ἐστιν εἰ καὶ καθ' ὅτου τις οὖν τὰ ψευδῆ μαρτυρεῖ, πολλῷ δὲ δεινότερον καὶ πλεί-

⁸ cum Reiskio Bekker. Φήσει Z cum libris.

άφείναι] 80. των έγκλημάτων Φορμίωνα. Οτ. 36 §§ 23—25.

τὰ πεπραγμένα] The facts of the case on its merits, as opposed to the special plea. See note on Or. 36 Argument 1, 23 απτεται τῆς εὐθείας κ.τ.λ.

 $d\sigma\theta e\nu \epsilon \hat{i}s$ $\epsilon \pi ol\eta \sigma a\nu$ $\kappa.\tau.\lambda.]$ 'Weakened my arguments on the special plea.' This need not imply that he actually spoke; as a matter of fact, we find the court would not listen to him (§ 6).

52. ἀποφαίνειν...δεικνύναι] 18 8 206 · 27 8 62 · 55 8 6

§ 206; 27 § 62; 55 § 6. §§ 53—56. By giving false evidence against me, the defendant has done wrong to the unwritten laws of natural affection, for my wife is his first cousin. Very different has been the conduct of my wife's father, Deinias, who holds himself debarred by the claims of kinship from giving even true evidence on my behalf against my opponent Stephanus, who is his sister's son.

53. ἀπολωλέναι] 'To be put to death' for bearing false witness against his own relations, Apollodorus having married the first cousin of Stephanus.

καθ' ότου τις οῦν \hat{j} = καθ' ότουοῦν όστισοῦν; like όπωστιοῦν = ότιοῦν και όπωσοῦν, 40 § 8 ὅντινα δή ποτ' οῦν.

ονος ὀργῆς ἄξιον, εἰ κατὰ τῶν συγγενῶν οὐ γὰρ τοὺς γεγραμμένους νόμους ὁ τοιοῦτος ἄνθρωπος μόνους ^t, ἀλλὰ καὶ τὰ τῆς φύσεως οἰκεῖ αλαιρεῖ. τοῦτο τοίνυν 54 ἐπιδειχθήσεται πεποιηκῶς οὖτος . ἔστι γὰρ ἡ τούτου μήτηρ καὶ ὁ τῆς ἐμῆς γυναικὸς πατὴρ ἀδελφοί, ὥστε τὴν μὲν γυναῖκα τὴν ἐμὴν ἀνεψιὰν εἶναι τούτω, τοὺς

t Herwerden (Bl.); μόνος S prima manu; μόνον vulg. Dind.

α δίκαια Cobet.

* Z et Bekker st. cum S; οὐτοσί Bekk.

τοὺς γεγραμμένους νόμους...τὰ τῆς φύσεως οἰκεῖα] Soph. Antig. 454 οὐ γὰρ σθένειν τοσούτον φύρην τὰ σὰ κηρύγμαθ' ὤστ' ἀγραπτα κάσφαλῆ θεῶν νόμιμα δύνασθαι θνητὸν δυθ' ὑπερδραμεῦν. There, as here, the unwritten law of natural affection is contrasted with human ordinances. Cf. ἀγραφοι νόμοι (Plat. Rep. 563 d), ἀγραφοι νόμιμα (Dem. 23 § 70; 18 § 275).

'Intelligisne (asks Cobet) quae sint τὰ τῆς φύσεως οἰκεῖα opposita τοις νόμοις τοις γεγραμuévois? Non opinor. Sed latet in olkeîa vocabulum quo non est aliud apud Oratores tritius et frequentius, nempe τὰ τῆς φύσεως δίκαια άναιρεῖ, veluti in Orat. xxv 28 προφάσεις πλάττων καί ψευδείς αίτίας συντιθείς τὰ κοινά δίκαια άνατρέψειν οίει. Rectissime igitur componuntur τὰ τῆς φύσεως δίκαια et τὰ τῶν νόμων δίκαια, quae commemorat idem Orator ΧΧΥ 3 μεθ' έαυτοῦ δείξων έκάτερος τὰ τῶν νόμων δίκαια' (Novae Lectiones p. 619).--τὰ τῆς φύσεως olkeîa may however be retained in spite of the above suggestion. and we may readily render it 'natural relationship' or better 'the home-ties of nature,' 'the

natural ties of home affections.' Stephanus is denounced in § 65 as 'the common enemy of all human nature'; and in § 54, as neglecting τὰ τῆς φύσεως ἀναγκαΐα.

C. R. Kennedy (Introduction to this speech p. 45) observes, "To give wilfully false testimony against the plaintiff was an aggravation of his offence,... for the Athenians excused a man for being reluctant even to give true evidence against a relation." [The patriarchal system, descended from the old Arvan peoples, made the Greeks view all family ties as almost inviolable. With all their respect for 'written law,' the obligations of relationship had more of religious sanction. See Cox, Hist. of Greece, 1. pp. 15-18. P.1

54. ο της έμης γυναικός παιτήρ] i.e. Deinias, father of the Theomnestus who speaks the first 15 §§ of Or. 59 κατὰ Νεαίρας, when Apollodorus takes up the speech. Apollodorus, besides being brother-in-law to Theomnestus by marrying the sister of the latter, gave his own daughter in marriage to him

(Or. 59 § 2).

1118 δὲ παίδας τοὺς ἐκείνης καὶ τοὺς ἐμοὺς ἀνεψιαδοῦς. άρ' οὖν δοκεί ποτ' ἀν ὑμίν οὖτος, εἴ τι δι' ἔνδειαν είδε ποιούσας ών οὐ χρη τὰς αύτοῦ συγγενεῖς, ὅπερ ἤδη πολλοὶ πεποιήκασι, παρ' αύτοῦ προῖκ' ἐπιδοὺς ἐκδοῦναι, δς ύπερ τοῦ μηδ' à προσήκει κομίσασθαι ταύτας τὰ ψευδή μαρτυρείν ήθέλησε, καὶ περὶ πλείονος εποιήσατο τον Φορμίωνος πλούτον ή τὰ τής συγγενείας άναγκαῖα; άλλὰ μὴν ὅτι ταῦτ' άληθῆ 55 λέγω, λαβέν την μαρτυρίαν την Δεινίου καναγίηνωσκε, καὶ κάλει Δεινίαν.

MAPTTPIA.

- *Δεινίας Θεομνήστου 'Αθμονεύς μαρτυρεί την θυγατέρα
- ™ prima manu SQ, 'uxoris meae meique liberi sunt ἀνεψιαδοῖ τούτω' (Bl.); ἐκείνου vulgo.
 - * ποιήσασθαι? propter syllabas breves Bl. coll. 23 § 130.
 - y Z et Bl. cum S; λαβέ μοι Bekker, Dind.

άνεψιαδοῦς] Hesych. άνεψιαδοῦς· ἐκ τοῦ ἀνεψιοῦ γεγονώς, ἢ τῆς ἀνεψιᾶς, second cousins. The form of the word follows the analogy of λυκιδεύς, κυναδεύς, άδελφιδούς, θυγατριδούς, άλωπεκιδεύς, the terminations in -ιδεύς, -ιδέος, -αδεύς, -αδέος (oûs) being a kind of patronymic form. P.] See Dict. Ant. s. v. Heres.

πολλοί $\pi \epsilon \pi$ οιήκασι κ.τ.λ.] Instances of such generosity are given in the passages quoted from Dem. in the note on § 35, supra, where instead of έπιδοῦναι προῖκα the rather less common phrase προσθείναι προίκα is used.

τὰ τῆς συγγενείας ἀναγκαῖα] 'The strong ties of kindred.' Cf. necessitudo. Fals. Leg. § 290 ύπερ συγγενών και άναγκαίων. Cf. Or. 36 § 30 aváyky...olkelov.

55. Δεινίας Θεομνήστου 'Αθμο-

veds] The father's name is very likely to be right, as Deinias had a son named Theomnestus (Or. 59 §§ 2 and 16) and the grandson very often bore the same name as the grandfather (note on Or. 39 § 27). But of the numerous persons named Deinias or Theomnestus, not one is described in any inscription as 'Αθμονεύς, and the ascription of the witness to the deme in question is perhaps due to the invention of the composer of the document.

It is clear that Deinias, on being called, refused to swear to the deposition read aloud to him, οὐδὲ τάληθη μαρτυρείν ἐθέλα. The deposition ought therefore to be followed by the word έξωμοσία as in § 60. (A. Westermann, u. s. pp. 109-111.)

Cf. Or. 49 § 20.

Apollodorus, be it observed,

<την> αυτοῦ ἐκδοῦναι ᾿Απολλοδώρω κατὰ τοὺς νόμους γυναῖκα ἔχειν, καὶ μηδεπώποτε παραγενέσθαι μηδὲ αἰσθέσθαι, ὅτι ᾿Απολλόδωρος ἀφηκε τῶν ἐγκλημάτων ἀπάντων Φορμίωνα. ε

(5) "Ομοιός γ' ὁ Δεινίας ω ἄνδρες δικασταὶ τούτφος δς ὑπὲρ τῆς θυγατρὸς καὶ τῶν θυγατριδῶν καὶ ἐμοῦ τοῦ κηδεστοῦ διὰ τὴν συγγένειαν οὐδὲ τἀληθῆ μαρτυρεῖν ἐθέλει κατὰ τούτου. ἀλλ' οὐχ οὑτοσὶ Στέφανος, οὐκ ὤκνησε καθ' ἡμῶν τὰ ψευδῆ μαρτυρεῖν, οὐδ', εἰ μηδένα τῶν ἄλλων, τὴν αὑτοῦ μητέρα ἢσχύνθη τοῖς ἀπ' ἐκείνης οἰκείοις τῆς ἐσχάτης ἐνδείας αἴτιος γενόμενος.

57 'Ο τοίνυν ἔπαθον δεινότατον καὶ ἐφ' ῷ μάλιστ'

* aut την addendum aut αὐτοῦ delendum putat Bl.

assumes that the reason why Deinias refuses to swear to the deposition is that it would be to the detriment of his kinsman Stephanus; but the disclaimer may really have been due to Deinias being aware that the evidence was false. As the document before us is untrustworthy, we cannot tell what the proposed evidence really was,-possibly something referring to Pasion's will (as suggested by Westermann u. s.) or rather something to the detriment of Stephanus' character, e.g. his bad behaviour to Apollodorus and his family, or his receiving bribes from Phormion to give false evidence against the plaintiff. (Lortzing, Apoll. p. 80.)

56. δμοιός γε] Or. 24 (Timocr.) § 106 δμοιός γε, οὐ γάρ; Σόλων νομοθέτης καὶ Τιμοκράτης, ib. 181 and Or. 22 (Androt.) § 73 δμοιόν γε, οὐ γάρ; also 18 § 136; 36 § 56.

άλλ'--ούκ ὤκνησε] 25 § 51

άλλ' ούχ ούτος ούδέν, ούδ' αν έχοι δείξαι, 9 § 31 άλλ' ούχ ὑπέρ Φιλίππου ... ούχ οῦτως ἔχουσιν. Elsewhere we have the ἀλλὰ repeated, e.g. Or. 21 (Midias) § 200 άλλ' οὐ Μειδίας, άλλ' άπὸ τής ημέρας ταύτης λέγει κ.τ.λ. and Ör. 23 (Aristocr.) § 89 ἀλλ' ούκ 'Αριστοκράτης, άλλα προπηλακίζει μέν κ.τ.λ. Passages like these led Dobree to say, 'malim άλλ' οὐκ ὤκνησε,' but either construction is allowable.—οὐδ' εἰ μηδένα των άλλων, Β. . ήσχύνθη. If he had no respect for any one else, he might at least have respected (had some regard for) his own mother and her relations.

§§ 57—62. I must tell the jury, by the way, of an atrocious trick which was played me to my great disadvantage in the former action. At the trial itself, the deposition on which I mainly relied proved to be missing. I have since learnt that it was stolen by Stephanus while the suit was still before the arbi-

trator.

έξεπλάγην ὅτ' ἠγωνιζόμην ὧ ἄνδρες δικασταί, τοῦθ' ὑμῖν εἰπεῖν βούλομαι· τήν τε γὰρ τούτου πονηρίαν ἔτι μᾶλλον ὑμεῖς ὄψεσθε, καὶ ἐγὼ τῶν γεγενημένων ἀποδυράμενος τὰ πλεῖστα πρὸς ὑμᾶς ὡσπερεὶ ῥάων 1119 ἔσομαι. τὴν γὰρ μαρτυρίαν, ἢν ἤμην εἶναι καὶ δι' ἡς ἢν ὁ πλεῖστος ἔλεγχός μοι, ταύτην οὐχ εὖρον ἐνοῦσαν ἐν τῷ ἐχίνῳ. τότε μὲν δὴ τῷ κακῷ πληγεὶς οὐδὲν ἄλλ' 58 εἶχον [ποιῆσαι] τλὴν ὑπολαμβάνειν, τὴν ἀρχὴν ἠδικηκέναι με καὶ τὸν ἐχῖνον κεκινηκέναι. νῦν δὲ ἀφ' ὧν

^b 'secl. ποιήσαι, quo sensus obscuratur' Bl. coll. 19 § 47; 3 § 1; 29 § 11; 45 § 23.

I call witnesses to prove this: they take an oath of disclaimer.

—I thought as much.—To prove they are perjured, I now produce a challenge (duly attested by witnesses) calling on Stephanus to allow his slave to be tortured as to the abstraction of the document; my witnesses depose he refused the challenge.

Now, do the jury suppose that one who thus perpetrated a theft without any personal provocation, would have had the slightest hesitation in giving false evidence in his own interests and at the special instance of another?

57. εξεπλάγην] The form -επλάγην is post-Homeric and is used in compounds with the sense 'strike with terror or amazement' (Veitch Gk. Vbs. s. v. πλήσσω). For the simple verb, έπλήγην is used, as in the first line of the next section, but only in the sense of 'receiving a blow from.'

ἀποδυράμενος τὰ πλείστα πρὸς ὑμᾶς] 'by unburdening to you all that I can of my past sorrows.' Hdt. II 141 πρὸς τώγαλμα ἀποδύρεσθαι οἶα κινδυνεύει παθέεν. Or. 55 § 24; 60 § 37; Plat, Rep. 606 A.

ράων έσομαι] 'I shall feel relieved' or (to translate it still more closely) 'I shall feel easier.' For this use of ράων (εὐθυμότερος, Hesychius), cf. Eur. Ion 875 στέρνων ἀπονησαμένη ράων ἔσομαι. Herc. Fur. 1407 φίλτρον τοῦτ' ἔχων ράων ἔσει.

58. τῷ κακῷ πληγείς, malo percussus; Hdt. 1 41 συμφορῦ πεπληγμένον, Eur. Alc. 405 ξυμ-

φορά πεπλήγμεθα.

την ἀρχήν] 'the magistrate,' in whose possession the sealed casket of depositions was kept until the trial. Of. notes on Or. 53 § 24 την ἀρχήν and on Or. 39 § 9 where ἀρχήν, like magistratus in Latin and 'authorities' in English, is used of the holder of the office as well as of the office itself. 'Portentose Reiskius την 'Αρχίππην,' says Dobree,—Archippe having died eight or ten years before the suit against Phormion.

τον έχενον κεκινηκέναι] 'had tampered with the deposition case.' κινεῦν is similarly used elsewhere in the sense of 'meddling with unlawfully,' in Or. 22 Androt. § 71 and Or. 24 Timoor. § 179 χρήματα κινῶν lepά. Hdt. VI 134 κινεῦν τὰ ἀκίνητα.

ύστερον πέπυσμαι, πρὸς αὐτῷ τῷ διαιτητῆ Στέφανον τουτονὶ ταύτην° ὑφηρημένον εὐρίσκω, πρὸς μαρτυρίαν τιν', "ν' ἐξορκώσαιμ', ἀναστάντος ἐμοῦ. καὶ ὅτι ταῦτ' ἀληθῆ λέγω, πρῶτον μὲν ὑμῖν μαρτυρήσουσι τῶν τούτοις παρόντων οἱ ἰδόντες. οὐ γὰρ ἐξομνύναι 59 θελήσειν αὐτοὺς οἴομαι⁴. ἐὰν δ' ἄρα τυῦτο ποιήσωσ' ὑπ' ἀναιδείας, πρόκλησιν ὑμῖν ἀναγνώσεται, ἐξ ῆς τούτους τ' ἐπιορκοῦντας ἐπ' αὐτοφώρφ λήψεσθε, καὶ τοῦτον ὁμοίως ὑφηρημένον τὴν μαρτυρίαν εἴσεσθε. καίτοι ὅστις ὦ ἄνδρες 'Αθηναῖοι κακῶν° ἀλλοτρίων

- * αὐτην propter hiatum mutavit Bl.
- d Z cum S (cf. § 51).
- fortasse καὶ τῶν vel καὶ ἐκών. eadem quae ipse anno 1875 protuleram, postea eodem Aristophanis loco laudato protulit G. Gebauer, de argumenti ex contrario formis, 1877, p. 8. ἔνεκα τῶν ἀλλοτρίων H. Richards. κακιῶν ἔνεκα τῶν ἀλλοτρίων? T. Nicklin.

Ιν' έξορκώσαμα] 'that I might put a witness on his oath,' so. τὸν μάρτυρα implied in the preceding μαρτυρίαν. Οτ. 54 § 26 τῶν παρόντων ἡμῶν (sc. μαρτύρων) καθ' ἔνα οὐτωσὶ πρὸς τὸν λίθον ἄγοντες καὶ ἔξορκίζοντες, 21 § 65, 52 § 28.

έξομνύναι] 'to take an oath of disclaimer.' Cf. Fals. Leg. § 176 ή μαρτυρείν ή εξόμνυσθαι αναγκάσω. εάν δ' εξομνύωσιν, έπιορκούντας έξελέγξω παρ' ύμιν φανερώς. Pollux: έξωμοσία δέ, όταν τις η πρεσβευτής αίρεθείς η έπ' ἄλληντινά δημοσίαν ὑπηρεσίαν, άρρωστείν η άδυνατείν φάσκων έξομνύηται αὐτὸς η δι' έτέρου. έξώμνυντο δὲ καὶ οἱ κληθέντες μάρτυρες, εί φάσκοιεν μή έπίστασθαι έφ' α έκαλοθντο. Isaeus Or. 9 (Astyph.) § 18 κάλει Ιεροκλέα ίνα έναντίον τούτων μαρτυρήση η έξομόσηται. ΜΑΡΤΥΡΙΑ. ἀκριβώς μεν ήδειν τοῦ γὰρ αὐτοῦ ἀνδρός ἐστιν, ἄ μὲν οίδεν, έξομνυσθαι, των δέ μη γενομένων πίστιν έθέλειν έπιθεῖναι ή μὴν εἰδέναι γενόμενα. Οτ. 29 § 20; Οτ. 59 § 28. Meier and Schöm., p. 880 Lips.

59. κακών άλλοτρίων κλέπτης $\kappa.\tau.\lambda.1$ did not shrink from being set down as having stolen what stood in other people's way.' κακῶν ἀλλοτρίων κλέπτης is a very singular expression, 'a thief of other people's ills,' meaning (as some suppose) one who steals what is detrimental to other people's interests, in this case the μαρτυρία, which is a κακόν olkelor to Phormion and a κακόν άλλότριον to Stephanus. But Lambinus justly objects to the phrase, and Lortzing p. 91 rightly observes, singulariter dicta sunt. Reiske says 'Fur alienorum malorum est Graecis ille qui mala, fraudes, scelera, clam, in occulto exsequitur et perficit, non sponte sua, sed iussu alieno'; and similarly C. R.

κλέπτης ὑπέμειν' ὀνομασθήναι, τί ἃν ἡγεῖσθε [ποιήσαι τοῦτον] ὑπὲρ αὑτοῦ $^{\text{g}}$; λέγε τὴν μαρτυρίαν, εἶτα τὴν 60 πρόκλησιν ταύτην.

MAPTTPIA.

- h Μαρτυρούσι φίλοι είναι καὶ ἐπιτήδειοι Φορμίωνι, καὶ
- f secl. Bl. coll. § 58.
- 8 τοῦτον άλλου του Z cum FSQ; ὑπὲρ άλλου του Voemel. τοῦτον ὑπὲρ αὐτοῦ Bekker cum γρ. FQ. 'sensui satisfaceret δεομένου vel aἰτοῦντός του. Cf. § 62.' Sauppe.
 - h testimonium om. S.

Kennedy (rather vaguely) renders it 'a person who would commit a theft as a tool of another.' G. H. Schaefer, who rightly doubts whether κακά άλλότρια can mean anything but mala quae alius patitur, proposes to read κακιῶν with the sense 'qui quid furatur, ut sceleribus alius accommodet.' Another critic (Beels, diatribe p. 100) savs: 'κακῶν ἀλλοτρίων κλέπτης lepide vocatur Stephanus, qui in gratiam Phormionis et fortasse eius iussu, testimonium e capsula surripuerat.' Blass takes κακῶν ἀλλοτρίων as a genitive of price. Lastly, Huettner observes: 'κακῶν άλλοτρίων κλέπτης Stephanus dicitur, quod surrepto illo testimonio, quo Apollodori causa maxime nitebatur, Phormionis nequitiam dissimulavit et ne coargueretur impedivit (κλέπτειν occultare, Soph. Aj. 1137; Dem. 29 § 5; Aesch. 3 § 142). In Plato Rep. 346 κ we have μηδένα έθέλειν έκόντα ἄρχειν καὶ τὰ άλλότρια κακά μεταχειρίζεσθαι άνορθοῦντα ('to handle and set right other people's disorders'); but neither this nor any other passage that I can find supports the sense usually assigned to the words before us.

It may therefore be worth while to suggest that κακῶν may be corrupt and should be altered into kal tŵr where kal emphasizes the whole clause τῶν ἀλλοτρίων κλέπτης ὑπέμεινεν ὀνομασθήναι, and not των άλλοτρίων only. [The latter construction would inappropriately import into the passage some of the humour of the lines in Aristoph. Ranae 610 είτ' ούγι δεινά ταθτα. τύπτειν τουτονί κλέπτοντα, ποός τ' άλλότρια 'isn't it a shame to beat this poor fellow (Xanthias) for stealing, and that too— another man's goods?' καὶ τῶν άλλοτρίων κλέπτης seems a highly probable emendation; nor is there any difficulty in kal referring to the general character of κλέπτης των άλλοτρίων. P.] Cf. also Or. 28 § 22 alla kal τάλλότρια άποστερών άποδέδεικ-

Or again we may alter κακῶν into καὶ ἐκῶν, comparing § 62 where ὁ τὴν τοῦ κλέπτης φανῆναι (δόξαν) μὴ φυγῶν is parallel to δς ἃ μηδεὶς ἐκέλευεν ἐθελοντὴς (= ἐκῶν) πονηρὸς ῆν.

άλλοτρίων in any case is intended to point the contrast with ὑπὲρ αὐτοῦ in the second half of the sentence.

60. μαρτυροῦσι κ.τ.λ.] The

παρείναι πρός τῷ διαιτητή Τεισία, ὅτε ἢν ἀπόφασις τής διαίτης ᾿Απολλοδώρω πρὸς Φορμίωνα, καὶ εἰδέναι τὴν μαρτυρίαν ὑφηρημένον Στέφανον, ἢν αἰτιᾶται αὐτὸν ᾿Απολλόδωρος ὑφελέσθαι. h

*Η μαρτυρεῖτ', ἡ έξομόσασθε.

ΕΞΩΜΟΣΙΑ.

61 Οὐκ ἄδηλον ἦν ὧ ἄνδρες δικασταί, ὅτι τοῦτ᾽ ἔμελλον ποιήσειν, προθύμως ἐξομεῖσθαι. ἵνα τοίνυν παραχρῆμ᾽ ἐξελεγχθῶσ᾽ ἐπιωρκηκότες, λαβέ μοι ταύτην τὴν μαρτυρίαν καὶ τὴν πρόκλησιν. ἀναγίγνωσκε.

ΜΑΡΤΥΡΙΑ. ΠΡΟΚΛΗΣΙΣ¹

1120

Μαρτυροῦσι παρεῖναι, ὅτε ᾿Απολλόδωρος προὖκαλεῖτο Στέφανον παραδοῦναι τὸν παῖδα τὸν ἀκόλουθον εἰς βάσανον περὶ τῆς ὑφαιρέσεως τοῦ γραμματείου, καὶ γράμματα ἦν ἔτοιμος γράφειν ᾿Απολλόδωρος, καθ᾽ ὅ τι ἔσται ἡ βάσανος.

i 'Dind. ante testimonium titulum ΠΡΟΚΛΗΣΙΣ sustulit, subiecit eundem post testimonium, quasi non huic inesset tota πρόκλησις. Alia res Or. 59 §§ 123, 124' (BL).

composer of the present document and the next and of that in Or. 46 § 21 has not taken the trouble to invent any names for the witnesses. He describes them as 'friends of Phormion' to suggest a motive for their disclaiming cognisance of the alleged theft on the part of his witness Stephanus. The writer adds that it was 'on the declaration or award of the arbitrator between Phormion and Ap.' But so long as there were fresh witnesses being brought forward (as appears from § 58 πρός μαρτυρίαν κ.τ.λ.), the case was not ripe for the arbitrator's decision; so we must either suppose that the writer has made a mistake, or that at any rate he uses ἀπόφασις in a vague and general sense for the process of decision and its immediate antecedents. (A. Westermann, u. s. p. 111, 112; cf. Kirchner, p. 31; Schucht, p. 71.)
—On άπόφασι, cf. Or. 54 § 27 ad fin. The word in this sense is from ἀποφαίνω, not from ἀπόφημι.

η μαρτυρεῖτ'] Or. 59 § 28; 49 § 20.

61. καθ' ὅ τι ἔσται ἡ βάσανος] 'The terms of the torture.' Of. Ar. Ran. 618—625 (a) και πως βασανίσως (β) πάντα πρόπον κ.τ.λ.... (α) κᾶν τι πηρώσω γέ σοι τὸν παίδα τύπτων τὰργύριὸν σοι κείσεται. Antiphon vi (de Choreuta) § 23 ὡμολὸγουν πείσας τὸν δεσπότην παραδώσειν αὐτῷ βασανίζειν τρόπῳ ὁποίῳ βούλοιτο.

ταθτα δὲ προκαλουμένου ᾿Απολλοδώρου, οὐκ ἐθελῆσαι παραδοθναι Στέφανον, ἀλλ᾽ ἀποκρίνασθαι ᾿Απολλοδώρφ δικάζεσθαι, εἰ βούλοιτο, εἴ τί φησιν ἀδικεθσθαι ὑφ᾽ ἐαυτοῦ.ʰ

Τίς ὰν οὖν ὑπὲρ τοιαύτης αἰτίας ὦ ἄνδρες δικα-62 σταί, εἶπερ ἐπίστευεν αὑτῷ, οὐκ ἐδέξατο τὴν βάσανον; οὐκοῦν τῷ φεύγειν τὴν βάσανον ὑφηρημένος ἐξελέγ-χεται. ἀρ' οὖν ὰν ὑμῖν αἰσχυνθῆναι δοκεῖ τὴν τοῦ τὰ ψευδῆ μαρτυρεῖν δόξαν, ὁ τὴν τοῦ κλέπτης φανῆναι μὴ φυγών; ἢ δεηθέντος ὀκνῆσαι τὰ ψευδῆ μαρτυρεῖν, δς ὰ μηδεὶς ἐκέλευ' ἐθελοντὴς πονηρὸς ἦν;

Δικαίως τοίνυν ὧ ἄνδρες 'Αθηναῖοι τούτων ἀπάν-63 των δοὺς ἀν δίκην, πολὺ μᾶλλον ὰν εἰκότως διὰ τἄλλα κολασθείη παρ' ὑμῖν. σκοπεῖτε δὲ, τὸν βίον δν βεβίωκεν ἐξετάζοντες· οὖτος γὰρ, ἡνίκα μὲν συνέβαινεν εὐτυχεῖν 'Αριστολόχ τ τραπεζίτη, ἴσα βαίνων

³ ἐκέλευεν Bekker. ἐκέλευσεν Z cum S. ^k om. Dind. cum S.

62. φεύγειν τὴν βάσανον] 29 §§ 12, 13; 30 § 27; 37 § 28.

την τοῦ κλέπτης φανήναι (δόξαν), 'the discredit of being proved a thief.' ('Who did not shrink from becoming a thief.' Kennedy.)

δεηθέντος] sc. τινός. See Kühner Gk. Gr. § 486 A, 2, p. 641 'on the gen. absol. without any substantive like ἀνθρώπων, πραγμάτων being expressed.'

§§ 63—67. Examine the defendant's life and character, and you will find him cringing to and flattering the prosperous, only to desert them when they fall into destitution. For the present, he is the creature of Phormion; and, to compass his own ends, he is willing to do wrong to his own relations, regardless of the ill-repute he thus incurs. He de-

serves to be abhorred as the common enemy of all humanity. With all his wealth, he has never performed a single public service. Villains who are poor may have some allowance made them, for the exigencies of their position; villains who are rich can clain no excuse and therefore call for punishment at your hands.

ົ 63. δούς &ν ື້ 19 § 27; 23 §§ 144, 151; 21 §§ 151, 202; 24 § 112; 18 § 94.

ηνίκα συνέβαινεν εὐτυχεῖν Αριστολόχω] See Or. 36 § 50.— Note συνέβαινεν followed soon after by βαίνων.

loa βalνων ἐβάδιζεν ὑποπεπτωκώς ἐκείνω] 'Walked in step with that person and cringed to him.' 'Cringed to him, as he walked beside him.' Harpocr. 'Ισα βαίνων Πυθοκλεΐ· Δημοσθένης

έβάδιζ ύποπεπτωκώς ἐκείνφ, καὶ ταῦτ' ἴσασι πολλοὶ 64 τῶν ἐνθάδ' ὄντων ὑμῶν. ἐπειδὴ δ' ἀπώλετ' ἐκεῖνος καὶ τῶν ὄντων ἐξέστη, οὐχ ἤκισθ' ὑπὸ τούτου καὶ τῶν τοιούτων διαφορηθείς, τῷ μὲν υίεῖ τῷ τούτου πολλῶν πραγμάτων ὄντων οὐ παρέστη πώποτ' οὐδ' ἐβοήθησεν, ἀλλ' ᾿Απόληξις καὶ Σόλων καὶ πάντες ἄνθρωποι μᾶλλον βοηθοῦσι · Φορμίωνα δὲ [πάλιν]¹ ἐώρακεν™, καὶ τούτφ γέγον' οἰκεῖος, ἐξ ᾿Αθηναίων ἀπάντων τοῦτον ἐκλεξάμενος, καὶ ὑπὲρ τούτου πρεσβευτὴς μὲν ΙΙ2Ι ῷχετ' εἰς Βυζάντιον πλέων, ἡνίκ' ἐκεῖνοι τὰ πλοῖα τὰ τούτου κατέσχον, τὴν δὲ δίκην ἔλεγεν τὴν πρὸς

1 propter syllabas breves secl. Bl.

m ἐδρακε Dind.

έν τῷ κατ' Αἰσχίνου (Fals. Leg. § 315) άντι τοῦ συνών άει και μηδέ βραχύ άφιστάμενος και έν τῷ κατά Στεφάνου α' φησίν ''Αριστολόχφ τῷ τραπεζίτη ίσα βαίνων ,ἐβάδιζε.' Μένανδρος παρ' αὐτὸν Ισα βαίνουσ' ἐταίρα πολυτελής. ('Αριστολόχω really comes after συνέβαινεν and is understood after toa Balvwv.) Shilleto u. s. explains it here as 'truckling to, and adapting his pace to his companion's.' The phrase became common in later Greek, e.g. Alciphron Ep. 111 56 ἐπαίρεις σεαυτόν, οὐδὲν δέον, καὶ βαδίζεις ζα α δή [και τύφου πλήρης εί], τοῦτο δή τοῦ λόγου, Πυθοκλεί. See note on § 68.

ύποπεπτωκώς] inf. 65; Or. 59 (Neaer.) § 43 ύπέπεσε Καλλιστράτφ, Isaeus Or. 6 § 29 ύποπεπτωκότες οίδε τἢ ἀνθρώπφ. Aesch. 3 § 116, Arist. Eq. 47. 64. ἀπώλετ'] 36 § 51.

τῶν ὅντων ἐξέστη] Or. 36 § 50 ἐξέστησαν ἀπάντων τῶν ὅντων, 37 § 49; 33 § 25.

διαφορηθείs] In pass generally of things, here of the person, plundered. Plat. Leg. 672 B;

διαφορείν τι, 27 § 29; 19 § 315; 57 § 65.

πραγμάτων] 'lawsuits.' Απόληξις] Harpocr. είς τῶν ι' συγγραφέων, δν Πλάτων κωμωδεῖ έν Σοφισταιs (for i' the MSS have v', corrected by Cobet who explains it of the ten συγγραφείς in Thuc. viii 67). Απόληξις Προσπάλτιος occurs in Or. 43 πρός Μακάρτατον, as grandfather of Macartatus, and there are others of the same name in inscriptions. But Aπόληξις cannot be identified with any of the above; and of this Solon nothing is known.

έωρακεν] respexit, 'has had his eye upon,' i.e. has courted, 18 §§ 25, 32.

πρεσβευτής] 'Agent.' Or. 32 Zenoth. § 11 πρεσβευτήν ἐκ βουλής τινα λαμβάνομεν... One who negotiates for another is named after a political custom 'an ambassador.'

έκεῖνοι] 80. οἱ Βυζάντιοι, implied from Βυζάντιον. See note on Isocr. Paneg. § 110 φάσκοντες μὲν λακωνίζειν τὰναντία δ' έκείνοις έπιτηδεύοντες.

Καλχηδονίους, τὰ ψευδηδ' έμοῦ φανερώς οὕτω καταμεμαρτύρηκεν. είθ' δς εὐτυχούντων ἐστὶ κόλαξ, κὰν ἀτυ- 65 χῶσι, τῶν αὐτῶν τούτων προδότης, καὶ τῶν μὲν ἄλλων πολιτών πολλών καὶ καλών κάγαθών ὄντων μηδενὶ μηδ' έξ ἴσου χρηται, τοις δὲ τοιούτοις ἐθελοντής ὑποπίπτει, καὶ μήτ' εἴ τινα τῶν οἰκείων ἀδικήσει, μήτ' εἰ παρά τοις ἄλλοις φαύλην δόξαν έξει ταῦτα ποιῶν, μήτ' ἄλλο μηδεν σκοπεί, πλην ὅπως πλέον εξει, τοῦτον οὐ μισεῖν ώς κοινὸν ἐχθρὸν τῆς φύσεως ὅλης της ανθρωπίνης προσήκει; έγων αν φαίην. ταῦτα 66 μέντοι τὰ τοσαύτην ἔγοντ' αἰσγύνην οδ ἄνδρες 'Αθηναίοι, έπὶ τῷ τὴν πόλιν φεύγειν καὶ τὰ ὅντ'ο ἀποκρύπτεσθαι προήρηται πράττειν, ίν' έργασίας άφανεις διά της τραπέζης ποιηται καὶ μήτε χορηγή μήτε τριηραρχή μήτ' ἄλλο μηδέν ὧν προσήκει ποιή. καὶ κατείργασται τοῦτο. τεκμήριον δέ έγων γάρ οὐσίαν τοσαύτην ώσθ' έκατὸν μνᾶς ἐπιδοῦναι τῆ θυγατρί, οὐδ' ήντινοῦν ἐώραται λητουργίαν ὑφ' ὑμῶν λητουργῶν,

n τι πλέον syllabis brevibus S (Dind.).

° Bekker. χρήματα syllabis brevibus S (Z).

P Bekker. λειτουργίαν έώραται Z cum F.

Kαλχηδονίουs] Phormion, it seems, must have been implicated in some mercantile suit with people at Calchedon (opposite Byzantium). The affair is not alluded to elsewhere.

65. καλῶν κἀγαθῶν] In good Greek always two words (neither καλὸς καὶ ἀγαθός nor καλοκάγαθός) though the derivative is nevertheless καλοκάγαθία. Cf. θεοῖς ἐχθρὸς and θεοισεχθρία. See note on Isocr. Paneg. § 78.

κοινον έχθρον της φύσεως] § 58 τα της φύσεως οἰκεία αναιρεί.

66. ἐπὶ τῷ τὴν πόλιν φεύγειν] 'With a view to escape the public service.' Kennedy. [Another singular expression. Such

citizens were called διαδρασιπολίται, Ar. Ran. 1014. P.]

αποκρύπτεσθαι] 28 § 3 ούκ αποκρύπτεται την ούσίαν, άλλά χορηγεῖ καὶ τριηραρχεῖ, and § 24 άποκρύπτεσθαι μάλλον, in contrast to λητουργεῖν έθελήσειν.

έργασίας ἀφανεῖς] 'Śly (unreturned) profits.' Contrast § 30 ἀ πάντες ἤδεσαν κ.τ.λ.

χορηγη...τριηραρχη] See note on Or. 36 § 39 έλητούργεις... κατείργασται τοῦτο (middle) 'he has accomplished this object.' 19 § 300.

τεκμήριον δέ \cdot έχων γὰρ] Madvig Gk. Synt. § 196 a, and note on Isocr. Paneg. § 87.

οὐδὲ τὴν ἐλαχίστην. καίτοι πόσφ κάλλιον φιλοτιμούμενον ἐξετάζεσθαι καὶ προθυμούμενον εἰς ἃ δεῖ τἢ πόλει, ἢ κολακεύοντα καὶ τὰ ψευδῆ μαρτυροῦντα; 67 ἀλλ' ἐπὶ τῷ κερδαίνειν πᾶν ἄν οὖτος ποιήσειεν. καὶ μὴν ὧ ἄνδρες ᾿Αθηναῖοι μᾶλλον ἄξιον ὀργίλως ἔχειν τοῖς μετ' εὐπορίας πονηροῖς ἢ τοῖς μετ' ἐνδείας. τοῖς 1122 μὲν γὰρ ἡ τῆς χρείας ἀνάγκη φέρει τινὰ συγγνώμην παρὰ τοῖς ἀνθρωπίνως λογιζομένοις οἱ δ' ἐκ περιουσίας, ὥσπερ οὖτος, πονηροί, οὐδεμίαν πρόφασιν δικαίαν ἔχοιεν ἀν εἰπεῖν, ἀλλ' αἰσχροκερδείᾳ καὶ πλεονεξία καὶ ὅβρει καὶ τῷ τὰς αὐτῶν συστάσεις κυριωτέρας

q τῆs ἀνάγκης χρεία S et Stobaeus.
 r -la S prima manu (Dind.).

ἐξετάζεσθαι] 'To be found in the pursuit of an honourable ambition for willing service to the state.' Or, shorter, 'to show oneself a man of public spirit.' Harpocr. ἀντὶ τοῦ ὀρῶσθαι, Δημοσθένης κατὰ Στεφάνου. καὶ ἐν τῷ κατ' 'Ανδροτίωνος (§ 66) 'ἐξητάσθης' φησὶν ἀντὶ τοῦ ἄφθης, ἐωράθης. Cf. de Cor. §§ 115, 173, 197; 21 § 161; 22 § 66.

 $\epsilon \lambda \lambda' \epsilon \pi l \tau \hat{\psi} \kappa.\tau.\lambda.$] 'Unfortunately, the defendant is a person who will do anything to get money.' Kennedy.

67. ὀργίλως έχειν] 24 §§ 215, 211; 21 § 215; 59 § 37.

ή τῆς χρείας ἀνάγκη] ἡ ἀναγκαία χρεία: 23 § 148; 50 § 13; ἀνάγκη and χρεία are practically synonymous as in Hom. II. 8, 57; Thuc. vi 68 § 4; Arist, Plut. 534. 'The pressure of their necessitous lot leads to some allowance being made for them in the eyes of those who view the case with human fellow-feeling.' Stobaeus (46, 72) has ἡ τῆς ἀνάγκης χρεία. He also has οὐδεμίαν δικαίαν πρόφα-

σιν έχουσι, besides, for obvious reasons, omitting ωσπερ οδτος. The extract proceeds with the words πολλά δ' οὖν κακά πράγματα τοὺς ελευθέρους ή πενία βιάζεται ποιείν, έφ' οίς αν έλεοίντο δικαιότερον η προσαπολλύοιντο, which do not appear in the present passage. They are really taken from Dem. Or. 57 (Eubulides) § 45, as Meineke might have noted in his edition of Stobaeus. For the copyist's patchwork δ' οὖν κακὰ πράγματα we should therefore restore dovλικά πράγματα from Demosthenes himself, and print the passage as a separate extract.

οὐδεμίαν πρόφασιν] 24 § 195 οὐδεμίαν γὰρ αν εἰπεῖν ἔχοις ἄλλην πρόφασιν...ή...αἰσχροκέρδειαν.

συστάσεις] 'plots,' 'conspiracies,' parties, political interests, studia, έταιρεῖαι. Οτ. 37 § 39 περιστήσας τοὺς μεθ' ἐαυτοῦ, τὸ ἐργαστήριον τῶν συνεστώτων. 18 § 297; 57 § 62. [Thuc. II 21 § 2 κατά συστάσεις γενόμενοι. So also ol συνιστάμενοι in Ar. Lysistr. 577. P.] Cf. Or. 46 § 25.

τῶν νόμων ἀξιοῦν εἶναι ταῦτα φανήσονται πράττοντες. ὑμῖν δ' οὐδὲν τούτων συμφέρει, ἀλλὰ τὸν ἀσθενῆ παρὰ τοῦ πλουσίου δίκην, αν ἀδικῆται, δύνασθαι λαβεῖν. ἔσται δὲ τοῦτ', ἐὰν κολάζητε τοὺς φανερῶς οὕτως ἐξ εὐπορίας πουηρούς.

Οὐ τοίνυν οὐδ' ἃ πέπλασται καὶ βαδίζει παρὰ τοὺς 68 τοίχους οὖτος* ἐσκυθρωπακώς, σωφροσύνης ἄν τις

* οὖτος, quod erat post βαδίζει, propter hiatum transposuit Bl.

ėξ εὐπορίας πονηρούς] 'made bad by their wealth.' Kennedy is hardly correct here in rendering 'men who (for all their riches) are thus flagrantly dishonest.' It is not in spite of, but directly from, their large means that they become bad citizens. P.]

§§ 68-70. His affected airs as he sullenly slinks along the sides of the streets, so far from showing a modest reserve, really indicate an unsociable character. All this solemn guise is purposely put on, to veil his real disposition, while it serves to repel the approaches of his fellow-men. He has never contributed to the needs of any one of all the citizens of Athens; but as a usurer, who counts his neighbours' needs his own good fortune, he has ejected relations of his from their homes, and shown himself ruthless in the exaction of interest from his

68. ἀ πέπλασται κ.τ.λ.] explained by the contrast immediately after, τοῦς ἀπλῶς ὡς πεφύκασι βαδίζουσι και φαιδροῖς. ἀ πέπλασται και βαδίζει instead of ἢν ἔχει πεπλασμένην δψιν και τὸ σεμνὸν βάδισμα, is a fresh instance (like ὧν διεφθάρκει in § 27) of the fondness of the Greeks for throwing into the verb what

in other languages would be naturally expressed by a substantive.

έσκυθρωπακώς] Or. 54 § 34 μεθ' ἡμέραν μὲν ἐσκυθρωπάκασι και λακωνίζειν φασί... For this and similar words expressing sullen and morose demeanour the student should read the speech of Hercules in Eur. Alc. 773—802.

For a similar passage, showing how keenly the behaviour of persons walking in the streets was criticised at Athens, we may compare Or. 37 (Pant.) § 52 where the defendant anticipates that the plaintiff will bring up against him his fast walking and loud talking, and his constantly carrying a stick. After contrasting their respective characters he adds (§ 55) τοιοῦτος έγω ο ταχύ βαδίζων και τοιουτος σὺ ὁ ἀτρέμας. Again Plato, Charmid. p. 159 B, expressly mentions 'walking quietly in the streets' as a mark of σωφροσύνη. σωφροσύνη τὸ κοσμίως πάντα πράττειν και ήσυχη έν τε ταις όδοις βαδίζειν και διαλέγεσθαι. Aristotle ascribes κίνησις βραδεία and φωνή βαρεία to his μεγαλόψυχος (Eth. iv 9=3), and Theophrastus characterises the 'Arrogant man' (ὁ ὑπερήφανος) as δεινός... έν ταις όδοις πορευόμενος μη λαλείν τοίς έντυγχάνουσι, κάτω κεκυφώς.

ήγήσαιτ' εἰκότως εἶναι σημεῖα, ἀλλὰ μισανθρωπίας.
ἐγὰ γὰρ, ὅστις αὐτῷ μηδενὸς συμβεβηκότος δεινοῦ,
μηδὲ τῶν ἀναγκαίων σπανίζων, ἐν ταὐτῃ τῇ σχέσει
διάγει τὸν βίον, τοῦτον ἡγοῦμαι συνεωρακέναι καὶ
λελογίσθαι παρ' αὐτῷ, ὅτι τοῖς μὲν ἀπλῶς, ὡς πεφύκασι, βαδίζουσι καὶ φαιδροῖς, καὶ προσέλθοι τις ἀν
καὶ δεηθείη καὶ ἐπαγγείλειεν οὐδὲν ὀκνῶν, τοῖς δὲ
πεπλασμένοις καὶ σκυθρωποῖς ὀκνήσειέντις ἀν προσ69 ελθεῖν πρῶτον. οὐδὲν οὖν ἄλλ' ἡ πρόβλημα τοῦ
τρόπου τὸ σχῆμα τοῦτ' ἔστι, καὶ τὸ τῆς διανοίας

- t -εορακέναι Dind. u H. Wolf (Reiske), dπ. codices.
- ▼ δκνήσει τις αν S, δκνήσειεν αν τις vulgo, δκνήσειέ τις αν Dind.

Cf. Alexis ap. Athen. I p. 21 § 38 εν γὰρ νομίζω τοῦτο τῶν ἀνελευδέρων εἶναι, τὸ βαδίζεων ἀρρύθμως
εν ταῖς ὁδοῖς. Soph. fragm. 234 b
ώς νῦν τάχος στείχωμεν οὐ γὰρ
εσθ' ὅπως σπουδῆς δικαίας μῶμος
ἄψεταί ποτε. Alciphron I 34 § 1
εξ οῦ φιλοσοφεῦν ἐπενόησας, σεμνός τις ἐγένου καὶ τὰς ὀφρῦς ὑπὲρ
τοὺς κροτάφους ἐπῆρας. εἶτα
σχῆμα ἔχων καὶ βιβλίδιον μετά
χεῖρας εἰς τὴν ᾿Ακαδημίαν σοβεῖς.
Cf. supr. § 63 ἴσα βαίνων κ.τ.λ.
and infr. § 77.

σχέσει] cf. τὸ σχήμα inf. § 69; 19 § 251; Plat. Gorg. 511 ε περιπατεῖ ἐν μετρίψ σχήματι. [διάγειν ἐν σχέσει seems unlike Demosthenes. The same may be said of ποιεῖν ἀοίκητον, 'to deprive of a home,' § 70. P.]

απλώς] 37 § 43 άπλως και ώς πέφυκα ζήν.

τοις...φαιδροίς...προσέλθοι τις ἀν καὶ δεηθείη] The 'Surly man' (ὁ αὐθάδης) is characterised by Theophrastus as apt προσαγορευθείς μὴ ἀντιπροσειπεῖν, and the 'Arrogant man' as προσελθεῖν (to greet) πρότερος οὐδενὶ θελῆσαι. —φαιδροῖς, 'cheerful,' 'bright' (as we say).

δεηθείη καὶ ἐπαγγείλειεν] 'prefer a request and make a promise (or proposal).' The two words are correlative to one another like 'saking and granting a favour.' ἐπαγγείλειεν is due to H. Wolf. Dobree unnecessarily suggests 'Quaere an potest=ἐπαγγείλαιτο, i.e. open peteret.' This would involve a needless repetition of the idea of δεηθείη. [Besides, ἐπαγγείλαισο δεηθείη. [Besides, ἐπαγγείλανοθαι is rather 'to make a profession of,' 'to propose that some one should accept your service' in some matter. P.]

 $\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda a \sigma \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu o is$ καὶ $\sigma \kappa \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o is$]
'Affected and sullen characters.'

69. πρόβλημα τοῦ τρόπου] 'A cloak to mask his real character.' Soph. Phil. 1008 σως μ' ὑπήλθες, ὡς μ' ἐθηράσω λαβων πρόβλημα σαυτοῦ παῖδα τόνδ' ἀγνῶτ' ἐμοί. Cf. παραπέτασμα supr. § 19, also πρόσχημα in the sense of 'an excuse,' 5 § 6, Plat. Prot. 316 D. For προβάλλεσθαι, praetendere, cf. Thuo. 1 37 § 4; II 87 § 3; III 63 § 2.

άγριον καὶ πικρὸν ἐνταῦθα δηλοῖ. σημεῖον δέ τοσούτων γὰρ ὄντων τὸ πλῆθος 'Αθηναίων, πράττων πολὺ βέλτιον ἢ σὲ προσῆκον ἢν, τῷ πώποτ' εἰσήνεγκας, ἢ τίνι συμβέβλησαί πω, ἢ τίνὶ εὖ πεποίηκας "; οὐδένὶ ἀν εἰπεῖν ἔχοις ἀλλὰ τοκίζων καὶ τὰς τῶν ἄλλων 70 συμφορὰς καὶ χρείας εὐτυχήματα σαυτοῦ νομίζων, ἐξέβαλες μὲν τὸν σαυτοῦ θεῖον Νικίαν ἐκ τῆς πατρώας 1123 οἰκίας, ἀφήρησαι δὲ τὴν σαυτοῦ πενθερὰν ταῦτ' ἀφ' ὧν ἔζη, ἀοίκητον δὲ τὸν 'Αρχεδήμου παῖδα τὸ σαυτοῦ

w Bekker. ή τίνα εδ πεποίηκας om. Z cum Σ.

ένταῦθα δηλοῖ] 'He shows herein the real rudeness and malignity of his temper,' § 70; 25 § 45; 54 § 14; 21 § 204; Plat. Grat. 394 ε.

τῷ πώποτ' εἰσήνεγκας] 'to whose service did you ever contribute?' Cf. Or. 53 § 9 ἔρανον αὐτῷ...εἰσοίσοιμι.

συμβέβλησαι] 'to whom have you ever lent any aid?' (Kennedy). συμβάλλεσθαι (with perf. pass. used as mid.) is here used in a general sense of helping, as in Or. 21 (Mid.) § 133 συμβαλουμένους τοῖς συμμάχοις. Cf. 59 § 69 εἰς ἔκδοσιν...τῆ θυγατρι συμβαλέσθαι, followed by εἰσεγκεῖν εἰς τὴν ἔκδοσιν (§ 70). It is used of 'contributing' ib. § 113 προῖκα...συμβάλλεται, Lys. 4 § 10 τὸ ἡματυ τοῦ ἀργυρίου συνεβαλόμην. We have the active use in Or. 34 § 1 συμβόλαια πολλοῖς συμβάλλοντες.

70. ἐξέβαλες] · ousted from his patrimony,' of. Or. 36 § 49 ἐκβαλεῖν. The debtor in such a case would be said ἐκπεσεῶ οτ ἐκστῆναι τῶν ὅντων, ib. § 50. Or. 29 § 2 λίαν ὡμῶς καὶ πικρῶς ὅντα
συγγενῆ τοῦτον ἐκ τῆς οὐσίας ἀπαρας ἐκβέβληκα.

 $\theta \epsilon \hat{iov}$] his (maternal) uncle, not patruum. Reiske suggests

that this Nicias may be identified with the person of that name in Or. 36 § 17 married to the sister of Apollodorus' wife. But the relationships that would thus result are rather complex (cf. supra §§ 54—56), and it seems simpler to suppose that there were two persons of that name in the same family.

dolκητον] 'a homeless outcast.' The word is rare in this meaning, being generally used of an uninhabitable country ('dolκητος και έρημος Hdt. 11 34, cf. v 10. So in Plat. Legg. 778 B, etc.' L and S). Unless we accept it in the sense of 'houseless, it would be necessary either (as Reiske says) to alter παίδα into οίκον or to read dolkov (as G. H. Schaefer suspects). The latter word is found in this sense in Plato Symp. 203 D and elsewhere. In Lucian however (p. 727), the word doiknros is used as in the present passage: Gallus § 17 περιέμενον άοίκητος έστώς, ἄχρι δη ο Μνήσαρχος έξειργάζετο μοι τον οίκον. ('Αλεκτρύων loquitur.)

τὸ σαυτοῦ μέρος] 'quod ad te attinet.' Fals. Leg. § 82 οῦτω διέθηκας αὐτοὺς τὸ μέρος σύ, ib. 103; 35 § 50 and 43 § 78 τὸ

τυσ ούτω πικρώς οὐδ τους όφείλοντας τοὺς οὐδίλοντας τοὺς ετων ούτως άγριον καὶ το τοφώρφ λαβόντες ετων δικασταὶ ποιήσετε

muster, of whom no harm is said, called by the conventional many Pasion, doubtless taken rom our Pasion.

30 δr κ.τ.λ.] 21 § 97; 23 § 174; 25 § 53; 39 § 12; 24 §§ 305, 205; 19 § 282. Cf. Midias § 97.

\$71-76. Against Phormion. who produced the defendant as is witness, you have a right to re indignant for his effrontery and his ingratitude. Phormion was for sale, instead if being bought by a cook, or what not, and learning his master's trade, he had the good fortune to come into the hands of my father, who taught him the business of a banker, and conierred on him many other beneits. Yet, with all his wealth, he is ungrateful enough to allow the founders of his fortunes to remain in poverty and distress. He has not scrupled to marry her, who was once his own muster's wife, thus securing to himself a large marriage-portion, while he suffers my daughters to languish without a dowry and become poor old maids in their tather's house. Meanwhile, he counts and calculates the amount of my money, and criticises me as narrowly as a master might his slave.

ب داد نادنه

wiv

! Title

· (term

برز ، إنها إنوائل.

يومون الكحلأ علا

1 36), ef.

· work)

wews we impose d a posterwa. In

71. νεμεσησαι] A poetic verb, rarely found in good Greek

νοις, την αναίδειαν τοῦ τρόπου καὶ την αγαριστίαν ίδόντας. οίμαι γαρ απαντας ύμας είδεναι, ότι τοῦτον, ήνίκ' ώνιος ήν, εί συνέβη μάγειρον ή τινος άλλης τέχνης δημιουργον πρίασθαι, την τοῦ δεσπότου τέχνην αν μαθών πόρρω των νύν παρόντων ην αγαθών. ἐπειδή 72 δ' ό πατήρ ό ήμετερος τραπεζίτης ών εκτήσατ' αὐτὸν καὶ γράμματ' ἐπαίδευσεν καὶ τὴν τέγνην ἐδίδαξε καὶ χρημάτων ἐποίησε κύριον πολλών, εὐδαίμων γέγονεν, την τύχην, ή προς ήμας αφίκετ', αρχην λαβών πάσης της νύν παρούσης εὐδαιμονίας. οὐκούν δεινόν ω γη 73 καὶ θεοὶ καὶ πέρα δεινοῦ, τοὺς Ελληνα μὲν ἀντὶ βαρβάρου ποιήσαντας, γνώριμον δ' άντ' άνδραπόδου, τοσούτων δ' αγαθών ήγεμόνας, τούτους περιοράν έν ταις έσχάταις άπορίαις όντας έχοντα και πλουτούντα, καὶ εἰς τοῦθ' ἥκειν ἀναιδείας, ὥσθ', ἦς παρ' ἡμῶν τύγης * om. S (Dind.).

prose. It occurs, however, in Or. 20 (Lept.) § 161 τοιαῦτα... ofs μηδείς ᾶν νεμεσήσαι; twice in Plato, and also in Arist. Rhet. In 9. Here, as elsewhere, νεμεσᾶν is used in its regular sense of 'indignation at undeserved good fortune' (Arist. Eth. In 7 & 15 δ νεμεσητικές λυτείται έπ)

§ 15 ο νεμεσητικός λυπείται έπλ τοις αναξίως εὖ πράττουσι).

aν μαθών... ην] aν belongs solely to ην, the principal verb of the apodosis, although it is placed immediately before the emphatic participle μαθών. 6 § 20. See Goodwin's Moods and Tenses § 42, 3 note 1; § 224 ed.

1889.
72. τραπεζίτης ων] The participial clause is here, as often, more emphatic than the principal verb ἐκτήσατο. 'Since my father, into whose hands he came, was a banker.'

73. γνώριμον] Kennedy renders this: 'a friend instead of

a slave.' γνώριμος however is a weaker word than φίλος, though it is curiously placed after it by an anti-climax in Or. 18 (de Corona) § 284 ξένος ἢ φίλος ἢ γνώριμος. But in the present passage, the context leads us to prefer translating it: 'a man of note instead of a mere slave.' In 8 § 66 γνώριμος is contrasted with φώνυμος.

τοσούτων ἀγαθῶν ἡγεμόνας] 'who had led him to, showed him the way to, so many social and political advantages.' ἡγεμόνας, auctores, 18 § 24; Xen. Cyr. 15 § 12.

έχοντα και πλουτοῦντα ad vim augendam copulantur; έχεω per se ipsum est divitem esse (Huettner).

dvaιδelas] For the gen. cf. Or. 36 § 48 els τοῦθ' ἦκεις μανίας. 19 § 72; 21 § 194; 27 §§ 24, 31; 21 § 62; 57 § 64; 33 §§ 15, 22; 59 § 72.

74 μετέσγε, ταύτης ήμιν μη τολμάν μεταδούναι. άλλ' αὐτὸς μὲν οὐκ ὤκνησε τὴν δέσποιναν γῆμαι, καὶ ἡ τὰ καταχύσματ' αὐτοῦ κατέχεεν τόθ' ἡνίκ' ἐωνήθη, ταύτη ΙΙ24 συνοικεί, οὐδὲ προίκα πέντε τάλανθ αύτῶ γράψαι, χωρίς ών ούσης της μητρός κυρίας ούτος έγκρατης γέγονεν πολλών χρημάτων (τί γὰρ αὐτὸν οἴεσθ' εἰς τὰς διαθήκας ἐγγράψαι "καὶ τἄλλα, ὅσα ἐστίν, ᾿Αρ-"χίππη δίδωμι";) τὰς δ' ἡμετέρας θυγατέρας μελλούσας δι' ἔνδειαν ἀνεκδότους ἔνδον γηράσκειν περιορά. 75 καὶ εἰ μὲν πένης οὖτος ἦν, ἡμεῖς δ' εὐποροῦντες ἐτυγγάνομεν, καὶ συνέβη τι παθείν, οία πόλλ', ἐμοί, οί παίδες αν οί τούτου των εμών θυγατέρων επεδικάζοντο, οί τοῦ δούλου τῶν τοῦ δεσπότου θείοι γάρ

y S (Bl.). συνοικεῖν Dind.

* ἐδικάζοντο vulgo et Dind. ἐπεδικάζοντο correctus S, quod etiam Dobreo placuerat.

74. καταχύσματα] Harpoer. Δημοσθένης έν τῷ κατὰ Στεφάνου α΄. δτι τῶν νεωνήτων οἱ δεσπόται τραγήματα κατέχεον Αριστοφάνης Πλούτω δηλοί (Ar. Plut. 768 φέρε νῦν ἰοῦσ' εἴσω κομίσω καταχύσματα ώσπερ νεωνήτοισιν όφθαλμοῖς ἐγώ). The sweetmeats, nuts. &c. were scattered over the newly-purchased slave and scrambled for by his fellowservants. 'This was done, not on the slave's account, but for the sake of a good omen, as the Scholiast tells us.' Becker's Charicles III 33 (=p. 368 of Eng. abridg.). Hermann, Privatalt. § 12, 5, p. 82 Blümner; St John's Manners and Customs of the Greeks, 111 27.

προίκα πέντε κ.τ.λ.] § 28.

odons kuplas] He, as the husband, has got possession of property, as κύριος (or legal possessor) of her, as she was of the said property. P.] Meier

and Schöm., p. 519 Lips.

θυγατέρας] Two daughters. one of whom was shortly afterwards married to Theomnestus, the prosecutor of Neaera (Or. 59 88 2, 8).

75. εί...συνέβη τι παθείν κ.τ.λ.] 'If, in the ordinary course of nature, anything had happened to me'; a common euphemism for death. Cf. 23 § 59 and note on Or. 54 § 25.

οία πόλλ'] 8 § 41 έάν ποτε συμβη τι πταίσμα, ά πολλά γένοιτ' αν ανθρώπφ.

έπεδικάζοντο] Or. 43 Macart. § 55 της έπικληρου έπιδικάζεσθαι and έπεδικαζόμην γένει ών έγγυτάτω. When there was no son to inherit the estate, the heiresses were bound to be married to their nearest relatives (not in the ascending line). The next of kin brought his claim before the chief Archon, whose duty it was έπιμελεῖσθαι τῶν ἐπικλήρων είσιν αὐταῖς διὰ τὸ τὴν μητέρα τὴν ἐμὴν τοῦτον λαβεῖν ἐπειδὴ δ' ἀπόρως ἡμεῖς ἔχομεν, τηνικαῦτα δ' οὐ συνεκδώσει ταύτας, ἀλλὰ λέγει καὶ λογίζεται τὸ πλῆθος ὧν ἐγὼ χρημάτων ἔχω. καὶ γὰρ τοῦτ 76 ἀτοπώτατον πάντων. ὧν μὲν ἀπεστέρηκεν ἡμᾶς χρημάτων, οὐδέπω καὶ τήμερον ἡθέλησεν ὑποσχεῖν τὸν λόγον ἀλλὰ μηδ' εἰσαγωγίμους εἶναι τὰς δίκας παραγράφεται ὰ δὲ τῶν πατρώων ἐνειμάμην ἐγώ, ταῦτα λογίζεται. καὶ τοὺς μὲν ἄλλους ἄν τις ἴδοι τοὺς οἰκέτας ὑπὸ τῶν δεσποτῶν ἐξεταζομένους · οὖτος

addidit Bl. coll. 20 § 80.
 Bekker. τὸν λόγον om. Z cum S.
 FQ (Bl.): μὴ vulgo et Dind.

(Or. 43 § 75), public notice was given of the claim, and if no one appeared to dispute it, the Archon adjudged the heiress to him (ἐπεδίκασεν αὐτῷ τὴν ἐπίκληρον). If another claimant appeared, a court was held to decide the suit, according to the Athenian law of consanguinity. Cases even occurred in which the suitor would get his wife taken off his hands to enable him to marry such an heiress (e.g. Or. 47 § 41). If the 'heiress' was poor, and the nearest relative did not choose to marry her, he was bound to give her a marriage-portion according to his own fortune (C. R. Kennedy, Dict. Antiq. s. v. Epiclerus). Or. 43 § 54 lex, τῶν ἐπικλήρων ὅσαι θητικὸν τελοῦσιν, ἐὰν μὴ βούληται ἔχειν ὁ έγγύτατα γένους έκδιδότω έπιδούς $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$. (Cf. K. F. Hermann, Public Antiq. § 120, notes 6-12; Privatalt. § 64, notes 10 and 11 = Rechtsalt, p. 66 Thalheim, with Pollux III 33; and see Aristoph. Vesp. 583-7.)

θεῖοι] Phormion's sons being, like Apollodorus, sons of Archippe, would be 'uncles' to the daughters of their half-brother Apollodorus.—ἡμεῖε is emphatically contrasted with εἰ πένης οὖτος ἦν (supra), as ὧν έγὼ ἔχω inf. with the implied ὧν οὖτος (οτ ὧν αὐτὸς) ἔχει.
συνεκδώσει] 18 § 268; Lysias

19 § 59. ἐξεταζομένους] 'scrutinised.' 'narrowly examined.' called to account,' 'taken to task' (§§ 80, 82; 2 § 27 πικρώς έξετάσαι). Liddell and Scott refer to this passage, and explain it 'to question by the torture,' comparing Polybius xv 27 § 7 (φιλοτίμως έξετάσαι πασαν προτιθέντα βάσαvov): but in view of the context it seems better to give it a general sense, though not to the exclusion of the special meaning above suggested. Besides, a reference to the passage in Polybius will show that the verb there refers not to the torture itself. but to the close examination preceding the torture. The torture δ' αὐτὸ τοὐναντίον τὸν δεσπότην ὁ δοῦλος ἐξετάζει, ώς δῆτα πονηρὸν καὶ ἄσωτον ἐκ τούτων ἐπιδείξων. 77 ἐγὼ δ' ὦ ἄνδρες ᾿Αθηναῖοι τῆς μὲν ὄψεως τῆ φύσει καὶ τῷ ταχέως βαδίζειν καὶ λαλεῖν μέγα, οὐ τῶν εὐτυχῶς πεφυκότων ἐμαυτὸν κρίνω· ἐφ' οἶς γὰρ οὐδὲν ώφελούμενος λυπῶ τινας, ἔλαττον ἔχω πολλαχοῦ·

d αὐτὸ scripsit Bl., coll. 21 §§ 120, 136. að vulgo et Dind.

was only to be applied if the εξέτασις failed. [The verb is here used for ελεγχομένους τὴν οὐσίαν, 'having their property inquired into.' Slaves, in fact, had no property: but their masters might inquire if they had, rightly or wrongly, become possessed of anything, e.g. of means to purchase their liberty. 'To make an inventory of property' is εξεταζειν (Ar. Eccl. 729), or εξέτασιν ποιείσθαι, which is also a military term. P.]

§§ 77-80. My aspect of countenance, my quickness of walk and my loudness of talk may not, perhaps, be in my favour; they are not my fault but my misfortune; they annoy other people and do me no good; and yet I can claim that I am moderate in my personal expenditure, and I thereby show that I lead a far more orderly life than Phormion and the like. Towards the state, I have performed public services in a most liberal manner, passing even beyond the requirements of the law, to express the gratitude due to Athens from one who owes his citizenship to her generous adoption of his father. Don't taunt me then, Phormion, with what is really to my credit, but prove, if you can, that I am guilty of immorality like your own. How dare you criticise another's life

and character?

77. τῆς δψεως τῆ φύσει κ.τ.λ.] These are datives of respect,—
'in the matter of appearance,'
&c. Kennedy wrongly construes with κρίνω, 'I judge by,' &c.
P.]

τῷ ταχέως βαδίζειν καὶ λαλεῖν μέγα κ.τ.λ.] For the general sense, cf. Lysias 16 § 19 ouk άξιον άπ' όψεως...ούτε φιλείν ούτε μισείν οὐδένα, άλλ' ἐκ τῶν ἔργων σκοπείν · πολλοί μέν γάρ μικρόν διαλεγόμενοι καὶ κοσμίως άμπεχόμενοι μεγάλων κακών αίτιοι γεγόνασιν, έτεροι δὲ τῶν τοιούτων άμελουντες πολλά κάγαθά ύμας είσιν είργασμένοι. Plut. Pericl. See also note on § 68 and cf. particularly Or. 37 (Pant.) § 52 Νικόβουλος επίφθονός εστι καὶ ταχέως βαδίζει καὶ μέγα φθέγγεται και βακτηρίαν φορεί, and esp. § 55 where Nicobulus says of himself οὐχὶ λέληθα έμαυτόν, οὐδ' άγνοῶ οὐ τῶν εῦ πεφυκότων κατά ταθτα ών άνθρώπων, οὐδὲ τῶν λυσιτελούντων εί γάρ έν οίς μηδέν ėαυτοῖς. ώφελοθμαι ποιών, λυπώ τινάς, πως ούκ άτυχω κατά τοῦτο τὸ μέρος; The parallel is so close that it lends some colour to the inference that the two speeches were written by the same orator. and that if Demosthenes wrote either, he probably wrote both. Cf. Introd. p. xlvi.

1125 τῷ μέντοι μέτριος κατὰ πάσας τὰς εἰς ἐμαυτὸν δαπάνας εἶναι πολὺ τούτου καὶ τοιούτων ἐτέρων εὐτακτότερον ζῶν ἄν φανείην. τὰ δ' εἰς τὴν πόλιν 78 καὶ ὅσ' εἰς ὑμᾶς, ὡς δύναμαι λαμπρόταθ', ὡς ὑμεῖς σύνιστε, ποιῶ· οὐ γὰρ ἀγνοῶ τοῦθ', ὅτι τοῖς μὲν γένει πολίταις ὑμῖν ἰκανόν [ἐστι]° λητουργεῖν ὡς οἱ νόμοι προστάττουσι, τοὺς δὲ ποιητοὺς ἡμᾶς, ὡς ἀποδιδόντας χάριν, οὕτω προσήκει φαίνεσθαι λητουργοῦντας. μὴ οὖν μοι ταῦτ' ὀνείδιζ' ἐφ' οῖς ἐπαίνου τύχοιμ' ἀν δικαίως, ἀλλὰ τίν' ὡ Φορμίων τῶν πολιτῶν ἑταιρεῖν, 79 ὥσπερ σύ, μεμίσθωμαι; δεῖξον. τίνα τῆς πόλεως, ἡς αὐτὸς ἠξιώθην, καὶ τῆς ἐν αὐτῆ παρρησίας ἀπεστέρηκα, ὥσπερ σὺ τοῦτον δν κατήσχυνας; τίνος γυναῖκα διέφθαρκα, ὥσπερ σὺ πρὸς πολλαῖς ἄλλαις ταύτην, ἡ τὸ μνῆμ' ϣκοδόμησ' ὁ θεοῖς ἐγθρὸς οὖτος πλησίον

° propter syllabas breves antecedentes secl. Bl.

τῷ μέντοι μέτριος — φανείην] This self-complacent assertion may be instructively compared with the passage in Or. 36 §§ 42 and 45, where the present plaintiff is charged with extravagance of expenditure and licentiousness of life.

78. τούς ποιητούς] Or. 53 § 18 (of Apollodorus) κατὰ ψήφισμα πολίτης (Hermann, Political Antiquities § 117).

79. ἐταιρεῖν μεμίσθωμαι] Aeschin. Timarch. § 13 τῷ παιδί... δς αν ἐκμισθωθῆ ἐταιρεῖν.

τῆς πόλεως ... παρρησίας ἀπέστέρηκα] νόμος γὰρ ῆν τον ἡταιρηκότα μὴ πολιτεύεσθαι Argument to Dem. Fals. Leg. p. 338. Or. 59 § 28. This forms the main point of the speech κατ' 'Ανδροτίωνος. See also Ar. Equit 877. Aeschin. Timarch. §§ 19—32 (Hermann, Privatalt. § 39, 22=p. 258 Blümner).

το μνημ' ψκοδομήσ'...άνηλωκώς πλέον ή τάλαντα δύο] The tendency to extravagant outlay on tombs was checked at Athens by a legal enactment referred to by Cicero, Legg. II 64 post aliquanto propter has amplitudines sepulcrorum...lege sanctum est, ne quis sepulcrum faceret operosius quam quod decem homines effecerint triduo, ib. 66. Plato, Legg. p. 959 D ξστω δή νόμος οδτος τῷ μὲν δὴ τοῦ μεγίστου τιμήματος els την πάσαν ταφην αναλισκόμενα μη πλέον πέντε μνῶν κ.τ.λ. Plato even suggests that the tomb or barrow (χωμα) should not take more than the work of five men for five days and that the inscription on the stêlê should not be more than four lines long, ib. p. 958 E.— τοῦ τῆς δεσποίνης, ἀνηλωκὼς πλέον ἡ τάλαντα δύο; καὶ οὐκ ἢσθάνετο, ὅτι οὐχὶ τοῦ τάφου μνημεῖον ἔσται τὸ οἰκοδόμημα τοιοῦτον ὅν, ἀλλὰ τῆς ἀδικίας ῆς τὸν δο ἄνδρ' ἢδίκηκεν ἐκείνη διὰ τοῦτον. εἶτα τοιαῦτα ποιῶν καὶ τηλικαύτας μαρτυρίας ἐξενηνοχὼς τῆς ὕβρεως τῆς σεαυτοῦ^ς σύ, τὸν ἄλλου του βίον ἐξετάζειν τολμậς; μεθ' ἡμέραν εἶ σὺ σώφρων, τὴν δὲ νύκτ' ἐφ' οἷς θάνατος [ἡ]⁸ ζημία, ταῦτα ποιεῖς. πονηρὸς ὧ ἄνδρες ᾿Αθηναῖοι πονηρὸς οὖτος ἄνωθεν ἐκ τοῦ ᾿Ανακείου κάδικος. σημεῖον δέ· εἶ γὰρ ἦν δίκαιος, πένης

f σεαυτοῦ Bl. σαυτοῦ vulgo.

* propter syllabas breves antecedentes secl. Bl. 'ne locus quidem est articulo in re, quam omnino puniri nondum constabat; cf. 39 § 12, 20 § 135.'

τοῦ πατρὸς οὐκ ἀναλώσας πέντε καὶ εἰκοσι μνᾶς ἐκ πεντακισχιλίων δραχμῶν, τὸ μὲν ῆμισυ αὐτῷ τίθησι τὸ δὲ τοὐτοις λελόγισται (cf. Becker, Charicles III 108=p. 395 of Engl. Abridg.).

πλησίον τοῦ τῆς δεσποίνης]
Archippe, his former master's wife. [τῆς ἀδικίας ἦς—ἡδίκηκεν.
The genitive by attraction for the cognate accusative, ἀδικέν τωα (μεγάλην) ἀδικίαν. P.]

the cognate accusative, άδικείν τωα (μεγάλην) άδικίαν. P.] 80. σύ] 19 § 313 ὧ κακή κεφαλή σύ, 21 § 135 ὧ μαρὰ κεφαλή σύ (Blass). Οπ έξετάζειν, cf. § 76.

μεθ' ἡμέραν...σώφρων, τὴν δὲ νύκτα...] Or. 54 § 34 μεθ' ἡμέραν μὲν ἐσκυθρωπάκασι κ.τ.λ.

έφ' ols θάνατος ζημία] e.g. certain forms of δβρις (K. F. Hermann, Privatalt. § 61, 20 = Rechtsalt. p. 424 Thalheim, where Lysias is quoted, τούς ύβρίζειν δόξαντας έξεστιν ύμῶν θανάτφ ζημιοῦν).

\$\$ 80—82. You are a rogue of old, Phormion, an arrant rogue. Had you been honest, you would have remained poor. As it is,

after embezzling the sums under your control, you choose to regard them as an inherited patrimony! Yet, suppose I could have clapped your present property on your shoulders and arrested you summarily as a thief caught in the act, then, if you denied the theft, you would have been compelled to confess that you got it all from my father: you could not have got it elsewhere, for you were a barbarian when we bought you. And yet you ungratefully resisted a suit for the sums claimed from you on our part; you abused us, you criticised the antecedents of our family. Well, even if I am bound to think less of myself than of all the rest of my audience, I am at any rate bound to think more of myself than of Phormion; and Phormion, at least, is bound to think less of himself than of me. You may make us out what you please, but you yourself were a slave all the same.

πονηρός...άνωθεν έκ τοῦ 'Ανακείου] A knave, an arrant knave

άν ην τὰ τοῦ δεσπότου διοικήσας. νῦν δὲ τοσούτων γρημάτων τὸ πλήθος κύριος καταστάς, ώστε τοσαῦτα λαθείν ἀπ' αὐτῶν κλέψας ὅσα νῦν κέκτηται, οὐκ οφείλειν ταθτα, άλλα πατρώ' έγειν ήγειται. καίτοι 81 προς θεών, εἰ κλέπτην σ' ἀπηγον ώς ἐπ' αὐτοφώρφ $1126 \left[\epsilon i \lambda \eta \phi \dot{\omega} \varsigma \right]^h$, $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ où $\sigma i \dot{a} \nu$ $\dot{\eta} \nu$ $\dot{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \iota \varsigma$, $\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \tau \dot{\omega} \varsigma$ oló ν τ $\dot{\eta} \nu$, έπιθείς σοι, είτα σ' ήξίουν, εί μη φής ύφηρημένος ταῦτ' ἔγειν, ἀναγαγεῖνὶ ὅθεν εἴληφας, εἰς τίν αν αὐτ' ανήγαγες; οὖτε γάρ σοι πατήρ παρέδωκεν, οὖθ εὖρες, οὖτε λαβών ποθεν ἄλλοθεν ἦλθες ώς ἡμᾶς · βάρβαρος γαρ εωνήθης. είθ φ δημοσία προσήκεν επί τοίς

h secl. Bl. coll. Isae. 4 € 28.

Bl. dráyer tulgo.

and a villain of old since he left the temple of Castor. Or. 18 § 242 πονηρόν άνδρες 'Αθηναίοι ποσηρόν ο συκοφάντης. άνωθεν is a maioribus, rempès mix rempie, cf. Or. 58 § 17 πουηρός έκ τριywias. Or. 44 (Levehar.) § 5 obder in the armover exertifier to γένος το πμέτερου. Cf. § 6; 21 §§ 77,160; 59 § 74. The Ανάκαου is the temple of the Dioscuri or 'Araces, as they were called (Plut. Thes. 33, Cic. Nat. Deor. III § 53). It was one of the places where slaves were sold; Dioceobpie icpòr, ob riv el piebodepoûrres boûker éerseu (Bekker Ancol, 212). Harpoer. inaccior άνάκτορου. Δαμιοσθένης έν τῷ κατά Στεφάνου, ίερὸν τῶν Διοςcooper. Morris, Eraces cai évécon 'Arricos (ch. ivaccior Time. TIII 48, Andoc. 1 § 45), Δώσκοροι και Διοσκορείου Έλληνικώς Polhux 1 37, coprai de torques... Diescolour Asiryou Arexcia. The temple stood S.E. of the market of the Coramoions (E. Curtius, Text der nieben Karten p. 58; Stadtgeschichte von Athen, pp. rivi. (12). It was probably some way up the northern alope of the Acropolis (cf. Lucian, Piscator, 42).

Cf. Seneca de constantia sapientis 13 non moleste feram, si mihi non reddiderit nomen aliquis, ex his qui ad Castoris negotiantur, nequam mancipia ementes rendentesque, quorum tabernis pessimorum servorum areae refertae sunt.

81. ALETTY o' estigne K.T.A.] See Or. 54 § 1 Tŷ Tŵr Aurobe-TW ETTYPY IL-IT EUTOPEPP, flagrante delicto.

kvayayılı] kvaştpıv, sc. ixisi the (us de rourse to at) day pas, demonstrure unde et qui facultates illas adeptus sis (Beicke). 'Had I then required you to name the person from whom you got it, to whom should you have referred as the donor? Kennedy.

obre variy vapibous. old elipes] Or. 26 \$ 48 wife yes Marie o sos sarry integral clyse elli tel saspis altý sapabletos, -rargo, becoe (as often) without the article.

Bappapos interpres] Eur. In. Aul 1400 pappapur Badawas toxer dais, this of Bagbapous, εἰργασμένοις τεθυάναι, σύ, τὸ σῶμα σεσωκὼς καὶ πόλιν ἐκ τῶν ἡμετέρων σαυτῷ κτησάμενος, καὶ παῖδας ἀδελφοὺς τοῖς σεαυτοῦ δεσπόταις ἀξιωθεὶς ποιήσασθαι, παρεγράψω μὴ εἰσαγώγιμον εἰναι τὴν δίκην τῶν ἐγκαλουμένων χρημάτων ὑφ' ἡμῶν; εἰτα κακῶς ἡμᾶς ἔλεγες, καὶ τὸν ἡμέτερον πατέρ' ἐξήταζες ὅστις 82 ἢν; ἐφ' οῖς τίς οὐκ ἀν ὡ ἄνδρες ᾿Αθηναῖοι χαλεπῶς ἤνεγκεν; ἐγὼ γάρ, εἰ πάντων τῶν ἄλλων ὑμῶν ἔλαττον προσήκει μοι φρονεῖν, τούτου γε μεῖζον, οἰμαι, καὶ τούτω γ' εἰ μηδενὸς τῶν ἄλλων ἔλαττον, ἐμοῦ γ' ἔλαττον ὅντων γὰρ ἡμῶν τοιούτων, ὁποίους τινὰς ἀν [καὶ] σὰ κατασκευάσης τῷ λόγω, σὰ δοῦλος ἦσθα.

3 Τάχα τοίνυν ἃν ἴσως καὶ τοῦτό τις αὐτῶν εἴποι, ώς ἀδελφὸς ῶν ἐμὸς Πασικλῆς οὐδὲν ἐγκαλεῖ τῶν αὐτῶν τοῦτῷ πραγμάτων. ἐγὼ δ' ὧ ἄνδρες 'Αθηναῖοι καὶ περὶ Πασικλέους, παραιτησάμενος καὶ δεηθεὶς ὑμῶν συγγνώμην ἔχειν, εἰ προεληλυθὼς εἰς τοῦθ'

j secl. Bl.

μῆτερ, Ἑλλήνων, τὸ μὲν γὰρ δοῦλον οἱ δ' ἐλεύθεροι, the first four words of which are quoted by Arist., Pol. I 2 § 4, with the comment ὡς ταὐτὸ φύσει βάρβαρον καὶ δοῦλον ὄν.

έπι τοις είργασμένοις] 'for what you have done,' Or. 21 (Mid.) § 105 φεύγειν ἐφ' αίματι. Dem. 3 § 24 τὴν ἐπὶ τοις ἔργοις δόξαν.

το σωμα σεσωκώς] 22 § 55 τοις μεν δούλοις το σώμα των άδικηματων απάντων ύπεθυνον έστι, τοις δ' έλευθέροις, κάν τα μέγιστ' άτιχωσιν, τούτο γ' ένεστι σωσαι.

έξήταζες] i.e. in Or. 36 §§ 43 and 48, εγένετο Πασίων Αρχεστράτου. On εξετάζειν, cf. § 76.

82. μείζον] sc. προσήκει φρονείν, which is also understood in both the next two clauses.

 $σ \dot{v}$ δούλος $\ddot{\eta} σ \theta a$] Emphatically placed at the close of the passage.

§§ 83—84. Oh, but my brother Pasicles takes no part with me in these claims against Phormion!

As for Pasicles (craving your forgiveness for being provoked into uttering what I am about to say), while I acknowledge him as my mother's son, yet, judging from his taking Phormion's side against me, I have my fears that his father was another. Say no more, then, of Pasicles! call him your son, Phormion, and not your master; my opponent (as he is bent upon it)—not my brother.

83. τούτφ. Depending on έγκαλεῖ, not on τῶν αὐτῶν.

ώσθ' ύπὸ τῶν ἐμαυτοῦ δούλων ὑβρισθεὶςk οὐ δύναμαι κατασχείν, ά τέως οὐδὲ τῶν ἄλλων λεγόντων ἀκούειν έδόκουν, έρω καὶ οὐ σιωπήσομαι. ἐγὼ γὰρ ὁμομήτριον 84 μεν άδελφον εμαυτού Πασικλέα νομίζω, ομοπάτριον 1127 δ' οὐκ οίδα, δέδοικα μέντοι μη τών Φορμίωνος άμαρτημάτων είς ήμας άρχη Πασικλής ή. ὅταν γὰρ τώ δούλω συνδική τὸν ἀδελφὸν ἀτιμών, καὶ παραπεπτωκώς θαυμάζη τούτους ύφ' ών αύτω θαυμάζεσθαι προσηκε, τίν' έγει δικαίαν ταῦθ' ὑποψίαν; ἄνελ' οὖν

k Z et Bl. cum libris coll. 26 § 22, 10 § 40. ὑβρίσθαι Dobree (G. H. Schaefer, Dind.).

ὑβρισθεὶς This reading makes it necessary to take ωστε with οὐ δύναμαι κατασχεῖν and at first sight leaves el without a verb. To remove the supposed difficulty, Dobree proposed ὑβρίσθαι, placing παραιτησάμενος - κατα- $\sigma \chi \epsilon \hat{i} \nu$ in a parenthesis. But the emendation is at once unnecessary and inadequate, and we prefer accepting the arrangement suggested by Shilleto, who was the first to explain the manuscript reading correctly. Interpunctionis egebat locus, non coniecturae. The passage should run as follows: έγω δ'ω ανδρες Αθηναῖοι καὶ περὶ Πασικλέους, (παραιτησάμενος και δεηθείς ύμων συγγνώμην έχειν, εί, προεληλυθώς είς τουθ' ώστε ύπὸ των έμαυτου δούλων ύβρισθείς ού δύναμαι κατασχείν, ἄ τέως οὐδὲ τῶν ἄλλων λεγόντων ἀκούειν ἐδόκουν ἐρῶ καὶ ού σιωπήσομαι) έγω γάρ...νομίζω. 'γàρ post parenthesin saepe fraudi fuit criticis' (Fals. Leg. § 107 not. crit.).

[The passage is slightly avaκόλουθον, but it can hardly be doubted that we must construe προεληλυθώς els τοῦθ' ὤστε--ύβρισθείς ού δύναμαι κατασχείν,

'having reached such a point that—I am unable to restrain (my feelings), and συγγνώμην έχειν (έμοι) εl— έρ $\hat{\omega}$ και οὐ σιωπήσομαι. The έγ $\hat{\omega}$ δ' at the beginning is resumed at έγ $\hat{\omega}$ γαρ δμομήτριον. There is no great difficulty in the passage: certainly it is not made clearer by any proposed alteration. He was going to say έγω και περί Πασικλέους—έρω, but he lost himself, as it were, in the maze of the intervening clauses. P.]

84. παραπεπτωκώς] 'Courting,' 'flattering.' As this verb does not seem to occur elsewhere in this sense, H. Wolf and Dobree would prefer vwoπεπτωκώς as in §§ 63, 65; but the text is supported by the MSS and by Harpocration, who says: άντι τοῦ ὑποπεπτωκώς. Δημοσθένης έν τῷ κατὰ Στεφάνου.--παραπεπτωκώς implies subservience of a less abject and cringing form than ὑποπεπτωκώς, which would be too strong a word for this context. 'ὑποπίπτειν est ad pedes alicuius, παραπίπτειν ad latus alicuius succumbere' (Lortzing, Apoll. p. 90).

ἐκ μέσου μοι Πασικλέα, καὶ σὸς μὲν υίὸς ἀντὶ δεσπότου καλείσθω, ἐμὸς δ' ἀντίδικος (βούλεται γὰρ) ἀντ' ἀδελφοῦ.

⁸⁵ Έγὰ δὲ τούτφ μὲν χαίρειν λέγω, οῦς δ' ὁ πατήρ μοι παρέδωκε βοηθοὺς καὶ φίλους, εἰς τούτους ῆκω, εἰς ὑμᾶς ὧ ἄνδρες δικασταί. καὶ δέομαι καὶ ἀντιβολῶ καὶ ἱκετεύω, μὴ ὑπερίδητέ με καὶ τὰς θυγατέρας δι ἔνδειαν τοῦς ἐμαυτοῦ δούλοις καὶ τοῦς τούτου κόλαξιν ἐπίχαρτον γενόμενον. οὑμὸς ὑμῦν πατὴρ χιλίας ἔδωκεν ἀσπίδας, καὶ πολλὰ χρήσιμον αὑτὸν παρέσχε, καὶ πέντε τριήρεις¹ ἐθελοντὴς ἐπιδοὺς καὶ παρ' αὐτοῦ πληρώσας ἐτριηράρχησε™ τριηραρχίας. καὶ

1 secl. Bl.

^m πέντε (ε') ante ἐτριηράρχησε iterari voluerat Reiske; post ἐτριηράρχησ-ε mavult T. Nioklin.

Hασικλέα] The silence of Pasicles is a point brought against Apoll. in Or. 36 § 22. The insinuation in the text seems quite gratuitous, and its indelicacy forms a singular contrast to the plaintiff's affectation of reserve in referring to his mother in the earlier part of the speech (§ 3 and § 27).

§ 85. Farewell, then, to my so-called brother; while I turn to my true friends, the jury, and appeal to them not to allow me to be laughed to scorn by my own servants and by those who cringe to them, like Stephanus.—My father was a great benefactor to the state, and it would hardly be creditable to yourselves that his son should suffer wrong.

85. δέομαι ... ἀντιβολῶ ... ἰκετεύω] Cf. § 1.

τοῖς τούτου κόλαξιν] i.e. Stephanus and his friends (not excluding Pasicles).

έπίχαρτον] Thuc. III 67; Plat.

Ep. 8, 356 B, βαρβάροις ἐπίχαρτος γενόμενος 'Demosthenes non dixit' (Lortzing, Apoll. p. 91). ἐπιχαίρεω occurs in Dem. 9 § 61 and 21 § 134.

άσπίδαs] The father, Pasion, had a shield manufactory, as we learn from Or. 36 § 4.

πολλά—παρέσχε] 36 § 49.
ἐπιδούς] Used of voluntary free gifts for state purposes (ἐπιδόσεις) opp. to εἰσφέρειν. See Wolf's Leptines p. 66, ed. Beatson, notes 109, 110; and Boeckh, P. E. Book IV, chap. 17, p. 759 Lamb. Or. 21 § 165 ἐκὼν ἐπιδούς τριήρη. The system of voluntary trierarchies began in 357 B.C.; cf. 18 § 99.

έτριηράρχησε τριπραρχίαs] At first sight this is an exception to the usual idiom, whereby a cognate accusative is not used after a verb except with an adjective. Or. 28 § 3 χορηγεῖ καὶ τριπραρχεῖ καὶ τὰς ἄλλας λειτουργίας λειτουργεῖ. But the clause πέντε τριπ-

ταῦτ', οὐκ ὀφείλειν ὑμᾶς νομίζων χάριν ἡμῖν, ὑπομιμνήσκω· ἡμεῖς γὰρ ὀφείλομεν ὑμῖν· ἀλλ' ἴνα μὴ
λάθω τι παθὼν τούτων ἀνάξιον· οὐδὲ γὰρ ὑμῖν ἄν
γένοιτο καλόν.

Πολλὰ δ' ἔχων εἰπεῖν περὶ ὧν ὕβρισμαι, οὐχ 66 $[\delta v]^n$ τὸ ὕδωρ ὁρῶ μοι. ὡς οὖν μάλιστ' ἀν

n propter syllabas breves secl. Bl.

ρείς έθελοντής έπιδούς is virtually an adjectival phrase descriptive of the nature of the trierarchies. Thus, in English we do not say 'he fought a fight' by itself, but 'he has fought a good fight.' (See Mayor on dicta dicere and servitutem serviunt Cic. Phil. II § 42, where the absence of the adj. is explained by the sense of the acc. being different from that of the governing verb and therefore cognate in form alone.) 'Speciose Reiskius ε ετριηράρχησε τριηραρχίας i.e. πέντε' Dobree. Compare Antiphon 5 § 77 και χορηγίας έχορήγει και τέλη κατετίθει, Andoc. 1 § 73 εὐθύνας ώφλον άρξαντες άρχάς, Dem. 18 § 114, 24 § 150. Kühner's Gk. Gr. 11 p. 265 n. 3, Lobeck's Paralipomena p. 501—538, and Rehdantz, indices s.v. etymologica figura, where it is shewn that this use of the cognate accusative is specially frequent in legal and constitutional phrases. On the trierarchal services of Apollodorus, see note on Or. 36 § 41. §§ 86-end. Time would not suffice to tell of all the outrages inflicted on me; but you may form some notion of their enormity if each one of you would just think of the slave he left at home and imagine himself treated by him as I have been treated by Phormion, Whatever satisfaction each of you would claim under

such circumstances, you will allow me to have a right to now, and I therefore ask you, for the sake of the laws and of your solemn oaths, to establish a signal precedent by the punishment of the man who by his false evidence robbed me of that satisfaction. Remember all that you have heard on our side and meet my opponents' suggestions at every point. If they deny that they are responsible for all the details of their deposition, ask them 'What stands in the document?' 'Why did not Stephanus erase the clause?' If they say that a will has been deposed to by a guardian, by a ward, and by one who has it in his keeping; then ask these three witnesses 'What will?' 'what are its terms?' for not one of the three has gone so far as to attest the terms of the will, which are deposed to by the other witnesses (viz. by Stephanus and his friends). If they appeal to your compassion, remember that the victim of a wrong deserves more pity than those who are doomed to be punished; and that if you inflict that punishment, you will grant redress to myself, you will restrain my opponents from their abject adulation, and you will be giving a verdict which will be true to your solemn oath. 86. τὸ ὅδωρ] Or. 54 § 36.

άπαντας ύμας ήγουμαι γνώναι την ύπερβολην ών ηδικήμεθ' ήμεις, φράσω εί σκέψαιτο πρός ξαυτόν έκαστος ύμων τίν' οἰκοι κατέλιπεν οἰκέτην, είθ' ύπὸ τούτου πεπονθόθ έαυτον θείη ταῦθ ἄπερ ήμεῖς ύπὸ τούτου. μη γάρ εί Σύρος η Μάνης η τίς εκαστος έκείνων, ούτος δὲ Φορμίων άλλα τὸ πράγμα ταὐτό. δοῦλοι μὲν ἐκεῖνοι, δοῦλος δ' οὖτος ἢν, δεσπόται δ' 87 ύμεις, δεσπότης δ' ήν έγω. ην τοίνυν ύμων αν έκαστος δίκην άξιώσειε λαβείν, ταύτην νομίζετε κάμοι προσήκειν νῦν και τὸν ἀφηρημένον τῷ μαρτυρήσαι τὰ ψευδή, καὶ ὑπὲρ τῶν νόμων καὶ ὑπὲρ τῶν ΙΙ28 ορκων, οθς ομωμοκότες δικάζετε, τιμωρήσασθε καὶο παράδειγμα ποιήσατε τοις άλλοις, μνημονεύοντες πάνθ' ὅσ' ἀκηκόαθ' ἡμῶν, καὶ Φυλάττοντες, ἐὰν παράγειν επιχειρώσιν ύμας, πρός εκαστον απαντωντες, έαν μη φωσιν άπαντα μεμαρτυρηκέναι, "τί " οὖν ἐν τῷ γραμματείω γέγραπται; τί οὖν οὐ τότ' " ἀπηλείφου; τίς ή παρά τοῖς ἄργουσιν ἀντιγραφή;" 88 έὰν μεμαρτυρηκέναι τὸν μὲν ἐπιτροπευθῆναι κατὰ διαθήκας, τὸν δ' ἐπιτροπεῦσαι, τὸν δ' ἔχειν, ποίας;

habet S a me collatus. om. Z et Bekker st.
 p πρός codices (Bl.); καὶ πρός vulgo.

μὴ γὰρ.....] sc. σκέψηται, understood from σκέψαιτο in the previous sentence.

Σύρος η Μάνης] Both common slave-names. Strabo vii p. 467 ἐξ ὧν γὰρ ἐκομίζετο ἡ τοῖς ἔθνεσιν ἐκείνοις ὁμωνύμους ἐκάλουν τοὺς οἰκέτας ὡς Αυδόν καὶ Σύρον, ἡ τοῖς ἐπιπολάζουσιν ἐκεῖ ἀνόμασι προσηγόρευον, ὡς Μάνην (cf. Or. 53 § 20) ἡ Μίδαν τὸν Φρύγα, Τίβιον δὲ τὸν Παφλαγόνα (K. F. Hermann, Privatalt. § 13, 16 p. 92 Blümner). [Ar. Pax 1146, τόν τε Μανῆν ἡ Σύρα βωστρησάτω 'κ τοῦ χωρίου. P.]

87. $\tau \delta \nu \ d\phi \eta \rho \eta \mu \ell \nu \nu \nu$] Him (i.e. Stephanus) who by false testimony has robbed me of it (i.e. of my right to a verdict, $\tau \delta \ \delta \ell \kappa \eta \nu \lambda a \delta \ell \nu$).

μνημονεύοντεςφυλάττοντες] Or. 36 § 61.

τί οὖν...γέγραπται] Cf. § 45. ἀπηλείφου, § 44. ἡ ἀντιγραφή, § 46.

τοις ἄρχουσω] The Forty (Meier and Schöm., p. 697, 59, Lips.).

88. τον μέν ἐπιτροπευθῆναι κ.τ.λ.] §§ 37, 38.

 $\tau \partial \nu \delta' \epsilon \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$] 'Has the docu-

έν αίς τί γέγραπται; ταῦτ' ἐρωτᾶτε· ᾶς γὰρ οὖτοι μεμαρτυρήκασιν, οὐδεὶς ἐκείνων προσμεμαρτύρηκεν. ἐὰν δ' ὀδύρωνται, τὸν πεπονθότ' ἐλεινότερον τῶν δωσόντων δίκην ἡγεῖσθε. ταῦτα γὰρ ᾶν ποιῆτ', ἐμοί τε βοηθήσετε, καὶ τούτους τῆς ἄγαν κολακείας ἐπισχήσετε, καὶ αὐτοὶ τὰ εὔορκ' ἔσεσθ' ἐψηφισμένοι.

4 ås Bl. coll. § 12; å codices.

red. Paulina (Bl.); ελεεινότερον tribus brevibus continuatis vulgo.

" post Felicianum Bekker. vàs Z cum SQF.

ment in his custody,' i.e. the γραμματεΐον inscribed διαθήκη Πασίωνος § 16 ό μὲν γραμματεΐον έχειν ἐφ' ῷ γεγράφθαι διαθήκη Πασίωνος.

ās γὰρ] None of the witnesses corroborate one another; one group depose to one series of isolated facts; another to another.—οὖτοι, Stephanus and his supporters.—ἐκείνων, Pasicles and Nicocles.

έἀν ὁδύρωνται] Alluding to the pathetic appeals of the peroration. Cf. the miserabiles epilogi of Cicero and the ελέου εἰσβολὴ of the Greek Rhetoricians (Volkmann's Rhetorik § 27).

εύορκα κ.τ.λ.] So in the former speech on the other side; Or. 36 § 61 αὐτοὶ εὐορκήσετε.

XLVI.

ΚΑΤΑ ΣΤΕΦΑΝΟΥ ΨΕΥΔΟΜΑΡΤΥΡΙΩΝ Β.

ΤΠΟΘΕΣΙΣ.

Έν τούτφ τῷ λόγφ καὶ τῶν φθασάντων τινὰ ἐπικατασκευάζεται, καὶ ἔτερα προσεισάγεται^α, ὡς καὶ^δ παράνομοι αἱ διαθῆκαι^ο.

Argumentum a manu recentiore in S supra scriptum.

- Wolf. προεισ- vulgo.
- b ws Z (Bl.); el codices.
- ° παράνομος ἡ μαρτυρία καὶ αὶ (a Bekkero additum) διαθήκαι mavult Bl.
- 1. 1. τῶν φθασάντων τινὰ προσεισάγεται] 'The speaker establishes afresh some of the points of his former speech; and brings on other new points.'

φθάνειν in this sense is found only in late Greek, e.g. Argument to Or. 4 (Philippic) τῷ φθάσαντι (λόγψ), and Aelian Var. Hist. 1 34 τὰ φθάσαντα, 'the matters before-mentioned.' ἐπικατασκευάζειν (according to Sophocles' Lex. of late Greek) is found in Dio Cassius 50, 23, 3 ('to construct on') and Eusebius 11 557 A ('to prepare after'). It is here perhaps middle, and not passive. –προσεισάγω is found in Diogenes Laertius 9, 88 (quoted by Liddell and Scott, who take it as middle in the present passage).

§§ 1—3. Stephanus has made a long reply to my former speech, and, as I suspected, has had a good deal to say in defence of his evidence. A cunning rogue himself, and well primed by Phormion's numerous advisers, he has attempted to mislead you into the notion that he is not responsible for all the details of his deposition. He has not brought a single witness to prove, either (1) that he was present when my father made the alleged will, or (2) that he ever saw it opened after my father's death; and yet he has actually deposed that the copy set forth in his deposition is a transcript of the 'will.' By so doing he is palpably convicted of having given false testimony.

1129 "Ότι μὲν οὐκ ἀπορήσειν ἔμελλε Στέφανος οὐτοσί, ι ὅ τι ἀπολογήσεται περὶ τῆς μαρτυρίας, παράγων τῷ λόγῳ, ὡς οὐ πάντα μεμαρτύρηκε τὰ ἐν τῷ γραμματείῳ γεγραμμένα, καὶ ἐξαπατῶν ὑμᾶς, καὶ αὐτὸς σχεδόν τι ὑπενόουν ὡ ἄνδρες δικασταί. πανοῦργός τε γάρ ἐστι, καὶ οἱ γράφοντες καὶ οἱ συμβουλεύοντες ὑπὲρ Φορμίωνος πολλοί ἄμα τ' εἰκός ἐστι τοὺς ἐγχειροῦντας τὰ ψευδῆ μαρτυρεῖν, καὶ τὴν ἀπολογίαν εὐθέως ὑπὲρ αὐτῶν μελετᾶν. ὅτι δ' ἐν τοσούτῳ λόγῳ οὐδαμοῦ μάρ- 2 τυρας παρέσχεθ' ὑμῖν ὡς ἡ διατιθεμένῳ τῷ πατρὶ τῷ ἐμῷ παρεγένετό που αὐτὸς ταύτην τὴν διαθήκην, ὥστ' εἰδέναι ταῦθ' ὅτι ἀντίγραφ' ἐστὶν ὧν ὁ πατήρ μου διέθετο, ἡ ἀνοιχθὲν εἶδε τὸ γραμματεῖον ὅ φασι διαθέμενον ἐκεῖνον καταλιπεῖν, ταῦτα συμμέμνησθέ μοι.

1. παράγων—ὑπενόουν] Apollodorus had already, in his former speech, thrown out his suspicions that Stephanus would have recourse to this line of defence. See Or. 45 §§ 44 and 87. οι γράφοντες...ὑπὲρ Φορμίωνος] e.g. Demosthenes himself.

την ἀπολογίαν...μελετᾶν] 'prepared their defence.' μελετᾶν, like meditari, with which it is etymologically connected, is often used of 'rehearsing a part,' 'conning over a task,' 'practising for a performance.'

2. μάρτυρας ... ὡς ... παρεγένετο] The plaintiff objects that Stephanus could not attest to a document being a copy of Pasion's 'will,' as he calls no evidence to prove he was present when the will was made (cf. Or. 45 § 26). But even supposing he had been present, it would not follow that he was familiar with the terms, as even the witnesses to a will would not necessarily know its contents, or be able to attest to

its correspondence with any document purporting to be a copy of the same. Cf. Isaeus Or. 4 (Nicostratus) § 13 των διατιθεμένων οί πολλοί οὐδὲ λέγουσι τοῖς παραγινομένοις δ τι διατίθενται. άλλ' αὐτοῦ μόνου, τοῦ καταλιπεῖν διαθήκας, μάρτυρας παρίστανται, τοῦ δὲ συμβαίνοντός ἐστι καὶ γραμματείον άλλαγήναι και ταναντία ταίς του τεθνεώτος διαθήκαις μεταγραφήναι. οὐδὲν γὰρ μᾶλλον οί μάρτυρες είσονται εί έφ' αις έκλήθησαν διαθήκαις, αὖται ἀποφαίνονται (Becker, Charicles, Scene IX note 18).

The inelegance of the triple repetition διατιθεμένω...διέθετο... διαθέμενον is considered open to criticism by A. Schaefer, Dem. u. s. Zeit, III 2, 187.

έκεῖνον] not αὐτὸν, either because it refers to a person deceased, or to distinguish τὸν πατέρα from the subject of the sentence, Στέφανος. P.]

sentence, $\Sigma \tau \epsilon \phi a \nu o s$. P.] $\sigma \nu \mu \mu \epsilon \mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon$ A verb apparently never used elsewhere, except in late Greek.

∴αι τῶν -είφ γε-- Ξ :. μήθ' ώς . τι σαγενό-- οι περι-.... Σποηκώς; .: -ή μαρτυ-- - αρέχονται · ::: οί ἀντί- 1130 . - : αν είδείηθ' ενειν έκάτεροι. τιδίκοις οὖσι, ... τοίνυν καὶ τὴν καὶ όστι, καὶ ώς - πρόκλησις ... ε είναι πρός τώ ... - Ευσμίων 'Απολλότανείχεν `Αμφίας

Pind. cum libris.

: ¿ εd.). ἔχειν Bekker

The Angles of a responsible control of a responsibility of the control of the co

δ Κηφισοφώντος κηδεστής, 'Απολλόδωρον δ' οὐκ εθέλειν ἀνοίγειν.' οὕτω μεν ἃν μαρτυροῦντες εδόκουν ἀληθη μαρτυρεῖν ἀντίγραφα δε τῶν διαθηκῶν τῶν Πασίωνος μαρτυρεῖν εἶναι τὰ ἐν τῷ γραμματείῳ δι παρείχετο Φορμίων, μήτε παραγενομένους ἐκείνῳ διατιθεμένω, μήτ' εἰδότας εἰ διέθετο, πῶς οὐ περιφανῶς ἀναισχυντία δοκεῖ ὑμῖν εἶναι;

'Αλλὰ μὴν εἰ φησὶ Φορμίωνος λέγοντος πιστεύειν 6 ταῦτ' ἀληθῆ εἶναι, τοῦ αὐτοῦ ἀνδρός ἐστι πιστεύειν τε λέγοντι τούτφ ταῦτα καὶ κελεύοντι μαρτυρεῖν. οἱ δέ γε νόμοι οὐ ταῦτα λέγουσιν, ἀλλ' ὰ ἀν¹ εἰδῆ τις καὶ οἶς ἀν παραγένηται πραττομένοις, ταῦτα μαρτυρεῖν κελεύουσιν ἐν¹ γραμματείφ γεγραμμένα, ἵνα μήτ' ἀφελεῖν ἐξῆ μηδὲν μήτε προσθεῖναι τοῖς γεγραμμένοις. ἀκοὴν δ' οὐκ ἐῶσι ζῶντος μαρτυρεῖν, ἀλλὰ τεθνεῶτος, 7 τῶν δὲ ἀδυνάτων καὶ ὑπερορίων ἐκμαρτυρίαν γεγραμ-

- ⁸ Reiske (Bl.); å vulgo.
- h 'Malim περιφανοῦς ἀναισχυντίας.' Dobree.
- i å åv Bekker st. äv Z. åv prima manu.
- j èv Bekker cum S a me collato. èv τφ Z cum Q. .

5. περιφανῶs] § 3; the corresponding substantive περιφάνεια has occurred in Or. 45 § 2.

§§ 6-8. If Phormion's assertion was the defendant's only reason for deposing to the document being a copy of Pasion's will, then he has been guilty of giving hearsay evidence which is false and which he has given in a manner contrary to the law.

7. ἀκοὴν...μαρτυρεῖν] 'to give hearsay evidence.' Or. 57 (Eubul.) § 4 οὐδὲ μαρτυρεῖν ἀκοὴν ἐῶστν οἱ νόμοι, 44 (Leoch.) § 55. [Saeus Or. 6 (Philoctemon) § 54 (δίκαιον) οἶs μὴ παρεγένετο, ἀλλ' ἤκουσέ τις, ἀκοὴν μαρτυρεῖν, 8 (Kiron) §§ 6, 14, 29. Examples

of this kind of evidence are found in Or. 43 (Macart.) §§ 36, 42, 44—46. Meier and Schömann, Attische Process p. 878

τῶν ἀδυνάτων καὶ ὑπερορίων ἐκμαρτυρίαν] The witness, whether he had been present at the preliminary examination or not, was compelled to attend at the trial itself to confirm his evidence, unless he was either ill or abroad, in which case his evidence might be taken by a commission. Or. 35 (Lacr.) §§ 20, 34. Isaeus Or. 3 (Pyrrhus) §§ 20—27, esp. § 20 παρὰ τῶν ἀσθενούντων ἢ ἀποδημεῖν μελλόντων ὅταν τις ἐκμαρτυσίαν μελλόντων ὅταν τις ἐκμαρτυσίαν

μένην εν τώ τος γραμματείφ. και από της αυτής επισκήψεως τήν τε μαρτυρίαν καὶ έκμαρτυρίαν αγωνίζεσθαι II31 άμα, Γυ' ἐὰν μὲν ἀναδέχηται ὁ ἐκμαρτυρήσας, ἐκεῖνος ύπόδικος ή των ψευδομαρτυριών, έαν δε μη αναδέγη-8 ται, οί μαστυρήσαντες την έκμαρτυρίαν. Στέφανος τοίνυν ούτοσί, ούτ' είδως διαθήκας καταλιπόντα τον πατέρα ήμων, ούτε παραγενόμενος πώποτε διατιθεμένω τω πατρί ήμων^m, ακούσας δε Φορμίωνος, μεμαρτύρηκεν ακοήν τὰ ψευδή τε καὶ παρά τὸν νόμον. καὶ ταῦθ' ὅτι ἀληθη λέγω, αὐτὸν ὑμῖν τὸν νόμον ἀναγνώσεται.

h om. Z cum Reiskio. τφ, 'eo de quo iam § 6 dictum erat' (Bl.).

1 Z et Bekker st. cum Reiskio. ἐκμαρτυρήσαντες Bekker (Berlin ed.) cum libris.

m τῶ πατρί ήμῶν delere vult Bl.

ποιθται... Harpocration, έκμαρτυρία διαφέρει της μαρτυρίας, δτι ή μεν μαρτυρία των παρό»των έστιν, ή δ' έκμαρτυρία τῶν άπόντων, σαφέστατα δέ περί τούτων διδάσκουσι Δημοσθένης τε έν τῷ κατὰ Στεφάνου καὶ Δείναρχος. Meier and Schömann,

p. 879 Lips.

ἀπὸ τῆς αὐτῆς ἐπισκήψεως] The laws enact 'that the evidence of the absent witness shall come before the court under the same impeachment as that of the witnesses attesting the same, in order that, if the absent witness acknowledge his evidence, he may be liable to a trial for false testimony, and if he disown it, then the attesting witnesses. For $\epsilon \pi l$ emples, the process of bringing an action for false witness, cf. Ariet. Pol. 11 12 § 11 Χαρώνδου **έδιον ούδάν έστι πλήν αί δί**και τών

ψευδομαρτυριών, πρώτος γάρ έποίησε την επίσκηψι». Or. 47 88 1 and 5 Θεόφημος αὐτοῖς ώς άληθη μεμαρτυρηκόσιν οὐκ ἐπεσκήψατο οὐδ' ἐπεξέρχεται τῶν ψευδομαρτυριών, ib. 51. Or. 29 §§ 7, 41 and Or. 34 § 46 note. Cf. Meier and Schömann, p. 491 Lips.

έὰν μὴ ἀναδέχηται] 'if he does not acknowledge it'e.g. on the ground of its being forged or incorrectly copied. Isseus Or. 3 §§ 23, 24 tells us 'it was usual to select persons of good character to receive such evidence and to have as many of them as possible' (C. R. Kennedy in Dict. Ant. s. v. ἐκμαρτυρία). Cf. Schol. on Aeschin. Fals. Leg. § 19 εί έπανελθών έκεινος (sc. δ έκμαρτυρήσας) εἶπεν, ὅτι οὐδὲν είπον, εκρίνοντο οδτοι (80. οί μαρτυρήσαντες) ώς συκοφάνται.

ΝΟΜΟΣ.

'Ακοὴν εἶναι μαρτυρεῖν τεθνεῶτος, ἐκμαρτυρίαν δὲ ὑπερορίου καὶ ἀδυνάτου n .

'Ως τοίνυν καὶ παρ' ἔτερον νόμον μεμαρτύρηκεν 9 ἐπιδεῖξαι ὑμῖν βούλομαι, ἵνα εἰδῆτε ὅτι μεγάλων ἀδικημάτων οὐκ ἔχων καταφυγὴν ὁ Φορμίων, πρόφασιν λαβων λόγω τὴν πρόκλησιν, ἔργω αὐτὸς αὐτῷ μεμαρτύρηκε προστησάμενος τούτους, δι' ὧν οἱ μὲν δικασταὶ ἐξηπατήθησαν ὡς ἀληθῆ τούτων μαρτυρούντων, ἐγω δὲ ἀπεστερήθην °ὧν ὁ πατήρ μοι κατέλιπε° χρημάτων καὶ τοῦ δίκην λαβεῖν περὶ ὧν ἀδικοῦμαι. μαρτυρεῖν γὰρ οἱ νόμοι οὐκ ἐῶσιν αὐτὸν αὐτῷ οὔτ' ἐπὶ ταῖς γραφαῖς οὔτ' ἐπὶ ταῖς δίκαις οὔτ' ἐν ταῖς εὐθύναις. ὁ τοίνυν Φορμίων αὐτὸς αὐτῷ μεμαρτύρηκεν, ὁπότε

n leges et testimonia in hac or. om. S; uncis incl. Dind., quos removit Bl.

ο-ο ων θ' ὁ πατηρ κατέλιπε (μοι fortasse per errorem omisso) Dobree.

§§ 9, 10. The defendant has also given evidence contrary to another law. Under cover of the testimony of the defendant and his friends, Phormion has virtually given evidence in his own cause, which is illegal; and the law declares that a suit for false testimony shall be maintainable against a person on the ground of his having given evidence contrary to the law.

9. πρόφασιν λαβών την πρόκλησιν] In Or. 45 § 19 (which should be compared with the whole of the present sentence) this Challenge is denounced as a παραπέτασμα. So below, προστησάμενος τούτους 'putting these men forward as an excuse.' For the favourite antithesis between λόγος and ξργον, of. Thuoydides passim, and Antiphon, Or. 5 § 5 ού γάρ δίκαιον οδτε ξργφ άμαρ-

τύντα διὰ ῥήματα σωθῆναι οὅτε ξργφ ὀρθῶς πράξαντα διὰ ῥήματα απολέσθαι: τὸ μὲν γὰρ ῥῆμα τῆς γλώστης ἀπολέσθαι: τὸ μὲν γὰρ ῥῆμα τῆς γλώστης ἀμοτημά ἐστι τὸ δ' ἔργον τῆς γνώμης. Cf. ib. § 4 and Or. 6 § 47 οι μὲν ἄλλοι ἄνθρωποι τοῖς ἔργοις τοὺς λόγοις ἐλέγχουσιν, οὅτοι δὲ τοῖς λόγοις τὰ ἔργα ζητοῦσιν ἄπιστα καθιστάνοι. See also Blass Att. Ber. I 1291, 2131=1413, 2182.

τούτων is redundant after δι' ών, that is, μαρτυρούντων might have agreed with ών, instead of a new clause introduced with agnitive absolute. [The latter was preferred from its tendency to combine with ώs. P.]

γραφαΐς...δίκαις] See note on Or. 54 § 2.—εὐθύναις, 'audits,' 'examinations on surrendering office,' as opposed to δοκιμασία, 'the enquiry preliminary to taking office.'

φασὶν οὖτοι ἀκούσαντες ἐκείνου ταῦτα μεμαρτυρη-10 κέναι. ἴνα δὲ εἰδῆτε ἀκριβῶς, αὖτὸν τὸν νόμον μοι ἀνάγνωθι.

ΝΟΜΟΣ.

Τοῖν ἀντιδίκοιν ἐπάναγκες εἶναι ἀποκρίνασθαι ἀλλήλοις τὸ ἐρωτώμενον, μαρτυρεῖν δὲ μή.

Σκέψασθε τοίνυν τουτονὶ τὸν νόμον, δς κελεύει ὑποδίκους εἶναι τῶν ψευδομαρτυριῶν καὶ κατ' αὐτὸ 1132 τοῦτο, ὅτι μαρτυρεῖ παρὰ τὸν νόμον.

ΝΟΜΟΣ.

*Εστω δὲ καὶ ὑπόδικος τῶν ψευδομαρτυριῶν ὁ μαρτυρήσας αὐτοῦ τούτου^ο, ὅτι μαρτυρεῖ παρὰ τὸν νόμον· καὶ ὁ προβαλόμενος α κατὰ ταὐτά.

'immo δι' αὐτοῦ τούτου vel αὐτῷ τούτῳ' Z.
 Bekker cum Reiskio. προβαλλόμενος Z cum libris.

10. $\tau \circ \hat{i} \nu \dot{a} \nu \tau i \delta [\kappa \circ i \nu - \mu \eta]$ 'that both parties be compelled to answer one another's questions, but that neither be allowed to be a witness in his own cause.' On ἐρώτησις see Aristot. Rhet. III 18 and for examples cf. Lysias Or. 12 §§ 24—26, Or. 22 § 5; Or. 13 §§ 30—33. Dem. de Cor. § 52, Plato Apol. p. 25, Isaeus Or. 11 § 4. 'A favourite instrument of debate with speakers in the public assembly and law courts is the interrogation of the adversary. object of this is to enforce an argument; or to take the adversary by surprise and extract from him an unguarded admission; or to place him in an awkward dilemma, by shaping your question in such a way, that he must either by avowing

it admit something which his antagonist wishes to establish, or by refusing seem to give consent by his silence to that which the questioner wishes to insinuate; or to gain some similar advantage' (Cope's Introduction to Ar. Rhet. p. 362).

αὐτοῦ τούτου]= κατ' αὐτὸ τοῦτο above.

ὁ προβαλόμενος κατὰ ταὐτά] The person who produced the false witness, προύβάλετο οτ προύττήσατο, was liable to what was called a δίκη κακοτεχνιῶν for conspiring to defeat the ends of justice. Or. 47 § 1 τὸν προβαλόμενον ὑπόδικον ἔχη τῶν κακοτεχνιῶν.

§ 11. That the defendant's evidence is false may be concluded from the material on

which it is written.

τύρηκεν. λελευκωμένον τε γάρ έστι καὶ οἴκοθεν κατεσκευασμένον. καίτοι τοὺς μὲν τὰ πεπραγμένα μαρτυροῦντας προσήκει οἴκοθεν τὰς μαρτυρίας κατεσκευασμένας μαρτυρεῖν, τοὺς δὲ τὰς προκλήσεις μαρτυροῦντας, τοὺς ἀπὸ ταὐτομάτου προστάντας^τ,

r παραστάντας H. Wolf; sed cf. 47 § 12 (Bl.).

λελευκωμένον και οίκοθεν κατ-The plaintiff εσκευασμένον] curiously argues the falsehood of the defendant's deposition from the nature of the material on which it is inscribed. The document, he points out, is 'whitened, and must have been brought from home purposely prepared.' This would have been proper enough for a deposition attesting to the facts of the past, in which case there is time to draw up an elaborate document. But a deposition purporting to attest a Challenge (evidence to which might be given by any duly qualified person even accidentally present) would naturally be rapidly written on the spot $\hat{\epsilon}\nu$ μ $\hat{\alpha}\lambda\theta\eta$, i.e. on a waxen tablet. This would allow of any addition or erasure being made at the request of the witnesses before they attested it. 'The difference between these methods,' as C. R. Ken-nedy remarks, 'was much the same as between writing with a pen on paper and with a pencil on a slate' (Dict. Ant. s.v. μαρτυρία). The distinction here drawn is (as Mr Paley observes) between a waxed tablet (δέλτος) scratched with a point like the Roman stilus, and a whitened surface on which the letters could be conveniently laid on with black pigment (μέλαν).

For λελευκωμένον cf. Dem. Or. 24 (Timocr.) § 23 Lex, ὁ τιθεὶς τὸν καινὸν νόμον, ἀναγράψας εἰς

λεύκωμα, ἐκτιθέτω πρόσθε τῶν ἐπωνύμων. Arist. Const. of Athens, 47 § 2, 48 § 4. Bekker's Aneed. p. 277 λεύκωμά ἐστι πίναξ γύψφ ἀληλιμμένος, πρὸς γραφήν πολιτικῶν γραμμάτων ἐπιτήδειος (we may compare the Roman album and contrast the black boards of our class-rooms).

For $\ell \nu \mu d\lambda \theta \eta$ ib. p. 278 $\mu d\lambda$ θη : μεμαλαγμένος κηρός ή άλλο τι τοιούτον, ῷ τὰ γραμματεῖα πράττεται. Pollux x 58: ὁ δὲ ἐνών τἢ πινακίδι (80. καλεῖται) κηρὸς ἢ μάλθη ή μάλθα. 'Ημόδοτος μέν γάρ κηρόν εξρηκεν, Κρατίνος δέ έν τη Πυτίνη μάλθην Εφη, 'Αριστοφάνης δε εν τῷ Γηρυτάδη 'τὴν μάλθαν έκ των γραμματείων ήσθιον.' Harpoer. μάλθη ' ὁ μεμαλαγμένος κηρός. Δημοσθένης έν τῷ κατὰ Στεφάνου. Ίππωναξ, ξπειτα μάλθη την τρόπιν παραχρίσas. And similarly Hesychius and Suidas. (Bekker's Charicles, Scene IX, notes 12 and 13, and Beels, diatribe p. 116—119.)

ο Ικοθεν κατεσκευασμένον] a 'pregnant' expression, equivalent in sense to οἰκοι κατεσκευασμένον καὶ οἰκοθεν ἀπηνεγμένον. Similarly below, where Reiske unnecessarily proposes οἰκοθεν ἐπιφερομένας τὰς μαρτυρίας κατεσκευασμένας μαρτυρεῦν. For the general drift of the argument and its imputation of deliberate design, cf. Cic. Phil. II § 85 unde diadema? non enim abiectum sustuleras, sed attuleras domo meditatum et cogitatum scelus. προστάντας. See p. 136.

έν° μάλθη γεγραμμένην τὴν μαρτυρίαν, ἵν', εἴ τι προσγράψαι ἡ ἀπαλεῖψαι ἐβουλήθη, ῥάδιον ἦν".

- 12 Οὐκοῦν κατὰ μὲν ταῦτα πάντα ἐξελέγχεται τὰ ψευδη^{*} μεμαρτυρηκώς καὶ παρὰ τὸν νόμον βούλομαι δ' ὑμῖν καὶ αὐτὸ τοῦτο ἐπιδεῖξαι, ὡς οὕτε διέθετο ὁ πατὴρ ἡμῶν διαθήκην οὐδεμίαν, οὕθ' οἱ νόμοι ἐῶσιν. εἰ γάρ τις ἔροιτο ὑμᾶς, καθ' ὁποίους νόμους δεῖ πολιτεύεσθαι ἡμᾶς, δῆλον ὅτι ἀποκρίναισθ' ὰν κατὰ τοὺς κειμένους. ἀλλὰ μὴν οἵ γε νόμοι ἀπαγορεύουσι 'μηδὲ
 - ¹ Z cum Reiskio. καὶ ἐν Bekker cum libris.
 - * εl...βουληθη Z cum libris. εάν...βουληθη G. H. Schaefer, άν... βουληθη Dind. 'malim εβουλήθη vel βουληθείη' Sauppe; εl... εβουλήθη Bl.
 - " † H. Wolf (Dind). † cum libris Z, 'referuntur haec per anacoluthiam quandam ad Phormionem et Stephanum, itaque est locus imperfecto † (Bl.).
 - τά τε ψευδή mavult Bl. coll. § 8, 'quamquam etiam § 27 τε omittitur.'

τοὺς προστάντας] These words are rather obscure. Kennedy renders προστάντας as equivalent to παρόντας. Rather, perhaps, 'who stand forward voluntariby' P]

17. P.]

Τν', εί... έβουλήθη, ράδιον ἦν]

Or. 28 § 5 έχρῆν εἰσκαλέσωτας μάρτυρας πολλούς παρασημήνασθαι κελεῦσαι τὰς διαθήκας, τν', εἴ τι ἐγίγνετο ἀμφισβητήσιμον, ἦν εἰς τὰ γράμματα ταῦτ' ἐπανελθεῦν (Goodwin's Moods and Tenses, § 333, ed. 1886).

§§ 12—17. Further, my father made no will at all, nor do the laws allow it, laws which bind every citizen of Athens without exception. It was not until ten years after my father's death that Phormion obtained the citizenship; not foreseeing this, how could my father have insulted our family, cast contempt on your bounty and disregarded the

laws, by giving his own wife in marriage to Phormion, and that by a will which he was legally incompetent to make? The law forbids a man's making a will if he have male issue lawfully begotten .- Again, the law only allows those who are not 'adopted' to dispose of their property by will, and my father was a citizen by 'adoption' only. Lastly, it does not permit a person to make a will if he is of unsound mind, and the terms of the alleged 'will' which give my father's widow to Phormion are inconsistent with the terms of the 'lease,' and argue the absence of sound mind and therefore my father's incompetence to make any will whatever.

12. κειμένους...θεῖναι] κεῖμαι is constantly borrowed as a perfect passive to τίθημι, while τέθειμαι is almost invariably

νόμον ἐξεῖναι ἐπ' ἀνδρὶ™ θεῖναι, ἃν μὴ τὸν αὐτὸν ἐφ' ἄπασιν 'Αθηναίοις.' οὐκοῦν ὁ μὲν νόμος οὑτοσὶ τοῖς 13 αὐτοῖς νόμοις πολιτεύεσθαι ἡμᾶς κελεύει καὶ οὐκ ἄλλοις. ὁ δὲ πατὴρ ἐτελεύτησεν ἐπὶ Δυσνικήτου ἄρχοντος, ὁ δὲ Φορμίων 'Αθηναῖος ἐγένετο ἐπὶ Νικοφήμου ἄρχοντος, δεκάτῳ ἔτει ὕστερον ἢ ὁ πατὴρ ἡμῶν ἀπέθανεν. πῶς ᾶν οὖν μὴ εἰδως ὁ πατὴρ αὐτὸν 'Αθηναῖον ἐσόμενον, ἔδωκεν ᾶν τὴν ἑαυτοῦ γυναῖκα, 1133 καὶ προεπηλάκισε μὲν ᾶν ἡμᾶς, κατεφρόνησε δ' ᾶν τῆς δωρειᾶς ἦς παρ' ὑμῶν ἔλαβε, παρεῖδε δ' ᾶν τοὺς νόμους; πότερα δὲ κάλλιον ἦν αὐτῷ ζῶντι πρᾶξαι ταῦτα, εἴπερ ἐβούλετο, ἢ ἀποθανόντα διαθήκας καταλιπεῖν, ᾶς οὐ κύριος ἦν; ἀλλὰ μὴν αὐτῶν τῶν νόμων 14 ἀκούσαντες γνώσεσθε, ὡς οὐ κύριος ἦν διαθέσθαι. λέγε τὸν νόμον.

ΝΟΜΟΣ.

Οσοι μη ἐπεποίηντο, ώστε μήτε απειπεῖν μήτ' ἐπιδικά-

▼ S (Dind. Bl.). ἐπ' ἀνδρὶ ἐξεῖναι FQ (Z et Bekker st.); 23 § 86.

used as a deponent perfect. Thus the usage of the perfect in the best writers would be:

δ νομοθέτης τέθεικε τον νόμον. ἡ πόλις τέθειται τον νόμον.

δ νόμος κείται.

(See further in notes on Or. 34 § 16, Or. 39 Argument, line 23, and on Isocr. ad Dem. § 36.)

μηδὲ νόμον...ἐπ' ἀνδρὶ θεῶναι]
Just as a privilegium was forbidden by Roman law, so at Athens legislation expressly affecting a particular individual, whether in his interest or to his detriment, was not allowed, except in the single instance of ostracism; cf. the law quoted in Andocides, de mysteriis § 87 μηδὲ ἐπ' ἀνδρὶ νόμον ἐξεῶναι θεῶναι, ἐὰν μὴ τὸν αὐτὸν ἐπὶ πᾶσω' Ἀθη-ἐκῶν ἀνδρὶ νόμον ἐξεῶναι θεῶναι, ἐὰν μὴ τὸν αὐτὸν ἐπὶ πᾶσω' Ἀθη-

ναίοις, ἐὰν μὴ ἐξακισχιλίοις δόξη κρύβδην ψηφιζομένοις, and see Dem. Or. 24 (Timocrates) § 59, and 23 (Aristocr.) § 86.

13. δ μὲν νόμος...δ δὲ πατηρ κ.τ.λ.] The sentence is rather loosely written, and the sense might have been brought out better by some such arrangement as this: δ μὲν νόμος...κελεύει, ὁ δὲ πατὴρ Φορμίωνι οῦπω 'Αδηναίω γενομένω ἔδωκε τὴν ἐευτοῦ γυναῖκα: ἐτελεύτησε γδωκ.τ.λ. A similar looseness of structure may be noticed in §§ 25 and 15—ἐπὶ Δυσνικήτου Β.C. 370. ἐπὶ Νικοφήμου Β.C. 360. τῆς δωρειᾶς] ΒC. τῆς πολιτείας. Or. 36 § 30.

14. δσοι μη ἐπεποίηντο κ.τ.λ.] 'Any citizen (with the excep-

σασθαι, ότε Σόλων εἰσήει τὴν ἀρχήν, τὰ ἐαυτοῦ διαθέσθαι είναι, όπως αν εθέλη, αν μή παίδες ώσι γνήσιοι άρρενες, αν μη μανιών η γήρως η φαρμάκων η νόσου ένεκα^x, η γυναικὶ

× ёнека Bl.; ёнекен codices.

tion of such as had been adopted when Solon entered on his archonship, and had thereby become unable either to renounce or to claim an inheritance) shall be allowed to dispose of his own property at his pleasure, provided he have no male issue lawfully begotten.' Cf. Or. 44 § 68 'δσοι μη έπεποίηντο' φησίν 'ότε Σόλων είσήει είς την άρχην, έξείναι αὐτοῖς διαθέσθαι ὅπως ἄν έθέλωσιν,' ώς τοίς γε ποιηθείσιν ούκ έξὸν διαθέσθαι. Isaeus Or. 6 (Philoctemon) § 28 τοῖς φύσει υιέσιν αύτου ούδεις ούδενι έν διαθήκη γράφει δόσιν οὐδεμίαν, διότι ο νόμος αὐτὸς ἀποδίδωσι τῷ υίεῖ τὰ τοῦ πατρὸς καὶ οὐδὲ διαθέσθαι έᾶ ότφ αν ώσι παίδες γνήσιοι. Hermann, Rechtsalt. ed. Thalheim § 10 p. 724.

The law is quoted to prove that Pasion had no right to make a will, (1) because he had male issue lawfully begotten (sc. Apollodorus). But it will be noticed that the law does not forbid such persons from making any will whatsoever. It simply enacts that those who have no male issue may dispose of their property as they please. Pasion was not debarred by this law from making a will, but was not allowed to make any disposition he chose, since (as in our law of entail) the right to the property was secured to the son.

Again (2), Pasion was a citizen by 'adoption,' whereas this law implies that no 'adopted' person could dispose of his property

as he pleased. But it will be observed on the other side, that the plaintiff has deliberately confounded two different senses of ποιεῖσθαι (1) 'to adopt into a family' and (2) 'to present with the citizenship.' ἐπεποίηντο refers to 'family adoption' (Or. 44 Leoch. ad fin.), and the plaintiff argues as though it meant the same as έπεποίητο πολίτης.

άπειπείν... ἐπιδικάσασθαι] Or. 52 (Callippus) § 19 οὅτε ἀμφισβητήσαντα ούτε άπειπόντα περί τοῦ ἀργυρίου. ἀπειπεῖν may be paralleled by the phrase in Roman law eiurare hereditatem. For ἐπιδικάσασθαι cf. note on 45

§ 75.

διαθέσθαι - άρρενες] Isaeus 2 § 13 (δ νόμος) κελεύει τὰ ἐαυτοῦ έξειναι διαθέσθαι όπως αν έθέλη, έὰν μὴ παίδες ἄρρενες ὧσι γνήσιοι.

μανιῶν] genitive, like the three subsequent substantives, governed by Evera at the end of the 'Unless his mind is clause. impaired by lunacy, or dotage, or by drugs or disease.' Kennedy. Aristotle's Constitution of Athens, 35 § 2 έαν μη μανιών ή γήρως <ξνεκα> ή γυναικί πι-Hyperides, Athenoθόμενος. genes, Col. VIII έξειναι τὰ έαυτοῦ διατίθεσθαι, ώς αν τις βούληται, πλην [η γήρως] ἔνε[κεν] ή νόσου η μανιών ή γυ[ναικί] πειθόμ[ενο]ν η [ὑπό τινος ἀνάγ]κης κ[ατ]αλη-

γυναικί πειθόμενος] Or. 48 § 6 άκυρά γε ταῦτα πάντα ἐνομοθέτησεν είναι ὁ Σόλων, ὅ τι ἄν τις γυναικί πειθόμενος πράττη.

πειθόμενος, ὑπὸ τούτων του παρανοῶν y , ἢ ὑπὰ ἀνάγκης, ἢ ὑπὸ δεσμοῦ καταληφθείς.

Τοῦ μὲν νόμου τοίνυν ἀκηκόατε, δς οὐκ ἐᾳ δια- 15 θήκας διαθέσθαι, ἐὰν παίδες ὦσι γνήσιοι. οὖτοι δέ φασι ταῦτα διαθέσθαι τὸν πατέρα, ὡς δὲ παρεγένοντο οὐκ ἔχουσιν ἐπιδεῖξαι². ἄξιον δὲ καὶ τόδε ἐνθυμηθῆναι, ὅτι ὅσοι μὴ ἐπεποίηντο, ἀλλ΄ ἦσαν πεφυκότες γνήσιοι, τούτοις ὁ νόμος δίδωσιν, ἐὰν ἄπαιδες ὦσι, διαθέσθαι τὰ ἑαυτῶν. ὁ τοίνυν πατὴρ ἡμῶν ἐπεποίητο ὑπὸ τοῦ δήμου πολίτης, ὥστε οὐδὲ κατὰ τοῦτο ἐξῆν αὐτῷ διαθέσθαι διαθήκην, ἄλλως τε καὶ περὶ τῆς γυναικός, ἦς οὐδὲ κύριος ἐκ τῶν νόμων ἦν, παίδές τε ἦσαν αὐτῷ. σκέψασθε δὲ καὶ διότι οὐδ' ἀν ἄπαις τις 16 ἢ, κύριός ἐστι τὰ αὐτοῦ διαθέσθαι, ἐὰν μὴ εὖ φρονῆ νοσοῦντα δὲ ἡ φαρμακῶντα ἡ γυναικὶ πειθόμενον ἡ

- ⁹ Z, Dind. et Bl. cum P. Wesseling. ὑπὸ τούτων τοῦ παρανόμων codices. ἢ ὑπὸ τῶν του παρανόμων Bekker cum Reiskio.
 - ² ἐπιδείξαι Z, Bl. ὑποδείξαι Dind. cum S (prima manu).

ύπο τούτων του παρανοών] Cf. Isaeus Or. 9 ad fin. εl τοῦτον έποιήσατο υίὸν οὖ τῷ πατρὶ πολεμιώτατος ήν, πως ου δόξει τοις άκούσασι παρανοείν ή ύπο φαρμάκων διεφθάρθαι; and ib. Or. 6 (Philoct.) § 9 ούτοσὶ ὁ νόμος κοινὸς ἄπασι κεῖται, έξεῖναι τὰ έαυτου διαθέσθαι, έαν μη παιδes ώσι γνήσιοι άρρενες, έὰν μη άρα μανείς η ὑπὸ γήρως η δι άλλο τι των έν τῷ νόμῳ παρανοῶν διαθηται, Lysias, frag. 74 διέθετο ού παρανοών ούδε γυναικί πεισθείς, Plut. Sol. 21.—On φαρμάκων see further in § 16 φαρμακώντα. -νόσου ἔνεκα can only refer to cases where the mind was enfeebled, for bodily infirmity was of course in itself no bar to the validity of a will (Becker, Charicles, Scene ix note 19).

16. διότι] In the same sense as ὅτι, for which it is not unfrequently used, especially by Isocrates when a hiatus is thereby avoided, e.g. Isocr. Lochites § 7 ἐνθυμουμένους ὅτι followed by καὶ διότι. Isocr. Paneg. § 48 n. Here σκέψασθε δὲ καὶ διότι corresponds in sense to ἄξιον δὲ καὶ τόδε ἐνθυμηθῆναι, ὅτι in the previous section.

εῦ φρονῆ] Isaeus Or. 7 § 1 εἰ τις αὐτὸς ζῶν καὶ εὖ φρονῶν ἐποιήσατο, contrasted with εἰ τις τελευτήσειν μέλλων διέθετο, εἰ τι πάθοι, τὴν οὐσίαν ἐτέρφ. Εur. Ion 520 εὖ φρονεῖς μέν; i.e. ἄρα ἔμφρων εἰ;—Οη νοσοῦντα see above, § 14 νόσου ἔνεκεν.

φαρμακώντα] Harpoer. Δημοσθένης έν τῷ κατὰ Στεφάνου. ύπὸ γήρως ἢ ὑπὸ μανιῶν ἢ ὑπὸ ἀνάγκης τινὸς καταληφθέντα ἄκυρον κελεύουσιν εἶναι οἱ νόμοι. σκοπεῖτε
δή*, εἰ δοκοῦσιν ὑμῖν εὖ φρονοῦντος ἀνδρὸς εἶναι αἱ 1134

17 διαθῆκαι, ἄς φασι διαθέσθαι οὖτοι τὸν πατέρα. μὴ
πρὸς ἄλλο δέ τι παράδειγμα σκέψησθε ἢ πρὸς τὴν
μίσθωσιν, εἰ δοκεῖ ὑμῖν ἀκόλουθον εἶναι τῷ τὴν τέχνην
μὴ ἐξουσίαν δοῦναι εἰ μὴ ἐν τῷ αὐτῷ ἡμῖν ἐργάζεσθαι,
τούτῷ τὴν γυναῖκα δοῦναι τὴν αὐτοῦ καὶ τῶν παίδων
ἐᾶσαι κοινωνὸν αὐτῷ γενέσθαι. καὶ μὴ θαυμάζετε,
εἰ τἄλλα σκευωρουμένους αὐτοὺς τὰ ἐν τῇ μισθώσει
τοῦτο παρέλαθεν. ἴσως μὲν γὰρ οὐδὲ προσεῖχον
ἄλλφ οὐδενί, ἢ τῷ τὰ χρήματα ἀποστερῆσαι καὶ τῷ
προσοφείλοντα τὸν πατέρα ἐγγράψαι εἶτα οὐδὲ

Bekker cum correcto S. δè Z cum S (prima manu).
 δοῦναι εἰ μὴ Bl. coll. 45 §§ 31, 34. δόντι codices.
 εἶτα FQ (Bl.). εἶτα δὲ S (Dind.), γρ. Q.

έστι δὲ φαρμακῶν ὁ ὑπὸ φαρμάκων βεβλαμμένος, ὡς καὶ Θεόφραστος ἐν ιε΄ Νόμων ὑποσημαίνει. [φαρμακᾶν is one of a class of verbs implying mental or bodily affection, e.g. λημᾶν, 'to have blear eyes,' ποδαγρᾶν, χαλαζῶν, φονᾶν, 'to be blood-thirsty,' θυνατᾶν, 'to have a desire for death,' τομᾶν, 'to require the knife,' &c. The verbs themselves are less commonly used than their participles. P.] Cf. Rutherford's New Phrynichus p. 153.

el δοκοῦσιν eὖ φρονοῦντος κ.τ.λ.] It is curious to find the plaintiff setting up this suggestion of lunacy when in another speech, Or. 49 (Timoth.) § 42, delivered at an earlier date, he describes his father as not only giving him a written statement of debts due, but also, in his last illness, telling him and his

brother the details of each particular sum, the name of the debtor, and even the purpose for which the money was lent.

He might have turned his argument to more account, if, instead of insinuating that the terms of the alleged will suggested that his father was of unsound mind, and therefore legally incompetent to make any will at all, he had urged that, his father having been of sound mind up to the day of his death, the 'insane' provisions of the will betrayed it to be a forgery.

17. τῶν παίδων ... κοινωνὸν αὐτῷ] Kennedy: 'partner with himself in paternity' (by marrying his widow).

σκευωρουμένους] cf. Or. 45 § 5. ἐγγράψαι] Specially used of 'registering' a man as debtor. Cf. Or. 25 § 70, 53 § 14. έδόκουν έμε οῦτω δεινον ἔσεσθαι, ὥστε ταῦτα ἀκριβως έξετάσαι.

Σκέψασθε τοίνυν καὶ τοὺς νόμους, παρ' ὧν κελεύ- 18 ουσι τὰς ἐγγύας ποιεῖσθαι, ἵν' εἰδῆτε καὶ ἐκ τούτων ώς κατεσκευασμένης διαθήκης ψευδής μάρτυς γέγονε Στέφανος οὐτοσί. Δ

ΝΟΜΟΣ.

"Ην αν έγγυήση επὶ δικαίοις δάμαρτα είναι ἢ πατὴρ ἢ αδελφὸς ὁμοπάτωρ ἢ πάππος ὁ πρὸς πατρός, ἐκ ταύτης είναι παίδας γνησίους. ἐὰν δὲ μηδεὶς ἢ τούτων, ἐὰν μὲν ἐπίκληρός τις ἢ, τὸν κύριον ἔχειν, ἐὰν δὲ μὴ ἢ°, ὅτῳ αν ἐπιτρέψη, τοῦτον κύριον είναι.

- d + λέγε Bekker. om. Z et Bl. cum S; cf. §§ 10, 24.
- · Bekker cum libris. om. Z.

ούτω δεινόν] 'They little dreamt I should be clever enough to examine all these questions thoroughly.' This passage has been quoted as an indication of the plaintiff's consciousness of his own oratorical skill, and as a presumption in favour of the view that Apollodorus is himself the writer of the speeches delivered by him which have come down to us among the orations of Demosthenes (A. Schaefer, Dem. u. s. Zeit, III 2, 192).

§§ 18—21. The forgery of the will is also proved by the law of betrothals, which provides that a woman may be affianced for lawful wedlock by her guardians, i.e. certain near relations, such as father, brother, or grandfather. As none of these are in existence, and you may be sure that the other side would have produced them, or pretended to do so, to suit their purpose, it follows that my mother was an 'heiress,' and 'the law declares that the son of an heiress, when he comes

of age, shall be his mother's guardian. Now I was abroad on public service (and therefore of full age) when Phormion married my mother (and he did so without obtaining the consent of myself, her guardian).

18. σκέψασθε τους νόμους]
The accusative after the principal verb, where in English we should prefer making it the nom. of the subordinate clause. Cf. Or. 45 § 24. Kennedy partially keeps up the Greek construction by rendering it thus: 'look now at the laws, (to see) from whom they require betrothals to be obtained.'

έγγψαs] The betrothal (έγγψας) was made by the natural or legal guardian of the girl, in the presence of the relatives of both parties. 'All children born before a marriage legally contracted in this respect were γνήσιοι, and consequently, if sons, Ισόμοιροι, or entitled to inherit equally.' Dict. Ant. s. v. Matrimonium, Hermann, Privatalt. \$30,7 (= p. 261 ed. Blümner).

•

19 Οὖτος μὲν τοίνυν ὁ νόμος οὖς ἐποίησε κυρίους εἶναι, ἀκηκόατε· ὅτι δ' οὐδεὶς ἢν τούτων τἢ μητρί, οἱ ἀντίδικοί μοι αὐτοὶ μεμαρτυρήκασιν. εἰ γὰρ ἢν, παρείχοντ' ἄν. ἡ μάρτυρας μὲν ψευδεῖς οἴεσθ' ἀν^τ παρασχέσθαι καὶ διαθήκας οὐκ οὔσας, ἀδελφὸν δὲ ἡ πάππον ἡ πατέρα οὐκ ἄν, εἴπερ ἢν δυνατὸν ἔνεκα χρημάτων; ὁπότε τοίνυν μηδεὶς φαίνεται ζῶν τούτων, τότε ἀνάγκη ἐπίκληρον τὴν μητέρα ἡμῶν εἶναι. τῆς τοίνυν ἐπικλήρου σκοπεῖτε τίνας κελεύουσιν οἱ νόμοι ΙΙ35 20 κυρίους εἶναι. λέγε τὸν νόμον.

ΝΟΜΟΣ.

Καὶ ἐὰν ἐξ ἐπικλήρου τις γένηται, καὶ ἄμα ἡβήση ἐπὶ δίετες, κρατεῖν τῶν χρημάτων⁸, τὸν δὲ σῖτον μετρεῖν τἢ μητρί. Οὐκοῦν ὁ μὲν νόμος κελεύει τοὺς παῖδας ἡβήσαντας κυρίους τῆς μητρὸς εἶναι, τὸν δὲ σῖτον μετρεῖν τῆ

- ' 'cum vocula $\delta \nu$... tantummodo ad posterius membrum referri possit (nam attractione in tali sententiae conformatione non est locus), pro $\delta \epsilon \sigma \theta$ ' $\delta \nu$ scribendum duco $\delta \epsilon \sigma \theta$ ' $\delta \nu$ occusativi per se tolerabilem esse putarem, quamquam $\delta \nu$ o et $\delta \nu$ to est sententia, in contrario non facile omittuntur' (Gebauer, de argumenti ex contrario formis p. 207).
 - g και κύριον είναι της μητρός addendum putat Bl.

20. καὶ ἐὰν—μητρί] 'If a son is born of an heiress, two years after he has attained his puberty he shall enter into possession of the estate, and he shall pay alimony to his mother.' Kennedy. Harpoer. ἐπιδιετές ήβησαι Δημοσθένης έν τῷ κατά Στεφάνου. At the end of his article he quotes Hyperides (frag. 223) ἐπεὶ δὲ ἐνεγράφην έγω και ο νόμος απέδωκε την κομιδήν τών καταλειφθέντων τή μητρί, δε κελεύει κυρίους είναι τής έπικλήρου και της ούσίας απάσης τούς παίδας, έπειδαν έπιδιετές ήβωσιν. Cf. Isaeus frag. 90, id.

Or. 10 § 12 and Or. 8 § 31. See A. Schaefer, Dem. III 2, 19—39, esp. p. 25, Eintritt der Mündigkeit nach Attischen Rechte, where ἐπιδιετέ ἡβῆσαι is explained to include the 17th and 18th year, and the 'coming of age' is placed at the age of 18. Aristotle, Const. of Athens, 42 § 1 ἐγγράφονται δ' els τους δημότας όκτωκαίδεκα ἔτη γεγονότες. Cf. Hermann, Privatall. § 35, p. 322 Blümner, and Rechtsalt. § 2 p. 104 and p. 134 Thalheim.

On $\epsilon \pi l \kappa \lambda \eta pos$ see note on Or. 45 § 75. (Cf. Lortzing, Apoll. p. 85 and A. Schaefer u. s. p. 176.)

μητρί. ἐγὼ δὲ φαίνομαι στρατευόμενος καὶ τριηραρχῶν ὑμῖν, ὅτε οὖτος συνώκησε τῆ μητρί. ἀλλὰ μὴν 21
ὅτι ἐγὼ μὲν ἀπεδήμουν τριηραρχῶν, ἐτετελευτήκει ħ δ`
ὁ πατὴρ πάλαι, ὅτε οὖτος ἔγημε, τὰς δὲ θεραπαίνας
αὐτὸν ἐξήτουν καὶ ἠξίουν περὶ αὐτοῦ τούτου βασανίζεσθαι αὐτάς, εἰ ταῦτ' ἀληθῆ ἐστι, ¹καὶ ὡς προεκαλούμην¹, λαβέ μοι τὴν μαρτυρίαν.

MAPTTPIA.

Μαρτυροῦσι παρεῖναι, ὅτε προὖκαλεῖτο ᾿Απολλόδωρος Φορμίωνα ἱ, ὅτε ἢξίου παραδοῦναι ᾿Απολλόδωρος Φορμίωνα τὰς θεραπαίνας εἰς βάσανον, εἰ μή φησι Φορμίων καὶ πρότερον διεφθαρκέναι τὴν μητέρα τὴν ἐμήν, πρὶν οῦ κ ἀποφαίνει Φορμίων γῆμαι ἐγγυησάμενος αὐτὴν παρὰ Πασίωνος. ταῦτα δὲ προκαλουμένου ᾿Απολλοδώρου οὐκ ἢθέλησε Φορμίων παραδοῦναι τὰς θεραπαίνας.

Τὸν τοίνυν νόμον ἐπὶ τούτοις ἀνάγνωθι, δς κελεύει 22

h τετελευτήκει Z cum S.

i-i 'apparet ex correctione ab auctore postmodo inserta fuisse'
Bl.

μαραδοῦναι Reiske (Bl.). ἀποδοῦναι codices.

μ Cf. 17 § 21.

στρατευόμενος κ.τ.λ.] And therefore of full age; referring back to παΐδας ἡβήσαντας.

21. πάλαι] Pasion died B.C. 370, the trierarchy probably took place in B.c. 368 (Or. 45 § 3), and it was during the plaintiff's absence on this public service that the marriage of Archippe took place. The interval here implied by the vague word πάλαι 'some time before' would seem to be two years. plaintiff wishes to insinuate that, though some time elapsed before the marriage proper (ξγημε), intrigues had been going on at an earlier date, and this is how the writer of the deposition in § 21 seems to have understood it.

πήν μητέρα την έμήν] These words, which would have been appropriate enough in the mouth of Apollodorus, are out of place in the deposition, and betray carelessness on the part of the compiler of the document. Even apart from this detail, the general contents of the deposition are different from what we are led to expect by the plaintiff's language in introducing it. (A. Westermann, u. s. p. 113.)

§§ 22, 23. The law does not allow any one to marry an 'heiress,' without a legal adjudication. Phormion made no

έπιδικασίαν είναι τῶν ἐπικλήρων ἁπασῶν, καὶ ξένων καὶ ἀστῶν, καὶ περὶ μὲν τῶν πολιτῶν τὸν ἄρχοντα εἰσάγειν καὶ ἐπιμελεῖσθαι, περὶ δὲ τῶν μετοίκων τὸν πολέμαρχον, καὶ ἀνεπίδικον μὴ ἐξεῖναι ἔχειν μήτε κλῆρον μήτε ἐπίκληρον.

ΝΟΜΟΣ.

1136

Κληροῦν δὲ τὸν ἄρχοντα κλήρων καὶ ἐπικλήρων, ὅσοι εἰσὶ μῆνες, πλὴν τοῦ σκιροφοριῶνος. ἀνεπίδικον δὲ κλῆρον μὴ ἔχειν¹.

1 'apparet legem, quam recitari iussit actor, ampliorem fuisse quam nunc tradita est' Bl.

legal claim for the hand of my mother, but did exactly as he pleased, in defiance of the law.

22. των επικλήρων] The plaintiff attempts to prove that his mother was an 'heiress.' If so, her property ought to have passed absolutely into the hands of her eldest son, on his coming of age, whether her husband was alive or not. But there is not a single trace of such a relation between Archippe and Apollodorus in the rest of the speeches of the latter. Archippe was most probably of foreign extraction (cf. Or. 45 § 22) and the plaintiff's argument seems the merest shuffling. (See further, A. Schaefer, Dem. u.s. Zeit, III 2, 176.) Οη ἐπιδικασία τῶν ἐπικλήρων cf. Aristotle's Const. of Athens, 56 § 6 (among the duties of the Archon) κλήρων και έπικλήρων έπιδικασίαι, and see note on Or. 45 § 75.

κληροῦν κλήρων κ.τ.λ.] i.e. 'assign by lot days for the trial of claims to inheritances or heir esses.' Below, we have the corresponding phrase λαχεῖν τῆς ἐπικλήρου, i.e. 'to have allotted

to one a suit for the hand of the heiress.' Compare the common phrases λαγχάνειν and κληροῦν δίκην. Βο λαγχάνειν τοῦ κλήρου ' to be a suitor for the property,' Isaeus Or. 11 (Hagn.) §§ 22, 40. Or. 3 (Pyrr.) § 74 and Or. 9(Astyph.)§4. Aristotle's Const. of Athens, 43 § 4 τας λήξεις των κλήρων καί των έπικλήρων. After κληροῦν Meier and Schömann, p. 807 Lips., understand δίκας. τὸν ἄρχοντα] The Archon Eponymus, or Chief Archon. See Or. 35 § 48 (where the duties of the Polemarch are also mentioned) and Or. 37

πλην τοῦ σκιροφοριώνος] The last month of the Attic year, nearly corresponding to our June. It is here excepted, apparently because it was in this month that most of the magistrates vacated office and passed their audit.

dνεπίδικον] 'Without legal adjudication.' See Hermann, Privatalt. § 66, notes 1 and 2 = p. 834, notes 5 and 8, Thalheim.

Οὐκοῦν αὐτόν, εἴπερ ἠβούλετο™ ὀρθῶς διαπράτ- 23 τεσθαι, λαχεῖν ἔδει τῆς ἐπικλήρου, εἴτε κατὰ δόσιν αὐτῷ™ προσῆκενο εἴτε κατὰ γένος, εἰ μὲν ὡς ὑπὲρ ἀστῆς, πρὸς τὸν ἄρχοντα, εἰ δ΄ ὡς ν ὑπὲρ ξένης, πρὸς τὸν πολέμαρχον, καὶ τότε, εἴπερ τι λέγειν εἶχε δίκαιον, πείσαντα ὑμῶν τοὺς λαχόντας μετὰ τῶν νόμων καὶ τῆς ψήφου κύριον εἶναι, καὶ μὴ αὐτὸν αὐτῷ νόμους ἰδίους θέμενον διαπράξασθαι ἃ ἐβούλετο.

Σκέψασθε δὴ καὶ τουδὶ τὸν νόμον, ὃς κελεύει τὴν 24 διαθήκην, ἢν ἀν παίδων ὅντων γνησίων ὁ πατὴρ διαθῆται, ἐὰν ἀποθάνωσιν οἱ παῖδες πρὶν ἡβῆσαι, κυρίαν εἶναι.

ΝΟΜΟΣ.

Ο τι ἃν γνησίων ὄντων υἱέων q ὁ πατὴρ διαθήται, ἐὰν ἀποθάνωσιν οἱ υἱεῖς πρὶν ἐπὶ δίετες ἡβᾶν, τὴν τοῦ πατρὸς διαθήκην κυρίαν εἶναι.

m Z cum S. έβούλετο Bekker. n αὐτφ Z. αυτω S.

° G. H. Schaefer. προσήκειν Z cum libris.

P el δ' ws Wolf (Bl.) γρ Q. el δè (Dind.).

4 Bl. viŵv codices (Dind.).

23. εἰπερ...εἰτε...εἰτε...εἰ...εἰ
...εἰπερ] εἰ is here repeated in various forms no less than six times in the same sentence; cf.
Or. 53 § 23, where εἰ occurs twice. But even the undisputed writings of Demosthenes contain frequent instances of such reiteration, e.g. Or. 54 § 15 (twice); Or. 15 (de Rhod. lib.) § 15 (thrice); Or. 20 (Lept.) § 113 (four times) 'quanquam hic εἰ μὲν et εἰ δὲ inter se opponuntur quae non est vera repetitio.' (Lortzing, Apoll. p. 33.)

ύμῶν τοὺς λαχόντας] 'Those of your number who were drawn for the jury' ('allotted for the trial of the cause').

§ 24. Again, there is a luw allowing a will made by a father (though he has legitimate sons) to become valid if the sons die before reaching manhood. In the present case, as the sons are alive and grown up, the 'will' is invalid.

the dποθάνωσιν—πριν ἡβῆσαι] 'Every man of full age and sound mind, not under durance or improper influence (cf. § 15), was competent to make a will; but if he had a son he could not disinherit him; although his will might take effect on the contingency of the son not completing his seventeenth year' (C. R. Kennedy in Dict. Ant. s. v. Heres).

25 Οὐκοῦν ὁπότε ζῶσιν, ἄκυρος μὲν ἡ διαθήκη ἐστίν, ἥν φασιν οὖτοι τὸν πατέρα καταλιπεῖν, παρὰ πάντας δὲ τοὺς νόμους μεμαρτύρηκε Στέφανος οὐτοσὶ τὰ ψευδῆ, ὡς ἀντίγραφά ἐστι τῆς διαθήκης τῆς Πασίωνος · πῶς γὰρ σὺ οἰσθα, καὶ ποῦ παραγενόμενος διατιθεμένῳ τῷ πατρί; κακοτεχνῶν δὲ φαίνει περὶ τὰς δίκας · τὰ ψευδῆ μὲν αὐτὸς μαρτυρῶν ἑτοίμως, κλέπτων δὲ τὰς ἀληθεῖς μαρτυρίας, ἐξαπατῶν δὲ τοὺς δικαστάς, συνιστάμενος δ' ἐπὶ ταῖς δίκαις · . οἱ δὲ νόμοι καὶ περὶ τῶν τοιούτων γραφὴν πεποιήκασιν. καί μοι ἀνάγνωθι τὸν νόμον.

ΝΟΜΟΣ.

Ἐάν τις συνίστηται^τ, ἢ συνδεκάζη τὴν ἡλιαίαν ἢ τῶν ΙΙ37

S (Bl.). διαθήκας codices ceteri (Dind.).
 διαθήκαις S et γρ Q.

t ἐπὶ ταῖς δίκαις vel ἐπὶ ταῖς δίκαις ταῖς ίδίαις ἢ δημοσίαις addendum putat Bl., coll. § 25.

For the latter part of this statement, the present passage is perhaps the only express authority.

§§ 25, 26. Further, the defendant has illegally entered into a conspiracy to defeat the ends of justice.

25. κλέπτων...μαρτυρίας] Or. 45 § 58. On συνιστάμενος, see note on συστάσεις Or. 45 § 67.

26. συνδεκάζη] 'Bribe the Helima.' Pollux viii 42: δώρων κατά τοῦ ἐπὶ δώροις δικάσαντος ἢν ἡ γραφή, δεκασμοῦ δὲ κατά τοῦ διαφθείραντος καὶ ὁ μὲν δεκάζεσθαι ὁ δὲ δεκάζειν ἔλέγετο (ib. vi 190). Or.21 (Mid.) § 113 lex, ἐάν τις... διδῷ ἐπέρῳ ἢ διαφθείρη τωὰς ἐπαγγελλόμενος, ἐπὶ βλάβη τοῦ δήμου... ἀτιμος ἔστω. δεκασμός however (strictly meaning a systematic bribery by division into sets of ten) is only a late

word and is not found in the Attic Orators, though άδέκαστος occurs in Ar. Ethics 11 9 § 6, ov γὰρ ἀδέκαστοι κρίνομεν (τὴν ἡδονήν), and Aeschines, Timarch. § 85, has συνδεκάζειν την έκκλησίαν καὶ τάλλα δικαστήρια and ib. § 86 μαρτυρείν τον μέν ώς έδέκαζε τὸν δὲ ώς έδεκάζετο. Cf. Isocr. Or. 8 § 50 θανάτου της ζημίας έπικειμένης, έάν τις άλφ δεκάζων, and Lysias Or. 29 § 12 δεδεκασμένοι. So in Latin, we have decuriare used of organised bribery at elections, Cicero, pro Plancio § 45 decuriatio tribulium and decuriasse Plancium, conscripsisse. Cf. the obscure name given to bribed dicasts at Athens, Λύκου δεκάς. In wholesale bribery an agent, it is conjectured, was chosen from each tribe, and the group of ten thus selected to deal

δικαστηρίων τι των 'Αθήνησιν ἢ τὴν βουλὴν ἐπὶ δωροδοκία χρήματα διδοὺς ἢ δεχόμενος, ἢ ἐταιρείαν συνιστἢ ἐπὶ καταλύσει τοῦ δήμου, ἢ συνήγορος ὢν λαμβάνη χρήματα ἐπὶ ταῖς δίκαις ταῖς ἰδίαις ἢ δημοσίαις, τούτων εἶναι τὰς γραφὰς πρὸς τοὺς θεσμοθέτας.

'Ηδέως αν τοίνυν ύμας ἐροίμην ἐπὶ τούτοις ἄπασι 27 κατὰ ποίους νόμους ὀμωμοκότες δικάζετε, πότερα κατὰ τοὺς τῆς πόλεως ἡ καθ' οὺς Φορμίων αὐτῷ νομοθετεῖ. ἐγὼ μὲν τοίνυν τούτους παρέχομαι ὑμῖν, καὶ ἐξελέγχω αὐτοὺς ἀμφοτέρους παραβεβηκότας, Φορμίωνα μὲν ἐξ ἀρχῆς ἀδικήσαντα ἡμᾶς καὶ ἀποστερήσαντα τὰ χρήματα, ὰ ὁ πατὴρ ἡμῖν κατέλιπε καὶ ἐμίσθωσε

with their fellow-tribesmen were comically called Λύκου δεκάς from the statue of Lycus near the law-courts. Meier and Schömann, p. 184 Lips. Harpoer. s. v. δεκάζων.

The usual phrase for bribery is χρήμασι φθείρειν (or διαφθείρεω), though the euphemism χρήμασι πείσαι is still more frequent. It is curious to note how frequently the word δωροδοκία occurs, and how rarely δεκασμός and its corresponding verb. Again and again we have charges of receiving bribes, seldom of giving them; possibly because those who gave them were too powerful to be attacked. —ἐπὶ δωροδοκία, 'with a corrupt motive,' is here a general term, implying without directly expressing the corresponding term δεκασμός.

έταιρείαν έπὶ καταλύσει τοῦ δήμου] Thuc. viii 54, ὁ Πείσανδρος τὰς ξυνωροσίας...ἀπάσας έπελθών καὶ παρακελευσάμενος ὅπως...καταλύσουσι τὸν δήμον κ.τ.λ. See Grote, H. G. chap. 51 (iv p. 394, ed. 1862). Cf. Āristotle's Const. of Athens, 8 § 4 τους έπὶ καταλύσει τοῦ δήμου συνίστα-

μένους, 25 § 3 συνισταμένους έπλ καταλύσει τῆς πολιτείας, Hyperides, pro Eux. 22.

cause either of a public or private nature.' Kennedy. Rather (as above, § 25), 'with a view to winning the causes brought either by private persons or on public grounds.' It is to a collusion for such a purpose between the σύνδικοs and the συνήγοροs that Aristophanes alludes in Vesp. 694. P.]

θεσμοθέτας] 'The six minor Archons.' γραφαί δώρων are among the duties assigned to them in Aristotle's Const. of

Athens, $5 \S 3$.

§ 27. The jury has sworn to do justice according to the laws of Athens and not the laws which Phormion chooses to lay down for himself. I produce the laws of Athens and I prove that both of my opponents have broken them, Phormion by defrauding me of the money left me by my father, Stephanus by giving false evidence and that contrary to the law.

τὰ χρήματα] so. the Bankingstock, which is the subject of τούτφ μετά της τραπέζης καὶ τοῦ ἐργαστηρίου, Στέφανον δὲ τουτονὶ τὰ ψευδη μεμαρτυρηκότα καὶ παρὰ τὸν νόμον.

- 28 *Αξιον τοίνυν ὧ ἄνδρες δικασταὶ καὶ τόδε ἐνθυμηθῆναι, ὅτι διαθηκῶνα οὐδεὶς πώποτε ἀντίγραφα ἐποιήσατο, ἀλλὰ συγγραφῶν μέν, ἴνα εἰδῶσι καὶ μὴ παραβαίνωσι, διαθηκῶν δὲ οὔ. τούτου γὰρ ἔνεκα καταλείπουσιν οἱ διατιθέμενοι, ἴνα μηδεὶς εἰδῆ ἀ διατίθενται. πῶς οὖν ὑμεῖς ἴστε ὅτι ἀντίγραφά ἐστι τῶν διαθηκῶν τῶν Πασίωνος τὰ ἐν τῷ γραμματείῷ γεγραμμένα;
- 29 Δέομαι οὖν* ύμῶν ἀπάντων ὦ ἄνδρες δικασταὶ καὶ ἰκετεύω βοηθῆσαι μὲν ἐμοί, τιμωρήσασθαι δὲ τοὺς ἐτοίμως οὕτως* τὰ ψευδῆ μαρτυροῦντας, ὑπέρ

u margo ed. Parisiensis. διαθήκης Z cum SQ. διαθήκας F.

κατασεσημασμένας καταλείπουσιν (Reiske, Bl.); κατακλείουσιν (Seager, Paley); οὐ καταλείπουσιν, ες. διαθηκῶν ἀντίγραφα (G. H. Schaefer).

" γρ Q (Bl.). δè codices (Dind.). " S (Bl.). οθτω Dind.

Or. 36. The έργαστήριον is the shield-manufactory of Or. 36 § 4.

§ 28. The jury, by the way, should also notice that no one ever makes a copy of a will. How then came Stephanus and his friends to know that the contents of the document appended to the deposition are a copy of my father's will?

καταλείπουσω] is especially used of leaving behind one at death. 'The reason why people leave their wills behind them (instead of publishing them before they die) is to prevent any one knowing their contents.' Kennedy renders it: 'keep wills by them until their death.'

[I incline to think κατακλείουσω is the true reading. The reason why people 'leave wills' is to shew how they wish to dispose of their property; the reason why they 'keep them under lock and key' is that no one may have access to them. P.] This sense is best expressed by κατασεσημασμένας καταλείπουσω.

For the reiteration $\delta\iota a\tau\iota\theta\dot{\epsilon}$ - $\mu\epsilon\nu\alpha\ldots\delta\iota a\tau\iota\theta\epsilon\nu\tau\alpha\iota$, see §§ 2 and

§ 29. I implore the jury to grant me redress, that those who are so prompt to give false evidence may be punished on all grounds, particularly for the sake of justice and the laws.

τε ύμῶν αὐτῶν καὶ ἐμοῦ καὶ τοῦ δικαίου καὶ τῶν νόμων.

 $au\hat{\omega}\nu$ $\nu\delta\mu\omega\nu$] Placed last for emphasis, since the whole speech has dealt with quotations of

laws and not with εἰκότα, or 'presumptive proofs,' as in the former oration.

LIII.

ΠΡΟΣ ΝΙΚΟΣΤΡΑΤΟΝ ΠΕΡΙ ΑΝΔΡΑΠΟΔΩΝ ΑΠΟΓΡΑΦΗΣ ΑΡΕΘΟΥΣΙΟΥ*.

ΤΠΟΘΕΣΙΣ.

'Απολλόδωρος γραψάμενος ψευδοκλητείας 'Αρεθούσιον εἶλεν. ὀφλόντος δε τοῦ 'Αρεθουσίου τάλαντον τῷ πόλει καὶ ἀποδοῦναι μὴ δυνηθέντος, καὶ διὰ τοῦτ' εἰς τὰ δημόσι' ἀπογραφομένης αὐτοῦ τῆς οὐσίας, ἀπογράφει καὶ ὑδ ὁ 'Απολλόδωρος 5 οἰκέτας ὡς ὄντας 'Αρεθουσίου, ὁ δὲ Νικόστρατος ° μεταποιεῖται ὡς ἰδίων καὶ ἐκείνω προσηκόντων οὐδέν. ἐπεὶ δὲ τὸ πρᾶγμα μοχθηρόν δ, διὰ τοῦθ' ὁ ῥήτωρ διηγεῖται πηλίκα πέπονθεν

- * πρός Νικόστρατον περί των 'Αρεθουσίου ανδραπόδων Ζ.
- b Bl. δφείλοντος codices. bb propter hiatum addidit, Bl.
- ° [ο δε Νικόστρατος] Z. addidit Bekker cum H. Wolf. καί S.
- d + έστι S (Dind.).
- ψευδοκλητείαs] § 15 note.
 μοχθηρόν] 'vexatious,' sc.

συκοφαντικόν.

§§ 1—3. (Arethusius has incurred a debt to the public treasury and has neglected to discharge it.) I have accordingly laid an information against him and drawn up a specification of his property. I have done so, not in the spirit of an informer, but in the simple desire to exact vengeance for having been outrageously wronged by Arethusius and his brother Nicostratus. The purity of my motives will be proved, (1) by the small amount at which the two slaves

are valued (two-and-a-half minae), so that the pecuniary gain to which I am legally entitled for bringing this information is small, while the loss which I should incur, if I fail, is 1000 drachmae (or four times the value of the slaves). (2) The fact that I have laid the information in my own name, proves that I am prompted by the personal motive of revenge alone. Content with that revenge, I am willing to waive all claim to the reward which the law in such cases allows the bringer of the information (viz. three-fourths of the valuation).

1246 'Απολλόδωρος ὑπ' 'Αρεθουσίου, ἵνα δοκῆ μὴ φύσει πονηρὸς ὧν ταῦτα πράττειν, ἀλλ' ἀμυνόμενος τὸν ἀδικοῦντα.

"Οτι μέν οὐ συκοφαντών, ἀλλ' ἀδικούμενος καὶ τ ὑβριζόμενος ὑπὸ τούτων καὶ οἰόμενος δεῖν τιμωρεῖσθαι τὴν ἀπογραφὴν ἐποιησάμην, μέγιστον ὑμῖν ἔστω τεκμήριον ὦ ἄνδρες δικασταὶ τό τε μέγεθος τῆς ἀπογραφῆς, καὶ ὅτι αὐτὸς ἐγὼ ἀπέγραψα. οὐ γὰρ δήπου συκοφαντεῖν γε βουλόμενος ἀπέγραψα° ἃν πένθ'

° ἀπεγραψάμην S. ἀπέγραψα μεν Dobree.

The court will now permit me to justify myself by shewing how ungratefully I have been dealt with by my opponents and by relating, so far as time permits the most atrocious and flagrant of all the wrongs which they have inflicted upon me.

1. οιόμενος δείν τιμωρείσθαι] 'To avoid the fatal charge of sycophantia, any one prosecuting a fellow-citizen for some public offence endeavoured to shew that he had private and personal grounds of enmity against the accused; and if he succeeded in proving this, it was considered the most natural and reasonable thing in the world that he should endeavour to satisfy his hatred by becoming public prosecutor.' Wilkins' Light of the World p. 30 (where a reference is made to Lewes' History of Philosophy 1 108). For illustrations of the Greek view of the reasonableness of revenge, see note on Isocr. ad Dem. § 26.

τὸ μέγεθος] 'the size,' 'the amount,' a neutral word, here meaning probably 'the small amount,' 'the paltriness of the specification.' Herod. II 74, μεγάθει μικρός. The sum of two-

and-a-half minae seems to refer to the value of the two slaves taken together (Boeckh, Publ. Econ. 1 chap. xiii p. 96 Lamb). Reiske, however, explains τὸ μέγεθος τῆς ἀπογραφῆς: magnitudo mulctae mihi luendae, si causa cadam.

άπογραφη̂s] 'specification,' or 'inventory,' of property, used especially of information as to State property alleged to be unlawfully held by a private person. Harpocration, άπογραφή όταν τις λέγη τινα έχειν τι τῶν τῆς πόλεως, άπογραφήν ποιείται δ έναγόμενος, δηλών πόθεν έχει τὰ χρήματα καὶ πόσα ταῦτα εἴη...τί δε ήν το κινδύνευμα τῷ τὴν ἀπογραφήν ποιουμένω, έν τῷ Δημοσθένους πρός Νικόστρατον περί των 'Αρεθουσίου ανδραπόδων, εί γνήσιος, δήλον γίγνεται. Ηεsychius, άπογραφή · άρίθμησις· η η γινομένη μήνυσις. Cf. Or. 22 (Androt.) § 54, τὸ τὰ χωρία δημεύειν και τας οίκίας, και ταθτ' άπογράφειν, 37 § 7, 40 § 22. Meier and Schömann, pp. 302-312 Lips.

οὐ δήπου..ἀπέγραψα ἃν..ἀνδράποδα] 'I should not have scheduled slaves worth two minas and a half.' Kennedy. ήμιμναίων ἄξια ἀνδράποδα, ώς αὐτὸς ὁ ἀμφισβητῶν τετίμηται αὐτά, ἐκινδύνευον δ' ἄν περί τε χιλίων δραχμῶν καὶ τοῦ μηδέποτε μηδένα αὐθις ὑπὲρ ἐμαυτοῦ γράψασθαι· οὐδ' αὖ οὕτως ἄπορος ἦν οὐδ' ἄφιλος', 2 ὅστ' οὐκ ᾶν ἐξευρεῖν τὸν ἀπογράψοντα· ἀλλὰ τῶν ἐν ἀνθρώποις ἀπάντων ἡγησάμενος δεινότατον εἶναι, ἀδικεῖσθαι μὲν αὐτός, ἔτερον δ' ὑπὲρ ἐμοῦ τοῦ ἀδικουμένου τοὔνομα παρέχειν, καὶ εἶναι ἄν τι τούτοις τοῦτο τεκμήριον, ὁπότε ἐγὼ λέγοιμι τὴν ἔχθραν πρὸς ὑμᾶς, ώς ψεύδομαι (οὐ γὰρ ἄν ποτε ἔτερον ἀπογράψαι, εἴπερ ἐγὼ αὐτὸς ἠδικούμην), διὰ μὲν ταῦτ' ἀπέγραψα. ἀπογράψας δὲ ἐὰν ἀποδείξω τἀνδράποδα 'Αρεθουσίου ὅντα, οὖπερ ἐγέγραπτο εἶναι, τὰ μὲν τρία μέρη, ἃ ἐκ 1247

f οὐδ' ἄφιλος Bekker. om. Z cum S.

χιλίων δραχμῶν] The fine inflicted on a prosecutor who in a public accusation failed to obtain a fifth part of the votes. This fine was attended by complete or partial disfranchisement. Hyper. Eux. 44, 5 τδν έγχειρήσαντα συκοφαντείν αὐτοὺς (in an ἀπογραφή) εὐθὺς ἠτίμωσαν τὸ πέμπτον μέρος τῶν ψήφων οὐ μεταδόντες, Lysias 18 § 14 χιλίαις δραχμαίς έζημίωσατε τον βουλόμενον την ήμετέραν γην δημοσίαν ποιήσαι. Or. 58 (Theocrin.) § 6 εαν επεξιών τις μη μεταλάβη τὸ πέμπτον μέρος τῶν ψήφων, χιλίας ἀποτίνειν, κῶν μὴ ἐπεξίη, χιλίας έτέρας, ΐνα μή συκοφαντή μηδείς μήτ' άδειαν έχων έργολαβη και καθυφιή τα τής πόλεως.

μηδέποτε—γράψασθαι] Lipsius (in note 320 on p. 311 of Meier and Schömann) regards this as an exaggeration. Cf. Hager in Journ. of Philology vi 15.

ὤστ' οὐκ ἂν έξευρεῖν] A mixed phrase between ὤστ' οὐκ ἂν έξεῦρον and ὤστε μὴ έξευρεῖν.

The use of ἀν with ὥστε and the infinitive is rare, but it occurs when a mere contingent result is described. P.]

2. αὐτός is kept in the nom., referring back to ἡγησάμενος, in spite of the interposition of δεινότατον είναι, which leads us to expect an acc. with the infinitive ἀδικείσθαι (Shilleto on Fals. Leg. § 337).

τοῦνομα παρέχειν] 'to lend his name,' i.e. allow himself to be used as a cat's paw.

τεκμήριον—ώς ψεύδομα] My opponents might have said, 'If you really had a quarrel against us, why did you not file the action against us in your own name?' Perhaps we should read: οὐ γὰρ ἄν έᾶν ποτε ἔτερον άπογράψαι, 'for I never should have allowed another, ἀc.' P.]

τὰ τρία μέρη] Three-fourths of the valuation was allowed by law to be paid to the individual who brought the action. Boeckh, See-urkunden p. 535 (C. I. A. II

Р. 1247] ПЕРІ АNДРАП. АПОГР. АРЕФ. 153

τῶν νόμων τῷ ἰδιώτη τῷ ἀπογράψαντι γίγνεται, τῆ πόλει ἀφίημι, αὐτῷ δ' ἐμοὶ τετιμωρῆσθαι ἀρκεῖ μόνον. εἰ μὲν οὖν μοι ἦν ἱκανὸν τὸ ὕδωρ διηγήσασθαι πρὸς 3 ὑμᾶς ἐξ ἀρχῆς⁸, ὅσ' ἀγαθὰ πεπονθότες ὑπ' ἐμοῦ οἶά με εἰργασμένοι εἰσίν, εὖ οἶδ' ὅτι ὑμεῖς τ' ἄν μοι ἔτι μᾶλλον συγγνώμην εἴχετε τοῦ ὀργίζεσθαι αὐτοῖς, τούτους τ' ἀνοσιωτάτους ἀνθρώπων ἡγήσασθε εἶναι· νῦν δ' οὐδὲ διπλάσιόν μοι τούτου ὕδωρ ἰκανὸν ἄν γένοιτο. τὰ μὲν οὖν μέγιστα καὶ περιφανῆ τῶν ἀδικημάτων, καὶ ὁπόθεν ἡ ἀπογραφὴ αὕτη γέγονεν, ἐρῶ πρὸς ὑμᾶς, τὰ δὲ πολλὰ ἐάσω.

g A (Bl.). τὰ έξ ἀρχῆς vulgo (Dind.).

2, 811 c 120) αφείκε Πολύευκτος ο άπογράψας τὰ ἐκ τῶν νόμων καὶ της απογραφής Σωπόλιδι τα γιγνόμενα εls την έπιτιμίαν ('penalty'). It has been inferred from the inscription just quoted that this regulation was not confined (as Boeckh supposed) to concealed property, which was discovered by the informer. (Publ. Econ. 111 chap. xiv p. 395 Lewis², p. 512 Lamb.) Cf. Meier and Schömann p. 312, note 321 Lipsius, and Hermann, Public Antiquities § 136, 14 = Staatsalt. p. 553 ἀπογράψαντι, 'to the individual informer' Kennedy. ὁ ἰδιώτης is here contrasted with ή πόλις.

άφίημι] remitto atque condono, Reiske.

3. εὶ ἦν ἰκανὸν...ὑμεῖς ἄν συγγνώμην εἰχετε...νῦν δ' οὐδὲ διπλάσιον...ἰκανὸν ἄν γένοιτο] The student will be careful to distinguish between the two forms of conditional sentences here combined. 'If the time allowed had been sufficient, you would have made allowance for me..., but, as the case is, even twice as much time would not suffice.'

Goodwin, Greek Moods § 49, 2 and § 50, 2 (§§ 410, 455 ed. 1889). —On τὸ ὕδωρ cf. Or. 54 § 36.

δσα...ola] 'What benefits they have received from me and what a requital they have paid me for them,' or, better, 'the return they have given me for all the benefits I have conferred upon them.' For this idiomatic use of the double relative, of. Soph. El. 751 ol' ξργα δράσας οlα λαγχάνει κακά.

§§ 4—9. My opponent's brother Nicostratus, was my neighbour in the country, and, being about the same age, we were thrown much together and became more and more intimate with one another. I granted him whatever he asked of me, and he on his part was of some service to me in taking charge of my property whenever I was abroad on public or private business.

On one of these occasions, when I had left him in charge, three of his servants ran away from him. While pursuing them, he was taken prisoner by a privateer, and sold as a slave. On my return, I was told of his

Νικόστρατος γὰρ ούτοσὶ δι ἄνδρες δικασταί, γείτων μοι ῶν ἐν ἀγρῷ καὶ ἡλικιώτης, γνωρίμως μέν μοι εἰχε καὶ πάλαι, ἐπειδὴ δ' ἐτελεύτησεν ὁ πατὴρ καὶ ἐγὼ ἐν ἀγρῷ κατῷκουν, οὖπερ καὶ νῦν οἰκῶ, καὶ μᾶλλον ἀλλήλοις ἤδη ἐχρώμεθα, διὰ τὸ γείτονές τε εἶναι καὶ ἡλικιῶται. χρόνου δὲ προβαίνοντος καὶ πάνυ οἰκείως

h Bekker. om. Z cum S. (ovros Ar.)

unhappy plight by one of his brothers, Deinon, and I supplied the latter with travelling expenses and thus enabled him to go to the rescue of Nicostratus. The latter, on his return, informed me that he had been ransomed for a considerable sum. He appealed to me with tears in his eyes and pointed to the marks left by the galling fetters (though he is now ashamed enough of those scars that are the memorials of his slavery). He thus succeeded in inducing me to forgive him the three minae, which I had advanced for his brother's travelling expenses, and to contribute, as a free gift towards the twenty-six minae required for the ransom, the sum of ten minae which I raised on the security of some of my property.

4. Ναόστρατος γάρ] γάρ is almost invariably used at the beginning of narratives like the present; the English idiom generally requires us to omit it in translation, though we may sometimes render it, 'Well, then,' 'to proceed, then.' Cf. Or. 55 \$ 10 τοῦ γάρ χωρίου κ.τ.λ., 27 \$ 4.

γνωρίμως εἶχε] γνώριμος is a much weaker word than φίλος, as has already been noticed, on Or. 45 § 73. The gradually increasing intimacy between Apollodorus and Nicostratus is well

expressed by the successive phrases (1) γνωρίμως εξχε, (2) μᾶλλον ἀλλήλοις ἐχρώμεθα, (3) πάνν οἰκείως διεκείμεθα of the present section, and (4) φίλος ἀληθινός οἱ § 12. Cf. 38 § 5 γνωρίμως ἔχω...πάνν οἰκείως χρῶμαι. ἐτελεύτησεν ὁ πατήρ] The death of Pasion took place B.C. 370 (0r. 46 § 13).

κατώκουν...οίκω] If any distinction is to be drawn, the compound verb should be rendered 'I settled' and the simple 'I live'; but it is more likely that $oi\kappa\hat{\omega}$ is intended as a virtual repetition of the preceding katψκουν. In such cases it is unnecessary to repeat the preposition, e.g. Eur. Bacc. 1065 κατηγεν ήγεν ήγεν εls μέλαν πέδον and Orest. 181 διοιχόμεσθ', οιχό- $\mu \epsilon \theta a$, where Porson remarks that, when a verb is repeated, it is generally used first in its compound, then in its simple form. Or. 36 § 4 προσώφειλε... ώφειλε, 33 § 18 έξοισειν...ένηνοχεν.

μᾶλλον...ἡλικιῶταί] 'We grew more and more familiar with one another from being not only neighbours but also of the same age.' Or. 55 § 23 ad fin, and Or. 35 § 6 ἐπιτήδειοί μοί εἰσι καὶ χρώμεθ' ἀλλήλοις ὡς οἰὸν τε μάλιστα.

χρόνου προβαίνοντος] Soph. Phil. 285 ό μεν χρόνος δη διά χρόνου προδβαινέ μοι.

διεκείμεθα, καὶ ἐγώ θ' οὕτως οἰκείως διεκείμην πρὸς τοῦτον, ὥστ' οὐδενὸς πώποτε ὧν ἐδεήθη οὕτος ἐμοῦ ἀπέτυχεν, οὕτός τ' αὖ ἐμοὶ οὐκ ἄχρηστος ἦν πρὸς τὸ ἐπιμεληθῆναι καὶ διοικῆσαι, καὶ ὁπότε ἐγὼ ἀποδημοίην ἢ δημοσία τριηραρχῶν ἢ ἰδία κατ' ἄλλο τι, κύριον τῶν ἐν ἀγρῷ τοῦτον ἀπάντων κατέλειπον. συμβαίνει δή 5 μοι τριηραρχία περὶ Πελοπόννησον, ἐκείθεν δ' εἰς Σικελίαν ἔδει τοὺς πρέσβεις ἄγειν, οῦς ὁ δῆμος ἐχειροτόνησεν. ἡ οὖν ἀναγωγὴ διὰ ταχέων ἐγίγνετό μοι. ἐπιστέλλω δὴ αὐτῷ ὅτι αὐτὸς μὲν ἀνῆγμαι καὶ οὐχ 1248 οἶός τ' εἴηνὶ οἴκαδε ἀφικέσθαι, ἵνα μὴ κατακωλύοιμι

i Bekker. Hv Z cum SrA et Q (prima manu).

δημοσία τριηραρχῶν] This reference to the speaker's public services is dexterously inserted to ingratiate him with his audience, as well as to lead up to the subsequent narrative $\sigma \nu \mu \beta a l \nu e$ δή μοι τριηραρχία.—On some of the later trierarchal services of Apollodorus cf. note on Or. 36 § 41.

5. τριηραρχία περί Πελοπόννησον κ.τ.λ.] The date of this event, if it could be determined with certainty, would assist materially in determining the date of the delivery of the speech. It seems very probable that this trierarchy should be identified with that referred to in Or. 45 § 3, which, as we have already seen, may be placed in the year 368 B.C. See Introd. p. 1vii.

διά ταχέων] Thuc. 1 80 διά ταχέων έλθειν, Isocr. 14 § 3 διά βραχέων αν έποισσάμεθα τους λόγους followed by άναγκαῖον διά μακροτέρων δηλώσαι (Kühner Greek Grammar 11 § 434, 1. d). Or. 50 (Polycl.) § 12 άναγόμενος διά τάχους, 47 (Euerg.) § 49.

ἀνηγμαι] ἀνάγεσθαι is con-

stantly contrasted with karáγεσθαι; the latter word occurs in § 6 κατήχθη εls Αίγιναν. The verb, with its corresponding substantive ἀναγωγή, implies a notion that ships in the open sea or, as we say, on the 'high seas,' are at a greater elevation than vessels in harbour. So in Thuc. I 48 and VIII 10 μετέωρος is an epithet of ships at sea. Similar references to this familiar optical illusion may be noted in Milton's Paradise Lost 11 636, Far off at sea a fleet descried Hangs in the clouds, and in Ruskin's thymy slopes of down overlooked by the blue line of lifted sea (Modern Painters III iv 14 § 51).

επιστέλλω ... δτι ἀνῆγμαι και οὐχ οἰδς τ' εἰην] The historic present ἐπιστέλλω being virtually a secondary tense has the optative εἰην in the dependent clause. For the combination of the indicative ἀνῆγμαι with the optative εἰην, we may compare Or. 59 (Neaer.) § 81 λέγων ὅτι οὐκ ἦδει...ἀλλ' ἐξαπατηθείη, 47 (Euerg.) § 50 λέγων ὅτι...δεῖ

τοὺς πρέσβεις· τούτφ δὲ προσέταξα ἐπιμελεῖσθαί τε τῶν οἴκοι καὶ διοικεῖν, ὅσπερ καὶ ἐν τῷ ἔμπροσθεν 6 χρόνφ. ἐν δὲ τῆ ἐμῆ ἀποδημία ἀποδιδράσκουσιν αὐτὸν οἰκέται τρεῖς ἐξ ἀγροῦ παρὰ τούτου, οἱ μὲν δύο ὧν ἐγω έδωκα αὐτῷ, ὁ δὲ εἶς ὧν αὐτὸς ἐκτήσατο. διώκων οὖν άλίσκεται ύπὸ τριήρους καὶ κατήχθη εἰς Αἴγιναν, καὶ έκει ἐπράθη. ἐπειδὴ δὲ κατέπλευσα ἐγώ τριηραργών, προσέρχεταί μοι Δείνων ὁ ἀδελφὸς ὁ τούτου, λέγων τήν τε τούτου συμφοράν, αὐτός τε ὅτι δι' ἀπορίαν έφοδίων οὐ πεπορευμένος εἴη ἐπὶ τοῦτον πέμποντος τούτου αὐτῷί ἐπιστολάς, καὶ ἄμα λέγων πρὸς ἐμὲ ὡς 7 ἀκούοι αὐτὸν δεινῶς διακεῖσθαι. ἀκούσας δ' ἐγὼ ταῦτα καὶ συναχθεσθεὶς ἐπὶ τῆ ἀτυχία τῆ τούτου, ἀποστέλλω τον Δείνωνα τον αδελφον αυτου ευθύς επί τοῦτον, δοὺς ἐφόδιον αὐτῷ τριακοσίας δραχμάς. ἀφικόμενος δ' οὖτος καὶ ἐλθών ώς ἐμὲ πρῶτον¹, ἠσπάζετο

ι αὐτώ Ζ.

k A (Bl. 'praecessit πέμποντος'). πέμπω vulgo (Dind.); τούτου Z cum FSQ. αὐτοῦ Ar. 'Fortasse verba τὸν ἀδελφὸν τούτου delenda sunt' Sauppe.

1 A (Bl.). ώς έμε πρώτον μεν vulgo (Dind.).

...καὶ κελεύοι, ib. 68 ώς είχον... καί...τελευτήσειεν, and esp. 27 (Aphob. A) § 19 ἐτόλμα...λέγειν ώς χρέα τε παμπολλά ἐκτέτικεν... και ώς πολλά των έμων λάβοιεν. The optative of the perfect and future was less familiar than the optative of the other tenses. It is apparently for this reason that the indicative of the perfect or the future was often retained after secondary tenses, even when the present or the aorist was changed from the indicative to the optative. Goodwin, Moods and Tenses § 70, 2, R 2 (§ 672 ed. 1889). The Mss appear to have been misled by the indicative ἀνηγμαι into writing $\vec{\eta}_{\nu}$ instead of $\epsilon l \eta_{\nu}$.

κατακωλύοιμι] Or. 33 § 13 τοῦ els Σικελίαν πλού διά τούτον κατεκωλύθη.

6. παρά τούτου] 'From the defendant's house.' — ὧν έγὼ $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$. i.e. 'of the number of those whom I had given him.'

κατέπλευσα τριηραρχών] 'When my voyage as trierarch came to an end.' Or. 50 § 12 κατέπλευσα τούς πρέσβεις άγων. For the preposition in κατέπλευσα cf. κατή- $\chi\theta\eta$ infra, and see note on **ἀν**ῆγμαι supra § 5.

έπὶ τοῦτον] 'In quest of him,'

'to fetch him back.'

καὶ ἐπήνει ὅτι παρέσχον τὰ ἐφόδια τῷ ἀδελφῷ αὐτοῦ, καὶ ἀδύρετο τὴν αὐτοῦ συμφοράν, καὶ κατηγορῶν ἄμα τῶν ἑαυτοῦ οἰκείων ἐδεῖτό μου βοηθῆσαι αὐτῷ, ὥσπερ καὶ ἐν τῷ ἔμπροσθεν χρόνῷ ἢν περὶ αὐτὸν ἀληθινὸς φίλος καὶ κλάων ἄμα, καὶ λέγων ὅτι ἔξ καὶ εἴκοσι μνῶν λελυμένος εἴη, εἰσενεγκεῖν αὐτῷ τι ἐκέλευέ με εἰς τὰ λύτρα. ταῦτα δ' ἐγὼ ἀκούων καὶ ἐλεήσας 8 τοῦτον, καὶ ἄμα ὁρῶν κακῶς διακείμενον καὶ δεικνύοντα ἔλκη ἐν ταῖς κνήμαις ὑπὸ δεσμῶν, ὧν ἔτι τὰς οὐλὰς ἔχει, καὶ ἐὰν κελεύσητε αὐτὸν δεῖξαι, οὐ μὴ

^m Bekk. cum Ar. κλαίων Z cum S.
ⁿ κελεύητε scribendum putat Bl.

ἐπήνει] Not 'praised,' but, rather, 'thanked.' Cf. § 13, ἐπαινέσας με ἐκελευσε κ.τ.λ. So also in Ar. Ranae 538 κάλλιστ', ἐπαινῶ, 'thank you!' where however the notion of declining the offer is also involved.

άληθινός φίλος] άληθινός is the Latin verus; άληθης verax. 'We may affirm of the άληθης that he fulfils the promise of his lips, but the άληθωός the wider promise of his name' (Trench, Synonyms of the New Testament § VIII). See also Donaldson, New Cratylus § 258 and Kühner Greek Gr. 1 § 334. [άληθινὸς is 'genuine,' as χρυσός, άρετή, &c.; άληθής is more directly contrasted with ψευδής, as άληθης λόγος. But the distinction is not always observed. Euripides has άληθης φίλος Suppl. 867, and σαφής φίλος is not unusual in the same sense. P.] Cf. note on Or. 40 § 20.

κλάων] 'In Tragedy κλαίω and κλάω; in Aristophanes κλάω prevails, in Attic prose κλαίω and κλάω, the latter gaining ground.' Veitch, Greek Verbs.

elkoσι μνῶν] Aristotle, Eth. v 10 § 9, gives as an example of νομικὸν δίκαιον, conventional right, τὸ μνᾶς λυτροῦσθαι, the right of every man to claim his freedom on payment of one mina,—perhaps referring only to slaves. P.]

λελυμένος] Isaeus Or. 5 § 44 οὐδ' ἐκ τῶν πολεμίων ἐλύσω οδδένα, Lysias Or. 19 § 59, Dem. Fals. Leg. § 169.

ἐκέλευε] 'urged me,' 'asked me,'—less strong than the aorist ἐκέλευσε (inf. § 9). The impf. of this verb is often found in passages where we should expect the aorist, especially in Herodotus and Thucydides. It may be regarded as used in a tentative sense, in so far as the result of the request is uncertain.

8. έλκη έν ταις κνήμαις] Ar. Eq. 907, τάν τοισιν άντικνημίοις έλκύδρια περιαλείφειν.

οὐ $\mu \dot{\eta}$ $\theta \epsilon \dot{\lambda} \dot{\eta} \sigma \eta$] 'There is little chance of his consenting.'

Nicostratus would naturally refuse to display the scars left by the galling fetters: to do so would be to confess that he had θελήση°, ἀπεκρινάμην αὐτῷ ὅτι καὶ ἐν τῷ ἔμπροσθεν 1249 χρόνφ εἴην αὐτῷ φίλος ἀληθινός, καὶ νῦν ἐν τἢ συμφορᾳ βοηθήσοιμι^ν αὐτῷ, καὶ τάς τε τριακοσίας, ᾶς τῷ ἀδελφῷ^ο ἔδωκα ἐφόδιον ὅτε ἐπορεύετο ἐπὶ τοῦτον, ἀφιείην^τ αὐτῷ, χιλίας τε δραχμὰς ἔρανον 9 αὐτῷ εἰς τὰ λύτρα εἰσοίσοιμι. καὶ τοῦτο οὐ λόγῳ

θελήσηι S. 'θελήση Z. έθελήση Bekker. έθελήσει Dobree (Dind.).
 P G. H. Schaefer. βοηθήσαιμι codices.
 q + αὐτοῦ vulgo (Dind.). om. A (Bl.).
 r scripsit Bl. ἀφίην SBQ, ἀφείην A (Dind.).

incurred the disgrace of having been sold as a slave.

With οὐ μὴ the subjunctive (especially in the acrist tense) is commoner than the future indicative, and is indeed the reading of the mss in the present passage. The indicative was proposed by Dobree in accordance with the canon of Dawes which declared the first acrist subjunctive active and middle a solecism after ού μὴ and ὅπως μή. Goodwin, Moods and Tenses p. 79, and § 89, 1 (§§ 295, 364, ed. 1889).

απεκρύαμην] The Attic form corresponding to ὑπεκρυαμην in Herodotus and ἀπεκρίθην in late Greek, e.g. in the New Testament (Winer's Gr. p. 327, ed. Moulton). Cf. Rutherford's New Phrynichus p. 186.

δτι έν τῷ ξμπροσθεν χρόνφ εἰην φλιος, και νῦν βοηθήσοιμη! 'That I had been his true friend formerly, and would assist him now.' The opt. εἰην represents not the present or future but the imperfect of direct discourse; while the future optative βοηθήσοιμι corresponds to the future ind. of oratio recta. 'The fut. opt. in Classic Greek is used only in indirect dissourse

after secondary tenses to represent a fut. indic. of the direct discourse' Goodwin, Moods and Tenses § 26 (§ 128 ed. 1889). βοηθησαμι is wrong, because it would represent ἐβοήθησα of the oratio recta and would therefore be inconsistent with νῦν.— Similarly below, εἰσοἰσομι (contrasted with ἀφείην) represents the fut. indic. of direct discourse. Cf. 36 § 6 ἔσοιτο, and Rehdantz, index I, s.v. optativus.

άφιείην] Present Opt. Act. as in 55 § 18. Fals. Leg. p. 394 § 171 δσα...άφῆκα χρήματα καὶ δωρειὰν ἔδωκα.

έρανον κ.τ.λ.] 'I would contribute 1000 drachmae towards his ransom,' i.e. 10 minae out of the total amount of 26 minae mentioned in § 7 ad fin. On ερανος cf. Hermann, Privatalt. § 65, 13, esp. p. 74* of Rechtsalt. ed. Thalheim. 'L'éranos, dit très-exactement M. Foucart (des associations religieuses chez les Grecs, Paris, 1873, p. 143), n'était ni un don, ni un secours, mais un prêt qu'il fallait rembourser' (Dareste).

9. ού λόγω μεν ύπισχνούμην, ξργω δ' ούκ έποίησα] Cf. de Corona § 179 ούκ είπον μεν ταῦτα μεν ύπισχνούμην, έργφ δ' οὐκ ἐποίησα, ἀλλ' ἐπειδὴ οὐκ ηὐπόρουν ἀργυρίου, διὰ τὸ διαφόρως ἔχειν τῷ Φορμίωνι καὶ ἀποστερεῖσθαι ὑπ' αὐτοῦ τὴν οὐσίαν ἤν μοι ὁ πατὴρ κατέλιπε, κομίσας ὡς Θεοκλέα τὸν τότε τραπεζιτεύοντα ἐκπώματα καὶ στέφανον χρυσοῦν, ὰ παρ' ἀμοὶ ἐκ τῶν πατρώων ὅντα ἐτύγχανεν, ἐκέλευσα δοῦναι τούτφ χιλίας δραχμάς, καὶ τοῦτο ἔδωκα δωρειὰν αὐτῷ τὸ ἀργύριον, καὶ ὁμολογῶ δεδωκείναι. ἡμέραις δ' οὐ πολλαῖς ὕστερον προσελθών 10 μοι κλάων ἔλεγεν, ὅτι οἱ ξένοι ἀπαιτοῦεν αὐτόν Ψ, οἱ

A (Bl.). ὑπεσχόμην vulgo (Dind.).
 L εὐπόρουν Z.
 Bekker st., et Bl. cum SBQ. ἄπερ A r (Dind.).
 Bekk. cum r A. κλαίων Z.
 αὐτὸν Z.

οὐκ ἔγραψα δέ, οὐδ' ἔγραψα μὲν οὐκ ἐπρέσβευσα δέ, οὐδ' ἔπρέσβευσα δέ, σὐδ' ἔπρέσβευσα μὲν οὐκ ἔπεισα δὲ τοὺς Θηβαίους. Kennedy neatly translates: 'nor did I content myself with mere words; but what I promised I performed.'

διαφόρως—τῷ Φορμίωνι] The context shews that the reference is to the estrangement between Apollodorus and Phormion shortly after the death of Pasion in 370 B.C.—τὴν οὐσίαν refers mainly to the banking-stock which forms the subject of Or. 36, the claim to which was not brought forward until some twenty years later.

ā παρ'—ἐτύγχανεν] 'Videtur tunc temporis divisio bonorum inter Apollodorum et fratrem (36 § 8) nondum facta fuisse.'

 $\delta\omega\rho\epsilon id\nu$] not as a loan, but as a free gift. Cf. 36 § 15.

§§ 10—13. Not many days afterwards, he came once more and told me with tears in his

eyes that the persons who had advanced the ransom were demanding payment of the remaining sixteen minae, and that the agreement required him to refund the money within thirty days, or, failing payment, to be liable for twice the amount. He could raise no money, he said, on the farm in my neighbourhood, as that property was already encumbered by a claim upon it on the part of his brother Arethusius; and he asked me to advance the remainder, as otherwise my former gifts would be thrown away, and himself carried off to prison as the lawful property of the ransomer. He further promised to collect the whole amount and to repay me. Accordingly, I raised the remaining sixteen minae on the security of my lodging-house, and lent him this sum for a year without interest.

10. ἀπαιτοῖεν] ἀπαιτεῖν (of. ἀπολαμβάνειν) is 'to ask for one's due,' 'to request repay-

δανείσαντες τὰ λύτρα, τὸ λοιπὸν ἀργύριον, καὶ ἐν ταῖς συγγραφαῖς εἴη τριάκονθ ἡμερῶν αὐτὸν ἀποδοῦναι ἡ διπλάσιον ὀφείλειν, καὶ ὅτι τὸ χωρίον τὸ ἐν γειτόνων τροι τοῦτο οὐδεὶς ἐθέλοι οὔτε πρίασθαι οὔτε τίθεσθαι.

x τδ έγγειτόνων SAB, 'qua in scriptura est vestigium veteris orthographiae.' Bl.

ment of what is one's own.' Andocides II § 22, α... ἀφείλεσθε, ταῦθ' ὑμᾶς, εἰ μὲν βούλεσθε, αἰτῶ, εἰ δὲ [μη] βούλεσθε, ἀπαιτῶ. Οτ. 33 § 6 οὶ χρῆσται κατηπείγον αὐτὸν ἀπαιτοῦντες, and Οτ. 49 8.2

So ἀποδοῦναι 'to pay what is due,' 'to make full payment of the sum borrowed.' Or. 20 (Lept.) §§ 11, 12 χρήματα ἀπήτουν followed by ἀποδοῦναι. Or. 49 § 2 οὐ μόνον οὐκ ἀπέδωκε χάριν ἀλλὰ καὶ τὸ δοθὲν ἀποστερεῖ με. In Arist. Rhet. 11 7 § 5, among the reasons which indicate the absence of real gratitude, we have ὅτι ἀπέδωκαν ἀλλὶ οὐκ ἔδωκαν ('they merely returned the favour,' simply repaid a debt, and nothing more).

διπλάσιον δφείλειν] Or. 56 § 20. Cf. Revue archéologique, 1866 no. 11 (quoted by Dareste), ἐὰν δὲ μὴ ἀποδιδῷ τὴν μίσθωσιν κατὰ τὰ γεγραμμένα ἢ μὴ ἐπισκευ-άζη, ὀφείλειν αὐτὸν τὸ διπλάσιον, and the stipulatio duplae of Roman law.

τὸ χωρίον τὸ ἐν γειτόνων μοι] 'the property (or farm) in my immediate neighbourhood.' ἐν γειτόνων seems to be an elliptical phrase equivalent to ἐν τοῖς τῶν γειτόνων 'in my neighbours' lands,' 'in my own neighbourhood.' In early Greek there is probably no other instance of this phrase, and as ἐκ γειτόνων is not without example in the Attic Orators, it appears prefer-

able to the reading in the text. which is obtained by Reiske from το έγγειτώνων, found in three good MSS (SAB). Inepte Reiskius, says Dobree, who refers to Ar. Plut. 435 ἡ καπηλίς ήκ των γειτόνων. For έκ γειτόνων cf. Lycurgus, (Leocrates) § 21 οὐδὲ τὰ δρια τῆς χώρας αἰσχυνόμενος αλλ' έκ γειτόνων της έκθρεψάσης αὐτὸν πατρίδος μετοικῶν (cf. e vicinia and exadversam in the sense of prope). For ev yelτόνων we find no parallel earlier than Lucian, φιλοψευδής § 25 έν γειτόνων δε ήμων φκει and convivium § 22; also Icaromenippus § 8 έν γειτόνων έστι τὰ δόγματα και μη πολύ διεστηκότα ('their doctrines are next door to one another and differ but slightly').

πρίασθα... ώνεῖσθαι] The former is used as the acrist of the latter; ώνησάμην (though common in Lucian and Plutarch) being never found in early Greek Prose, and perhaps once only in Greek comedy (in a doubtful fragment of Eupolis). The correct acrist and present are found side by side in § 21, πρίαυνο followed by ὁ ώνούμενος; similarly in Lysias, Or. 7 § 4, πράμενος occurs with ώνούμην in the very next sentence. Cf. Rutherford's New Phrynichus p. 210

riθeσθαι] lit. 'to get security given you,' hence 'to lend money on security' of land, &c; 'to lend on mortgage,' as opό γὰρ ἀδελφὸς ὁ ᾿Αρεθούσιος, οὖ τἀνδράποδ᾽ ἐστὶ ταῦτα ὰ νῦν ἀπογέγραπται, οὐδένα ἐψη οὔτε ωνεῖσθαι οὔτε τίθεσθαι, ως ἐνοφειλομένου αὐτῷ ἀργυρίου. 'σὰ τι οὖν μοι' ἔφη 'πόρισον τὸ ἐλλεῖπον τοῦ ἀργυρίου, πρὶν τὰς τριάκονθ᾽ ἡμέρας παρελθεῖν, ἵνα μὴ ὅ τε ἀποδέδωκα᾽ ἔφη, 'τὰς χιλίας δραχμὰς, ἀπόλωνται, καὶ αὐτὸς ἀγώγιμος γένωμαι. συλλέξας δ᾽ ἔφη '[τὰν] ἔρανον, ἐπειδὰν τοὺς ξένους ἀπαλλάξω, σοὶ ἀποδώσω ὁ ἄν 1250 μοι χρήσης. οἶσθα δ᾽ ἔφη, 'ὅτι καὶ οἱ νόμοι κελεύουσι τοῦ λυσαμένου ἐκ τῶν πολεμίων εἶναι τὸν λυθέντα,

y secl. Bl. coll. § 12 et Antiphon II β 9.

posed to τίθεναι, lit. 'to give security,' 'to put in pledge,' 'to mortgage,' 'to borrow on security.'—Hermann, Privatalt. § 68, 15 = Rechtsalt. p. 1004 Thalheim, quotes Dionys. de Isaeo 13 (Is. frag. 29), which illustrates the general sense of the present passage; δανειζομένω οὐδείε αν έδωκεν έπ' αὐτοῖς έτι πλέον οὐδεν ἀποδεδωκότι τὰς μισθώσεις.

ά νῦν ἀπογέγραπται] 'which have been scheduled in the present suit (entered in the ἀπογραφή, or specification).'

ώς ἐνοφειλομένου — ἀργυρίου] 'on the ground that money was due to himself thereon.' The property was already saddled with a debt due to Arethusius, to whom it was (in part at least) mortgaged. Arethusius, in other words, had a lien of money upon the property. In another speech delivered by Apollodorus, Or. 49 (Timoth.) § 45, we have the words ἐνεπισκήψασθαι ἐν τῆ οὐσία τῆ ἐκείνου ἐνοφειλόμενον αὐτῷ τοῦτο τὸ ἀργύριον.

To contract a fresh loan on the security of property already mortgaged was of course fraudulent and was very properly forbidden. Cf. Bekker's Απεσdota p. 259 μη έπιδανείσασθαι
έπι τοῖς αὐτοῖς ἐνεχύροις. Or. 35
(Lacrit.) § 21 ἔστιν ἐν τῷ συγγραφῷ ὅτι ὑποτιθέασι ταῦτ ἐλεύθερα (unencumbered) και οὐδεν οὐδὲν ὀφείλοντες, και ὅτι οὐδ'
ἐπιδανείσωνται ἐπι τούτοις παρ'
οὐδένος. See also Or. 34 §§ 6,
50. Hermann, Rechtsalt. p.
1034 Thalheim.

11. Για μὴ ὅ τε ἀποδέδωκα, τὰς χιλίας δραχμὰς, ἀπόλωνται] i.e. Για μὴ αΙ τε χίλιαι δραχμαί, ἀς ἀποδέδωκα, ἀπόλωνται. τὰς δραχμὰς is here attracted into the same case as the relative ὅ, the object of ἀποδέδωκα. Or. 20 (Lept.) § 18 οὐδείς ἐστ' ἀτελής, οὐδ' οὐς αὐτὸς ἔγραψε, τοὺς ἀρ' ᾿Αρμοδίου καὶ ᾿Αρμοτογείτονος. See Kühner, Gk. Gr. 11 § 556, 4.

ἀγώγιμος] 'liable to seizure.' Or. 23 (Aristocr.) § 11 ἀν τις αὐτὸν ἀποκτείνη, ἀγώγιμων εἶναι. Grote, H. G., c. xi, 11 310 n. (ed. 1862).

άπαλλάξω] Or. 34 § 22 τοὺς δανείσαντας ἀπήλλαξεν. See note on Or. 36 § 25.

τοῦ λυσαμένου...εἶναι τὸν λυθέντα] 'the laws enact that a

12 ἐἀν μὴ ἀποδιδῷ τὰ λύτρα.' ἀκούων δ' αὐτοῦ ταῦτα καὶ δοκῶν οὐ ψεύδεσθαι, ἀπεκρινάμην αὐτῷ ἄπερ ἀν νέος τε ἄνθρωπος καὶ οἰκείως χρώμενος, οὐκ ὰν νομίσας ἀδικηθῆναι, ὅτι 'ὦ Νικόστρατε, καὶ ἐν τῷ πρὸ τοῦ¹ σοι χρόνῷ φίλος ἦν ἀληθινός, καὶ νῦν ἐν ταῖς συμφοραῖς σοι¹, καθ' ὅσον ἐγὼ ἐδυνάμην, βεβοήθηκα. ἐπειδὴ δ' ἐν τῷ παρόντι οὐ δύνασαι πορίσαι ἄπαντα τὰ χρήματα, ἀργύριον μέν μοι¹ οὐ πάρεστιν, οὐδ' ἔχω οὐδ' αὐτός, τῶν δὲ κτημάτων σοι τῶν ἐμῶν κίχρημι ὅ

Bekker. πρὸ τούτου Z cum SFQ.
 A (Bl. coll. § 8 αὐτῷ). οὐ S. σου vulgo (Dind.).
 A (Bl.). ἐμοὶ vulgo (Dind.).

person ransomed from the enemy shall be the property of the ransomer, if the former fail to pay the redemption money.' Hermann, *Rechtsalt*. ed. Thalheim p. 204 note 7, and p. 324 note 7.

12. ἄπερ ἀν] sc. ἀποκρίναιτο. οὐκ ἀν νομίσας ἀδικηθῆναι ἄν. ἀν is often separated from its verb by such words as οίμαι, δοκῶ, οἰδα, νομίζω. Χεη. Cyrop. viii 7 § 25 ἡδέως ἀν μοι δοκῶ κοινωνῆσαι, Goodwin, Moods and Tenses, § 42, 2, p. 62 (§ 220, ed. 1889). This is most frequently the case when ἀν is closely attracted to an emphatic negative, e.g. Or. 36 § 49 οὐ γὰρ ἀλλο γ' ξχοις οὐδὲν ἄν ποιῆσαι.

δτι & Νικόστρατε, κ.τ.λ.] ὅτι, which usually introduces an indirect construction, is here followed by oratio recta, and need not be translated. Xen. Cyrop. vii 3 § 3 ἀπεκρίνατο ὅτι, ἄ δέπστα, οὐ χῆ, Goodwin, Moods and Tenses, § 79 (§ 711 ed. 1889).

έπειδη...ού δύνασαι κ.τ.λ.] G. H. Schaefer suggests έπειδη δ' ού δύναμαι (for δύνασαι) πορίσαι... άργύριον γάρ (for μέν) έμοι ού πάρεστιν...των (οπ. δέ) κτημάτων...κίχρημι δ τι βούλει. Η ε holds that this reply suits the request of Nicostratus in § 11. πόρισον τὸ έλλεῖπον τοῦ ἀργυρίου, better than the manuscript reading. The proposed alteration does not, however, commend itself as conclusive; indeed, the emphatic pronoun euol, and the words $o\dot{v}\delta'$ $\xi\chi\omega$ $o\dot{v}\delta'$ $a\dot{v}\tau\delta s$, are more appropriate as a contrast to the second person δύνασαι than to the proposed substitution δύναμαι. The sense of the text is simply this: 'inasmuch as you are at present unable to pay the whole of the debt. although I have no money by me, nor indeed have I any at all (e.g. at my banker's) any more than yourself, I freely grant you the loan of any part of my property: you may mortgage it for the remainder of your debt and have the use of the money for a year without interest.

κίχρημι] here, as elsewhere, of a friendly loan, commodare, as contrasted with δανείζω, which

τι βούλει, θέντα τοῦ ἐπιλοίπου° αργυρίου ὅσουὰ ἐνδεῖ σοι, ἐνιαυτὸν ἀτόκφ χρῆσθαι τῷ ἀργυρίφ καὶ ἀποδοῦναι τοῖς ξένοις. συλλέξας δ΄ ἔρανον, ὥσπερ αὐτὸς φής, λῦσαί μοι.' ἀκούσας δ΄ οὖτος ταῦτα καὶ ἐπαινέσας 13 με, ἐκέλευσε τὴν ταχίστην πρᾶξαι, πρὶν ἐξήκειν τὰς ἡμέρας ἐν αἶς ἔφη δεῖν τὰ λύτρα καταθεῖναι. τίθημι οὖν τὴν συνοικίαν ἑκκαίδεκα μνῶν ᾿Αρκέσαντι Παμ-

- c λοιποῦ A, 'sed cf. 59 § 31- 'Blass.
- d Bl. coll. 59 § 31 οδ. ὅσον vulgo (Dind.).

is generally used of a money-lender's loan on interest, mutuo dare. Or. 49 (Timoth.) § 23 στρώματα και Ιμάτια και φιάλας άργυρᾶς δύο... Εχρησε, και την μνᾶν τοῦ άργυρίου, ην ἐδανεί-ζετο, ἐδάνεισεν, where, in the very next section, ἐδανείσατο is applied to the furniture as well as to the money; τὰς φιάλας... ἀς ἢτήσατο ὅτεπερ και τὰ στρώματα και τὴν μνᾶν τοῦ ἀργυρίου ἡν ἐδανείσατο. Fals. Leg. p. 394 ἔχρησα τάργύρου.

θέντα κ.τ.λ.] sc. θεῖναὶ τι (τῶν κτημάτων) τοῦ ἀργυρίου καὶ χρῆσθαι κ.τ.λ. For the genitive (of price) cf. infra § 13 τίθημι τὴν συνοικὶαν ἐκκαίδεκα μνῶν. (For numerous instances of gen. after words like ἀνεῖσθαι, πωλεῖν, περιδίδοσθαι, see Kühner, 11 § 418, 6 a.)—ὅσου ἐνδεῖ sc. 16 minae, Apollodorus having already (§ 8 fin.) provided 10 out of the 26 minae (§ 7 fin.).

συλλέξας ξρανον] Mid. § 184 έγω νομίζω πάντας άνθρώπους έράνους φέρειν παρά τον βίον αὐτοῖς, οὐχὶ τούσδε μόνους οθς συλλέγουσι τινες κ.τ.λ. Cf. Antiphon, p. 117, 19. P.] λῦσαί μοι] Βε. τὸ κτῆμα. 'Re-

λῦσαί μοι] sc. τὸ κτῆμα. 'Release my property from the mortgage,' or (with Kennedy), 'pay off my mortgage as you

promise.' So, in another speech delivered by Apollodorus, Or. 50 (Polycles) § 28 το λύσωνταί μοι το χωρίον, ἀποδόντες...τριά-

котта штаѕ. 13. την συνοικίαν] not the 'lodging-house' mentioned in Or. 45 § 28, for that belonged to his mother, who did not die until 360 B.c.; but another, possibly of equal value (100 minae). Such a security would amply suffice for a loan of 16 minae. and the rate of 16 per cent. below mentioned would, if paid on the value of the house, exactly produce the 16 minae required. In consideration of lending this sum on the security in question, Arcesas would further receive interest (from Apollodorus) at the rate of 16 per cent. on the 16 minae. Cf. Or. 36 § 6 έπι συνοικίαις δεδανεικώς ἦν (with note). Aeschin. Timarch. § 124 ὅπου πολλοί μισθωσάμενοι μίαν οίκησιν διελόμενοι έχουσι, συνοικίαν καλοῦμεν, ὅπου δὲ εἶς ἐνοικεῖ, οἰκίαν.

Παμβωτάδη] Harpocration, Δημοσθένης εν τῷ πρὸς Νικόστρατον. Παμβωτάδαι τῆς Έρεχθητδος δῆμος. Suidas gives the name of the deme as Παμβῶται. Οπ προὐξένησεν, 'introduced,' cf. Or. 37 (Pant.) § 11. βωτάδη, δυ αὐτός οὖτος προὖξένησεν°, ἐπὶ ὀκτώ ἀβηλοῖς τὴν μνᾶν δανείσαντι τοῦ μηνὸς ἐκάστου. λαβων δὲ τὸ ἀργύριον οὖχ ὅπως χάριν τινά μοι ἀποδίδωσιν ῶν εὖ ἔπαθεν, ἀλλ' εὐθέως ἐπεβούλευεί μοι, τὸ ἀπηστερήσειε τἀργύριον καὶ εἰς ἔχθραν κατασταίη,

Bekker. προεξένησεν S cum Ar (προεξένισεν F, προσεξένησεν Β 'literu e in προσ a manu recentiore deleta' Dind.).

' A (Bl.). ἐπεβούλευσέ vulgo (Dind.).

int date offoxols the war too mount (aderov) 'Who lent me the money at an interest of 8 ulada per mina per month. i.e. 12 - H obola per 600 obols (ur '10 per cent.') per annum. When the interest is quoted at mı many obols per mina per month, we have simply to douthe the number of obols to find the rate per cent, per annum. Thus est were oponois is 10 per (mult.: nunin έπι δραχμή (i.e. έφ' ## detuluis) is 12 per cent., and emi rμίτω ημιωμέλλω (i.e. 2½ obols per mina per month) is 5 per went, per annum. From 12 to 18 let will, appear to have been the symmoment rates of interest at Athena, (For this, and another Athenian method of reckoning rates of interest, see Donaldmul's (ireek (irummar ad fin., or IMat. Antiq. s. v. Fenus.)

he th vint. 16. As soon as he had yet the sisteen minae, so an issue being grateful, he actuates had no help to rob me of them, which the had to wo me of them, which the meant to recover the many which he oved me. Frost, he is west then engaged in however against my relations, he made weathers to them and platfied himself to make common with the west to the man common with the west to the them. Next, as he was weathermanical with my proposed

pleadings, he disclosed them to my opponents, and further got me condemned to pay a fine in a case for which I had never really received a summons, though he fraudulently entered the name of his brother Arethusius as one of the witnesses to the summons alleged. Moreover, in the event of my bringing to a preliminary hearing the lawsuits which I had obtained leave to institute against my relations, they were preparing to inform against me as a debtor to the treasury and to get me thrown into prison. Lastly, Nicostratus actually got me condemned as a debtor to the treasury, made a forcible entry into my house, and carried off all my furniture, though it was worth far more than the 'debt' in question.

ούχ όπως] non modo non. Lit. I do not say that he did (because he did not do it). Trans. 'so far from making any grateful return, &c.'

δ τι χρησαίμην] 'What to do with (how to treat) the matter.' Or. 40 § 18 and Lysias 9 § δ άπορούμενος δὲ καὶ συμβουλευόμενός τινι τῶν πολιτῶν τὶ χρήσωμαι τῷ πράγματι.

"ν' ἀποστερήσειε...καὶ ἀπορούμενος ἐγὼ κ.τ.λ., ὅπως μὴ εἰσπράττοιμ] ὅπως μὴ is somewhat out of place, indeed ὅπως is really καὶ ἀπορούμενος ἐγωὰ τοῖς πράγμασι νέος ὧν ὅ τι χρησαίμην καὶ ἄπειρος πραγμάτων, ὅπως μὴ εἰσπράττοιμι αὐτὸν τἀργύριον οὖ ἡ συνοικία ἐτέθη, ἀλλὰ ἀφείην αὐτῷ. πρῶτον μὲν οὖν ἐπιβουλεύει μοι μετὰ 14 1251 τῶν ἀντιδίκων, καὶ πίστιν αὐτοῖς δίδωσιν ἔπειτὰ ἀγώνων μοι συνεστηκότων πρὸς αὐτούς, τούς τε λόγους ἐκφέρει μου εἰδώς, καὶ ἐγγράφει τῷ δημοσίῷ καὶ ἐκγράφει FQ. om. S.

superfluous, as the whole sentence depends on the particle of purpose *lva*.—*ἀφείην*, § 8.

14. τῶν ἀντιδίκων] Referring principally to his opponent Phormion (cf. § 9, διαφόρων ξχειν τῷ Φορμίωνι, and § 14 ad fin. τῶν οἰκείων τῶν ἀδικούντων με). But a litigious person like Apollodorus doubtless had many such opponents, even apart from those whose lawsuits are expressly recorded in the orations that have come down to us (see Or. 36 § 53).

τοὺς λόγους ἐκφέρει μου εἰδὼς]
'Divulges my arguments, with which he was acquainted.'

έγγράφει τῷ δημοσίφ ἀπρόσ-κλητον κ.τ.λ.] Lit. 'registers (against me) for the state-treasury an unsummoned fine of 610 drachmae arising from production of property in court,' i.e. 'enters me as a state-debtor to the amount of 610 drachmae, demanded from me without formal citation, as a fine for non-production of property in court'; or, as Prof. Kennedy renders it, 'registers (against me) a fine to the treasury... upon a writ of exhibit of which I had no notice by legal summons.'

Before entering on the details, it may be well to explain the general drift of the passage. Apollodorus is engaged in a variety of lawsuits, in one of

which we must suppose that he was alleged to be in possession of certain articles, probably documents, either actually belonging to one of his opponents or such that the latter had a legal right to demand production of them. The proper course on the part of Nicostratus, who had made common cause with one of these opponents, would have been to serve Apollodorus with a summons, duly attested by witnesses, requiring him to produce the articles in question. If Apollodorus had, without assigning a legally valid reason, refused to do so, Nicostratus would have been entitled to have a fine levied on Apollodorus and to get him entered as a debtor to the state for the amount of that fine. Instead of this, it appears that Nicostratus served no summons on Apollodorus (the ἐπιβολή was άπρόσκλητος), thus giving the latter no opportunity for shewing cause against the production of the articles demanded; he then proceeded to obtain a verdict against his opponent in contumaciam, and to have him registered as owing 610 drachmae to the public treasury. Cf. Meier and Schömann, Att. Process, pp. 604, 976 n., and pp. 1016-1019 Lips.

έγγράφει] 'registers (against

απρόσκλητον έξ έμφανῶν καταστάσεως ἐπιβολὴν εξακοσίας καὶ δέκα δραχμάς, διὰ Λυκίδου τοῦ μυλωθροῦ

h Valesius, ad Harpocrationem, p. 52. ἐπιβουλὴν codices.

me),'—a common term for a formal entry or registration, especially of a debt or fine. Or. 43 § 71 (lex) έγγραφόντων οι δροχοντες...τοῦς πρόκτορσιν (the collectors) δ τῷ δημοσίῳ γίγνεται. Or. 27 § 38 προσοφείλοντας ἡμᾶς ἐνέγραψεν. Or. 25 (Aristog. 8) 4 δφείλοντα τῷ δημοσίῳ καὶ ἐγγεγραμμένον ἐν ἀκροπόλει (cf. ib. § 70 ἐγγράφονται πάντες οι ὀφλισκάνοντες, δρος δ' ἡ σανὶς ἡ παρὰ τῷ θεῷ κειμένη). Or. 40 § 23.

τ $\hat{\psi}$ δημοσί ψ] For τὸ δημόσιον in the sense of τὸ κοινὸν 'the treasury,' cf. Isaeus, Or. 10 § 20 έμοι τι ἀτύχημα πρὸς τὸ δημόσιον συνέβη. Mid. § 182 and Deinarchus, Or. 2 § 2 ὀφείλων τ $\hat{\psi}$ δημοσί ψ . See Or. 39 (Boeot.) § 14.

άπρόσκλητον... ἐπιβολὴν] 'a fine without a citation,' 'a fine in citation,' 'a fine in citation has been issued.' Cf. § 15 άπρόσκλητον δίκην, and Mid. § 92 τὴν κατὰ τοῦ διαιτητοῦ γωων, ἡν ἀπρόσκλητον κατεσκεύασων, αὐτὸς κυρίαν ἐαυτῷ πεποίηται.

έξ έμφανῶν καταστάσεως κ.τ.λ.] 'a fine upon a writ of exhibit, lit. 'arising out of an ἐμφανῶν κατάστασις, i.e. a case of formal production of property in court.' Harpoer. είς εμφανών κατάστασιν ονομα δίκης έστιν ύπερ του τά άμφισβητήσιμα είναι έν φανερώ. 'Ισαίος έν τῷ περί Φιλοκτήμονος κλήρου (6 § 31 απήτει τὸν Πυθόδωρον τὸ γραμματεῖον καὶ προσεκαλέσατο είς έμφανῶν κατάστασιν. καταστάντος δὲ ἐκείνου πρὸς τὸν άρχοντα, έλεγεν ότι βούλοιτ' άνελέσθαι την διαθήκην). ὁ δὲ Αριστοτέλης έν τη 'Αθηναίων πολιτεία

(56 § 6) πρός τον άρχοντά φησι λαγχάνεσθαι ταύτην την δίκην, τον δε ανακρίνοντα είσαγειν είς το δικαστήριον. Dem. Or. 56 § 3 τὸ ἐνέχυρον καθίστησιν είς τὸ ἐμφανές. ib. § 38 έαν μη παρασχής τά ὑποκείμενα έμφανή. Or. 52 § 10 μάρτυρας έχων ήξίουν έμφανή καταστήσαι τη χρήματα. Cf. the Roman exhibitio (Ulpian, Digest, 29, 3, 2 exhibitio tabularum testamenti); and actio ad exhibendum (Ulpian, Digest, 43, 29, 1 exhibere est in publicum producere). Hence comes our common legal term, an exhibit or writ of production. With έμφανή καταστήσαι we may further compare our ordinary phrase sub poena duces tecum, used when a solicitor (for instance) holds a document which the court can require to be put in, for the furtherance of the ends of justice. Cf. Meier and Schömann, p. 478 Lips.

έπιβολην] Harpoer. έπιβολή: ἡ ζημία. Suidas (=Bekker's Anecdota 254, 27) ζημίας όνομα, τὸ τὸν ἄρχοντα ἢ τὴν βουλὴν χρήματα δρίζειν τινί ζημίαν δοκοθντι άδικείν τὰ δημόσια η όρφανούς, η κατέχειν τὰ άλλότρια καὶ μὴ εἰς έμφαν ès άγειν. Lysias, Or. 20 § 14 ἡνάγκαζον, ἐπιβολὰς ἐπιβάλλοντες και ζημιοῦντες, and ib. Or. 30 § 3. ἐπιβολὴν is a certain correction for ἐπιβουλήν. The converse mistake may be noticed in Isocr. Paneg. § 148 διαμαρτών $\tau \hat{\eta} s \epsilon \pi \iota \beta o \nu \lambda \hat{\eta} s$, where the best ms wrongly has $\epsilon \pi \iota \beta \circ \lambda \hat{\eta} s$.

διὰ Λυκίδου...ποιησάμενος την δίκην] 'having got the case brought on by means of Lycidas,' who, as the tool of Nico-

ποιησάμενος τὴν δίκην. κλητῆρα δὲ κατ' ἐμοῦ τόν τε¹ ἀδελφὸν τὸν αὐτοῦ τὸν¹ ᾿Αρεθούσιον τοῦτον ἐπιγράφεται, οὖπέρ ἐστι τἀνδράποδα ταῦτα, καὶ ἄλλον τινά καὶ παρεσκευάζοντο, εἰ ἀνακρινοίμην κατὰ τῶν οἰκείων τῶν ἀδικούντων με τὰς δίκας ᾶς εἰλήχειν αὐτοῖς, ἐνδεικνύναι με ὡς ὀφείλοντα τῷ δημοσίφ καὶ ἐμβάλλειν εἰς τὸ δεσμωτήριον. ἔτι δὲ πρὸς τούτοις ὁ [᾿Αρεθού- τς σιος]¹ ἀπρόσκλητόν μου <ἑξακοσίων καὶ> δέκα

- ! Bekker. om. Z cum S (prima manu). 're in margine a manu prima S' Dind.
 - J Z et Bl. cum FSQ. om. Bekker et Dind. cum Ar.
- k ώς (om. S) δφείλοντα τῷ δημοσίφ huc transposuit Sauppe (p. 131). ἐνδεικνύναι με Bekker. 'cf. § 15 l. 3, fortasse verba ὡς—δημοσίφ etiam hoc loco a grammatico addita sunt' Z.
- ¹ Bekker cum libris. δ 'Αρεθούσιος om. Sauppe (Z); 'Αρεθούσιος excludere satis habuit Bl., coll. 27 § 54.
- m om. Bekker cum libris. addidit Platner, et post eum Ullrich, quaest. Aristoph. i p. 40 (Dind., Bl.).

stratus, was either a merely nominal prosecutor or possibly a venal arbitrator. For this use of διά referring to a mere 'cat's-paw' see note on Or. 45 § 31.

κλητήρα ... ἐπιγράφεται] 'enters as witness to the citation.' Mid. § 87 κλητήρα οὐδ' ὀντινοῦν ἐπιγραψάμενος, and Or. 54 § 31 ἐπιγράφεται μάρτυρας, i.e. 'endorses on the deposition the names of certain persons as witnesses.'

ἀνακρινοίμην...τὰς δίκας] 'in the event of my bringing to a preliminary hearing the suits which I had instituted against my relatives (Phormion, &o) who were doing me wrong.' Harpoor. ἀνάκρισίς ἐστιν ἐξέτασις ὑφ' ἐκαστῆς ἀρχῆς γινομένη πρὸ τῶν δικῶν περὶ τῶν συντεινόντων εἰς τὸν ἀγῶνα. ἐξετάζουσι δὲ καὶ εἰ δλως εἰσάγειν χρή. Cf. Meier and

Schömann, p. 823 Lips.

ένδεικνύναι με] 'to lay an information (ἐνδειξις) against me' for undertaking a prosecution, while still a debtor to the treasury. Or. 58 (Theocrin.) § 14 κελεύει (ὁ νόμος) κατά τε τῶν όφειλόντων τῷ δημοσίω τὰς ἐν-δείξεις τὸν βουλόμενον ποιείσθαι των πολιτών... Βυ ένδειξις is meant a criminal information against a person acting when under legal disability. It was brought in writing before the Archon and was a very summary process. Hermann, Public Antiquities, § 137, 11 (p. 556 n. 4, ed. Thumser). (Cf. Or. 39 § 14 and Pollux there quoted.)

15. δ—έπιγραψάμενος] The previous context shews that Nicostratus is meant, not Arethusius.

ἀπρόσκλητον δίκην] Hesychius,

δραχμῶν δίκην καταδικασάμενος «καὶ ψευδεῖς» κλητήρας ἐπιγραψάμενος, καὶ εἰσελθῶν εἰς τὴν οἰκίαν βία τὰ σκεύη πάντα ἐξεφόρησε, πλέον ἡ εἴκοσι μνῶν ἄξια, καὶ οὐδ' ὁτιοῦν κατέλιπεν. ὅτε δὲ τιμωρεῖσθαι ἄμην δεῖν καὶ ἐκτείσας τῷ δημοσίῷ τὸ ὄφλημα, ἐπειδὴ ἐπυθόμην τὴν ἐπιβολήν , ἐβάδιζον ἐπὶ τὸν

- n + ωs όφείλοντα (όφείλοντος Reiske, Dind.) τω δημοσίω codices, seclusit Bekker st., post ενδεικνύναι με transposuit Sauppe.
 - o post Reiskium addidit Bl.
 - P έκτίσας...καὶ έβάδιζον Bekker.
- q ἐπιβολήν hic quoque ut § 14 cum Reiskio restituit Bl. ἐπιβουλήν codices.

ή μη τυχούσα τῶν καλουμένων κλητόρων κατὰ τὸν νόμον καὶ διὰ τοῦτο οὐκ ἦν εἰσαγώγιμος.

κλητήρας ἐπιγραψάμενος] i.e. 'having endorsed it with the names of witnesses to a citation.' As the δίκη was ἀπρόσκλητός, i.e. as there were no κλητήρες, this endorsement was virtually a forgery.

elσελθών κ.τ.λ.] Nicostratus made a forcible entry into the house of Apollodorus with a view to levying execution for the fine which Apollodorus had been condemned to pay to Nicostratus, or rather to his tool Lycidas.

τὰ σκεύη πάντα ἐξεφόρησε] 'carried out all my furniture' (i.e. distrained upon me for my alleged debt). Or. 22 (Androt.) § 57 βαδίζειν ἐπ' οκίας και σκεύη φέρειν μηδέν ὀφειλόντων ἀνθρώπων. Nicostratus seized property worth more than 20 minae, although the 'debt' amounted to little more than six. (610 dr. = 6 m. 10 dr.)

§§ 15—18. On my proceeding against Arethusius for fraudulent citation, he came into my property at night and laid waste my orchard with all its fine

fruit-grafts, its vines and its olive-trees. Further they put up a boy of free birth to go in broad daylight and pluck the flowers of my rose-bed, hoping I would mistake him for a slave and strike him, and thus make myself liable to an indictment for assault. In this they were disappointed. Thereupon, as soon as I had brought to the preliminary stage before the magistrate my indictment of Arethusius for fraudulent citation, and was on the point of taking it before the jury; he lay in wait for me when I was coming up from the Peiraeus late at night and violently assaulted me, and was only prevented from dashing me into the quarries by some people hearing my cries and rushing to the rescue.

Not many days after, I brought my case before the jury and with the greatest ease got Arethusius convicted. Though the jury proposed to condemn him to death, I begged them to acquiesce in the penalty proposed by my opponents themselves, a fine of one talent.

15. ὅτε δὲ—ἐβάδιζον]lit. 'When I thought it my duty to avenge myself, and on hearing of the

κλητήρα τον όμολογούντα κεκλητευκέναι τον 'Αρεθούσιον' τής ψευδοκλητείας κατά τον νόμον, έλθων είς το χωρίον τής νυκτός, δσα ένήν φυτά άκροδρύων

Bekker. κεκλητευκέναι, τόνδ' 'Αρεθούσιον, Z cum SQ.

fine, was proceeding, after payment of the debt, to take measures against Arethusius, &c.' In translating the whole sentence it is convenient to omit $\delta \tau \epsilon$, to render $\psi \mu \eta \nu$ and $\epsilon \beta \delta \delta i \zeta o \nu$ as principal verbs, and to begin a new English sentence with the first words of the apodosis, $\epsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} \nu \epsilon l s$ $\tau \delta \chi \omega \rho l o \nu \kappa \tau . \tau . \lambda$.

τον 'Αρεθούσιον] to be taken in apposition with τον κλητήρα, unless indeed the words are only an interpolated explanation of

τὸν κλητῆρα (cf. § 10).

τής ψευδοκλητείας] Harpoor. ψευδοκλητεία ὅνομα δίκης έστιν, ήν εἰσίασιν ἐγγεγραμμένοι ὁφείλειν τῷ δημοσίῳ, ἐπειδὰν αἰτιῶνταί τινας ψευδῶς κατεσκευάσμα κλητήρας καθ' ἐαυτῶν πρὸς τὴν δίκην ἀφ' ἡς ὧφλον. Meier and Schömann, pp. 414—415 Lips.

The genitive is here used after βαδίζειν έπί τινι on the analogy of the construction commonly found after διώκειν, είσάγειν and έπεξέρχεσθαι (in the legal sense). Plato, Leg. 886 Β ἐπεξίτω φόνου τῷ κτείναντι. Or. 49 (Apollodorus v. Timotheus) § 56 μη...έπι τόνδε κακοτεχνιών έλθοιμι. The phrase βαδίζειν έπί τινα is found in a similar sense in Or. 52 (Apollod. v. Callippus) § 32 έπι τον Κηφισιάδην βαδίζειν. Cf. 56 §§ 15, 18, and 42 § 12 els τὸ δικαστήριον βαδίζειν.

δσα ἐνῆν φυτὰ—διαθεῖεν] 'he cut off all the choice fruit-grafts that were there, and the trained vines besides; he also broke down the nursery-beds of olive-

trees set in rows around my plantations, making worse havoo than would ever be made, even by enemies in war.'

ἀκροδρύων] The primary sense of the word is 'fruit,' the secondary 'fruit-trees.' Though used in early writers of any edible fruit, later authorities restrict it to the hard-shelled varieties alone. Cramer's Anecdota Oxoniensia III 357 'Ορφεύς άκρόδρυα πασαν όπώραν καλεί. Γάληνος δέ καί οί τὰ φυτουργικά συνταξάμενοι άκρόδρυά φασι τὰ σκέπην ξχοντα, οίον ροίας, κάρυα, άμυγδάλας καί εί τι δμοιον (pomegranates, nuts, almonds and the like), ὁπώρας δὲ τὰ ἀσκεπη ώς μηλα, ἀπίους καὶ τὰ δμοια (apples, pears, &c). Similarly Democritus, Geoponica x 74 άκρόδρυα καλείται δσα έξωθεν κέλυφος έχει. In Xenophon, Oeconom. 19 § 12 we have τάλλα ἀκρόδρυα πάντα after mention of vines and fig-trees, and in Plato, Critias 115 B, τὸν ημερον καρπόν, τόν τε ξηρόν (different kinds of grain)...και τὸν όσος ξύλινος (fruits of hard rind). παιδιάς τε δς ένεκα ήδονης τε γέγονε δυσθησαύριστος άκροδρύων καρπός, όσα τε παραμύθια πλησμονής μεταδόρπια άγαπητά κάμνοντι τίθεμεν. Aristot. Hist. An. VIII 28, 4 ουτ' άκρόδρυα ουτ' όπώρα χρόνιος. Athenaeus, 11 § 38 p. 52 ol 'ATTIKOL Kal ALLOI συγγραφείς κοινώς πάντα τὰ άκρόδρυα χάρυα λέγουσιν, ib. 111 § 20 p. 81 Γλαυκίδης δέ φησιν άριστα τῶν ἀκροδρύων είναι μῆλα κυδώνια (quinces), φαύλια, στρουθία (two other kinds of quince).

γενναίων εμβεβλημένα καὶ τὰς ἀναδενδράδας εξέκοψε, καὶ φυτευτήρια ελαῶν περιστοίχων κατέκλασεν, οὕτω

A (Bl.). γενναΐα vulgo (Dind.).
 Bekker cum Ar. ἐλαιῶν Z cum SFQ et Harp.

The etymological formation of the word, referring as it does originally to what we may call the 'tree-tips,' or the fresh growth at the furthest extremities of the branches, may be illustrated by the passage in Hesiod's Works and Days 231 οδρεσι δὲ δρῦς ἄκρη μέν τε φέρει βαλάνους, μέσση δὲ μελίσσας, and Theocritus, xv 112 πὰρ δὲ οἱ ῶρια κεῖται, ὅσα δρυὸς ἄκρα φέροντι.

[It seems to me that ἀκρόδρνα meant trees which produced fruit chiefly on the upper boughs, as distinguished from vines, from which the grapes hang in clusters nearer to the ground. The edible acorn, βάλανος, may have been specially so described, if we limit δρῶς to the sense of 'oak-tree.' P.]

γενναίων] 'of a choice kind,' 'of a good stock.' Plato, Leg. 844 Ε τὴν γενναίαν νῦν λεγομένην σταφυλήν ή τα γενναία σῦκα ἐπονομαζόμενα όπωρίζειν. (Cf. nobilis in Martial III 47, 7 frutice nobili caules and as an epithet of uva ib. IV 44, 2 and olivae v 78, 19.) Athenaeus, xiv § 68 p. 653 γενναία λέγει ὁ φιλόσοφος (sc. Plato u. s.), ώς καί 'Αρχίλοχος' πάρελθε, γενναίος γάρ είς. ή τὰ ἐπιγεγεννημένα, οίον τὰ ἐπεμβεβλημένα δ γάρ 'Αριστοτέλης καὶ ἐπεμβολάδας άπίους ὀνομάζει τὰς έγκεκεντρισutvas. (The second explanation is clearly wrong. I only cite it to illustrate the next note.)

έμβεβλημένα] 'grafted.' Harpocration s. v. άντὶ τοῦ έγκεκεν-

τρισμένα Δημοσθένης εν τῷ πρὸς Νικόστρατον, καὶ 'Αριστοτέλης δ' έμβολάδας άπίους λέγει τὰς τοιαύτας.

ἀναδενδράδας] 'trained vines' growing on trees, 'tree-vines.'
The climbing vine is contrasted with the ground-vine of Lesbos in the Pastoralia of Longus, 11 1 πάσα κατά την Λέσβον άμπελος ταπεινή, ού μετέωρος οὐδὲ ἀναδενδράς, άλλα κάτω τα κλήματα άποτείνουσα καὶ ώσπερ κιττὸς νεμομένη. Cf. Petrie Papyri xxix 7 πεφύτευται...τά περί τὴν άναδενδράδα, and Polyb. xxxiv 11 § 1 ἀναδενδρίτης οίνος, and Geoponica v 61 ἀναδενδρίτις, also Strabo v p. 231 τὸ δὲ Καίκουβον (Caecubum) έλωδες ον εύουν στάτην άμπελον τρέφει την δενδρίτιν. Columella IV 1, 8 vitis arbustiva, and Pliny N. H. xvII 23 § 199 sqq. nobilia vina non nisi in arbustis gigni. The best trees for the purpose were, according to Pliny, the elm (amicta vitibus ulmo of Hor. Ep. 1 16, 3) and the poplar; next to these the ash, the fig-tree and the olive.

φυτευτήρια] 'nursery beds,' 'plantations,' found in this sense also in C. I. A. IV 2, 53 a, φυτεῦσαι φυτευτήρια έλαῶν.

έλαῶν περιστοίχων] i.e. 'olives planted round the beds of the garden.' Ηατροςτ. περίστοιχοι' Απμοσθένης έν τῷ πρὸς Νικόστρατον περί τῶν 'Αρεθουσίου ἀνδραπόδων. Δίδυμος δέ τι γένος ελαιῶν περιστοίχους καλεῖ ἄς Φιλόχορος στοιχάδας προσηγόρευσε. μήποτε ('perhaps') δὲ περιστοίχους κέ-

Р. 1251] ПЕРІ АŃДРАП. АПОГР. АРЕЮ. 171

δεινώς ώς οὐδ' ἄν οἱ πολέμιοι διαθεῖεν. πρὸς δὲ 16 τούτοις μεθ' ἡμέραν παιδάριον ἀστὸν εἰσπέμψαντες, διὰ τὸ γείτονές τε^α εἶναι καὶ ὅμορον τὸ χωρίον, ἐκέλευον τὴν ῥοδωνιὰν βλαστάνουσαν ἐκτίλλειν, ἵν',

u FQ (Bl.). om. SA (Dind.).

κληκεν δ βήτωρ τὰς κύκλψ περί τὸ χωρίον έν στοίχω πεφυκυίας (cf. Ar. Ach. 997 περὶ τὸ χωρίον ἄπαν έλαδας έν κύκλω). Pollux v 36 Σόλων δὲ καὶ στοιχάδας τινας έλασε έκαλεσε ταις μορίαις αντιτιθείς, ίσως τὰς κατά στοίχον πεφυτευμένας. [Lucr. v 1378 utque olearum caerula distinguens inter plaga currere posset. P.] On the laws protecting the cultivation of the olive in Attica and providing for the preservation of the sacred olives (or μορίαι) and even of the hollow trunk of an olive tree, see the interesting speech of Lysias, Or. 7, περί τοῦ σηκοῦ, esp. § 2 ἀπεγράφην τὸ μέν πρώτον έλάαν έκ της γης άφανίζειν, και πρός τούς έωνημένους τούς καρπούς των μοριών πυνθανόμενοι προσήεσαν ... νυνί με σηκόν φασιν άφανίζειν. See also Dem. Or. 43 (Macart.) §§ 69-71, and Aristotle's Const. of Athens 60 §§ 2, 3.

16. παιδάριν ἀστόν] i.e. a little boy, who was free born. It was expected that Apollodorus would have mistaken the boy for a slave and either bound or beaten him, thereby rendering himself liable to an indictment for assault (θβρις).

έκέλευον—ἐκτίλλειν] 'prompted him to pluck off the flowers of my rose-bed.' ἐκέλευον, 'put him up to...,' 'persuaded him.' 'eκτίλλειν is perhaps 'to pick off the young shoots as they were growing.' P.]

The rhetorician Hermogenes quotes the phrase τὴν ροδωνιὰν

έκτιλλειν as an instance of ἀφέλεια (Spengel, Rhetores Gracei 1353). Harpocration has the following article, ροδωνιά: Δημοσθένης ἐν τῷ περὶ τῶν 'Αρεθουσίου ἀνδραπόδων. ροδωνιά ἐστιν ἡ τῶν μόδων ψυτεία ἄσπερ ἰωνιά τῶν ἰων, ὡς Ἐκαταῖος ἐν α΄ περιηγήσεως δηλοῖ. Similarly Pollux 1229, who gives ἰωνιὰ as the only parallel he can remember to the formation of the word ροδωνιά (cf. rosaria, violaria).

To a modern reader, the mention of a rose-bed is immediately suggestive of a pleasure garden; but whether we look to the character of its owner. who seems to have been a dry man of business and little more, or to the context with its fruittrees, its vines and its olives. we are driven to the conclusion that his roses were mere articles of trade, grown to be sold in town for crowns and garlands. Just so, among the blessings of Peace, in the Pax of Aristophanes, 577, we find 'the violetbed beside the well' mentioned in the very same breath as 'cakes and figs and myrtle-berries. sweet new wine and olive-trees. In Or. 50 § 61, Apollodorus says of his garden, τὸ ὅδωρ...ἐκ τών φρεάτων απέλιπεν, ώστε μηδέ λάχανον γενέσθαι έν τῷ κήπῳ.

The Greek appreciation of the rose seems to have been mainly utilitarian. Thus it is under the head of στεφανώματα that Theophrastus dilates on the many beauties of the rose and

εἰ καταλαβῶν αὐτὸν ἐγῶ πρὸς ὀργὴν δήσαιμι ἡ πατάξαιμι ὡς δοῦλον ὄντα, γραφήν με γράψαιντο ὕβρεως. ὡς δὲ τούτου διήμαρτον, κἀγὼ μάρτυρας 1252 μὲν ὧν ἔπασχον ἐποιούμην, αὐτὸς δ' οὐδὲν ἐξημάρτανον εἰς αὐτούς, ἐνταῦθα δή μοι ἐπιβουλεύουσι

- * addidit Bl. ex A.
- w A (Hirschig, Bl.). ἐνταῦθα ἤδη codices.

on its numerous varieties (πλήθει τε φύλλων και όλιγότητι και τραχύτητι και λειότητι και χροιά καὶ εὐοσμία, Hist. Plant. VI 6). To the Greek, says Ruskin, 'a rose was good for scent, and a stream for sound and coolness: for the rest one was no more than leaves, the other no more than water' (Modern Painters 111 4 13 § 13). 'A Greek despises flowers,' says Mr Bent, unless 'they are sweet-smelling or useful for something' (Cyclades, p. 276). It is indeed a noteworthy fact, attributable partly to the insignificance of the Attic flora, and still more to the defective development of Greek taste in this particular, that in what is known as the best period of Greek literature there is scarcely a single instance of a refined appreciation of the attractions of a flowergarden. One of the rare exceptions is the mention of κήπους εὐώδεις in Ar. Aves 1067. The passage in Eur. El. 777 κυρεῖ δὲ κήποις έν καταρρύτοις βεβώς, δρέπων τερείνης μυρσίνης κάρα πλόkous, is hardly an exception, as the epithet 'well-watered' is somewhat prosy, and the context shews that the only reason why Aegisthus is in his garden is for the purely practical object of making himself a myrtlewreath for his sacrifice to the mountain-nymphs. Cf. Becker's Charicles p. 203—4, esp. 1 p. 349 sqq., of the 2nd German ed. with the excellent addenda of K. F. Hermann; also the latter's Privatalt. § 15 note 20 p. 106 ed. Blümner; St John's Manners and Customs of Ancient Greece, I 301—334, esp. pp. 304, 305; Büchsenschütz, Besitz u. Erwerb p. 72, and Schleiden, die Rose.

 $\vec{v}\beta\rho\epsilon\omega s$] Aeschines (Timarch. § 16) quotes a 'law of Solon': άν τις 'Αθηναίων έλεύθερον παίδα ύβρίση, γραφέσθω ο κύριος τοῦ παιδός πρός τούς θεσμοθέτας...ξνογοι δὲ ἔστωσαν ταῖσδε ταῖς αἰτίαις καὶ οἱ εἰς τὰ οἰκετικὰ σώματα έξαμαρτάνοντες, and similarly Dem. Mid. §§ 47-48. According to these two passages, it was permissible to institute a γραφή υβρεως even for outrage done to a slave, and though the text appears at first sight to imply that in such a case an indictment could not be brought, yet all that is necessarily meant is that if the lad maltreated were free born, the indictment (however unjustifiable in the present instance) would have been easier to bring forward than in the case of a slave. (Becker's Charicles III p. 31-32=p. 367 of English Abridgement. Hermann, Privatalt. § 6=§ 6 p. 384 note 2 Thalheim.)

την μεγίστην ἐπιβουλήν ἀνακεκριμένου γὰρ ήδη 17 μου κατ αὐτοῦ την της ψευδοκλητείας γραφην καὶ μέλλουτος εἰσιέναι εἰς τὸ δικαστήριον, τηρήσας με ἀνιόντα ἐκ Πειραιῶς ὀψὲ περὶ τὰς λιθοτομίας, παίει τε πὺξ καὶ ἀρπάζει μέσον καὶ ἀθεῖ με εἰς τὰς λιθοτομίας, εἰ μή τινες προσιόντες, βοῶντός μου ἀκούσαντες, παρεγένοντο καὶ ἐβοήθησαν. ἡμέραις δ' οὐ πολλαῖς ὕστερον εἰσελθών εἰς τὸ δικαστήριον πρὸς ἡμέραν διαμεμετρημένην, καὶ ἐλέγξας αὐτὸν τὰ

* ἀρπάζει με (sic S) μέσον καὶ ἀθεῖ Z et Bl. 'Malim ἐώθει'
 Bekker; κᾶν (pro καὶ) ἐώθει G. H. Schaefer (Dind.).
 * A (Bl.). ἐξελέγξας vulgo (Dind.).

17. ἀνακεκριμένου] passive form in middle sense (§ 14 ἀνα-κρινοίμην τὰς δίκας): 'when I had brought to the preliminary examination my indictment for

false citation, &c.'

τηρήσας—ἐβοήθησαν] The attack reminds us partly of the nurder mentioned by Cicero, pro Cluentio § 37 in arenarias quasdam extra portam Esquilinam perductus occiditur.

The quarries referred to in the text were possibly near the Museum hill where the Long Walls leading to the Peiraeus strike the wall enclosing Athens itself, or still more probably at a point immediately outside the ἄστυ, south of the Peiraic gate of Athens. In the excellent Atlas von Athen by Dr E. Curtius, the third map indicates 'recent quarries' at this point, just north of the ancient Báραθρον. In Murray's Greece, 1884, 1 341, the incident described in the text is oddly supposed to have happened to Demosthenes.

 $\pi a l \epsilon \iota - \mu \epsilon \sigma o \nu$] 'strikes me with his fist and grips me round the

waist.' Or. 47 § 38 παίει πύξ τὸ στόμα.

 $d\theta \epsilon \hat{i} ... \epsilon l \mu \hat{\eta}$] Cf. Kühner, Gk. Gr. 11 975.

είσελθών...πρός ἡμέραν διαμεμετρημένην] 'having entered into court upon a day divided out among several causes,' i.e. the day on which I came into court was allotted to several law-suits. and the number of motions was so great that the time at my disposal was therefore very limited. Apollodorus wishes to indicate the ease with which after a necessarily short speech he had got a conviction against Arethusius. Cf. Aeschines, Fals. Leg. § 126 ένδέχεται δὲ τὸ λοιπον μέρος της ημέρας ταθτα πράξαι (i.e. βασανίσαι) πρός ένδεκα γάρ άμφορέας έν διαμεμετρημένη τή ημέρα κρίνομαι. Dem. Fals. Leg. § 120 δε γάρ άγωνας καινούς ώσπερ δράματα, καὶ τούτους άμαρτύρους πρός διαμεμετρημένην την ημέραν αίρεις διώκων, δήλον ότι πάνδεινος εί τις. Harpoor. s. v. μέρος τι βδατός έστι πρός μεμετρημένον ημέρας μέρος ρέον διεμετρείτο δε τῷ Ποσειδεώνι...i.e. the standard length of time ψευδή κεκλητευκότα καὶ τὰ ἄλλα ὅσα εἴρηκα ἠδικη18 κότα, εἶλον. καὶ ἐν τῷ τιμήσει βουλομένων τῶν δικαστῶν θανάτου τιμήσαι αὐτῷ, ἐδεήθην² ἐγὼ τῶν δικαστῶν μηδὲν δι' ἐμοῦ τοιοῦτον πρᾶξαι, ἀλλὰ συνεχώρησα ὅσουπερ αὐτοὶ ἐτιμῶντο, ταλάντου, οὐχ ἵνα μὴ ἀποθάνοι ὁ ᾿Αρεθούσιος (ἄξια γὰρ αὐτῷ θανάτου εἴργαστο εἰς ἐμέ), ἀλλ' ἵν' ἐγὼ Πασίωνος

- * έδεήθην Bekker cum Ar. + μέν Z cum BF et editione Aldina (έδεήθημεν SQ).
- scripsit Bl. coll. § 20, 47 § 43, 59 § 6, Lys. 1 § 29. συγχωρῆσαι vulgo.
 G. H. Schaefer (Bl.). ἀποθάνη vulgo.

for calculating the measurement of the Clepsydra was taken from a day near the end of our December. The length of the twelfth part of the day would vary with the time of the year, and the running out of the water would indicate the lapse of a particular portion of the whole day. Thus the water-clock might indicate a time equivalent to (say) the fourth part of the shortest day (Dec. 21), and this length of time might be taken as a unit of the measurement during the rest of the year (Heslop's note on Fals. Leg. l.c.; and Meier and Schömann p. 930, note 465 Lips.; also Aristotle's Const. of Athens, col. 35, 7 ed. Sandys, with Kaibel's Stil u. Text, p. 266).

τὰ ψευδῆ κεκλητευκότα] § 15.

18. ἐν τῆ τιμήσει] In an ἀγὼν τιμητός, the declaration of the first verdict, that of condemnation, was followed by the τίμησις or fixing of the penalty, with the ἀντιτίμησις, in which latter the defendant on his part submitted to the court an alleviation of the penalty claimed by the plaintiff. (Plato, Apol. p. 36 A.)

τιμήσαι.....έτιμώντο] The ac-

tive is used of the court, the middle of the parties to the suit (αὐτοί sc. the defendant Arethusius and his friends). Plato, Apol. p. 38 A εἰ μὲν γὰρ ἢν χρήματα, says Socrates, ἐτιμησάμην ἄν χρημάτων δσα ἔμελλον ἐκτίσειν νῦν δὲ οὐ γὰρ ἔστιν, εἰ μὴ ἄρα ὅσον ἄν ἐγὰὸ δυναίμην ἐκτίσαι τοσούτου βούλεσθέ μοι τιμῆσαι (of the Jury).

δι' ἐμοῦ] 'through my agency,' on a prosecution of mine.' Reiske conjectures δι' ἐμέ, 'on my account,' which would also make good sense, though disapproved by Dobree, who refers in support of δι' ἐμοῦ to Or. 51 § 17 ὧστερ ...χάριν τιθεμένων διὰ τῶν τοιούτων τοῖς ἀμελοῦσιν ὑμῶν, ἀλλ' οὐ διὰ τῶν βελτιόνων τοῖς ὑπηρετοῦσιν ἃ δεῖ χαρίζεσθαι προσῆκον.

Hactωνος ων] i.e. the son of one who, originally a banker's slave, had received the citizenship by adoption. Neither the father nor the son was a citizen by birth, and it would have been peculiarly invidious had the latter compassed the death of one who was by birth a citizen of Athens.

P. 1252] ΠΕΡΙ ΑΝΔΡΑΠ. ΑΠΟΓΡ. ΑΡΕΘ. 175

ών καὶ κατὰ ψήφισμα πολίτης μηδένα 'Αθηναίων ἀπεκτονώς είην. ώς δ' ἀληθη είρηκα πρὸς ύμᾶς, τούτων ὑμῖν τοὺς° μάρτυρας πάντων παρέξομαι.

ΜΑΡΤΥΡΕΣ.

"Α μὲν τοίνυν ἀδικούμενος ὁ ἄνδρες δικασταὶ ὑπ' 19 αὐτῶν τὴν ἀπογραφὴν ἐποιησάμην, δεδήλωκα ὑμῖν ὡς δ' ἔστιν 'Αρεθουσίου τἀνδράποδα ταῦτα καὶ ὅντα ἐν τἢ οὐσίᾳ τἢ ἐκείνου ἀπέγραψα ἐπιδείξω ὑμῖν ατὸν μὲν γὰρ Κέρδωνα ἐκ μικροῦ παιδαρίου ἐξεθρέψατο καὶ ὡς ἢν 'Αρεθουσίου, τούτων ὑμῖν τοὺς εἰδότας μάρτυρας παρέξομαι.

addidit Bl. ex FQ (πάντων τους μ.); ἀπάντων μ. Α; μάρτυρας r.
 Deleri potest πάντων cum r; τους ex similitudine ceterorum locorum (§§ 20, 21) addendum erat' Bl.

d delere mavult Bl.

 $\frac{\lambda\pi\epsilon\kappa\tau\sigma\nu\dot{\omega}s}{Moods}$ so $\frac{\kappa\tau\sigma\nu\dot{\omega}s}{Moods}$ so $\frac{\kappa\tau\sigma\nu\dot{\omega}s}{Moods}$ so $\frac{\kappa\tau\sigma\dot{\omega}s}{Moods}$ so $\frac{\kappa\tau\sigma\dot{\omega}s}{Moods}$

§§ 19—21. Having now recounted some of the wrongs done me by Arethusius and his brother Nicostratus, I will call evidence in detail to prove that the two slaves entered by me in the specification do not belong to Nicostratus, who is now attempting to claim them, but are really part of the property of Arethusius and are thus liable to be confiscated to the state, as a partial payment of his debt to the treasury.

§ 19. At this point the speaker, after having shewn the reasons which justified him in regarding Arethusius as his enemy and exacting vengeance from him, reaches the real point

at issue, viz. the proof that the slaves specified in the schedule belong to Arethusius, and not, as is alleged, to his brother Nicostratus.

τον μὲν γὰρ Κέρδωνα] contrasted with τον δὲ Μάνην in § 20. Κέρδων is a slave-name expressive of knavish cunning (cf. ἡ κερδώ, 'the wily one,' i.e. 'the fox'). Digest xxxvIII 1, 42 Cerdonem servum meum manumitti volo (quoted by Mayor on Juv. Iv 153 tollat sua munera Cerdo).

έκ μκροῦ παιδαρίου] Plat. Symp. 207 p έκ παιδαρίου, Or. 59 (Apoll. κατὰ Νεαίρας) § 18, ταύτας παιδίσκας έκ μικρῶν παιδίων ἐκτήσατο, and similarly the far more frequent phrases ἐκ παιδός (Or. 27 § 4), ἐκ νέου, ἐκ μειρακίου.

ΜΑΡΤΥΡΕΣ.

η Παρ' οίς τοίνυν εἰργάσατο πώποτε, ώς τοὺς μι- 1253 σθοὺς 'Αρεθούσιος ἐκομίζετο ὑπὲρ αὐτοῦ, καὶ δίκας ἐλάμβανε καὶ ἐδίδου, ὁπότε κακόν τι ἐργάσαιτο, ώς δεσπότης ὧν, τοὑτων ὑμῖν τοὺς° μάρτυρας παρέξομαι.

ΜΑΡΤΥΡΕΣ.

Τον δε Μάνην, δανείσας άργύριον 'Αρχεπόλιδι

+ είδότας vulgo; om. A (Bl. coll. § 19).

20. παρ' οις τοίνυν-δεσπότης ων] 80. ως Αρεθούσιος έκομίζετο τούς μισθούς παρ' ἐκείνων παρ' οις ειργάσατο πώποτε ο Κέρδων κ.τ.λ. 'I shall shew also that Arethusius got the wages on his account from all the persons with whom he ever worked; and that he used to receive compensation or to pay it when Cerdon did any mischief, as a master would be bound to do.' Kennedy.— $[\pi \dot{\omega} \pi o \tau \epsilon \text{ in the ear-}]$ lier Attic is never used without the negative, but often in Plato and Demosthenes. P.1

Slaves were sometimes let out by their owners either for work in the mines or for any kind of labour; or again (as here) to work as hired servants for wages (ἀποφορά), which went to their masters. Aeschin. Τίπατελ. § 97 οἰκέτας δημιουργούς τῆς σκυτοτομικῆς τέχνης ἐννέα ἢ δέκα ὧν ἔκαστος τούτψ δυ' όβολούς ἀποφορὰν ἔφερε τῆς ἡμέρας. Isaeus Or. 8 (Ciron) § 35 ἀνδράποδα μισθοφοροῦντα (Hermann, Privatalt. § 13, 10 and § 49 ad fin. pp. 91, 463 ed. Blümner).

δίκας ἐλάμβανε] A slave was incapacitated from conducting a law-suit either on his own account or on behalf of another.

Plato, Gorg. 483 Β ἀνδραπόδου, δστις ἀδικούμενος καὶ προπηλακιζόμενος μὴ οἰός τ' ἐστὶν αὐτὸς αὐτῷ βοηθεῖν μηδ' ἄλλφ οδ ἀν κήδηται. Οτ. 37 (Pant.) § 51 ἔδει...λαχόντα ἐκείν φ (8c. τ $\hat{\varphi}$ δούλφ) τὴν δίκην τὸν κύριον διώκειν ἐμέ. (Hermann, Privatalt. § 59, 1 = Rechtsalt. § 4, p. 224 Thalheim.)

δίκας... ἐδίδου, ὁπότε κακόν τι ἐργάσαιτο] The law by which the master had to make good any damage done by his slave is quoted as a law of Solon by Lysias, Or. 10 (Theomnest. A) § 19 οἰκῆος καὶ δούλης τὴν βλάβην ὀφείλειν. Cf. Dem. 55 § 31.— The clause containing ἐργάσαιτο refers of course to δίκας ἐδίδου alone; otherwise we should have had some such phrase as ὁπότε κακόν τι πάθοι ἢ ἐργάσαιτο.

τον δὲ Μάνην] governed by ἐναπετίμησεν, but placed early for emphatic contrast with τὸν μὲν Κέρδωνα in § 19. It may almost be regarded as an accusative absolute.

Mάνης was one of the commonest slave-names. Theophrastus in his will, which is preserved by Diogenes Laertius, v 55, mentions among his slaves Callias and Manes, and the latter

Р. 1253] ПЕРІ АNДРАП. АПОГР. АРЕО. 177

τῷ Πειραιεῖ, ἐπειδὴ οὐχ οδός τ' ἢν αὐτῷ ἀποδοῦναι ό 'Αρχέπολις ούτε τὸν τόκον ούτε τὸ ἀρχαίον ἄπαν, έναπετίμησεν αὐτῷί. καὶ ὅτι ἀληθη λέγω, τούτων ύμιν τούς μάρτυρας παρέξομαι.

ΜΑΡΤΥΡΕΣ.

Έτι τοίνυν καὶ ἐκ τῶνδε γνώσεσθε ὦ ἄνδρες 21 δικασταί, ὅτι εἰσὶν ᾿Αρεθουσίου οἱ ἄνθρωποι· ὁπότε γαρ οι ανθρωποι ούτοι η όπώραν πρίαιντο η θέρος μισθοίντο ἐκθερίσαι ἡ ἄλλο τι τῶν περὶ γεωργίαν

1 ούτε τὸ ἀρχαῖον, ἄπαν ἐναπετίμησεν αὐτῷ Reiske, G. H. Schaefer, Z, Dind. (Oxon. 1846), et Bekker st.

name occurs in Ar. Ran. 965, Lys. 908, 1213, and Pax 1146, while in the Aves, 523, it is used in the plural as a synonym for 'slaves,' νῦν δ' άνδράποδ' ἡλιflovs Maras. See further on Or. 45 § 86.

έναπετίμησεν] Archepolis handed over Manes to Arethusius as an equivalent for part of the debt due to the latter. The nominative to this verb is not Arethusius, the subject of the participle δανείσας, but Archepolis, the subject of the subordinate clause έπειδη $o\dot{v}\chi$ $o\dot{t}\dot{o}s$ τ' $\dot{\eta}\nu$. It will further be noticed that, while the verb ἀποτιμάω is generally used in the active of borrowing and in the middle of lending money on security, the compound evanoτιμάω is in the present passage applied to the debtor's transference of a part of his property on valuation in lieu of direct payment of his debt. The same compound occurs in the passive form in Dio Cassius xII 37 τà ένέχυρα πρός την άξιαν έναποτιμηθήναι έκέλευσε (i.e. Caesar ordained that the securities on which money had been borrowed should be valued and transferred to the creditors in place of a money payment).

The editors who place a comma after τὸ ἀρχαΐον, construe απαν with έναπετίμησεν αὐτῷ, 'handed him over in full payment,' 'paid off the whole sum in the person of Manes.'

21. δπώραν πρίαιντο κ.τ.λ.] 'Whenever they bought up the produce of an orchard or hired themselves out to reap a harvest, it was Arethusius who made the bargain on their behalf for the purchase or for the wages respectively.' de Cor. § 51 τούς θεριστάς ή τούς άλλο τι μισθοῦ πράττοντας and ib. § 262 σῦκα καὶ βότρυς καὶ ἐλάας συλλέγων ώσπερ όπωρώνης έκτων άλλοτρίων χωρίων.

μισθούμενος refers back to θέρος μισθοίντο ἐκθερίσαι, just as ώνούμενος corresponds to πρίaurto. The latter verb having no present participle of its own, ώνούμενος commonly takes its place and is so used in the present passage. Cf. note on § 10, where $\pi \rho la\sigma \theta a \iota$ is followed

by ώνεῖσθαι.

ἔργων ἀναιροῖντο, ᾿Αρεθούσιος ἢν ὁ ὢνούμενος καὶ μισθούμενος ὑπὲρ αὐτῶν. ὡς δ᾽ ἀληθῆ λέγω, καὶ τούτων ὑμῖν τοὺς μάρτυρας παρέξομαι.

ΜΑΡΤΥΡΕΣ.

²² ⁶ Οσας μὲν τοίνυν μαρτυρίας παρασχέσθαι εἶχον ὑμῖν, ὡς ἔστιν ᾿Αρεθουσίου τἀνδράποδα, δεδήλωκα ὑμῖν. βούλομαι δὲ καὶ περὶ τῆς προκλήσεως εἰπεῖν, ῆν οὖτοί τ᾽ ἐμὲ⁸ προὐκαλέσαντο καὶ ἐγὼ τούτους. οὖτοι μὲν γάρ με προὐκαλέσαντο, ὅτε ἡ πρώτη ἀνάκρισις ἦν, φάσκοντες ἔτοιμοι εἶναι παραδιδόναι ἐμοὶ αὐτῷ τἀνδράποδα βασανίσαι, βουλόμενοι μαρ-

g τ' έμε scripsit Bl. coll. 49 § 65. με vulgo.

§§ 22—25. I now propose to deal with the Challenge which my opponents proposed to me, and also with that which I myself proposed to them.

At the preliminary hearing of my case against Arethusius, they put in a Challenge, and offered therein to deliver up the slaves, to be tortured by myself, their object being to claim the Challenge as a piece of evidence in their own favour in the event of my refusing to accept it.

I replied to the Challenge by stating in the presence of witnesses, that since this was not a private but a public cause and since the slaves, as I contended, were the property of the state, it was not for myself to torture them, as I was only a private person. On the contrary, it was a question for the board of police or for certain persons chosen by the Council of the state. On these conditions I was willing to accept their Challenge, and I challenged them to accept

my own proposal. They declined my offer.

22. προκλήσεως] On the subject of Challenges, see Or. 45 § 15.

ήν ... με προϋκαλέσαντο] For the double sec. cf. Or. 56 § 17 προκαλεῖσθαί τινα πρόκλησιν.

ή πρώτη ἀνάκρισις] 'the first preliminary investigation,' see note on ἀνακρινοίμην § 14 supra.

παραδιδόναι... τάνδράποδα βασανίσαι] The principle of extracting evidence by the torture of slaves was one of the weakest points in the judicial system of Athens. Some interesting criticisms on it may be found in Forsyth's Hortensius, p. 40, and in Mahaffy's Social Life in Greece, pp. 226-8.-έμοι αὐτώ is emphatic, just as, five lines further, εὶ ἐμοὶ ἐξεδίδοσαν contrasted with δημοσία. speaker holds that the slaves belong to the state and should have been handed over to the public official and not to a private individual like himself.

τυρίαν τινὰ αὐτοῖς ταύτην γενέσθαι. ἐγὰ δ' ἀπε- 23 κρινάμην αὐτοῖς ἐναντίον μαρτύρων, ὅτι ἔτοιμός εἰμι 1254 ἰέναι εἰς τὴν βουλὴν μετ' αὐτῶν καὶ παραλαμβάνειν μετ' ἐκείνης ἡ μετὰ τῶν ἔνδεκα, λέγων ὅτι, εἰ μὲν ἰδίαν δίκην ἐδικαζόμην αὐτοῖς, εἰ ἐμοὶ ἐξεδίδοσαν, παρελάμβανον ἄν, νῦν δὲ τῆς πόλεως εἴη τἀνδράποδα καὶ ἡ ἀπογραφή· δεῖν οὖν δημοσία βασανίζεσθαι. ἡγούμην γὰρ οὐ προσήκειν ἐμοὶ ἰδιώτη ὅντι τοὺς 24 δημοσίους βασανίζειν· οὕτε γὰρ τῆς βασάνου κύριος ἐγιγνόμην, οὕτε καλῶς ἔχειν τὰ λεγόμενα ὑπὸ τῶν ἀνθρώπων ἐμὲ κρίνειν, ἡγούμην τε δεῖν τὴν ἀρχὴι ἡ τοὺς ἡρημένους ὑπὸ τῆς βουλῆς γράφεσθαι, καὶ

h κρίνειν. Dind.

ταύτην] Not the evidence given by the slaves, but the mere offer to allow them to be tortured, 'wishing this (offer) to be a kind of evidence on their own side.' ταύτην is attracted into the same gender as μαρτυρίαν; τοῦτο would have made the same sense, but would have been less idiomatic.

23. $\epsilon l \dots \epsilon l$] Two or even three protases, not co-ordinate, may belong to one apodosis, e.g. Plat. Men. 74 B ϵl τls $\sigma \epsilon$ $a\nu \epsilon \rho o t \tau$ o τl $\epsilon \sigma t$ ϵl τls σt ϵl τl ϵl τl ϵl τl ϵl τl ϵl ϵl τl ϵl ϵ

The reiteration of el in the present passage has been considered open to objection; it occurs however in Or. 54 § 15, in an undoubtedly genuine speech of Demosthenes (A. Schaefer, Dem. u. s. Zeit III 2, 188 and Lortzing, Apoll. 33).

δημοσία βασανίζεσθαι] 'to be questioned publicly,' i.e. 'to be tortured by a state-officer.'

24. οὐτε τῆς βασάνου κύριος έγιγνόμην] i.e. I did not acquire control of the 'question,'—authority over the examination.

οῦτε καλῶς ἔχειν] sc. ἡγούμην, 'it was unsuitable, I thought, for myself to decide as to the answers of the slaves.'

τὴν ἀρχὴν] ΒΟ. τοὺς ἔνδεκα, 8.8 appears by comparing § 23 μετά (τῆς βουλῆς) ἢ μετὰ τῶν ἔνδεκα. Reiske wrongly renders: illum Archontem ad cuius tribunal haec causa pertineret, aut delectos a senatu. Frequently it is the context alone that decides whether $\dot{\eta}$ $\dot{a}\rho\chi\dot{\eta}$ or even of $\ddot{a}\rho$ χοντες refers to the Archons or to some other public functionaries. Thus in Or. 22 (Androt.) § 26, τοις ἄρχουσιν ἐφήγου refers to the Eleven, and in Lysias, Or. κατά των σιτοπωλών §§ 5-10 ol ἄρχοντες is several times used of the five σιτοφύλακες in the Peiraeus. On την άρχην for 'the authorities,' abstract for concrete, see note on Or. 45 § 58.

γράφεσθαι] 'to have the answers written down,' or 'to

κατασημηναμένους τας βασάνους, δ τι εξποιενί οί άνθρωποι, παρέχειν είς τὸ δικαστήριον, ἵν' ἀκούσαντες 25 έκ τούτων έψηφίσασθε όποιόν τι ύμιν έδόκει. ίδία μεν γάρ βασανιζομένων των ανθρώπων ύπ' έμοῦ αντελέγετ' αν απαντα ύπο τούτων, εί δε δημοσία, ήμεις μεν αν εσιωπώμεν, οι δ' άρχοντες ή οι ήρημενοι ύπὸ της βουλης έβασάνιζον αν μέχρι οδ αὐτοῖς έδόταῦτα δ' ἐμοῦ ἐθέλοντος, οὐκ αν ἔφασαν τῆ άρχη παραδούναι, οὐδ' είς την βουλην ήθελον άκολουθείν. ώς οὖν ἀληθη λέγω, κάλει μοι τούτων τοὺς μάρτυρας.

> i elmaier Z cum BF. elmoier Ar. eimer S. j A (Bl.). τούς τούτων vulgo.

take down the answers.' Plato Theaet. 143 A, έγραψάμην ὑπομνήματα, 'I wrote me down some memoranda.' This sense of the middle must not be confounded with the technical meaning 'to indict.'

κατασημηναμένους] 'having sealed up the testimony extorted.' The documents were put into an έχίνος or 'casket,' which was sealed up and afterwards produced in court and there opened. Or. 54 § 17 ση-

μανθήναι τοὺς έχίνους.

βασάνους, as is proved by the subsequent clause, 'whatever the slaves said,' is here used. not of the torture itself, but of the extorted evidence. Harpoer. βάσανος· 'Αντιφων· λίθος ούτω καλείται, ή το χρυσίον παρατριβόμενον δοκιμάζεται. 'Υπερείδης δ' έν τῷ κατ' Αντίου τὰ έν ταις βασάνοις είρημένα ὑπὸ τών βασανιζομένων και άναγραφέντα βασάνους ώνόμασε. (Anaximenes) rhet. xvI 1 βάσανός ἐστι μέν ομολογία παρά συνειδότος, δκοντος δέ.

 $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \chi \epsilon \iota \nu \kappa. \tau. \lambda.$] 'to produce

in court' the evidence obtained by torture. The torture itself, it appears, did not take place in court (see note on Or. 45 § 16).

ἴν'... ἐψηφίσασθε] For ἴνα 'in which case,' cf. Or. 36 § 47. ἐκ τούτων should be taken with έψηφίσασθε and not with ἀκούσαντες, cf. Or. 45 § 2 έξ ών (ἀκούσαντες)...γνώσεσθε.

25. ίδία βασανιζομένων των άνθρώπων] equivalent to εί ίδία έβασανίζοντο. Hence in the corresponding clause, instead of δημοσία δέ, which would have been equally good Greek, we have εί δὲ δημοσία sc. ἐβασανίζοντο (Goodwin, Moods and Tenses § 109, 6=§ 841 ed. 1889).

[The drift of the argument is: 'I objected to a private examination, because my opponents would have said that my report of their statements was untrue; whereas if the examination were public, the responsibility would have rested wholly on the authorities.' P.1

οἱ ἄρχοντες ή 'The Eleven.' See note on την άρχην in §

24.

P. 1255] ΠΕΡΙ ΑΝΔΡΑΠ. ΑΠΟΓΡ. ΑΡΕΘ. 181

ΜΑΡΤΥΡΕΣ.

Κατὰ πολλὰ μὲν οὖν ἔμοιγε δοκοῦσιν εἶναι ἀναί- 26 σχυντοι ἀμφισβητοῦντες τῶν ὑμετέρων, οὐχ ἥκιστα δὲ ὑμῖν αὐτοὺς ἐπιδείξω ἐκ τῶν νόμων τῶν ὑμετέρων. οὖτοι γάρ, ὅτε οἱ δικασταὶ ἐβούλοντο θανάτου τιμῆσαι τῷ ᾿Αρεθουσίῳ, ἐδέοντο τῶν δικαστῶν χρημάτων τιμῆσαι καὶ ἐμοῦ συγχωρῆσαι, καὶ ὡμολόγησαν αὐτοὶ συνεκτείσειν. τοσούτου δὴ δέουσιν ἐκτίνειν καθ ὰ 27 ἡγγυήσαντο, ὥστε καὶ τῶν ὑμετέρων ἀμφισβητοῦσιν.

1255 καίτοι οἵ γε νόμοι κελεύουσι τὴν οὐσίαν εἶναι δημοσίαν, δς ὰν ἐγγυησάμενός τι τῶν τῆς πόλεως μὴ ἀποσίαν, δς ὰν ἐγγυησάμενός τι τῶν τῆς πόλεως μὴ ἀποσίαν, δς ὰν ἐγγυησάμενός τι τῶν τῆς πόλεως μὸς ἀποσίαν εἰναι δομεκτίνειν conicit Bl.

§§ 26-29. My opponents are really claiming what is public property, that is, your own property, men of the jury, and I shall prove this by your own laws. When the jury were proposing to condemn Arethusius to death, my opponents proposed a pecuniary penalty and promised jointly to pay it. So far from fulfilling their guarantee, they are actually claiming your own property; and the laws declare that the property of persons who guarantee the payment of a sum to the state and fail to do so shall be confiscated; so that, even on this ground alone, the laws would require the slaves in

question to be state property.

As soon as Arethusius becomes indebted to the treasury, instead of being, as was admitted in former days, the wealthiest of the brothers, he is now made out to be ever so poor, and part of his property is claimed by his mother, part by his brothers, as in the present instance by Nicostratus.

I must ask you in conclusion to consider that there will never be any lack of claimants to contest your property, and to defraud the state of her dues, by making pitiful appeals to your compassion. If you disregard all such pleas in the present case, you will do wisely in finding a verdict against Nicostratus.

26. τιμῆσαι] See § 18.

έμοῦ συγχωρῆσαι] so. ἐδέοντο, implored me to acquiesce in my opponents having a pecuniary penalty imposed on them.—ώμολόγησαν αὐτοί συνεκτείσειν, 'they agreed that they would be jointly responsible for the payment.' Kennedy.

27. τῶν ὑμετέρων] The slaves claimed by the state, for non-payment of the fine due from Arethusius, are here dexterously represented as the property of the jury.

δε αν έγγυησάμενος κ.τ.λ.] Andoc. de Myst. § 73 οι μέν αργύρων όφειλοντες τῷ δημοσίῳ, ὁπόσοι εὐθύνας ὧφλον ἄρξαντες άρχάς... ἢ έγγύας ἡγγυήσαντο πρός τὸ δημόσιον, τούτοις ἡ μέν ἔκτισις ἡν ἐκτὶ τῆς ἐνάτης πρυτανείας, εἰ δὲ μὴ διπλάσιον ὀφείλειν καὶ τὰ κτήματα αὐτῶν πεπρῶσθαι. Hermann, Public Antiquities, § 124, 17 = Staatsalt. p. 477, n. 1, ed. Thumser.

διδώ την έγγύην ώστε καὶ εί τούτων ην τάνδράποδα. προσηκεν αὐτὰ δημόσια εἶναι, εἶπερ τι τῶν νόμων 28 δφελος. καὶ πρὶν μὲν ὀφείλειν τῷ δημοσίῳ, ὁ ᾿Αρεθούσιος ώμολογεῖτο τῶν ἀδελφῶν εὐπορώτατος εἶναι. ἐπειδή δ' οἱ νόμοι κελεύουσι τάκείνου ὑμέτερα εἶναι, τηνικαθτα πένης ών φαίνεται ὁ ᾿Αρεθούσιος, καὶ τών μεν ή μήτηρ αμφισβητεί, των δ' οἱ αδελφοί. χρην δ' αὐτούς, εἴπερ ἐβούλοντο δικαίως προσφέρεσθαι πρὸς ύμας, αποδείξαντας άπασαν την ούσίαν την εκείνου, τὰ τούτων αὐτῶν εἴ τις ἀπέγραφεν, ἀμφισβητεῖν. 29 ἐὰν οὖν ἐνθυμηθῆτε, ὅτι οὐδέποτ' ἔσται ἀπορία τῶν άμφισβητησόντων ύμιν περί των ύμετέρων,—ή γάρ ορφανούς ή επικλήρους κατασκευάσαντες αξιώσουσιν έλεεισθαι ύφ' ύμων, ή γήρας και άπορίας και τροφάς μητρί λέγοντες, και όδυρόμενοι δι' ών μάλιστ' έλπίζουσιν έξαπατήσειν ύμᾶς, πειράσονται ἀποστερησαι την πόλιν τοῦ ὀφλήματος - ἐὰν οὖν ταῦτα παριδόντες πάντα καταψηφίσησθε, ὀρθώς βουλεύσεσθε.

28. $\pi \acute{e} \nu \eta s$ $\mathring{\omega} \nu$ $\phi a l \nu \epsilon \tau a l$] 'is made out to be a poor man.' $\pi \rho o \sigma \phi \acute{e} \rho \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$] 'to behave,' Or. 40 \S 40.

άποδείξανταs] 'having disclosed' (delivered a formal specification of) 'the estate of Arethusius.' — τούτων αὐτῶν i.e. Nicostratus and Deinon.

29. ἐἀν οὖν—ἐἀν οὖν ταῦτα]
The sentence is suspended by a parenthesis of several lines from ἢ γὰρ ὀρφανοὺς to ὀφλήματος, and it is then resumed by the repetition of ἐἀν οὖν.

δρφανούς ή έπικλήρους] 'orphan-sons or heiresses, 'meaning by the latter 'orphan-daughters,' 'portionable sisters'; an 'heiress' under the Athenian law was by no means necessarily in good circumstances. (See note

on Or. 45 § 75.)

άπορίαs] 'embarrassments,' distresses.' For the plural cf. Fals. Leg. § 146 εὐπορίας κτήματα πλούτον ἀντὶ τῶν ἐσχάτων ἀποριῶν.—τροφὰς μητρὶ, 'a mother's maintenance.'

οδυρόμενοι κ.τ.λ.] 'Appeals ad misericordiam formed the staple conclusion of every speech, and it was not held undignified for the greatest aristocrats, or grotesque for the most notorious scamps, to burst out crying in court, and to bring up their children to excite the compassion of the jury by their tears.' Mahaffy, Social Life in Greece, p. 369. Cf. Or. 45 § 88 and Or. 54 § 38.

καταψηφίσησ $\theta \epsilon$] 80. Νικοστράτου.

LIV.

ΚΑΤΑ ΚΟΝΩΝΟΣ ΑΙΚΕΙΑΣ^a.

ΤΠΟΘΕΣΙΣ.

δ'Αρίστων 'Αθηναίος δικάζεται Κόνωνι αἰκείας*, λέγων ὑπ' αὐτοῦ καὶ τοῦ παιδὸς αὐτοῦ τετυπτήσθαι, καὶ μάρτυρας τούτου παρεχόμενος. ὁ δὲ Κόνων ἀρνεῖται τὸ πρᾶγμα καὶ μάρτυρας ἀντιπαρέχεται, οῦς ὁ Δημοσθένης οῦ φησι πιστούς.
1256 βεβιωκέναι γὰρ φαύλως καὶ εὐχερῶς ἔχειν πρὸς τὸ ψεύδεσθαι^b.

b-b Argumentum a manu recentiore habet S; habet etiam Gregorius Corinthius, vii 1331, 24 Walz.

1. 2. τετυπτησθαι] In Classical Greek, we should have had the phrase πληγάς είληφέναι. The tenses from $*\tau \nu \pi \tau \epsilon \omega$, with the exception of the future $\tau \nu \pi$ τήσω (used in Attic Prose and Comedy), are characteristic of late Greek. Thus, in the first Argument to the Midias, we have τετύπτηκεν and τετυπτημέvos. Again, in Lucian (Demonax § 16) we read ἐπεὶ δέ τις άθλητης... ἐπάταξεν αὐτὸν είς την κεφαλην λίθω και αίμα έρρύη, οί μέν παρόντες ήγανάκτουν ώς αύτδς ξκαστος τετυπτημένος, where ἐπάταξεν is correctly used (as in Classical Greek Prose) instead of the aorist active of τύπτω, while τετυπτημένος is. only a late form, for which writers of the best age would have written either πεπληγμένος οι πληγήν είληφώς.

The κατά Κόνωνος affords an instructive study on this point

of Greek usage, as will further appear in *Excursus* (A) at the end of the speech (p. 233).

5. εύχερῶς ἔχειν κ.τ.λ.] 'make no difficulty about lying.' Or. 21 (Mid.) § 103 τον μιαρον και λίαν εύχερῆ, τον κονιορτον Εὐκτήμονα. So ραδίως δμνύναι infra § 39.

§§ 1, 2. I was grossly assaulted by the defendant Conon, and, for a very long time, indeed, my life was despaired of. When I was restored to health and strength, instead of going beyond my years by bringing against him a public indictment for brutal outrage, I followed the advice of my friends and took the easier course of instituting a private suit for a common assault. I ask for your indulgent hearing, while I briefly relate to you my wrongs, and I trust that, if I prove my case, you will help me to my rights.

alkelas pro alklas reposuit Bl.

'Υβρισθεὶς & ἄνδρες δικασταὶ καὶ παθών ὑπὸ Κόνωνος τουτουὶ τοιαῦτα, ὥστε πολὺν χρόνον πάνυ μήτε τοὺς οἰκείους μήτε τῶν ἰατρῶν μηδένα προσδοκᾶν περιφεύξεσθαί με, ὑγιάνας καὶ σωθεὶς ἀπροσδοκήτως ἔλαχον αὐτῷ τὴν δίκην° τῆς αἰκείας* ταυτηνί. πάντων

° propter syllabas breves (f\alpha\chiv) mavult Bl. aut τ \pi\nu\delta(k\pi\nu\delta) aut τ \pi\nu\delta(k\pi\nu\delta), aut τ \pi\nu\delta(k\pi\nu\delta) \delta(k\pi\nu\delta).

 ὑβρισθεὶς—ταυτηνί] The opening sentence is best rendered by treating $i\beta \rho i\sigma \theta \epsilon is$ and $\pi a \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$ as principal verbs, and beginning a fresh sentence with the word ὑγιάνας, e.g. 'I was the victim of wanton outrage, and I suffered such maltreatment at the hands of Conon the defendant, that, for a very long time indeed, neither my friends nor any of my medical attendants expected my recovery. Contrary to expectation, I was restored to health and strength; and I thereupon brought against him the present action for the assault in question.

This exordium is quoted by the rhetorician Hermogenes as an example of perspicuity and directness of expression (καθαρότης, Spengel, Rhetores Graeci 11 276). Here, as in Or. 45, the keynote of the whole speech is struck by the opening word, υβρισθείς. Cf. also Or. 21 (Mid.) § 1 την μὲν ἀσέλγειαν, ὧ ἀνδρες δικασταί, και την υβριν κ.τ.λ.

πολύν χρόνον πάνυ] For this position of πάνυ, placed after πολύν, and even separated from it, cf. Plato, Hipp. Maj. 282 ε έν όλιγω χρόνω πάνυ, Or. 30 § 2ύβριστικῶς ὑπ' αὐτοῦ πάνυ ἐξεβλήθην, and (Dem.) Procem. 18 βροχύ τι μοι πεισθῆτε πάνυ.

ξλαχον...δίκην] lit. 'obtained this suit by lot,' 'had it allotted

to me,' i.e. 'obtained leave (from the Archon) to bring this action.' Where several lawsuits were instituted at the same time, the Archon decided by lot the order in which they were to be heard (κληροῦν τὰς δίκας); hence the applicant for leave to bring an action is commonly said λαγχάνειν δίκην. See Meier and Schömann, p. 791 Lips.

της alκεlas] 'the assault in question.' Ariston, as he further explains in the next sentence, is bringing against Conon a private suit for assault (alkelas $\delta(\kappa\eta)$, instead of a public indictment for wanton outrage $(\ddot{v}\beta \rho \epsilon \omega s \gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\eta})$. The penalty in the former was light, namely, a pecuniary fine paid to the plaintiff; in the latter, it was either a fine paid to the state, or, in extreme cases, death. The former implied that the complainant had been simply assaulted and struck, the latter that he had been subjected to malicious and brutal indigni-

Harpocration s.v. α lκ lα s · ε lδος δίκης ιδιωτικής έπι πληγαίς
λαγχανομένης, ής... ό μὲν κατήγορος τίμημα ἐπιγράφεται, ὁπόσου
δοκεῖ άξιον εἶναι τὸ ἀδίκημα, οἱ δὲ
δικασταὶ ἐπικρίνουσι (Isocr. 20
Loch. § 16). See Meier and
Schömann, p. 646 Lips.

Lexica Segueriana p. 355 alκία διαφέρει υβρεως, ότι alkla

Ρ. 1256] LIV. ΚΑΤΑ ΚΟΝΩΝΟΣ ΑΙΚΕΙΑΣ. 185

δὲ τῶν φίλων καὶ τῶν οἰκείων, οἶς συνεβουλευόμην, ἔνοχον μὲν φασκόντων αὐτὸν ἐκ τῶν πεπραγμένων εἶναι καὶ τῆ τῶν λωποδυτῶν ἀπαγωγῆ καὶ ταῖς τῆς ὕβρεως γραφαῖς, συμβουλευόντων δὲ μοι καὶ παραινούντων μὴ μείζω πράγματ' ἡ δυνήσομαι φέρειν ἐπάγεσθαι, μηδ' ὑπὲρ τὴν ἡλικίαν ὧν^δ ἐπεπόνθειν ἐγκαλοῦντα φαίνεσθαι, οὕτως ἐποίησα καὶ δι' ἐκείνους

d περί ών Rauchenstein, Philologus, ix 739.

μὲν ἡ διὰ πληγών, ὕβρις δὲ καὶ ἄνευ πληγών μετὰ προπηλακισμοῦ καὶ ἐπιβουλῆς: διὸ καὶ ἐὐθῦναι ἐλάττονες τῆς αἰκίας. See also Or. 37 § 33.

συνεβουλευόμην... συμβουλευόντων] 'consulted'...'counselled.'
The active and middle senses of this verb are also found side by side in Xen. Anab. II 1 § 17 ξυμβουλευομένοις ξυνεβούλευσε τάδε.

τη των λωποδυτών άπαγωγη] 'the summary process directed against foot-pads,' i.e. 'summary arrest and imprisonment for highway robbery.' plaintiff's friends meant that Conon might have been captured flagrante delicto, and carried off to prison as a λωποδύτης (lit. 'a clothes-stealer'). According to the plaintiff's subsequent statement, this would be actually true, as Conon and his friends had stripped him of his cloak and carried it off (§ 8 έξέδυσαν, and § 10 απεκομίσθην γυμνός, οῦτοι δὲ ἄχοντο θοίματιον λαβόντες μου). Cf. Isocr. antid. § 90 τοῦτον ἀπαγαγὼν ἀνδραποδιστην και κλέπτην και λωποδύτην, Dem. Or. 22 § 26, Aeschin. Timarch. § 91, Lysias Or. 10 § 10, and 13 § 68 ἐνθάδε λωποδύτην απήγαγε, και ύμεις κρίναντες αύτον έν τῷ δικαστηρίω καὶ καταγνόντες αὐτοῦ θάνατον ἀποτυμπανίσαι παρέδοτε. Hermann, Rechtsalt. p. 41 Thalheim; Meier and Schömann p. 275 n. 208 Lips.

ῦβρεῶς γραφαῖς] here contrasted with aiκείας δίκη.—Harpoct. γραφή δημοσίου τινὸς ἐγκληματος ὅνομα. δίκη ἰδίως λέγεται ἐπὶ ἰδιωτικῶν ἐγκλημάτων, ὡς σαφὲς ποιεῖ Δημοσθένης ἐν τῷ κατὰ Κόνωνος.

[The plural γραφαί shows that more than one public indictment could have been framed. See also Or. 21 (Mid.) § 28 καὶ δίκας ἰδίας δίδωσιν ὁ νόμος μοι καὶ γραφὴν ΰβρεως. P.]

ἐπάγεσθαι] 'to take upon my
shoulders a greater burden
than I should be able to bear.'
—πράγματα, in taking legal
action. P.]

ύπὲρ τὴν ἡλικἰαν—φαίνεσθαι] 'to incur the imputation of going beyond my years in undertaking to prosecute for the maltreatment I had received.' Or. 58 § 1 (of a youthful citizen appearing as a prosecutor) μήθ ἡλικἰαν μήτ' ἄλλο μηδὲν ὑπολογισάμενος, 29 § 1. The task of instituting and carrying to its issue a γραφή ΰβρεων would be more laborious and would require greater skill and experience than was involved in a

186 LIV. KATA KON Ω NO Σ AIKEIA Σ . [§§ 1, 2

ίδίαν έλαχον δίκην, ήδιστ' αν ω ἄνδρες 'Αθηναίοι
2 θανάτου κρίνας τοῦτον°. καὶ τούτου συγγνώμην έξετε,
εὖ οἶδ' ὅτι, πάντες, ἐπειδὰν ἃ πέπονθ' ἀκούσητε· δεινῆς γὰρ οὕσης τῆς τότε συμβάσης ὕβρεως οὐκ ἐλάττων ἡ μετὰ ταῦτ' ἀσέλγει' ἐστὶ τούτου'. ἀξιῶ δὴ 1257

• scripsit Bl. τουτονί vulgo.

f scripsit Bl. τουτουί vulgo.

δίκη aiκεlas. A young man like Ariston would find himself in an awkward and invidious position, as prosecutor in so ambitious a case as a γραφή δβρεως, not to mention his being unequally matched against an unscrupulous opponent who was older than himself and had numerous connexions to support him. He would also be deterred (though he does not here confess it) by the rule requiring the prosecutor to pay a fine of a thousand drachmae in the event of his not obtaining at least one-fifth part of the votes (Or. 21 § 47).

The construction is, έγκαλοῦντα τούτων & ἐπεπόνθειν. For the gen. cf. Or. 36 § 9 πῶς ἔνεστ' ἐγκαλεῖν αὐτῷ μισθώσεως.

[ὑπὲρ τὴν ἡλικίαν may mean, 'beyond the resentment suited to my years,' implying that a young man ought to put up with a little affront, and not make a serious matter of it. P.]

lδίαν] άντι τοῦ ιδιωτικήν Δημοσθένης έν τῷ κατὰ Κόνωνος. ἐλέγετο δὲ τὸ ίδιον και ιδιωτικόν ὡς ὁ αὐτὸς ρήτωρ έν τῷ κατὰ Ζηνόθεμιν (§ 32 πράγμα ίδιον), Harpocration.

ηδιστ' ἀν—τοῦτον] Cf. Or. 53 § 18 οὐχ ἴνα μὴ ἀποθάνη κ.τ.λ. 'Ce cri de haine a quelque chose de naïf et de sauvage; le plaignant semble le laisser échapper malgré lui, sous l'impression trop vive encore des injures, qu'il a reçues. Cet involontaire et rapide oubli de la modération qu'il s'est commandée donne à son langage un accent de sincérité plus marqué; il lui sert aussi pour amener le récit des faits de la cause' (Perrot, Revue des deux mondes, 1873, 3, p. 946).

θανάτου] The penalty of death was inflicted in cases of $\lambda\omega\pi$ oδυτῶν ἀπαγωγή, and even in special cases of υβρεως γραφή. For the former, cf. Xen. Mem. 1 2 § 62 εάν τις φανερός γένηται λωποδυτῶν ἢ βαλαντιοτομῶν ἢ τοιχωρυχῶν, τούτοις θάνατός έστιν ή ζημία. For the latter, cf. Lysias, fragm. 44 kaltoi tis ούκ οίδεν ύμων ότι την μεν αίκίαν χρημάτων έστι μόνον τιμήσαι, τοὺς δὲ ὑβρίζειν δόξαντας ἔξεστιν υμίν θανάτω ζημιούν, Dem. Or. 21 § 49, inf. § 23.—' θάνατος articulo carere solet, si supplicium significat et cum vocabulo iudiciali coniungitur 'Zink (quoting Procksch in Philologus xxxvii 306).

κρίνας] ἤδιστ' ἀν κρίνας, for καίτοι ἤδιστ' ἀν ἔκρινα, well illustrates the fondness of the Greeks for participial construction. The sense is, 'though I would most gladly have brought him to trial on the capital charge.' P.]

2. δεινης —τούτου] 'The original outrage, atrocious as it was, does not surpass the subsequent brutality of the defendant.' See

P. 1257] LIV. ΚΑΤΑ ΚΟΝΩΝΟΣ ΑΙΚΕΙΑΣ. 187

καὶ δέομαι πάντων δμοίως ύμῶν, πρῶτον μὲν εὐνοϊκῶς ἀκοῦσαί μου περὶ ὧν πέπονθα λέγοντος, εἶτ', ἐὰν ηδικήσθαι καὶ παρανενομήσθαι δοκώ, βοηθήσαί μοι τὰ δίκαια. ἐξ ἀρχῆς δ' ὡς ἔκαστα πέπρακται διηγήσομαι πρὸς ὑμᾶς, ὡς ᾶν οἶός τ' ὦ διὰ βραχυτάτων.

§ 26. The first clause may prehaps be taken as a genitive absolute.

παρανενομήσθαι] The passive is formed just as if the verb were directly transitive in the active, i.e. as if the active construction were παρανομείν τινα, and not $\epsilon ls \tau \iota \nu a$. So also the active παροινείν είς τινα has παροινείσθαι for its corresponding passive (see below § 4 init. and

§ 5 fin.).

βοηθήσαί μοι τὰ δίκαια] 'assist me to my rights.' For the phrase and the context, cf. Or. 27 § 3 δέομαι ὑμῶν...μετ' εὐνοίας τ' ἐμοῦ άκουσαι καν ήδικησθαι δοκώ, βοηθησαί μοι τὰ δίκαια, ποιήσομαι δ' ώς αν δύνωμαι διά βραχυτάτων τούς λόγους, ib. § 68, Or. 35 § 5; 38 § 2; 40 § 61. A fuller phrase may be noticed in § 42 of this speech, βοηθεῖν καὶ τὰ δίκαια άποδιδόναι. Kühner, Gk. Gr. 264 § 410 c, quotes Xen. Mem. II 6 § 25 δπως αὐτός τε μη άδικηται και τοις φίλοις τα δίκαια βοηθείν δύνηται, — zum Rechte verhelfen. It is an extension of the cogn. acc. $\beta o \eta \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ βοήθειαν.

The exordium has several points of coincidence with that

of Or. 45. See p. 59.

In the next four sections the plaintiff states the origin of the bad blood between the defendant's family and himself. The narrative, though part of the διήγησις which naturally follows immediately after the προοίμιον of a forensic speech, is only preliminary to the recital of the facts on which the suit is really founded. It is to this portion of the statement of the case that Rhetoricians like Theodorus of Byzantium would have given the name of προδιήγησις (Arist. Rhet. III

13).

§§ 3-6. Two years ago, we were ordered out to Panactum on garrison duty, and, as ill luck would have it, the sons of Conon pitched their tents close to our own. They picked quarrels with our servants and were persistently guilty of drunken and indecent conduct at the expense of our attendants and ourselves. My messmates and myself represented the case to the general, and he reprimanded them severely for their treatment of ourselves and for their misbehaviour in the camp. Notwithstanding, they burst in upon us on that very evening and violently assaulted us; indeed, serious consequences might have ensued, but for the arrival of the officers on the scene of disorder. On our return to Athens, there was naturally some ill blood between Conon's sons and myself, but I simply made up my mind to have nothing more to do with them. However, as the result proved, my collision with the sons in the camp led to my being grossly maltreated by their father the defendant, who, instead of rebuking his sons for the original outrage, has himself 'Εξηλθον⁸, έτος τουτὶ τρίτον, εἰς Πάνακτον φρουρας ήμιν προγραφείσης. ἐσκήνωσαν οὖν οἱ υἱεῖς οἱ Κόνωνος [τουτουὶ] ^h ἐγγὺς ἡμῶν, ὡς οὐκ ἀν ἐβουλόμην ἡ γὰρ ἐξ ἀρχῆς ἔχθρα καὶ τὰ προσκρούματ' ἐκεῖθεν ἡμιν συνέβη, ἐξ ὧν δ', ἀκούσεσθε. ἔπινον ἑκάστοθ

- 8 ἐξῆλθον codices, et Rhet. Gr. vii 924 (Bl.). ἐξήλθομεν (syllabis brevibus) Hermog. iii 95, Schol. Hermog. vii 732, 798, Psellus iii 692, Dionys. Demosth. c. 12 (Dind.).
 - h propter hiatum secl. Bl.
 - i Dionys. (Bl.). προσκρούσματα vulgo.

been guilty of a much more shameful aggression.

'Par sa vive et familière simplicité, ce récit dut plaire aux juges, vieillards auxquels il rappelait les campagnes de leur jeunesse, les nuits passées sous la tente, les repas au grand air, dans ces beaux sites où se dressaient, au milieu des montagnes, les forteresses destinées à protéger les frontières de l'Attique' (Perrot u. s. p. 947).

3. εξήλθον] not as a youthful περίπολος, but as a regular soldier. This may be inferred from § 5, where the στρατόπεδον, στρατηγός and ταξίαρχοι are mentioned, and where there is apparently an absence of the strict discipline usual in the case of the far βαι (Vink n 19)

case of έφηδοι (Zink, p. 19). έτος τουτί τρίτον] 'two years ago' (sc. έστί). Dem. Ol. 3 § 4 ἀπηγγέλθη ... τρίτον ἢ τέταρτον έτος τουτί, Ἡραῖον τεῖχος πολιορ-

The present passage places the date of the speech in the 'third year after,' or, as we should say, 'two years after,' an expedition to Panactum. See Introd. p. lxiii.

On Panactum, or Panactus, a fort on the borders of Attica and Boeotia (Leake's Demi

p. 128), Harpocration has this article; Πάνακτος Δημοσθένης κατά Κόνωνος πόλις έστι μεταξύ τῆς Άττικῆς και τῆς Βοιωτίας. He further notes that Thucydides (v 42) makes the word neuter, and Menander masculine.

φρουράς..προγραφείσης] 'being ordered out on garrison duty. For προγράφειν, in the sense of 'putting up a public notice' at head-quarters, compare Arist. Aves 448 άκούετε λεψ' τοὺς όπλίτας νυνμενὶ | ἀνελομένους θώπλ' άπιέναι πάλιν οίκαδε, σκοπείν δ' δτι αν προγράφωμεν έν τοῖς πινακίοις, and Aristotle έν 'Αθηναίων πολιτεία (53 § 7, quoted by Harpocration, s.v. στρατεία), δταν ἡλικίαν ἐκπέμπωσι, προγράφουσιν άπὸ τίνος ἄρχοντος (+καὶ papyrus) έπωνύμου μέχρι τίνος (τίνων papyrus) δεῖ στρατεύεσθαι. Cf. Lysias 14 § 6, Dem. Ol. 3 § 4, 4 § 21; Aeschin. F. L. 133, 168.

ώς οὐκ ἄν ἐβουλόμην] so. σκηνῶσαι αὐτούς, 'and would to heaven they had not!'

προσκρούματα] 'collisions.' Or. 39 § 18 πολλοῖς προσκρούει and Or. 37 § 15 & φίλος τη...τούτω προσκεκρουκότα, 33 § 7.

έξ ων δ', άκούσεσθε] Or. 14 § 17

δι' δ δ', είσεσθε.

P. 1257] LIV. KATA KON Ω NO Σ AIKEIA Σ . 189

οὖτοι τὴν ἡμέραν, ἐπειδὴ τάχιστ' ἀριστήσειαν , ὅλην, καὶ τοῦθ' ἔως περ ἦμεν ἐν τῆ φρουρῷ, διετέλουν ποιοῦντες. ἡμεῖς δ' ὡσπερ ἐνθάδ' εἰώθειμεν , οὕτω διήγομεν καὶ ἔξω. ἡν οὖν δειπνοποιεῖσθαι τοῖς ἄλλοις 4 ὡραν συμβαίνοι, ταύτην ὰν ἤδη παρώνουν τοὖτοι, τὰ μὲν πόλλ' εἰς τοὺς παῖδας ἡμῶν τοὺς ἀκολούθους, τελευτῶντες δὲ καὶ εἰς ἡμᾶς αὐτούς · φήσαντες γὰρ καπνίζειν αὐτοὺς ποῦδας ἡ κακῶς λέγειν, ὅ τι τύχοιεν, ἔτυπτον καὶ τὰς ἁμίδας °

- j Dionys. (Bl.). -alev vulgo.
- k Dionys. (Bl.). ἐπὶ (syllabis brevibus) vulgo.
- 1 A et Dionys. (Bl.). είώθαμεν vulgo.
- ^m propter hiatum scripsit Bl. coll. S in Or. 22 § 63 παρφνήθη. ἐπαρφνουν vulgo.
 - n aὐτοὺs Z. o Bekker. ἀμίδαs Z cum r; αμιδαs S.

αριστήσειαν ... δειπνοποιείσθαι] On άριστον and δείπνον, see Becker's Charicles, p. 313, ed. 3.—The optative αριστήσαιεν denotes frequent and repeated action, which is also clearly brought out by ἐκάστοτε and διετέλουν ποιούντες.

4. ὅραν] Not to be translated 'hour,' but 'time,' as ὅρα in the former sense is found in late Greek only, and was probably first so used by Hipparchus the Alexandrine astronomer in the second century B.C. In phrases like ἔθυον ὅραν οὐδενὸς κοινὴν θεῶν (Eumen. 109) and τὴν τεταγμένην ὅραν. (Bacch. 724), the rendering 'hour' should be avoided as open to misconstruction.

παύτην....παρώνουν....εls τους παίδαs] Liddell and Scott (ed. 6) inadvertently quote this passage as an instance of παροινεῖν beige used transitively 'like ὑβρίζειν,' whereas ταύτην is obviously the accusative of time (sc. τὴν ώραν) and the object of παροινεῖν is expressed by εls τοὺς παῖδας this has been corrected in ed. 7. For the corresponding passive to this intransitive active, see § 5 fin. παροινουμένους. [πάροινος and παροινεῖν mean, not 'to be intoxicated,' but 'to be abusive over one's cups.' P.]

δ τι τύχοιεν] This clause is to be taken dσυνδέτως. 'Pretending, in short, anything they pleased.' The full construction would be: φήσαντες δ τι τύχοιεν φήσαντες.

Ετυπτον] See Excursus (A) on p. 233.

τὰς ἀμίδας κ.τ.λ.] 'They emptied the chamber-pots on them.' Kennedy. Hermogenes, who selects the present narrative as an instance of $\dot{\alpha}\pi\lambda\dot{\eta}$ διήγησις, draws attention to the orator's plain-speaking in the clauses before us, and quotes them from memory with this comment: $\dot{\omega}$ γλρ είχε μάλλον δεινώσαι τ $\dot{\omega}$ λόγ $\dot{\omega}$ $\dot{\eta}$ τα πράγματα λέγων αὐτὰ

κατεσκεδάννυσαν καὶ προσεούρουν καὶ ἀσελγείας καὶ ὕβρεως οὐδ' ότιοῦν ἀπέλειπον όρωντες δ' ἡμεῖς ταῦτα καὶ λυπούμενοι τὸ μὲν πρῶτον ἐμεμψάμεθα , ὡς δ' ἐχλεύαζον ἡμᾶς καὶ οὐκ ἐπαύοντο, τῷ στρατηγῷ τὸ πρῶγμ' εἶπομεν κοινῆ πάντες οἱ σύσσιτοι προσελ-5 θόντες, οὐκ ἐγὼ τῶν ἄλλων ἔξω. λοιδορηθέντος δ' αὐτοῖς ἐκείνου καὶ κακίσαντος αὐτοὺς οὐ μόνον περὶ ὧν εἰς ἡμᾶς ἠσέλγαινον, ἀλλὰ καὶ περὶ ὧν ὅλως ἐποίουν ἐν τῷ στρατοπέδῳ, τοσούτου ἐδέησαν παύσασθαι ἡ αἰσχυνθῆναι, ὥστ', ἐπειδὴ θᾶττον συνεσκότασεν, εὐθὺς ὡς ἡμᾶς εἰσεπήδησαν ταύτη τῆ ἑσπέρα, 1258 καὶ τὸ μὲν πρῶτον κακῶς ἔλεγον, ἔπειτα δὲ καὶ πληγὰς ἐνέτειναν ἐμοί, καὶ τοσαύτην κραυγὴν καὶ θόρυβον περὶ τὴν σκηνὴν ἐποίησαν, ὥστε καὶ τὸν στρατηγὸν καὶ τοὺς ταξιάρχους ἐλθεῖν καὶ τῶν ἄλλων

- P Dionys. (Bekker st.). κατεσκεδάννυον codices et Rhet. Gr. vii 1060 W (Dind.).
 - 4 A et Dionys. (Bekker). ἀπέλιπον Z cum FSQr.
 - r Hirschig (Bl.). ἀπεπεμψάμεθα (syllabis brevibus) vulgo.
- * Dionys. (Bl.). τελευτῶντες fortasse ex § 4 codices et Aristid. p. 369.

ο ρήτωρ ψιλά, ἃ ἔπραττον ἐκεῖνοι. γυμνὰ γάρ τοι λεγόμενα πλείονα ίσχὺν ἔλαβεν ἢ εί τις αὐτὰ ἐκόσμει λόγοις (Spengel, Rhet. Gr. 11 199).

πάντες οι σύσσιτοι] 'not I alone, but all the messmates in a body.' Kennedy. Cf. Lysias Or. 13 § 79 οῦτε συσσιτήσας τούτω οὐδεὶς φανήσεται οῦτε σύσκηνος γενόμενος.

 $\xi \xi \omega$] placed last for emphasis and also to avoid *hiatus* (Rehdantz on Phil. 1 § 34).

5. λοιδορηθέντος κ.τ.λ.] 'He censured and rebuked them severely, not only for their brutal treatment of ourselves, but

also for their general behaviour in the camp.' For λοιδορηθείs used in the sense of the acrist middle, cf. διαλεχθείs in § 7.— On κακίσαντος, cf. note on Or. 34 8 2.

έπειδη θᾶττον συνεσκότασεν] 'As soon as ever it grew dark,' 'no sooner was it dusk than...' For ἐπειδή θᾶττον (which is less common than ἐπειδή τάχωτα, § 3), cf. Or. 37 § 41 ἐπειδή θᾶττον ἀνείλετο, Plato Protag. 425 c ἐπειδάν θᾶττον συνιῆ τις, Xen. Cyrop. 111 3—20 ἡν θᾶττον.

είσεπήδησαν] Aeschin. 1 § 59 είσπηδήσαντες νύκτωρ είς τὴν οἰκίαν.

P. 1258] LIV. KATA KON Ω NO Σ AIKEIA Σ . 191

τινὰς στρατιωτῶν, οἴπερ ἐκώλυσαν μηδὲν ἡμᾶς ἀνήκεστον παθεῖν μηδ' αὐτοὺς ποιῆσαι παροινουμένους
ὑπὸ τούτων^τ. τοῦ δὲ πράγματος εἰς τοῦτο προελθόντος, ὡς δεῦρ' ἐπανήλθομεν, ἦν ἡμῖν, οἷον εἰκός, ἐκ 6
τούτων ὀργὴ καὶ ἔχθρα πρὸς ἀλλήλους. τοὐ μὴν
ἔγωγε μὰ τοὺς θεοὺς μμην δεῖν οὔτε δίκην λαχεῖν
αὐτοῖς οὔτε λόγον ποιεῖσθαι τῶν συμβάντων οὐδένα,
ἀλλ' ἐκεῖνο ἀπλῶς ἐγνώκειν, τὸ λοιπὸν εὐλαβεῖσθαι
καὶ φυλάττεσθαι μὴ πλησιάζειν τοῖς τοιούτοις.
πρῶτον [μὲν] σὖν ὧν εἴρηκα τούτων βούλομαι τὰς
μαρτυρίας παρασχόμενος, μετὰ ταῦθ' τοἶ ὑπ' αὐτοῦ
τούτου πέπονθ' ἐπιδεῖξαι, ἵν' εἰδῆθ' ὅτι ῷ προσῆκε
τοῖς τὸ πρῶτον ἁμαρτηθεῖσιν ἐπιτιμᾶν, οὖτος αὐτὸς
πρὸς τούτοις πολλῷ δεινότερ' εἴργασται.

MAPTTPIAI.

*Ων μεν τοίνυν οὐδέν ἄμην δείν λόγον ποιείσθαι, 7

t vulgo et Dionys. (Bl.). τουτωνί S (Dind.).

u-u Reiskius (Bl.). μὰ τοὺς θεοὺς, οὐ μὴν ἔγωγε Z cum libris Demosthenis; μὰ τοὺς θεοὺς cum Dionysio delet Bl.

v secl. Bl. w Dionys. (Bl.). τούτων ῶν εξρηκα vulgo.

x-x οσ' v π' αὐτοῦ τούτου πέπονθ' ἐπιδεῖξαι, v' tδηθ' ότι $\tilde{\psi}$ προσήκε τοῖς πρώτοις ἐπιτιμῶν coniecit Bl.

y πρός τούτοις Dionys. (Bl.). πρότερος vulgo.

ποιῆσαι] sc. μηδὲν ἀνήκεστον. The plaintiff candidly admits that the arrival of the authorities prevented himself and his friends doing violence to Conon's sons in self-defence, provoked and exasperated as they were by the brutal assaults of their opponents.

παροινουμένουs] Fals. Leg. § 198 ἀπώλετ' ἀν παροινουμένη. The active construction is παροινείν εἰς τινα, cf. § 4 and see note on Isocr. ad Dem. § 30 πιστευθέντες.

6. τοις...άμαρτηθείσιν] Neuter, sc. ὑπὸ τῶν υἰέων τῶν Κόνωνος. Here follows the narrative

proper. §§ 7—9. Not long after our return from the camp, I was taking my usual evening walk in the market-place with a friend of mine, when a son of the defendant, Ctesias by name, who was intoxicated at the time, caught sight of us, and after raising a yell and muttering something indistinctly to himself, went off to a part of the town where a

ταῦτ' ἔστιν. χρόνφ δ' ὕστερον οὐ πολλῷ περιπατοῦντος, ὥσπερ εἰώθειν, ἐσπέρας ἐν ἀγορῷ μου μετὰ Φανοστράτου τοῦ Κηφισιέως, τῶν ἡλικιωτῶν τινός, παρέρχεται Κτησίας ὁ υίὸς ὁ τούτου, μεθύων, κατὰ τὸ Λεωκόριον, ἐγγὺς τῶν Πυθοδώρου. κατιδὼν δ'

large party, including his father, had met for a carouse; summoned them to his standard, and made them march with him down to the market-place. On closing with us, one of them fell upon my friend and pinned him, while Conon and his son and another attacked myself, stripped me of my cloak, dashed me into the mud, jumped upon me, and otherwise grossly maltreated me. The language I heard them use, as I lay helpless on the ground, was simply awful, and would hardly bear repeating. Conon himself meanwhile set up a crowing like a victorious game-cock. When they had left me, some people, who happened to come up, carried me home, and afterwards took me to a public bath, where they washed me all over, and brought the surgeons to see me. I will now call evidence, to attest to these facts.

περιπατοῦντος κ.τ.λ.] Hor.
 Sat. 1 6, 113 vespertinumque

pererro Saepe forum.

ėσπέρας] Čf. νυκτὸς in § 28; Madvig's Gk. Syntax § 66 a, Farrar's Gk. Syntax § 46 n. and Abbott's Shaksp. Gr. § 176.

ėν ἀγορῷ] The article is omitted, as in ἀστυ and πόλις (when used of Athens); below we have els τὴν ἀγοράν. Similarly εἰς βαλανεῖον in § 9, followed by εἰς τὸ βαλανεῖον in § 10.

The agora probably extended at this time over the inner Cerameicus, the district to the N.W.

of the Acropolis.

τοῦ Κηφισιέωs] The deme Κηφισία belonged to the tribe Erechtheis, and lay 12 miles N.E. of Athens at the foot of Pentelicus. It still retains its ancient name.

κατὰ] 'opposite to,' as Aesch. Theb. 528, τύμβον κατ' αὐτὸν διογενοῦς 'Αμφίονος, and so frequently in Thucyd. in the sense of 'off a coast, or river.' P.]

Λεωκόριον] The monument of the daughters of Leos (Praxithea, Theope, Eubule). who, at the command of an oracle, sacrificed themselves for their country. Or. 60 (Epitaph.) § 29 (αὶ Λεω κόραι) ἐαυτὰς ἔδοσαν σφάγιον τοις πολίταις ύπερ της χώρας. Cicero de Nat. Deor. III § 50. Harpocration states that it was εν μεσφ τῷ Κεραμεικῷ, i.e. in the midst of the inner Cerameicus, the N.W. district of Athens, lying within the walls, as opposed to the outer Cerameicus, the κάλλιστον προάσ-TELOV where the Athenian warriors were buried (Thuc. II 34, Arist. Aves 395). It was close to the Leocorium that Hipparchus was slain by Harmodius and Aristogeiton (Thuc. vi 57, Aristotle's Const. of Athens, 18 § 3).

τῶν Πυθοδώρου] 'The premises (or shop) of Pythodorus,' either understanding οἰκιῶν, or more probably δωμάτων, like the expression which occurs twice in Or. 43 Μασατί. § 62 (νόμως) είσ τὰ τοῦ ἀποθανόντος εἰσιέναι. Theorr. 11 76 μέσαν κατ' ἀμαξι-

P. 1259] LIV. ΚΑΤΑ ΚΟΝΩΝΟΣ ΑΙΚΕΙΑΣ. 193

ήμᾶς καὶ κραυγάσας, καὶ διαλεχθείς τι πρὸς αὐτὸν οὕτως ὡς ἂν μεθύων, ὥστε μὴ μαθεῖν ὅ τι λέγοι, παρῆλθε πρὸς Μελίτην ἄνω· ἔπινον δ' ἄρ' τὶ ἐνταῦθα (ταῦτα γὰρ ὕστερον ἐπυθόμεθα) παρὰ Παμφίλφ τῷ 1259 κναφεῖ² Κόνων οὐτοσὶ, Θεότιμός τις, ᾿Αρχεβιάδης, Σπίνθαρος ὁ Εὐβούλου, Θεογένης ὁ ᾿Ανδρομένους,

⁷⁷ A, Dionys. (Bl.). γὰρ vulgo.
 ² γναφεῖ Dionysii codex M. κναφεῖ vulgo.

τόν, ἄ τὰ Λύκωνος. [Ar. Vesp. 1440 οὔτω δὲ καὶ σὺ παράτρεχ' ἐς τὰ Πιττάλου. P.]

Pythodorus is possibly the friend of Pasion mentioned in Isocr. Trapez. § 33 Πυθόδωρον τὸν σκηνίτην καλούμενον, quoted by Harpocr. s.v. σκηνίτης: ξοικεν ἐπώνυμον εἶναι. μήποτε (perhaps) δὲ ὡς ἀγοραῖον καλούμενον, ἐπειδή ἐν σκηναῖς ἐπιπράσκετο πολλὰ τῶν ὡνίων.

διαλεχθείς] Cf. § 5 λοιδορηθείς. —ώς &ν μεθύων, sc. διαλεχθείη. See on Or. 34 § 32.—μαθείν, sc. ἡμᾶς.

πρός Μελίτην άνω] A hilly district within the walls, comprising part of the western half of Athens, and including the hill of the 'Pnyx' and that of the Nymphs. Schol. on Ar. Aves 997 το χωρίον... Επεριλαμβάνεται και ή Πνύξ...Μελίτη γάρ ἄπαν έκεινο, ως έν τοις δρισμοις γέγραπ-ται της πόλεως. That it was near the agora is implied by the present passage, as well as by Plato Parm. 126 c, where Cephalus meets Adeimantus and Glaucon in the agora, and they conduct him to Antiphon, olkel δὲ ἐγγύς ἐν Μελίτη. It was so called from the nymph Melite, wife of Hercules (Leake's Athens 1 441, 485; Dyer's Athens 97).

ξπινον κ.τ.λ.] Either Pamphilus had invited Conon and

his set to a friendly symposium, or, which is more probable, his shop was their place of lounge. Lysias 24 § 20 ξκαστος ύμῶν εἰθισται προσφοιτᾶν ὁ μὲν πρὸς μυροπωλεῖον, ὁ δὲ πρὸς κουρεῖον, ὁ δὲ πρὸς σκυτοτομεῖον, ὁ δ΄ ὅποι ἀν τύχη, καὶ πλεῖστοι μὲν ὡς τοὺς ἐγγυτάτω τῆς ἀγορᾶς κατεσκευσσμένους, ἐλάχιστοι δὲ ὡς τοὺς πλεῖστον ἀπέχοντας αὐτῆς. (See Becker's Charicles p. 279.)

 $\tau \hat{\varphi} \kappa \nu \alpha \phi \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ 'the fuller.' woollen cloaks would be spoiled by ordinary washing, they were regularly sent to the fuller to be scoured. The process consisted in rubbing in a kind of alkaline marl (fuller's earth), Κιμωλία $\gamma\hat{\eta}$, Ar. Ran. 713, and carding (κνάπτειν) to raise the nap (Jebb's Theophrastus xxv 13, and St John's Manners and Customs of Ancient Greece iii 232).-The form κναφεύς is found in the sixth century, and γναφείον in the fourth, B.c. 358 (Meisterhans, 58², n. 528).

'Αρχεβιάδης] § 34 note.

Σπίνθαρος ὁ Εὐβούλου] This Eubulus was probably the orator and statesman, one of Demosthenes' most formidable opponents. This supposition is strongly confirmed by the fact that the orator in question is known as Είβουλος Σπινθάρου Προβαλίσιος. The person men-

πολλοί τινες, οδς έξαναστήσας ὁ Κτησίας ἐπορεύετ' 8 εἰς τὴν ἀγοράν. καὶ ἡμῖν συνέβαινεν' ἀναστρέφουσιν ἀπὸ τοῦ Φερρεφαττίου καὶ περιπατοῦσιν πάλιν κατ' αὐτό πως τὸ Λεωκόριον εἰναι, καὶ τούτοις περιτυγχάνομεν. ὡς δ' ἀνεμείχθημεν, εἰς μὲν αὐτῶν, ἀγνώς τις, τῷ Φανοστράτῳ προσπίπτει καὶ κατεῖχεν ἐκεῖνον, Κόνων δ' οὐτοσὶ καὶ ὁ υίὸς αὐτοῦ καὶ ὁ 'Ανδρομένους υίὸς ἐμοὶ προσπεσόντες', τὸ μὲν πρῶτον ἐξέδυσαν, εἰθ' ὑποσκελίσαντες καὶ ῥάξαντες εἰς τὸν βόρβορον, οὕτω διέθηκαν ἐναλλόμενοι καὶ παίοντες', ὥστε τὸ μὲν χεῖλος διακόψαι, τοὺς δ' ὀφθαλμοὺς συγκλεῖσαι'

A (propter hiatum Bl.). συμβαίνει vulgo.

^b Scripsit Bl. περιπεσόντες vulgo; immo Aristo Cononi περιέπεσεν Bl., coll. § 25.

c Aristides, p. 380 bis (Bl.). ὑβρίζοντες vulgo.

tioned in the text would, according to the common custom, be called Spintharus, after his grandfather. Cf. note on Or. 39 § 27. (A. Schäfer's Dem. u. s. Zeit, I 190=2142, n.)

έξαναστήσαs] The word is sometimes used as a military term of starting soldiers from ambush, as in Thuc. 11 68, 111 7 and 108 § 3 έξανάσταντες, and Xen. Hell. 1V 8 § 37; cf. Iliad I 191. The orator makes his client, a young soldier, characteristically describe the scuffle in the language of military life. Similarly, a few lines below, dνεμείχθημεν, "when we closed with one another."

8. συνέβαινεν...καὶ περιτυγχάνομεν] A simple and somewhat archaic form of phrase instead of ὅτε περιτυγχάνομεν. Thuc. 150 ἥδη ἢν ὀψὲ καὶ οἱ Κορίνθιοι ἐξαπίνης πρύμναν ἐκρούοντο. Soph. Phil. 354 (Kühner § 518, 8).

Φερρεφαττίου] The site of the temple of Persephone is un-

certain; it is supposed to have been south of the Leocorium, and close to the statue of Triptolemus, but we have no data worth mentioning besides the vague indications of the present passage (Leake's Athens 1 488, and Wordsworth's Athens and Attica, p. 150).

els μèν—èκεῖνον] 'One of them, whom I failed to identify, suddenly fell on Phanostratus, and pinned him.' The present προσπίπτει gives a vivid effect to the description, and the imperfect κατεῖχεν must also be noticed as implying that the plaintiff's friend was held fast during the whole of the ensuing scuffle, and therefore could offer no assistance.—ὸ νιὸς αὐτοῦ, Ctesias.—ἐξέδυσαν, 'stripped me' of my cloak; § 9 ἄχοντο θολμάτιον λαβόντες μου.

είθ'—συγκλεῖσαι] 'next, they tripped me up, and made me fall heavily into the mud, and by leaping upon me, and beating

ούτω δὲ κακῶς ἔχουτα κατέλιπον , ὅστε μήτ ἀναστηναι μήτε φθέγξασθαι δύνασθαι, κείμενος δ' αὐτῶν ἤκουον πολλὰ καὶ δεινὰ λεγόντων, καὶ τὰ μὲν ἄλλα 9 καὶ βλασφημίαν ἔχει τινὰ καὶ λέγειν ὀκνήσαιμ ἀν ἐν ὑμῖν ἔνια, δ δὲ τῆς ὕβρεώς ἐστι τῆς τούτου σημεῖον καὶ τεκμήριον τοῦ πᾶν τὸ πρᾶγμ ὑπὸ τούτου γεγενῆσθαι, τοῦθ ὑμῖν ἐρῶ ἤδε γὰρ τοὺς ἀλεκτρυόνας μιμούμενος τοὺς νενικηκότας, οἱ δὲ κροτεῖν τοῖς ἀγκῶσιν αὐτὸν ἤξίουν ἀντὶ πτερύγων τὰς πλευράς. καὶ

- d Bekker. κατέλειπον Dionysius. καταλιπείν Z cum FSQ. καταλείπειν kr.
- A (Bl. coll. § 17, 22 § 2, 24 § 7, 23 § 202). δνομάζειν vulgo,
 coll. 2 § 19.

me, they put me into such a condition that they cut my lip right through, and bunged

up my eyes.'

9. τὰ μὲν ἄλλα—ἐν ὑμῖν ἔνια] i.e. 'much of what they said was most abusive, and some of it I should be sorry to repeat in your presence.' Cf. Or. 18 § 103 δσ' δκνήσαιμ' αν πρός ύμας είπειν, 21 § 79 ου γάρ έγωγε προαχθείην αν είπειν πρός ύμας των τότε ρηθέντων οὐδέν, 2 § 19 and esp. Aeschin. 1 § 55 τοιαῦτα άμαρτήματα καὶ τοιαύτας ὕβρεις... οίας έγω μα τον Δία τον 'Ολύμπιον ούκ αν τολμήσαιμι πρός ύμας είπείν α γαρ ούτος Εργφ πράττων ούκ ήσχύνετο, ταθτ' έγω λόγω σαφως έν ύμιν είπων οὐκ αν έδεξάμην ζην. Cic. Ver. 11 1 § 32.

This rhetorical device of professing to have compunctions at repeating the bad language of one's opponent is sufficiently obvious. The effect is threefold. (1) The court is left to imagine that the terms of abuse were singularly offensive. (2) The plaintiff is accredited with being

a man of high principle for hesitating to repeat the abominable language of his opponent,—for what Aristotle would call his δυσχέρεια τῶν αἰσχρῶν. (3) The court is flattered by the compliment implied in the assurance that the language was too indecent to be repeated in their hearing. Cf. Arist. Rhet. III 7 παθητική δὲ, ἐὰν μὲν ἢ υβρις, ὀργιζομένου λέξις, ἐὰν δὲ ἀσεβῆ καὶ αἰσχρὰ, δυσχεραίνοντος καὶ εὐλαβουμένου καὶ λέγειν.

σημεῖον] To be taken with τοῦ γε-γενῆσθαι. The former is 'an indication,' 'a sign'; the latter 'a conclusive proof' (note on Isocr. ad Dem. § 2). Or. 36 § 12.

ηδε—πλευρά:] 'he began to crow, mimicking the fighting-cocks that have won a victory, while the rest bade him flap his elbows against his sides, like (lit. in lieu of) wings.'

We find representations of cock-fighting on ancient gems and vase-paintings; and, if the

μετὰ ταῦτ' ἐγὼ μὲν ἀπεκομίσθην ὑπὸ τῶν παρατυχόντων γυμνός, οὖτοι δ' ὤχοντο θοἰμάτιον λαβόντες μου. ὡς δ' ἐπὶ τὴν θύραν ἢλθον, κραυγὴ καὶ βοὴ τῆς μητρὸς καὶ τῶν θεραπαινίδων ἢν, καὶ μόγις ποτ' εἰς βαλανεῖον ἐνεγκόντες με καὶ περιπλύναντες ἔδειξαν τοῖς ἰατροῖς. ὡς οὖν ταῦτ' ἀληθῆ λέγω, τούτων ὑμῖν τοὺς μάρτυρας παρέξομαι.

ΜΑΡΤΥΡΕΣ.

10 Συνέβη τοίνυν ὧ ἄνδρες δικασταὶ καὶ Εὐξίθεον 1260 τουτονὶ τὸν Χολλείδην, ὄνθ' ἡμῖν συγγενῆ, καὶ Μει-

1 μόγις Z, Bekker st. et Bl. cum S. μόλις Dind.

authority of Aelian (var. hist. ri 28) may be trusted, it was a political institution at Athens, and took place in the public theatre once a year. (See esp. Becker's Charicles, p. 77 n., also pp. 80—81, where the whole scene described in the text is admirably woven in with the adventures of Charicles.)

[Plato, Theaet. p. 164 φαινόμεθά μοι άλεκτρύονος άγεννοῦς δίκην, πρίν νεικτηκέναι, ἀποπηδήσαντες ἀπὸ τοῦ λόγου άδειν. Ar. Vesp. 705 κᾶθ' ὅταν οῦτός γ' ἐπισίζη ἐπὶ τῶν ἐχθρῶν τιν' ἐπιρρύξας, ἀγρίως αὐτοῖς ἐπιπηδᾶς. The fighting cock springs upon its adversary, and uses its spur to strike the head. P.]

γυμνός] sc. άνευ τοῦ ἰματίου, stripped of his cloak, as is clearly shown by the following clause. Or. 21 § 216 γυμνός έντῶ χιτωνίσκω, Aeschin. 1 § 26 ἡἰψας θοίματιον γυμνός ἐπαγκρατίαζεν. Ar. Lys. 150 ἐν τοῖς χιτωνίοισι... γυμναί. Nub. 497 κατάθου θοίματιον... γυμνούς είναι νομίζεται. Hermann Prievatalt. § 21 p. 175 Blümner... Εχοντο, in its usual pluperfect

sense, 'after stripping me of my cloak, they had taken to their heels.'—ηλθον, possibly first person singular, but more probably third person plural, referring to ol παρατυχόντες. But cf. § 20 ὑγιὴς ἐξελθῶν φορά-δην ηλθον οἴκαδε.

els βαλανεῖου] a public bath, as is shown by § 10 ἴνα μὴ μακρὰν φεροίμην οἴκαδε ἐκ τοῦ βαλανείου. See Becker's Charicles, p. 147—152.—For the context, cf. Lysias, fragm. 75 (of a boy who had been severely thrashed) οὐ δυναμένου δὲ βαδίζειν ἐκόμισαν αὐτὸν εἰς τὸ δεῖγμα ἐν κλίνη, καὶ ἐπέδειξαν πολλοῖς ᾿Αθηναίων.

§ 10. I was followed to the bath by Midias and by a relative of mine who was returning with him from dinner; and as I was too weak to be carried home again that evening, I was taken to the house of Midias for the night, as will be proved by evidence.

 Χολλείδην] 'ΟΓ Χολλεΐδαι,'
 35 § 20), a deme of the tribe Leontis, probably situated south of Hymettus and west of Mons Anhydrus, or Hymettus minor

P. 1260] LIV. ΚΑΤΑ ΚΟΝΩΝΟΣ ΑΙΚΕΙΑΣ. 197

δίαν μετὰ τούτου, ἀπὸ δείπνου ποθὲν ἀπιόντας περιτυχεῖν πλησίον ὅντι μοι τῆς οἰκίας ῆδη, καὶ εἰς τὸ βαλανεῖον φερομένφ παρακολουθῆσαι, καὶ ἰατρὸν ἄγουσι παραγενέσθαι. οὕτω δ' εἶχον ἀσθενῶς, ὥσθ', ἵνα μὴ μακρὰν φεροίμην οἴκαδε ἐκ τοῦ βαλανείου, ἐδόκει τοῖς παροῦσιν ὡς τὸν Μειδίαν ἐκείνην τὴν ἑσπέραν κομίσαι καὶ ἐποίησαν οὕτω λάβ' οὖν καὶ τὰς τούτων μαρτυρίας, ἵν' εἰδῆθ' ὅτι πολλοὶ συνίσασιν ὡς ὑπὸ τούτων ὑβρίσθην.

MAPTTPIAI.

Λαβὲ δὴ καὶ τὴν τοῦ ἰατροῦ μαρτυρίαν.

MAPTTPIA.

Τότε μεν τοίνυν παραχρημα ύπο των πληγων ων τι εκλαβον και της ύβρεως ούτω διετέθην, ως ακούετε και μεμαρτύρηται παρα πάντων ύμιν των εὐθυς ιδόντων. μετα ταῦται δε των μεν οἰδημάτων των έν τῷ προσώπω

s + με Bekker, Dind. om. Z et Bl. cum S.

h S (Bl.). οδτως Dind.

Bekker. ås Z cum SAQrk.

j δè ταῦτα vulgo, propter syllabas breves transposuit Bl.

(Leake's Athens ii 57 and Wordsworth's Athens and Attica, chap. xxv).— τ ourow implies that Eustheus was present in court; the other, Midias (probably the same as the subject of the well-known oration of Dem.), was absent.— $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ olkias, Ariston's home.

τὸ βαλανεῖον] with the article, in reference to βαλανεῖον already mentioned without the article. So in § 7, ἐν ἀγορά... εἰς τὴν ἀγοράν.

ἄγουσι] The construction is και παραγενέσθαι αὐτοῖς ἄγουσιν ιατρόν.

ώς τὸν Μειδίαν] 'to Midias' house.' For ώς introducing an

accusative of motion towards a person, cf. Thuc. 1v 79 άφίκετο ώς Περδίκκαν και ἐς τὴν Χαλκι-

§§ 11, 12. The surgeon and others have deposed to the immediate consequences of the assault; afterwards, though he expressed no great fears about my external bruises, unintermittent attacks of fever ensued, attended by extreme internal pain. I was quite unable to eat; and, but for a violent discharge of blood at a critical time, death would have resulted, as will be proved by medical evidence.

198 LIV. ΚΑΤΑ ΚΟΝΩΝΟΣ ΑΙΚΕΙΑΣ. [\square 11—13

καὶ τῶν ἐλκῶν οὐδὲν ἔφη φοβεῖσθαι λίαν ὁ ἰατρός, πυρετοὶ δὲ παρηκολούθουν μοι συνεχεῖς καὶ ἀλγήματα, ὅλου μὲν τοῦ σώματος πάνυ σφοδρὰ καὶ δεινά, μάλιστα δὲ τῶν πλευρῶν καὶ τοῦ ἤτρου, καὶ τῶν 12 σιτίων ἀπεκεκλείμην^k. καὶ ὡς μὲν ὁ ἰατρὸς ἔφη, εἰ μὴ κάθαρσις αἴματος αὐτομάτη μοι πάνυ πολλὴ συνέβη περιωδύνῳ τ'¹ ὄντι καὶ ἀπορουμένων™ ἤδη, κὰν ἔμπυος γενόμενος διεφθάρην νῦν δὲ τοῦτ' ἔσωσε τὸ αῖμ' ἀποχωρῆσαν. ὡς οὖν καὶ ταῦτ' ἀληθῆ λέγω, καὶ παρηκολούθησέ μοι τοιαύτη νόσος ἐξ ἤς εἰς 1261 τοὕσχατον ἤλθον, ἐξ ὧν ὑπὸ τούτων ἔλαβον πληγῶν, λέγε τὴν τοῦ ἰατροῦ μαρτυρίαν καὶ τὴν τῶν ἐπισκοπούντων.

^k Bekker cum A. ἀποκεκλείσμην Z cum FQr. απεκλεισμην S.

1 propter hiatum addidit Bl.

m propter hiatum scripsit Bl. coll. 55 § 26 extr. ἀπορουμένφ vulgo.

11. τῶν σιτίων ἀπεκεκλείμην] 'I was cut off from, debarred from, my food,' 'too ill to eat anything.' Hesychius explains ἀποκεκλήσθαι σιτίων ἀνορέκτως ἔχειν τροφής.—Strict Atticists prefer ἀπεκεκλήμην (from old Attic κλήω) to ἀπεκεκλείμην and ἀπεκεκλείσμην (Veitch, Gk. Verbs).—ἤτρον, 'the pit of the stomach.'

12. εl μη—διεφθάρην] 'If a copious discharge of blood had not spontaneously occurred, while I was in extreme agony and at the very crisis of the malady, I should have died of internal suppuration.' [An injury, caused by the stamping upon him when down, was relieved at last by passing blood from some internal hemorrhage. P.] περιωδύνω is possibly a technical term; at any rate it is used by Hippo-

crates, 'the Father of Medicine,' and he also has περιωδυνείν, περιωδυνία and περιωδυνασθαι [cf. Aesch. Ag. 1423 μοίρα μὴ περιώδυνος μηδέ δεμνιστήρης. P.] έμπνος] See Excursus (B), p. 238.

τοῦτ' ἔσωσε] The construction is τοῦτο τὸ αἶμα, ἀποχωρῆσαν, ἔσωσέ με, 'the passing of this blood saved my life.'

παρηκολούθησε—πληγῶν] Constr. τοιαύτη νόσος, έξ ης εἰς τοῦσχατον ηλθον, παρηκολούθησε μοι έκ τῶν πληγῶν, ἄς ὑπὸ τούτων (sc. Conon, Ctesias and Theogenes) ελαβον.

τῶν ἐπισκοπούντων] 'those who came to see me,' 'visited me in illness.' Xen. Cyrop. viii 2 § 25 ὁπότε τις ἀσθενήσειε τῶν θεραπεύεσθαι ἐπικαιρίων, ἐπεσκόπει καὶ παρείχε πάντα ὅτου ἔδει; also in middle, Xen. Mem.

Ρ. 1261] LIV. ΚΑΤΑ ΚΟΝΩΝΟΣ ΑΙΚΕΙΑΣ. 199

MAPTTPIAI.

"Ότι μὲν τοίνυν οὐ μετρίας τινὰς καὶ φαύλας 13 λαβὼν πληγάς, ἀλλ' εἰς πᾶν ἐλθὼν διὰ τὴν ὕβριν καὶ τὴν ἀσέλγειαν τὴν τούτων, πολὺ τῆς προσηκούσης ἐλάττω δίκην εἴληχα, πολλαχόθεν νομίζω δῆλον ὑμῖν γεγενῆσθαι. οἴομαιⁿ δ' ὑμῶν ἐνίους θαυμάζειν, τί° ποτ' ἐστὶν ἃ πρὸς ταῦτα τολμήσει Κόνων λέγειν. βούλομαι δὴ προειπεῖν ὑμῖν, ἃ ἐγὼ πέπυσμαι λέγειν αὐτὸν παρεσκευάσθαι, ἀπὸ τῆς ὕβρεως καὶ τῶν

Z et Bl. cum S. otμαι Dind.
 Z et Bl. cum S. τίνα syllabis brevibus A (Dind.).

111 11 § 10 άρρωστήσαντος φίλου φροντιστικῶς ἐπισκέψασθαι. Or. 59 § 56 τὰ πρόσφορα τὴ νόσφ φέρουσαι καὶ ἐπισκοπούμεναι. Two mss (FQ) read ἐπισκοπουμένων in the text.

§§ 13-15. Let me now tell you beforehand of the course which Conon will take in his reply. He will divert your attention from the facts and try to throw ridicule on the whole affair. He will tell you it was only the playful pleasantry that is common among young men about town. He will misrepresent us as just like his sons in character, and only different in being hard on other people. But the jury will be inflicting what I may call a fresh outrage upon me, if they are going to believe the defendant's bare assertion about our respective characters and to allow no weight to the evidence of our life and conduct.

13. εἰς πᾶν ἐλθῶν] While πᾶν ποιεῖν and πάντα ποιεῖν are invariably used in the active sense of 'straining every nerve,' leaving no stone unturned,' εἰς πᾶν ἐλθεῖν and similar phrases

have often (like εls τοδσχατον ελθείν of the last section) the passive notion of being reduced to the utmost extremity, as in the present passage.

Thus (i) in active sense we have Xen. Cyr. v 4 § 26 πάντα έποιουν πείθοντες τὸν βασιλέα, Anab. III 1 § 18 ἐπὶ πῶν ἔλθοι, ὡς ἡμῶς τὰ ἔσχατα αἰκισάμενος πῶσυ ἀνθρώποις φόβον παράσχοι, Soph. O. T. 265 κάπὶ πάντ' ἀφίξομαι ζητῶν τὸν αὐτόχειρα.

(ii) in passive; Xen. Hell. vi 1 § 12 οίδα δέ, ὑφ' οίας δυνάμεως ...είς πῶν ἀφίκετο βασιλεύς, and v 4 § 29. Plato Symp. 194 Δ μάλ' ἄν φοβοῖο καὶ ἐν παντὶ είης.

της προσηκούσης έλάττω δίκην] 'I have entered on an action much below the merits of the case.' Cf. latter half of § 1.

 $\tau l \ mor' \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau l \nu \ \dot{a}$] 'what is the import (sing.) of the points (plur.) that Conon will urge in his defence.' $\tau l...\dot{a}$ is more idiomatic than $\tau l \nu a...\dot{a}$, and is found in Or. 4 § 10; 19 § 288; 21 § 154; 36 § 28; 37 § 36.

ἀπὸ τῆς ἔβρεως—ἐρεῖν] In apposition to λέγειν παρεσκευάσθαι, and loosely dependent on πέπυσμαι. A simpler construction

200 LIV. KATA KONΩNOΣ AIKEIAΣ. [§ 13—15

πεπραγμένων τὸ πρᾶγμ' ἄγοντ' εἰς γέλωτα καὶ 14 σκώμματ' ἐμβαλεῖν πειράσεσθαι, καὶ ἐρεῖν ὡς εἰσὶν ἐν τἢ πόλει πολλοί, καλῶν κἀγαθῶν ἀνδρῶν υἱεῖς, οὶ παίζοντες οἱ ἄνθρωποι νέοι σφίσιν αὐτοῖς ἐπωνυμίας πεποίηνται, καὶ καλοῦσι τοὺς μὲν ἰθυφάλλους, τοὺς δὲ αὐτοληκύθους, ἐρῶσι δ' ἐκ τούτων ἐταιρῶν τινές, καὶ δὴ καὶ τὸν υἰὸν τὸν ἑαυτοῦ εἶναι τούτων ἔνα, καὶ πολλάκις περὶ εταίρας καὶ εἰληφέναι καὶ δεδωκέναι πληγάς, καὶ ταῦτ' εἶναι νέων ἀνθρώπων.

- P είναι τον έαυτοῦ propter hiatum mavult Bl.
- 4 Bekker. καὶ περὶ Z cum S.

might have been brought about by closing the sentence with παρεσκευάσθαι and then beginning afresh with some such sentence as the following; dπò γάρ τής δβρεως και τών πεπραγμένων τὸ πράγμ' ἀπαγαγών, εis γέλωτα καὶ σκώμματ' έμβαλεῦν πειράσεται, και έρει κ.τ.λ., and in English translation this would give a clearer sense than any slavishly literal rendering of the more complex construction in the text. 'He will divert your attention from the wanton outrage and the actual facts of the case; and will endeavour to turn the whole affair into mere jest and ridicule.' That els γέλωτα καὶ σκώμματ' ἐμβαλεῖν is the construction (and not kal σκώμματ' έμβαλεῖν πειράσεσθαι, καὶ ἐρεῖν,) appears from (Dem.) Phil. 4 § 75 τὸ πράγμα είς γέλωτα καὶ λοιδορίαν ἐμβαλόντες, cf. Aeschin. 1 § 135 το πράγμα els δνειδος καλ κινδύνους καθιστάς and els γέλωτα και ληρόν τινα προτρεπόμενος ύμας, Lysias frag. 75, Ι είς σκώμματά τε αὐτοῖς καί άντιλογίαν καὶ έχθραν καὶ λοιδορίαν κατέστησαν.-Hesychius, referring perhaps to the present passage, has σκώμματα λοιδορήματα γέλωτος χάριν.

14. $\dot{\omega}s \epsilon l\sigma l\nu$] followed in the latter half of the sentence by acc. c. inf.

καλῶν κάγαθῶν] See note on Or. 45 § 65. Trans, 'sons of respectable people, who in their youthful frolios have given themselves nicknames.' σφίσω αὐτοῖs is not necessarily limited to the reflexive sense, but is sometimes almost equivalent to the reciprocal pronoun ἀλλήλοις (see Isocr. Paneg. § 34).

'Priapi and Sileni' (Kennedy, following the French translation of Auger). For an account of the word αὐτολήκυθος, see Excursus (C), p. 239.

έρῶσι κ.τ.λ.] The construction is τινὲς ἐκ τούτων ἐρῶσιν ἐταιρῶν.
—καὶ δὴ καὶ, used in descending to particulars after a general statement. Or. 55 § 10. The construction here changes from ώς εἰσὶν to the acc. with infin.—περὶ ἐταίρας gen. sing., not acc. pl. [See Or. 21 § 36, p. 525 and Ar. Vesp. 1845. P.]

είληφέναι καὶ δεδωκέναι πληγàs] These phrases are used

P. 1261] LIV. ΚΑΤΑ ΚΟΝΩΝΟΣ ΑΙΚΕΙΑΣ. 201

ήμας δὲ πάντας τοὺς ἀδελφοὺς παροίνους μέν τινας καὶ ὑβριστὰς κατασκευάσει^τ, ἀγνώμονας δὲ καὶ πικρούς. ἐγὼ δ' ὧ ἄνδρες δικασταὶ χαλεπῶς ἐφ' οἶς 15 πέπονθα ἐνηνοχώς, οὐχ ἡττον τοῦτ' ἀγανακτήσαιμ' ὰν καὶ ὑβρισθῆναι νομίσαιμ', εἰ οἶόν τ' εἰπεῖν, εἰ ταῦτ' ἀληθῆ δόξει Κόνων οὐτοσὶ λέγειν περὶ ἡμῶν, καὶ τοσαύτη τις ἄγνοια παρ' ὑμῖν ἐστιν, ὥσθ', ὁποῖος

 r Bekker. παρασκευάσειν Z cum S, κατεσκευάκασι FQ, κατασκευάσει Akr.

to supply the lack of a perf. passive and active of τύπτω, as the Attic prose writers known nothing of the forms τετύφθαι and τετυφέναι. See Excursus (A) on τύπτω, p. 233.

παροίνους ... ύβριστάς... άγνώμονας...πικρούς] 'drunken' and 'insolent'; 'unforgiving' and 'ill-tempered.' The four epithets, separated into pairs by μέν and δέ, refer, in the case of the first couple, to the actual 'assault and battery'; in the case of the second, to the lawsuit that had since resulted. Conon will in his artful way represent us as really wild sparks like himself, who are yet inconsistent enough to be churlish and ill-tempered, instead of genial and good-humoured as πάροινοι and ὑβρισταὶ ought to

κατασκευάσει] in bad sense, 'to misrepresent,' 'trump up a story,' 'make out falsely.' Cf. Or. 45 § 82. παρασκευάσευ, the reading of the Paris MS S, depends, like the previous infinitives, on the remote verb πέπυσμαι.

15. χαλεπῶς —— ἐνηνοχὼς] 'deeply indignant as I am at the wrongs I have suffered.' Or. 21 § 108 ἐγὼ γὰρ ἐνηνοχὼς

χαλεπώς έφ' οις περί τὴν λητουργίαν ὑβρίσθην, ἔτι πολλῷ χαλεπώτερον...τούτοις τοις μετὰ ταῦτα ἐνήνοχα καὶ μᾶλλον ἡγανάκτησα, 58 § 55 πράως ἐπὶ τοις γιγνομένοις φέρειν.

. τοῦτ' ἀγανακτήσαιμ' ἀν] Or. 8 § 55 άγανακτώ αὐτὸ τοῦτο, εί τὰ μέν χρήματα λυπεί τινας ύμων εί διαρπασθήσεται. άγανακτείν and similar verbs implying mental emotion, though occasionally followed by a dative with or without $\epsilon \pi i$, may have an accusative neuter pronoun (Kühner, Gk. Gr. § 410, c. 5). τοῦτο is explained by εἰ ἀληθη δόξει οὐτοσί λέγειν, 'deeply indignant as I am at the wrongs I have suffered, I should (if you will pardon the expression) feel no less resentment at this, and should deem myself the victim of a fresh outrage at your hands, if Conon shall be held by you to be speaking the truth about us...' εἰ οἶόν τ' εἰπεῖν must be understood as a parenthetical apology for using the strong word ὑβρισθηναι to express the outrage that will be done to the feelings of honest men like the plaintiff, if the defendant's bare assertion is believed outright, and if no weight is given to the unimpeachable testimony pre-

202 LIV. KATA ΚΟΝΩΝΟΣ ΑΙΚΕΙΑΣ. [§ 15—17

ἄν τις ἔκαστος εἶναι φῆ, ἡ ὁ πλησίον αὐτὸν αἰτιάση- 1262 ται, τοιοῦτος νομισθήσεται, τοῦ δὲ καθ' ἡμέραν βίου καὶ τῶν ἐπιτηδευμάτων μηδ' ὁτιοῦν ἔσται τοῖς μετρίοις 16 ὄφελος. ἡμεῖς γὰρ οὔτε παροινοῦντες οὔθ' ὑβρίζοντες ὑπ' οὐδενὸς ἀνθρώπων ἐωράμεθα, οὔτ' ἱ ἄγνωμον οὐδὲν ἡγούμεθα ποιεῖν, εἰ περὶ ὧν ἡδικήμεθ' ἀξιοῦμεν κατὰ τοὺς νόμους δίκην λαβεῖν. ἰθυφάλλοις δὲ καὶ αὐτοληκύθοις συγχωροῦμεν εἶναι τοῖς υἰέσι τοῖς τούτου, καὶ ἔγωγ' εὕχομαι τοῖς θεοῖς εἰς Κόνωνα καὶ τοὺς υἱεῖς τοὺς τούτου καὶ ταῦτα καὶ τὰ τοιαῦθ'

* οδθ' retinent Bekker st. et Bl. οδδ' Baiter (Dind., Westermann).

sented on the other hand by the exemplary lives of himself and his brothers. Cf. esp. § 43 εί προσυβρισθείς ἄπειμι καὶ δίκης μὴ τυχών.

abτòν alτιάσητα! Sc. είναι, 'that, whatever sort of person each one shall assert that he is, or his neighbour shall accuse him of being, such he shall be considered to be, and respectable citizens shall have no advantage at all from their daily life or conduct.' Aeschin. 1 § 153 and 2 § 5.

§§ 16—17. As to our own character, no one has ever seem us playing drunken pranks on other people, and we cannot see how our opponents can call us 'hard' on others, if we claim redress. Conon's sons are welcome to belong to their disorderly clubs, but I shall be surprised if this or any similar plea will enable them to escape with impunity.

16. ούτε παροινοῦντες ούθ' ὑβρίζοντες...ούτ' άγνωμον κ.τ.λ.] This refers to § 14 παροίνους... καὶ ὑβριστὰς... ἀγνώμονας δὲ καὶ πικρούs. The mss have οδθ' ὑβρίζοντες, which Baiter alters into οδδ' ὑβρίζοντες. It would be better perhaps (with Bekker) to leave οδθ' ὑβρίζοντες, and to alter οδτ' into οδδ' before ἄγνωμον. The break between the second clause and the first is clearly greater than between the two parts of the first (viz. παροινοῦντες and ὑβρίζοντες). Cf. 55 § 4.

έωράμεθα] This form of the perf. of ὁρᾶν (for the older Attic ὧμμα, the 2nd and 3rd sing. of which occur in Dem.) is also found in Isocr. antid. § 110 μηδ' ὑφ' ἐνδι ἐωρᾶσθα, possibly the earliest extant instance (the antidosis belongs to B.C. 355; the present speech to B.C. 355 or 341).

συγχωροῦμεν κ.τ.λ.] 'They are welcome, so far as we are concerned, to the attributes of Priapi and Sileni.' For the dat. cf. § 44 πονηροτέροις ημίν είναι συνέβαινεν.

els...τρέπεσθαι] Passive; 'recoil upon the head of.' Ar. Ach. 833 πολυπραγμοσύνη νῦν εls

P. 1262] LIV. ΚΑΤΑ ΚΟΝΩΝΟΣ ΑΙΚΕΙΑΣ. 203

άπαντα τρέπεσθαι. οὖτοι γάρ εἰσιν οἱ τελοῦντες 17 άλλήλους τῷ ἰθυφάλλφ, καὶ τοιαῦται ποιοῦντες ἃ πολλην αἰσχύνην ἔχει καὶ λέγειν, μή τί γε δηποιείν ανθρώπους μετρίους. αλλά τί ταῦτ' ἐμοί; θαυμάζω γὰρ ἔγωγ', εἴ τίς ἐστι πρόφασις παρ' ὑμῖν ή σκήψις εύρημένη δι' ήν, αν ύβρίζων τις έξελέγχηται καὶ τύπτων, δίκην οὐ δώσει, οἱ μὲν γὰρ νόμοι πολύ τάναντία καὶ τὰς ἀναγκαίας προφάσεις, ὅπως

> u Bekker. τὰ τοιαῦτα Z cum S. ν μή τι G. H. Schaefer (Bl.). μὴ ὅτι codices.

κεφαλήν τρέποιτ' έμοί. (Dem.) Epist. 4 § 10 οἱ θεοὶ...τὴν ἄδικον βλασφημίαν είς κεφαλήν τῷ λέγοντι τρέπουσι.

οί τελουντες κ.τ.λ.] 'who initiate one another with Priapic rites.'—πολλην αίσχύνην έχει, 'involve deep disgrace even to speak of.'

τί ταῦτ' ἐμοί;] Or. 20 § 20 τί

τοῦτο τῆ πόλει;

§§ 17 cont.—20. Compare the spirit of our laws with the course which Conon proposes to take. The laws, I understand, affix a penalty even to minor offences, to preclude the perpetration of graver crimes, to prevent men (for instance) being gradually led from wrangling to blows, from blows to wounding, from wounding to murder. Conon, on the contrary, will make light of the whole affair and will raise a laugh to get himself acquitted, Why! none of you would have laughed had you seen me when I was being brutally maltreated, and when I was carried helpless to mu home.

17. θαυμάζω γάρ] The English idiom requires us to leave $\gamma \lambda \rho$ untranslated, or else to render it by the exclamation 'why!' - What has all this to do with me? Why! for my part, I am surprised if in your court they have discovered any plea or pretext, thanks to which a man, if convicted of outrage and assault, shall escape punishment.

οί μέν γάρ νόμοι κ.τ.λ.] The influence of $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ extends over the whole of the two following sections, it is then caught up and reiterated in the clause εἶτ' ἐν μέν τοις νόμοις ουτως. Thus the first $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ has no $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ corresponding to it, until we reach the words αν δ' είπη Κόνων. 'The laws say so and so...' 'Not so, Conon.

τὰς ἀναγκαίας προφάσεις κ.τ.λ.] i.e. προείδοντο δπως μηδ' αι άναγκαΐαι προφάσεις μείζους γίγνωνrai. Thus, to use the illustration supplied below by Demosthenes himself, abusive language is a πρόφασις for dealing blows; blows again are a πρόφασις for inflicting wounds: lastly wounding, for homicide. The laws, by ordaining a legal remedy at each stage, (1) defamation, (2) assault, (3) unlawful wounding, interpose to prevent defamation, which is a pretext for assault, growing into actual assault; similarly assault developing into unlawful

204 LIV. ΚΑΤΑ ΚΟΝΩΝΟΣ ΑΙΚΕΙΑΣ. [\S 17—19

μὴ μείζους γίγνωνται, προείδοντο, οίον (ἀνάγκη γάρ μοι ταῦτα καὶ ζητεῖν καὶ πυνθάνεσθαι διὰ τοῦτον 18 γέγονεν) εἰσὶ κακηγορίας δίκαι φασὶ τοίνυν ταύτας διὰ τοῦτο γίγνεσθαι, ἵνα μὴ λοιδορούμενοι τύπτειν ἀλλήλους προάγωνται. πάλιν αἰκείας εἰσίν καὶ

wounding, and ultimately into homicide. 'The laws on their part have, on the very contrary, made provision, even in the case of pleas of necessity, against the development of those pleas into greater proportions.'

[The meaning is, that the law, by providing an action for every kind of insult, has made it unnecessary for the aggrieved to resort to extremes in avenging himself. By $dva\gamma\kappa ala\ \pi\rho\delta\phi\sigma\sigma s$, he means, for instance, the plea, that a man was insulted and he was obliged to resent it. The law says, 'that obligation must not be pressed too far, so as to justify you in taking very violent revenge.' P.]

άνάγκη γάρ...γέγονεν] plaintiff, a quiet, common-place soldier, is here on the verge of displaying a familiarity with legal technicalities which would be not only out of keeping with his ordinary character, but would be resented by those of the jury who happened to be less versed in legal learning. The court would be apt to ascribe his acquaintance with the details of the law of defamation, assault, and homicide to that over-litigiousness of character which was as unpopular, as it was common, at Athens; or, at the very least, they would put him down as a pedant. Hence Demosthenes introduces a passing apology, explaining that the plaintiff, honest man, owes all his legal lore to the enquiries

rendered imperative by the maltreatment he had received from the defendant. Hence, too, the skilful disclaimer of superior knowledge involved in the subsequent phrases; $\phi \alpha \sigma l...$ $\gamma l \gamma \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a$ and $\delta \kappa \alpha \delta \omega ... \epsilon l \nu a a$. Cf. Lysias Or. 19 §§ 5, 53.

κακηγορίας δίκαι] Isocr. κατά Λοχίτου (an alκείας δίκη like the present case), § 3 (οι θέντες ἡμῖν τοὺς νόμους) οὕτω... ἡγήσαντο δεινὸν είναι τὸ τύπτειν ἀλλήλους, ὥστε καὶ περὶ κακηγορίας νόμον ἔθεσαν, δς κελεύει τοὺς λέγοντάς τι τῶν ἀπορρήτων πεντακοσίας δραχμάς όφείλειν. Cf. Lysias, Or. 10 § 6—12, Dem. Or. 23 § 50, Or. 21 § 32.

18. λοιδορούμενοι] 'reviling one another.' For the reciprocal sense, cf. Or. 54 § 40 έχθροὺς άλλήλοις ... λοιδορουμένους καὶ πλύνοντας αὐτοὺς τάπόρρητα, and Ar. Ranae 857 πραόνως έλεγχου, λοιδορεῖσθαι δ' οὐ θέμις ἀνδρας ποιητὰς ὥσπερ ἀρτοπωλίδας.

As λοιδορεῖσθαι is used in the sense of κακῶς ἀγορεὐειν ἀλλήλονς, so also λοιδορία sometimes occurs as an equivalent for the precise technical term κακηγορία. Hence we have in Ar. Vesp. 1207 είλον διώκων λοιδορίας (sc. κακηγορίας), and Athenaeus (κπ 525 B) quotes from Antiphon ἐν τῷ κατ ᾿λλκιβιάδου λοιδορίας, possibly meaning a speech in a δίκη κακηγορίας.

aiκείαs] sc. δίκαι, of which the present case is an instance.

For the general sense of the

P. 1263] LIV. KATA KON Ω NO Σ AIKEIA Σ . 205

ταύτας ἀκούω διὰ τοῦτ' εἶναι τὰς δίκας, ἵνα μηδεὶς, ὅταν ἥττων ἢ, λίθω μηδεὶ τῶν τοιούτων ἀμύνηται μηδενί, ἀλλὰ τὴν ἐκ τοῦ νόμου δίκην ἀναμένη. τραύματος πάλιν εἰσὶ γραφαί, τοῦ μὴ τιτρωσκομένων τινῶν φόνους γίγνεσθαι. τὸ φαυλότατον, οἶμαι, τὸ τῆς 19 λοιδορίας, πρὸ τοῦ τελευταίου καὶ δεινοτάτου προεωραται, τοῦ μὴ φόνον γίγνεσθαι, μηδ' ὑπάγεσθαι 1263 κατὰ μικρὸν™ ἐκ μὲν λοιδορίας εἰς πληγάς, ἐκ δὲ

* κατά μικρόν ὑπάγεσθαι syllabis brevibus codices; transposuit Bl.

following sentences, cf. Isocr.. κατά Λοχίτου, Or. 20 § 8 πολλάκις ήδη μικραί προφάσει, καί...διά τοὺς τύπτειν τολμώντας είς τοῦτ' ἤδη τινὲς ὀργῆς προήχθησαν ἄστ' είς τραύματα καί θανάτους καὶ φυγάς καὶ τὰς μεγίστας συμφοράς ἐλθεῦν.

ἴνα μηδείς—μηδενί] 'to prevent anyone, when he is the weaker party, defending himself with a stone or any similar missile,' e.g. an ὅστρακον, Lysias Or. 4 § 6. See Mahaffy's Social Greece, pp. 358—360.

τραύματος... γραφαί] (Lysias) Or. 6 κατ' Άνδοκίδου § 15 ἄν τις άνδρος σώμα τρώση, κεφαλήν ή πρόσωπον ή χείρας ή πόδας, αὐτὸς κατὰ τοὺς νόμους τοὺς έξ Άρειου πάγου φεύξεται τὴν άδικηθέντος πόλιν, καὶ ἐὰν κατίη, ἐνδειχθεὶς θανάτψ ζημιωθήσεται.

The fourth oration of Lysias is a very brief defence in a case of 'malicious wounding,' περὶ τραύματος ἐκ προνοίας. The defendant endeavours to prove the absence of πρόνοια (malice prepense), and implores the βουλὴ (ἡ ἐξ 'Αρείου πάγου) to rescue him from banishment (§§ 6, 12, 20). In Aeschin. Ctesiph. § 51 a τραύματος γραφή instituted by Demosthenes is mentioned; and

Demosthenes himself (Aristocr. § 24) quotes the law την βουλην δικάζειν φόνου και τραύματος έκ προνοίας κ.τ.λ.

τοῦ μὴ...φόνους γίγνεσθαι] The genitive of a clause containing an accusative of the subject and an infinitive is often used (especially with μὴ) to denote the object or motive; the dative, the means and instrument or cause (Madvig's Greek Syntax, § 170, and the commentators on Thue. II 102; vi 33; viii 87 § 3).

19. $\tau \delta \tau \hat{\eta} s \lambda o i \delta o \rho (as \kappa. \tau. \lambda.)$ 'the least of these evils, namely, abusive language, has been provided for by the laws, for the avoidance of (πρδ) &c. - προεώραται, which may have either a middle or a passive sense, has here almost certainly the latter, especially as we have just had έωράμεθα as a passive in § 16. προεώραμαι occurs as passive in Arist. Met. 11 1, and προεωρᾶσθαι as middle in Diod. Sic. xx 102. Westermann here supplies o νόμος, and thus takes it as a middle; but Dem. in the present passage and its context appears to prefer the plural οι νόμοι, though ἐκ τοῦ νόμου occurs four lines back.

ėκ λοιδορίας els πληγάς] 40 § 32

πληγών εἰς τραύματα, ἐκ δὲ τραυμάτων εἰς θάνατον, ἀλλ' ἐν τοῖς νόμοις εἶναι τούτων ἑκάστου τὴν δίκην, μὴ τῆ τοῦ προστυχόντος ὀργῆ μηδὲ βουλήσει ταῦτα 20 κρίνεσθαι. εἶτ' ἐν μὲν τοῖς νόμοις οὕτως ἀν δ' εἴπη Κόνων "ἰθύφαλλοί τινές ἐσμεν ἡμεῖς συνηγμένοι", "καὶ ἐρῶντες οῦς ἀν ἡμῖν δόξῃ παίομεν καὶ ἄγχομεν," εἶθ' ὑμεῖς γελάσαντες ἀφήσετε; οὐκ οἴομαί" γε. οὐ γὰρ ἀν γέλως ὑμῶν ἔλαβ' οὐδένα, εἰ παρῶν ἐτύγχανεν, ἡνίχ' εἰλκόμην καὶ ἐξεδυόμην καὶ ὑβριζόμην, καὶ ὑγιὴς ἐξελθῶν φοράδην ἤλθον οἴκαδε, ἐξεπεπηδήκει δὲ [μετὰ ταῦθ'²] ἡ μήτηρ, καὶ κραυγή καὶ βοὴ τῶν γυναικῶν τοσαύτη παρ' ἡμῖν ἢν ὡσπερανεὶ τεθνεῶτός τινος, ὥστε τῶν γειτόνων τινας πέμψαι

* συνηγμένοι vulgo (Bl. coll. 19 § 281 τοὺς θιάσους συναγούσης; 'συλλέγειν pro συνάγειν fuit in quibusdam 21 § 29, sed συνάγουσα pro συλλ. 59 § 31'). συνειλεγμένοι SAkr (cf. § 34).

γ γελάσαντες ύμεις codices; propter syllabas breves transposuit Bl.

Z et Bl. cum S. oluai Dind.

propter syllabas breves secl. Bl. μετὰ ταῦθ', 'quod ne sensui quidem convenit; cf. Aristid. p. 387, qui saltem non testatur μετὰ ταῦτα'.

έξ άντιλογίας και λοιδορίας πληγάς συναψάμενος.

20. έν μέν τοις νόμοις] reiterates οι μέν γάρ νόμοι in § 17.

lθύφαλλοι—άγχομεν] 'we belong to the Priapus-club, and in our love-affairs (§ 14) strike and throttle whom we choose.'

elθ'] an indignant exclamation.—γελάσωντες ἀφήσετε. Cf. Or. 23 § 206 ἀν ἐν ἢ δύ' ἀστεῖα εἰπωσι... ἀφίετε. Horace, Sat. 11 1, 86 solventur risu tabulae; tu missus abibis.

elλκόμην—οίκαδε] The rhetorician Aristides (Spengel, Rhet. Graeci 11 495) quotes this sentence to exemplify σφοδρότης, or vehemence of style. On εξεπε-

πηδήκει he remarks, οὐκ εἶπεν έξεληλύθει, ἀλλὰ ἐμφαντικώτερον τἢ ὀνομασία, ἐξεπεπηδήκει ἡ μήτηρ ἐν γὰρ τῷ ὀνόματι ἡ ἔμφασις.

φοράδην] Hesychius, ὁ φερόμενος βασταγμῷ [by the hands of men (not in a wheeled car)

τεθνεώτος] The compound tenses ἀποθνήσκω, ἀποθανοῦμαι, ἀπέθανον (which are frequent in Attic prose and comedy, but are not used in tragedy) have no corresponding perfect, but take instead the simple forms τέθνηκα, τεθνάναι, τεθνεών. ἀποτεθνεώς and the like are never found in Attic verse or prose (Cobet,

P. 1263] LIV. ΚΑΤΑ ΚΟΝΩΝΟΣ ΑΙΚΕΙΑΣ. 207

πρὸς ἡμᾶς ἐρησομένους ὅ τι ἐστὶ τὸ συμβεβηκός. ὅλως δ' ὧ ἄνδρες δικασταὶ δίκαιον μὲν οὐδενὶ δήπου 21 σκῆψιν οὐδεμίαν τοιαύτην οὐδ' ἄδειαν ὑπάρχειν παρ' ὑμῖν ὁ, δι' ἡν ὑβρίζειν ἐξέσται εἰ δ' ἄρ' ἐστί τῳ, τοῖς δι' ἡλικίαν τούτων τι πράττουσι, τούτοις ἀποκεῖσθαι προσήκει τὰς τοιαύτας καταφυγάς, κἀκείνοις οὐκ εἰς τὸ μὴ δοῦναι δίκην, ἀλλ' εἰς τὸ τῆς προσηκούσης 22 ἐλάττω. ὅστις δ' ἐτῶν μέν ἐστι πλειόνων ἡ πεντήκοντα, παρων δὲ νεωτέροις ἀνθρώποις καὶ τούτοις υἱέσιν, οὐχ ὅπως ἀπέτρεψεν ἡ διεκώλυσεν, ἀλλ' αὐτὸς ἡγεμων καὶ πρῶτος καὶ πάντων βδελυρώτατος γεγένηται, τίν' ᾶν οὖτος ἀξίαν τῶν πεπραγμένων

b vulgo (Bl.). ὑμῶν Bekker st. cum SAk 'quod cum σκῆψιν non convenit; adde quod sequitur ἀποκεῖσθαι' Bl.

c Akr. kal ėkelvois Z cum S.

nov. lect. 29, and Veitch, Greek verbs). Cf. Plato, Phaedo 64 λ αποθνήσκειν τε καὶ τεθνάναι, and 71 c ἐκ τῶν τεθνεώτων, followed in the very next line by ἐκ τῶν ἀποθανότων.

§§ 21—23. It is only those who are misled by their youth into acts of outrage that deserve any indulgence, and even in their case, such indulgence ought not to get them off altogether, but should extend simply to mitigation of their penalty. But Conon is more than fifty years of age, and therefore inexcusable; and yet, instead of stopping younger men, and those his sons, from doing wrong, he was the ringleader of them all.

Even the penalty of death would be too small for his crimes, for the conduct of his sons in their father's presence proves that he himself had no reverence for his own father.

21. δίκαιον μέν] The rule

of strict justice, stated broadly $(\delta \lambda \omega s,$ 'speaking generally'), as contrasted with the concessions granted in special cases on the principles of equity (or $\epsilon \pi \iota \epsilon \iota \kappa \iota \omega a$) implied in the next sentence.

τούτοις] repeats the previous dative τοῖς...πράπτουτι ('to these, I say'), and is itself emphatically reiterated in the subsequent κάκείνοις, referring pointedly to the plaintiff's opponents.

eis] 'to the extent of.' For this sense, see my note on Eur. El. 1072. P.]

22. παρών δέ-γεγένηται] Cf. § 6 ad fin.

τίν' ἀν—δίκην;] i.e. 'Is there any punishment to which he could submit, that would be adequate to his crimes?'—On άποθανόντα, cf. note on § 20, τεθνεώτος.—With οίομαι we understand ἀξίαν ἀν τῶν πεπραγμένων ὑποσχεῖν δίκην.—ἄπερ νυνὶ,

BC. πεποιηκώς φαίνεται.

ύπόσχοι δίκην; ἐγὼ μὲν γὰρ οὐδ' ἀποθανόντ' οἴομαι. καὶ γὰρ εἰ μηδὲν αὐτὸς εἴργαστο τῶν πεπραγμένων, ἀλλ' εἰ παρεστηκότος τούτου Κτησίας ὁ υἰὸς 1264
ὁ τούτου ταῦθ' ἄπερ ἀ νυνὶ πεποιηκὼς ἐφαίνετο, τοῦτον
23 ἐμισεῖτ' ἀν δικαίως. εἰ γὰρ οὕτω τοὺς ἑαυτοῦ προῆκται παῖδας, ὥστ' ἐναντίον ἐξαμαρτάνοντας ἑαυτοῦ,
καὶ ταῦτ' ἐφ' ὧν ἐνίοις θάνατος ἡο ζημία κεῖται, μήτε
φοβεῖσθαι μήτ' αἰσχύνεσθαι, τί τοῦτον οὐκ ἀν εἰκότως παθεῖν οἴεσθε; ἐγὼ μὲν γὰρ ἡγοῦμαι ταῦτ'
εἶναι σημεῖα τοῦ μηδὲ τοῦτον τὸν ἑαυτοῦ πατέρ'
αἰσχύνεσθαι' εἰ γὰρ ἐκεῖνον αὐτὸς ἐτίμα κάδεδίει,
κᾶν τούτους αὐτὸν ἠξίου.

d Bekker st. cum S. + οὖτος Akr; 'non male' Bl.

'Non audeo secludere, quod feci 45 § 80; propter ἐξαμαρτάνοντας enim necessarius articulus videtur; sed puto scribendum ἡ ζημία θάνατος [κεῖται].' Bl.

roῦτον έμισεῖτ' ἄν δικαίως]
'even then you would have abhorred the defendant, and rightly too!' or (with Kennedy) 'even then he would have deserved your execration.'

23. προήκται] Perfect passive with middle sense 'has had them brought up' (Liddell and Scott), or simply 'has trained them' (gezogen hat). This explanation is due to Reiske, and is probably right. But the general sense of $\pi \rho o \dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega$, 'to lead on by little and little' (§ 18 προάγωνται), may perhaps warrant our understanding it of Conon's permitting his sons to be constantly taking liberties, and going step by step from bad to worse. προήκται may in the latter case be rendered 'has spoilt' (verzogen hat, Westermann and G. H. Schaefer), but the two meanings are almost identical, and the general sense the same.

καὶ ταῦτ' ἐφ' ὧν—κεῖται] 'and that too in the case of acts, for some of which the penalty ordained is death' (referring to laws against ὑβρις and περὶ τῶν λωποδυτῶν, cf. § 1 ad fin.). ἐφ' ὧν ἐνίοις stands for ἐπὶ τούτων ὧν ἐνίοις [or, perhaps, καὶ ταῦτα (ἐξαμαρτάνοντας) ἐφ' ὧν ἐνίοις.

τοῦτον] Conon; ἐκεῖνον, his father (who was probably dead, as we may take αἰσχύνεσθαι as an imperfect imperative); τούτους, his sons.—The construction of the last clause is ἡξίου αν καὶ τούτους (τιμᾶν καὶ δεδιέναι) αὐτόν.

§§ 24, 25. Take and read the statutes on brutal outrage and on highway robbery, to both of which the defendant is amenable though I have declined to claim redress under these statutes. Further, had death ensued, he

P. 1264] LIV. ΚΑΤΑ ΚΟΝΩΝΟΣ ΑΙΚΕΙΑΣ. 209

 $\Lambda a \beta \epsilon \delta \dot{\eta}$ μοι καὶ τοὺς νόμους, τόν τε της $\ddot{u} \beta \rho \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ 24 καὶ τὸν περὶ τῶν $\lambda \omega \pi o \delta \upsilon \tau ω \dot{\omega} \dot{\nu}$ καὶ γὰρ τούτοις ἀμφοτέροις ἐνόχους τούτους δψεσθε. $\lambda \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\epsilon}$.

NOMOI.

Τούτοις τοις νόμοις ἀμφοτέροις ἐκ τῶν πεπραγμένων ἔνοχος Κόνων ἐστὶν ούτοσί καὶ γὰρ ὕβριζεν καὶ ἐλωποδύτει. εἰ δὲ μὴ κατὰ τούτους προειλόμεθ ἡμεῖς δίκην λαμβάνειν, ἡμεῖς μὲν ἀπράγμονες καὶ μέτριοι φαινοίμεθ ἀν εἰκότως, οῦτος δ ὁμοίως πονηρός. καὶ μὴν εἰ παθεῖν τί μοι συνέβη, φόνου καὶ 25 τῶν δεινοτάτων ἀν ἢν ὑπόδικος. τὸν γοῦν τῆς Βραυ-

¹ τόν τε addidit Dind. τους Akr. om. Z et Bekker st. cum SQ.

would have been chargeable with murder.

24. τόν τε τῆς ὕβρεως] In Or. 21 (Mid.) § 46, a document is given, purporting to be the law in question.

τον περί των λωποδυτών] The periphrasis is due to the fact that the crime has no name specially appropriated to it in Attic Greek of the best age (λωποδυσία is found only in a glossary, and λωποδυσίου δίκη in the rhetorician Hermogenes, fl. A.D. 170). Cf. § 1, where λωποδυτών άπαγωγή is parallel to ὕβρεως γραφαί.—See Mayor's note on Cicero, Phil. 11 § 8.— Xen. Mem. 1 2 § 62 κατά τοὺς νόμους, έάν τις φανερός γένηται κλέπτων ή λωποδυτών ή βαλαντιοτομών ή τοιχωρυχών...τούτοις θάνατός έστιν ή ζημία.

άπράγμονες και μέτριοι] 'Quiet and inoffensive,' Or. 42 § 12 μετρίου και άπράγμονος πολίτου μὴ εὐθὺς ἐπὶ κεφαλὴν εἰς τὸ δικαστήριον βαδίζειν. Cf. Or. 36 § 53.

25. είπαθεῖν τί μοι συνέβη] & common euphemism for death. Or. 23 (Aristocr.) § 59 αν αρα συμβή τι παθείν έκείνω. A frequent formula at the beginning of a Greek will was: ἔσται μὲν εὖ, ἐὰν δέ τι συμβαίνη, τάδε διατίθεμαι (Diog. Laert. v 2 § 51). Cf. Cicero, Phil. 1 § 10 si quid mihi humanitus accideret, and Sheridan's Rivals, v 3 (just before a duel), 'But tell me now, Mr Acres, in case of an accident, is there any little will or commission I could execute for you?'

γοῦν] 'for instance,' or, 'at any rate,' one person was condemned for such an offence. Tr. 'The father of the priestess at Brauron, though he confessedly had not laid a finger on the deceased, and merely because he encouraged the assailant to hit him again, was outlawed by the court of the Areopagus.' P.1

τῆς Βραυρωνόθεν lepelas] Priestess of Artemis, who was specially

ρωνόθεν ίερείας πατέρ' όμολογουμένως οὐγ άψάμενον τοῦ τελευτήσαντος, ὅτι τῷ πατάξαντι τύπτειν παρεκελεύσατο, εξέβαλ' ή βουλή ή εξ 'Αρείου πάγου. δικαίως εί γαρ οί παρόντες αντί του κωλύειν τους ή δι' οίνον η δι' οργην η τιν' άλλην αιτίαν εξαμαρτάνειν επιχειρούντας αὐτοὶ παροξυνούσιν, οὐδεμί' έστὶν έλπις σωτηρίας τῷ περιπίπτοντι τοῖς ἀσελγαίνουσιν,

Ation

worshipped at Brauron, the ancient deme near the western coast of Attica, where Orestes and Iphigenia are said to have landed with the statue of the Taurian goddess. Wordsworth's Athens and Attica, c. xxviii: 'The daughter of Agamemnon was brought here, as the legend related [Iph. T. 1461], from the gloomy regions of the Tauric Chersonesus, and placed as a priestess of Diana's temple in this cheerful valley, where she was said to have lived and died: and where her supposed tomb was shown in after ages.' The principal ceremony in the Brauronia, held every five years, was the rite performed by the young girls of Attica, dressed in saffroncoloured attire, who played as bears in honour of the goddess. Ar. Lys. 645 κατ' έχουσα τον κροκωτόν άρκτος ή Βραυρωνίοις. Leake's Athens 11 72, and Dict. Ant. s. v. Brauronia.

πατάξαντι τύπτειν] See Ex-

cursus (A), p. 233.

¿ξέβαλ'] not 'expelled' from its own body, but 'banished' from the country. A. Schaefer, Dem. u. s. Zeit, 111 2, 114 n.

The charge in this case would be what is technically called βούλευσις, which is best defined as 'id crimen, quo quis, quacunque sit ratione, ipse tamen a necando manus abstinens hominem morti studeat dare' (Forchhammer, de Areopago, p. 30). Harpocration s. v. says that the term is used δταν έξ ἐπιβουλῆς τίς τινι κατασκευάση θάνατον, έάν τε άποθάνη ὁ ἐπιβουλευθείς ἐάν τε μή. He adds that, according to Isaeus and Aristotle, such charges came before the court ἐπὶ Παλλαδίω, Const. of Athens, 57 § 3 των δ' ακουσίων και βουλεύσεως...οί έπὶ Παλλαδίφ, but, according to Deinarchus, before the Areopagus,—as in the present instance. The apparent discrepancy as to the tribunal for hearing such cases may be reconciled by the fact that the court at the Palladium was reserved for charges of povos άκούσιος (Aristocr. § 72), whereas that of the Areopagus had cognisance of φόνος έκ προνοίας. See Sauppe, Or. Att. II 235; Meier and Schömann, pp. 384— 6, note 532 Lipsius; also the discussion in Zink's Dissertatio pp. 3-10, Hermann's Staatsalt. ed. 6, pp. 360, 364; Rechtsalt. ed. 4, p. 52; Gilbert's Gk. Const. Antiquities, ed. 2, p. 427; and Wilamowitz, Arist. u. Athen, i 252 n. 138.

'Αρείου πάγου] The form 'Αρει- $\delta\pi\alpha\gamma$ os is apparently only found in late inscriptions. (See note on Isocr. Paneg. § 78 καλοίς κάγαθοῖς.)

άλλ' ἔως αν ἀπείπωσιν, ὑβρίζεσθαι ὑπάρξει ὅπερ $^{\rm g}$ ἐμοὶ συνέβη.

s propter syllabas breves όπερ νῦν conicit Bl. coll. 55 § 1.

ἔως ἀν ἀπείπωσιν] 'till they are tired,' εc. ol ἀσελγαίνοντες. Cf. § 27, ἐπειδὴ δ' οὖν ποτ' ἀπείπον. Reiske (Index Graec.) is clearly wrong in his rendering deliquerint animis sub verberibus: had the clause referred to the victim, the singular would have been used, to correspond to τῷ περιπίπτοντι.

§§ 26-29. At the arbitration my opponents, by wasting time and introducing irrelevant matters, protracted the proceedings beyond midnight, to the disgust of all the bystanders, and at last even of themselves. They then, with an evasive object, put in a challenge, offering to surrender certain slaves to be examined by torture as to the assault, and they will make much of this challenge. But had it been a bona fide offer, it would have been made not at the last moment, but long before.

26. ἡ δίαιτα] Civil actions at Athens, before being brought into court, were almost invariably referred to arbitration. The Arbitrators (διαιτηταί) were either public and appointed by lot (κληρωτοί) or private and chosen (αίρετοί) by the parties to the impending suit. In cases brought before a public arbitrator the parties might appeal to a higher Court; whereas the de-

cision of a private arbitrator was final. See esp. Or. 21 (Mid.) § 94 τον των διαιτών νόμων, and Aristotle, Const. of Athens, 53 §§ 2, 3. The δίαιτα here described was of the former kind. (See further Dict. Antiq. s. v. δίαιτα and Excursus to Kennedy's Demosth. Leptines &c. pp. 395—403, or Hermann's Public Antiquities, § 145, 10=Staatsalt. p. 592—4 Thumser &c. Cf. Wayte on Androt. § 27.)

έποίησαν—ώραν] 'They prolonged the time beyond midnight.' For the plural νύκτες in the sense nocturna tempora of. Plato Phileb. 50 D νῦν οῦν λέγε πότερα ἀφίης με ἢ μέσας ποιήσεις νύκτας, Protag. 310 c and Symp. 217 D πόρρω τῶν νυκτῶν. Ar. Nub. 1 τὸ χρῆμα τῶν νυκτῶν δσον.

ούτε—διδόναι] 'by refusing to read aloud the depositions or to put in copies of the same.' The depositions were indispensable, and the defendants' refusal would obviously protract the proceedings, and lead to lengthy debates between the Arbitrator and the parties to the suit.—
τῶν παρόντων sc. μαρτύρων.— καθ' ἔνα = ἔκαστον, 'one by one,' singillatim. Or. 9 § 22 καθ' ἔν οὐτωθι περικόπτειν καl λωποδυτεῖν τῶν Ἑλλήνων (index

ήμιν καθ' εν' ούτωσι πρὸς τὸν λίθον ἄγοντες καὶ ἐξορκοῦντες , καὶ γράφοντες μαρτυρίας οὐδὲν πρὸς τὸ πρᾶγμα, ἀλλ' ἐξ εταίρας είναι παιδίον αὐτῷ τοῦτο καὶ

h Dind. Westermann, Bl. 'brevium concursus argumento est aliud quid vitii latere' Bl. βωμὸν Z et Bekker st. cum libris.

1 Harp. (Bl.). έξορκίζοντες codices.

to Buttmann's Midias s. v. κατά).

oὐτωσί] 'merely,' sic temere, Homer's aστως, or μλψ οστως 'just bringing our witnesses up to the altar and putting them on their oath and nothing more,' without allowing them to proceed with their depositions.

 $\lambda l\theta o \nu$] The MSS have $\beta \omega \mu \partial \nu$, which is retained by the Zurich editors, but altered into $\lambda l\theta o\nu$ by others on the authority of Harpocration: λίθος. Δημοσθένης έν τῷ κατὰ Κόνωνος 'τῶν τε παρόντων καθ' ξνα ήμεν ούτωσε και πρός τον λίθον άγοντες και έξορκουντες (sic).' ἐοίκασι δ' 'Αθηναίοι πρός τινί λίθω τους δρκους ποιείσθαι ώς 'Αριστοτέλης έν τῆ 'Αθηναίων πολιτεία (7 § 1, 55 § 5) και Φιλόχορος εν τῷ γ΄ ὑποσημαίνουσιν. So Hesychius, λίθος βῶλος, βωμός και βάσις. τὸ ἐν τη Ἀθηναίων ἐκκλησία βῆμα. Plutarch, Solon 25 Φμνυεν δρκον ... ξκαστος των θεσμοθετών έν άγορᾶ πρός τῷ $\lambda \ell \theta \psi$. Similarly what Theophrastus (ap. Zenob. proverb. IV 36) calls the δβρεως και άναιδείας βωμούς on the Areopagus, Pausanias describes as λίθους $(1\ 28\ \S\ 5).$

The word $\beta\omega\mu\delta\nu$ was perhaps originally an interlinear or marginal explanation of $\lambda\ell\theta\sigma\nu$, and subsequently thrust the right word from the text.

The διαιτηταί might hold their arbitration in any temples, halls or courts available, e.g. in the temple of Hephaestus, as in Isocr. Trapez. § 15 έλόμενοι δè βασανιστάς άπηντήσαμεν els τὸ Ήφαιστείον (Dem. 33 § 18). So in Or. 36 § 16 we have seen the temple of Athene on the Acropolis mentioned as the scene of an arbitration. In any case an altar for the administration of oaths would be readily at hand, and it is unnecessary to suppose that in the present passage any special public altar is intended. Indeed, βωμός, with its synonym λίθος, does not always mean an altar, as it may also be used of a small platform or step of stone. Cf. Favorinus (quoted by Hager in Journ. of Philol. vi 21) βωμός οὐ μόνον έφ' ὧν έθυον άλλα και κτίσμα τι άπλως και άνάστημα, έφ' οδ έστι βήναι τι και τεθήναι. Βωμοίς. βαθμοίς.

έξορκοῦντες] έξορκίζειν is used in Aeschin. Fals. Leg. § 85 έξώρκιζον τοὺς συμμάχους, in the same sense as the more common έξορκοῦν (for which see Or. 45 § 58).

οὐδὲν πρὸς τὸ πρῶγμα] so. οδαας, 'utterly irrelevant.' τοῦτο, sc. Ctesias. They brought all sorts of irrelevant depositions, one of which was that Conon's son was illegitimate [and therefore Conon was not legally responsible for his actions; further that he, Ctesias, had undergone certain ill treatment which justified the outrage he committed on Ariston. P.]

P. 1265] LIV. KATA KON Ω NO Σ AIKEIA Σ . 213

πεπονθέναι τὰ καὶ τά, ἃ μὰ τοὺς θεοὺς ἄνδρες δικασταὶ οὐδεὶς ὅστις οὐκ ἐπετίμα τῶν παρόντων καὶ ἐμίσει, τελευτῶντες δὲ καὶ αὐτοὶ ἐαυτούς. ἐπειδὴ 27 δ' οὖν ποτ' ἀπεῖπον κἀνεπλήσθησαν ταῦτα ποιοῦντες, προκαλοῦνται ἐπὶ διακρούσει καὶ τῷ μὴ σημανθῆναι τοὺς ἐχίνους, ἐθέλειν ἐκδοῦναι περὶ τῶν

- j SAk (Bl.). ω ανδρες Dind.
- k +05701 Dind. om. Akr (Bl., qui propter hiatum etiam éavrous fortasse delendum putat).
- ā] The antecedent is not τὰ καὶ τά, but the general sense of the whole of the preceding clauses; 'a course of conduct which, &c.'

τελευτῶντες—ἐαυτούς] sc. ἐπετίμων καὶ ἐμίσουν, 'at last they were indignant at and disgusted with themselves.' The speaker feeling that, by implying that his opponents had had the sense to desist, he has made too much of a concession to them, hurries over his admission, and in the next sentence cuts the matter short by the opening words ἐπειδὴ δ' οδν, i.e. 'whether this was the real reason or no, at any rate when at last they did desist, &c.'

27. προκαλοῦνται—γράψαντες] 'with a view to gaining time, and preventing the cases for the documents from being sealed up, they put in a challenge, tendering certain slaves, whose names they wrote down, to be examined as to the assault.'

The πρόκλησιs, or challenge, demanding or offering an inquiry into a special 'issue' before an Arbitrator, 'very frequently related to the testimony of slaves presumably cognisant of the matter in dispute. In

many cases the challenge would take the form of demanding that the opponent's slaves should be given up to torture (to elicit facts which that opponent was alleged to have concealed or misrepresented, Dict. Antiq. i p. 622 a). Harpocr. quoted on Or. 45 § 15. (See Or. 45 § 59—62, and Or. 59 § 124—5.)

In the present instance Conon offers to allow certain slaves to be examined. The plaintiff evidently refuses, and this refusal, he says, is sure to be made a strong point against him. He therefore insists that the $\pi\rho\delta\kappa\lambda\eta\sigma x$ in question was a mere ruse to protract the proceedings before the Arbitrator, and that had it been a bona fide offer it would have been made at an earlier date, and with all the proper formalities (§ 27—29).

τούς έχίνους] All the legal documents (μαρτυρίαι, προκλήσεις &c.) produced during an arbitration or, indeed, any preliminary examination, e.g. an ἀνάκρισις, were enclosed in one or more caskets, or έχῦνοι (possibly of a cylindrical shape), which were sealed up and carefully preserved, to be ready in the event of an appeal.

214 LIV. ΚΑΤΑ ΚΟΝΩΝΟΣ ΑΙΚΕΙΑΣ. [§ 27—30

πληγών παίδας, ὀνόματα γράψαντες. καὶ νῦν οἰμαι¹
περὶ τοῦτ' ἔσεσθαι τοὺς πολλοὺς τῶν λόγων αὐτοῖς.
ἐγὼ δ' οἰμαι™ δεῖν πάντας ὑμᾶς ἐκεῖνο σκοπεῖν, ὅτι
οὖτοι, εἰ τοῦ γενέσθαι τὴν βάσανον ἔνεκα™ προὐκαλοῦντο κἀπίστευον τῷ δικαίῳ τούτῳ, οὐκ ᾶν ἤδη τῆς
28 διαίτης ἀποφαινομένης, νυκτὸς, οὐδεμιᾶς ὑπολοίπου
σκήψεως οὔσης, προὐκαλοῦντο, ἀλλὰ τοῦτο° μὲν πρὸ
τοῦ τὴν δίκην ληχθῆναι, ἡνίκ' ἀσθενῶν ἐγὼ κατεκείμην, καὶ οὐκ εἰδὼς εἰ περιφεύξομαι, πρὸς ἄπαντας
τοὺς εἰσιόντας τοῦτον ἀπέφαινον τὸν πρῶτον πατάξαντα καὶ τὰ πλεῖσθ' ὧν ὑβρίσμην διαπεπραγμένον,
τότ' ᾶν εὐθέως ἦκεν ἔχων μάρτυρας πολλοὺς ἐπὶ τὴν
οἰκίαν, τότ' ᾶν τοὺς οἰκέτας παρεδίδου καὶ τῶν ἐξ
'Αρείου πάγου τινὰς παρεκάλει' εἰ γὰρ ἀπέθανον, 1266

1 oloµaı Z cum S.

m S. oloman Z.

· Akr (Bl.). πρῶτον Dind.

Or. 45 §§ 17 and 57, Or. 89 § 17, Or. 47 § 16, and cf. Or. 48 (Olymp.) § 48 τὰς συνθήκας πάλιν σημήνασθαι, τὰ δ' ἀντίγραφα ἐμβαλέσθαι εἰς τὸν ἐχῖνον.

τῷ δικαίψ τοὐτψ] 'this plea.' ἡδη διαίτης ἀποφαινομένης] 'when the award was just being announced.' ἀφοφαίνεσθαι, (1) in middle of the διαιτητής Or. 33 (Apat.) § 19 είς ῶν (80. ἀνευ τῶν συνδιαιτητῶν) ἀποφαιείσθαι ἔφη τὴν διαιταν, § 20 ἐρήμην κατ' αὐτοῦ ἀπεφήνατο τὴν διαιταν (cf. § 21 τὴν ἀπόφασιν ἐποιήσατο): (2) in passive (as here) of the sward itself. Reiske's Index (to which these references are due) is wrong in rendering it as a past tense, sententia iam pronuntiata.

28. τον πρώτον πατάξαντα] 'I was pointing out the defendant,

to all who came to see me, as the man who struck the first blow.' In a case of assault, the question who struck the first blow would be, of course, important. Or. 47 § 40 βούλομαι τοὺς μάρτυρας παρασχέσθαι οἱ εἶδὸν με πρότερον πληγέντα. ἡ δ' αἰκεἰα τοῦτ' ἔστιν, ὸς ἀν ἄρξιγ χειρῶν ἀδἰκων πρότερος. Cf. Or. 23 § 50, Isocr. Or. 20 § 1, Lysias, Or. 4 § 11.

έχων μάρτυρας πολλούς] Το give full and legal attestation to the πρόκλησις. So also in Or. 45 § 61, and elsewhere, a πρόκλησις is attested by a μαρτυρία.

έξ 'Αρείου πάγου τινάς] as special witnesses. § 25 εl παθεῦν τί μοι συνέβη, φόνου... ἄν ἦν ὑπόδικοs. The speaker implies that, had death ensued, Conon would have been liable to a charge

n propter syllabas breves delendum suspicatur Bl. coll. § 18.

P. 1266] LIV. KATA KON Ω NO Σ AIKEIA Σ . 215

παρ' ἐκείνοις ἀν ἦν ἡ δίκη. εἰ δ' ἄρ' ἦγνόησε 29 ταῦτα, καὶ τοῦτο τὸ δίκαιον ἔχων, ὡς νῦν φήσει, μὴ^ρ παρεσκευάσαθ' ὑπὲρ τηλικούτου κινδύνου, ἐπειδή γ' ἀνεστηκὼς ἤδη προσεκαλεσάμην ^q αὐτόν, ἐν τῆ πρώτη συνόδῳ πρὸς τῷ διαιτητῆ παραδιδοὺς ἐφαίνετ' ἄν' ὧν οὐδὲν πέπρακται τούτῳ. ὅτι δ' ἀληθῆ λέγω καὶ διακρούσεως ἔνεχ' ἡ πρόκλησις ἦν, λέγε ταύτην τὴν μαρτυρίαν ἔσται γὰρ ἐκ ταύτης φανερόν.

MAPTTPIA.

Περὶ μὲν τοίνυν τῆς βασάνου ταῦτα μέμνησθε, 30 τὴν ὥραν ἡνίκα προυκαλεῖτο, ὧν ἕνεκ' ἐκκρούων ταῦτ'

P Akr (Bl.). οὐ hiatu admisso Dind.
q προεκαλεσάμην Akr.

of φόνος ἐκ προνοίας. On the jurisdiction of the Arcopagus in cases of homicide, see especially § 65—70 of the speech against Aristocrates, Or. 23.

29. τοῦτο τὸ δίκαιον ες. τὴν

πρόκλησιν.

Forel...ou (Dind.) cf. § 33ad fin. προσεκαλεσάμην] 'I cited, summoned him,' served him with a πρόσκλησις, not to be confounded with προύκαλεσάμην. 'I challenged him, put in a πρόκλησις.' Several Mss actually have προεκαλεσάμην,—a manifest blunder.—'If he did not know this serious responsibility, and if having (as he will now tell you) this plea on his side (i.e. the offer of the slave), he took no precautions against so serious a peril (i.e. the charge of murder), yet at least, when on my recovery I issued a summons against him, in our first meeting before the Arbitrator he would have shown himself willing to give up the slaves.'

§§ 30-33. He thereupon put in false evidence, alleging that

certain witnesses, boon companions of his own, deposed that they found the defendant's son and myself fighting in the market-place and that the defendant did not strike me. On my own part, I produce the evidence of strangers who came up by accident, attesting that they saw me struck by the defendant. What motive could these strangers have had for giving 'false evidence' on my side?

30. ὧν ἔνεκ' ἐκκρούων ταῦτ' έποίει] As delay and evasion were the object (ων ξνεκα) of the defendant's conduct (§ 27 em) διακρούσει and § 29 διακρούσεως ἔνεκα), we may at first sight suspect (with Westermann) that ἐκκρούων is an interpolation; it may, however, be defended on the ground that it enables the speaker to reiterate emphatically the real motive of his opponent,—'his purpose, his evasive purpose, in so doing.' In this view, we may, if we please, punctuate the passage thus: ὧν ἔνεκα, ἐκκρούων,

έποίει, τούς χρόνους τούς πρώτους, έν οίς οὐδαμοῦ τοῦτο βουληθείς τὸ δίκαιον αύτῷ γενέσθαι φαίνεται, ουδέ προκαλεσάμενος, ουδ' άξιώσας. ἐπειδή τοίνυν ταθτα πάντ' ήλέγχεθ', ἄπερ καὶ νθν', πρὸς τῷ διαιτητή, και φανερώς εδείκνυτο πάσιν ών ένοχος τοίς 31 εγκεκλημένοις, εμβάλλεται μαρτυρίαν ψευδή κάπιγράφεται μάρτυρας ανθρώπους ούς ούδ' ύμας αγνοήσειν οἴομαι*, ἐὰν ἀκούσητε, "Διότιμος Διοτίμου " Ικαριεύς, 'Αρχεβιάδης Δημοτέλους 'Αλαιεύς, Χαι-

- r και νῦν Akr (Bl.). παρ' ὑμῖν vulgo.
- · olopai Z et Bl. cum S. olpai Dind.

ταῦτ' ἐποίει. Cf. Fals. Leg. § 144 ἐκκρούσας είς τὴν ὑστεραίαν, and see Or. 36 § 2; 45 § 4; 40 §§ 44, 45.

άξιώσας] BC. τὸ δίκαιον γενέσ- θa_i , 'to have claimed to have this plea allowed him,' i.e. the plea founded on his appeal to the evidence of his slaves.

 $\dot{\eta}\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\chi\epsilon\theta'$] The construction is, ούτος ήλέγχετο ταύτα πάντα πρός τῷ διαιτητή ἄπερ (accusa-. tive) νθν παρ' υμιν έλέγχεται. Thus the nominative to ηλέγχετο is the same as that of εδείκνυτο in the next clause, and no change of construction is requisite.

 $\pi \hat{a} \sigma \omega$] not masc., but to be taken with τοις έγκεκλημένοις.

31. ἐμβάλλεται] Or. 40 § 21 μαρτυρίαν οὐδεμίαν έμβεβλημένος, ib. §§ 28, 58; cf. 27 §§ 51, 54; 28 § 1; sc. είς τὸν έχῖνον (§ 27), Or. 49 § 65 έμβαλομένου έμοῦ ὄρκον els τὸν ἐχῖνον, and 45 § 6. Trans. 'puts in a false deposition endorsed with names which, I take it, you will recognise, when you hear them.'

έπιγράφεται] Or. 53 § 14 κλητήρα ἐπιγράφεται. The phrase hardly means 'to give in one's list of witnesses' (L. and S.), but rather 'to have their names inscribed as witnesses.' ἐπιγράφεται, it will be noticed, is previous in order of time to έμβάλλεται. This ὅστερον πρότερον enables the speaker to lead up more easily to the mention of the names of the witnesses.

The following μαρτυρία is indisputably authentic, and therefore serves as a standard by which others purporting to be original depositions may be tested. See notes on Or. 35 (Lacr.) § 10 and Or. 45 § 8.

'Ικαριεύς ... 'Αλαιεύς ... Πιθεύς]
The names of the corresponding demes are (1) 'Irapia, belonging to the tribe Aegeis, and placed by Leake p. 103 'in the southern part of Diacria, not far from the Marathonian district.' (Bursian, however, identifies the 'Irapior opos with the southern spur of Cithaeron towards Megara, Geogr. 1 251,)

(2) 'Ahal, a name common to two sea-coast demes, the first A A Alfwrldes of the tribe Cecropis S.W. of Athens and N.W. of Cape Zoster; the second 'Aλal 'Aραφηνίδες of the tribe Aegeis on the east coast of

Attica near Brauron.

P. 1267] LIV. KATA KON Ω NO Σ AIKEIA Σ . 217

"ρέτιμος * Χαριμένους * Πιθεύς * μαρτυροῦσιν ἀπιέναι
"ἀπὸ δείπνου μετὰ Κόνωνος, καὶ προσελθεῖν ἐν ἀγορῷ
"μαχομένοις 'Αρίστωνι καὶ τῷ υἰεῖ τῷ Κόνωνος, καὶ
"μὴ πατάξαι Κόνωνα 'Αρίστωνα," ὡς ὑμᾶς εὐθέως 3²
πιστεύσοντας, τὸ δ' ἀληθὲς οὐ λογιουμένους, ὅτι
πρῶτον μὲν οὐδέποτ' ᾶν οὔθ' ὁ Λυσίστρατος οὔθ' ὁ
Πασέας οὔθ' ὁ Νικήρατος οὔθ' ὁ Διόδωρος, οῖ διαρρή-
δην μεμαρτυρήκασιν ὁρᾶν ὑπὸ Κόνωνος τυπτόμενόν
με* καὶ θοἰμάτιον ἐκδυόμενον καὶ τἄλλ' ὅσ' ἔπα-
σχον ὑβριζόμενον, ἀγνῶτες ὄντες κἀπὸ ταὐτομάτου
1267 παραγενόμενοι τῷ πράγματι τὰ ψευδῆ μαρτυρεῖν
ἠθέλησαν, εἰ μὴ ταῦθ' ἐωρων πεπονθότα· ἔπειτ' αὐ-

- t Bekk. cum r. Xaiphtios Z cum FS; Xaiphtios Q.
- Bekk, et Bl. coll. C.I.A. iv p. 15 v. 5. Xaipinévous Z cum SAr.
- * Πιθεύς S (Dind. ed. Oxon. 1846, et Bl. coll. C.I.A. ii 804, vv. 232, 254. Πιτθεύς vulgo et Harp.).
- * èμè vulgo. με propter syllabas breves Bl., qui eandem propter causam mavult τυπτόμενον και θοιμάτιον μ' ἐκδυόμενον.
- (3) Πίθος, of the tribe Cecropis, placed by Bursian N.E. of Athens, near the southern spurs of Pentelicus (Geogr. 1 345).—For 'Αρχεβιάδης see note on 8 34.

πή πατάξαι Κόνωνα 'Αρίστωνα]
The sense shows that Conon is
the subject, Ariston the object,
The order of the words is, in
itself, inconclusive.

ώs—λογιουμένουs] The accusative absolute of the participle is here used with ώς, as often with ώσπερ (quasi vero): 'imagining that you will at once give credence, instead of drawing the true inference.'

32. $d\nu$] is constructed with $i\theta \delta \lambda \eta \sigma a \nu$, five lines distant.

Numparos] possibly the Niceratos to whom Demosthenes pathetically refers in Or. 21 (Mid.) § 165 Νumparos ὁ τοῦ Νυ

κίου άγαπητὸς παῖς, ὁ παντάπασιν ἀσθενὴς τῷ σώματι. If so, he would be a great-grandson of the Nicias who commanded in the Sicilian expedition.

θοlμάτιον ἐκδυδμενον] § 85. Lysias Or. 10 § 40 (with reference to the term λωποδύτης) εί τις ἀπάγοι τινὰ φάσκων θοιμάτιον ἀποδεδύσθαι ἢ τὸν χιτωνίσκον ἐκδεδύσθαι, where θοιμάτιον (as here) and χιτωνίσκον are the object and not the subject.

τὰ ψευδή] Cf. Or. 45 § 2 'if they had not actually seen the assault, they would never have consented to give false evidence,' i.e. evidence which, on that supposition, would have been false, τὰ ψευδή ἀν δντα εἰ μὴ ταῦθ' ἐώρων.

έπειτ' αὐτὸς έγὼ] refers to ὅτι πρῶτον μὲν (οἱ μάρτυρες) and still subordinate to the distant ὅτι. τὸς ἔγωγ' το οὐδέποτ' ἀν, μὴ παθών ὑπὸ τούτου ταῦτα, ἀφεὶς τοὺς καὶ παρ' αὐτῶν τούτων ὁμολογουμένους τύπτειν ἐμέ, πρὸς τὸν οὐδ' άψάμενον πρῶτον εἰσιέναι 33 προειλόμην. τί γὰρ ἄν; ἀλλ' ὑφ' οῦ γε πρώτου τ' ἐπλήγην καὶ μάλισθ' ὑβρίσθην, τούτω καὶ δικάζομαι καὶ μισῶ καὶ ἐπεξέρχομαι. καὶ τὰ μὲν παρ' ἐμοῦ πάνθ' οὕτως ἐστὶν ἀληθῆ καὶ φαίνεται τούτω δὲ μὴ παρασχομένω τούτους μάρτυρας ἦν δήπου λόγος οὐδείς, ἀλλ' ἡλωκέναι παραχρῆμ' ὑπῆρχε σιωπῆ. συμπόται δ' ὄντες τούτου καὶ πολλῶν τοιούτων ἔργων κοινωνοί, εἰκότως τὰ ψευδῆ μεμαρτυρήκασιν. εἰ δ' ἔσται τὸ πρᾶγμα τοιοῦτον, εἰλο ἄπαξ ἀπαν-

* έγωγε Akr (Bl.). έγω hiatu admisso Dind.

y propter hiatum inseruit Bl.

- 'Reiskius, (ωστ') ἐὰν ἄπαξ—οὐδὲν εἶναι τῆς ἀληθ. Hoc quidem speciose, sed illud non puto necesse.' Dobroe.

πρῶτον] adverb, to be taken with εἰσιέναι, contrasted with νφ' οῦ πρώτου ἐπλήγην. 'I prosecute first the man who struck me first of all the assailants.' This seems better than to take it with ἀψάμενον, 'him who did not even touch me first.'

elσιέναι] els τὸ δικαστήριον. Reiske's index shows that this verb is used in Dem. of either litigant or both, or again of the cause itself, or even with δίκην or γραφήν as accusative after it. See note on Or. 45 § 7.

33. $\tau i \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \, \delta \nu$] 'Why should I?' The MSS have the interpolation, $\ddot{\eta} \, \delta \dot{\alpha} \, \tau i$; probably a mere explanation of τi ; as equivalent to $\delta \dot{\alpha} \, \tau i$:

δικάζομαι ... μισῶ ... ἐπεξέρχομαι] 'Sue ... abhor ... prosecute (visit with vengeance),' 'he it is whom I sue and prosecute as my enemy.' The collocation of μισῶ, expressive of inward feeling, between δικάζομαι and ἐπεξέρχομαι, indicating outward acts, is curious. The latter word is probably immediately suggested by μσῶ, 'not only do I hate him in my heart, but I carry out that hatred to its practical issue by prosecuting him.'

φαίνεται] so. dληθη δντα, not 'appears,' but 'is proved to be,' 'is clearly true.' μη παρασχομένψ = εl μη παρέσχετο.

εἰκότως] to be taken with τὰ ψευδή μεμαρτυρήκασι, not with κοινωνοί.

el δ' ξσται κ.τ.λ.] 'If it comes to such a pass, if once certain persons are lost to all sense of shame and openly dare to give false evidence, and (consequently) truth has no advantage, it will be an atrocious state of things.' The simple construction would have been as follows: ἐὰν δὲ ἀπαξ ἀπαναισχυντήσωσί των καὶ τὰ ψευδή

P. 1267] LIV. ΚΑΤΑ ΚΟΝΩΝΟΣ ΑΙΚΕΙΑΣ. 219

αισγυντήσωσίν τινες καὶ τὰ ψευδή φανερώς τολμήσωσιν μαρτυρείν, οὐδὲν δὲ z της ἀληθείας ὄφελος, πάνδεινον ἔσται πράγμα. ἀλλὰ νὴ Δi οὐκ εἰσὶ 34 τοιούτοι, άλλ ἴσασιν ύμων, ώς έγω νομίζω, πολλοί καὶ τὸν Διότιμον καὶ τὸν ᾿Αρχεβιάδην καὶ τὸν

φανερώς τολμήσωσι μαρτυρείν, ούδεν έσται της άληθείας όφελος. εί δὲ ἔσται τὸ πράγμα τοιοῦτον. πάνδεινον έσται.

As it is, Demosthenes, by writing τοιοῦτον in the early part of the sentence, leads us to expect ωστε, which however never comes; we have, instead, the clause ἐἀν κ.τ.λ., epexegetical of τοιοῦτον. Again οὐδὲν τῆς άληθείας όφελος is in sense the apodosis of έαν...τα ψευδή τολμήσωσι μαρτυρείν, but in construction is made part of the protasis; πάνδεινον έσται πράγμα being left to do duty as an apodosis, and πρâγμα necessarily repeated owing to the long interval that separates the apodosis from τὸ πρᾶγμα in the protasis.

For $\epsilon l - o \dot{v} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu$, see note on Or. 34 § 48.

άπαναισχυντήσωσι] used of unblushing effrontery. Cf. άπαυθαδίζεσθαι. Or. 29 § 20 τδ μέν πρώτον άπηναισχύντει, τοῦ δὲ διαιτητού κελεύοντος μαρτυρείν ή έξομνύειν, έμαρτύρησε πάνυ μόλις.

34. άλλά νη Δία] used, as often, like at enim, to introduce emphatically an anticipated rejoinder on the part of the opponents. 'Oh but, good heavens! they are not such characters as I make them out.' The phrase may be seen in its fullest form in Or. 20 § 3 άλλὰ νη Δι' έκείνο αν ίσως είποι πρός ταθτα.

§§ 34-37. Many of you know the characters of the witnesses for the defence,-men who,

in the daytime, affect an austerity which is very inconsistent with their conduct when they meet together. They will unscrupulously contradict the evidence on our side; but you will remember that I rely on medical witnesses, whereas my opponents have no independent testimony, and, but for themselves, could get no evidence at all against me. People who break into houses, and assault persons in the streets, would surely have no scruple about putting down false evidence on a paltry piece

of paper. 34. 'Αρχεβιάδην] This worthy, who has already been mentioned among the witnesses in §§ 7 and 31, and must not be confounded with the still less known 'Αρχεβιάδης ὁ Λαμπτρεύς (Or. 52 § 3), was evidently quite a 'character,' judging from Plutarch's description of him as 'a man of sour countenance who always wore a coarse cloak and had grown a prodigious beard.' Phocion x init. ην δέ τις 'Αρχεβιάδης ἐπικαλούμενος Λακωνιστής, πώγωνά τε καθειμένος ὑπερφυῆ μεγέθει καὶ τρίβωνα φορῶν ἀεὶ καὶ σκυθρωπάζων τοῦτον ἐν βουλῆ θορυβούμενος ὁ Φωκίων έπεκαλεῖτο τῷ λόγφ μάρτυν ἄμα καὶ βοηθόν. ώς δὲ ἀναστὰς ἐκεῖνος ἃ πρὸς χάριν ήν τοις 'Αθηναίοις συνεβούλευεν, άψάμενος αὐτοῦ τῶν γενείων "ω 'Αρχεβιάδη" εἶπε "τί οὖν οὐκ ἀπεκείρω;" It will be observed that Plutarch's anec-

220 LIV. KATA ΚΟΝΩΝΟΣ ΑΙΚΕΙΑΣ. [§§ 34—36

Χαιρέτιμον τον ἐπιπόλιον τουτονί, οι μεθ' ἡμέραν μὲν ἐσκυθρωπάκασι καὶ λακωνίζειν φασὶ καὶ τρίβωνας ἔχουσιν καὶ ἀπλᾶς ὑποδέδενται, ἐπειδὰν 35 δὲ συλλεγῶσι καὶ μετ' ἀλλήλων γένωνται, κακῶν καὶ αἰσχρῶν οὐδὲν ἔλλείπουσι. καὶ ταῦτα τὰ λαμ-

* Bekk. Xaiphtiov Z cum SFQ. Cf. § 31.

dote contains several points of coincidence with the passage before us.

τον ἐπιπόλιον] 'the grey-headed man yonder' (present in court). Aristot. de gen. anim. ν 5 § 3 ἐπιπολιοῦνται αὶ τρίχες 'the hair grows grizzled.' [ἐπιπόλιος is perhaps much the same as the Homeric μεσαιπόλιος, Il. XIII 361, whether the sense is 'grey on the top,' or 'half grey,' 'grizzled.' P.]

μεθ' ἡμέραν κ.τ.λ.] Or. 45 § 80.

έσκυθρωπάκασι] i.e. 'assume a sour expression and a frowning brow.' Cf. Or. 45 § 68.

λακωνίζειν φασί] i.e. 'pretend to imitate the Laconians.' Plato, Protag. 342 B οΙ μὲν (8ο. ἐν ταις πόλεοι λακωνίζοντες) ὧτά τε κατάγνυνται (i.e. get their ears battered in boxing) μιμούμενοι αὐτοὺς, καὶ ἰμάντας περιειλίττονται καὶ φιλογυμναστοῦσι καὶ βραιχείας ἀναβολὰς φοροῦσιν, κὸς δὴ τούτοις κρατοῦντας τῶν Ἑλλήνων τοὺς Λακεδαιμονίους. Ατ. Ανεβ 1281 ἐλακωνομάνουν ἄπαντες ἄνθρωποι τότε | ἐκόμων, ἐπείνων, ἐρρύπων, ἐσωκράτουν, | ἐσκυταλιοφόρουν (v. Becker's Charicles p. 63 with n. 8).

τρίβωνας] Sometimes mentioned as characteristic of Laconians. Plutarch, Nicias 19 τούς Σικελιώτας...σκώπτοντας είς τον τρίβωνα καὶ τὴν κόμην (of Gylippus the Spartan general)...έν

τῆ βακτηρία καὶ τῷ τρίβωνι τὸ σύμβολον καὶ τὸ ἀξίωμα τῆς Σπάρτης καθορῶντες... Athenaeus και του, p. 535 (quoting the historian Douris) Παυσανίας ὁ τῶν Σπαρτιατῶν βασιλεὺς, καταθέμενος τὸν πάτριον τρίβωνα, τὴν Περσικὴν ἐνεδύετο στολήν. [At the same time, the regular dress of the old Athenian dicast or ecclesiast was the τρίβων and the βακτηρία, both often mentioned in Aristoph., e.g. Vesp. 33. P.]

ἀπλᾶς ὑποδέδενται] 'wear single-soled shoes,' sc. εμβάδας. Harpocration ἀπλᾶς Δημ. κατά Κόνωνος. Καλλίστρατός φησι τὰ μονόπελμα τῶν ὑποδημάτων οῦτω καλείσθαι, Στράττις Λημνομέδα 'ὑποδήματα σαυτῷ πρίασθαι τῶν ἀπλῶν.' Bekker, Anecd. 205 άπλῶν.' άπλαι . ὑποδήματος είδος Λακωνικοῦ κ.τ.λ. They had only one thickness of sole and were apparently more like slippers than shoes. (Becker, Charicles, p. 449.) There was also a more elaborate kind of shoes known as Λακωνικαί (Ar. Vesp. 1158). For the general drift of the sentence cf. Isaeus Or. 5 § 11 ονειδίζει και έγκαλεῖ αὐτῷ ὅτι ἐμβάδας καὶ τριβώνια φορεί ώσπερ άδικούμενός τι εί έμβάδας Κηφισόδοτος φορεῖ, άλλ' οὐκ άδικῶν ὅτι ἀφελόμενος αύτον τα όντα πένητα πεποίηκεν.

συλλεγῶσι] 80. νυκτός, contrasted with μεθ' ἡμέραν μέν. κακῶν καὶ αἰσχρῶν] 'wicked-

ness and indecency.'

πρὰ καὶ νεανικά ἐστιν αὐτῶν· "οὐ γὰρ ἡμεῖς "μαρτυρήσομεν ἀλλήλοις; οὐ γὰρ ταῦθ' ἑταίρων "ἐστὶ καὶ φίλων; τί δὲ καὶ δεινόν ἐστιν ὧν παρέ-"ξεται κατὰ σοῦ; τυπτόμενόν φασί τινες ὁρᾶνδ; "ἡμεῖς δὲ μηδ' ἡφθαι τὸ παράπαν μαρτυρήσομεν. 1268 "ἐκδεδύσθαι θοἰμάτιον; τοῦτ' ἐκείνους προτέρους "πεποιηκέναι ἡμεῖς μαρτυρήσομεν. τὸ χεῖλος ἐρ-"ράφθαι; τὴν κεφαλὴν δέ γ' ἡμεῖς ἡ ἔτερόν τι κατ-"εαγέναι φήσομεν." ἀλλὰ καὶ μάρτυρας ἰατροὺς 36 παρέχομαι. τοῦτ' οὐκ ἔστιν ὧ ἄνδρες δικασταὶ παρὰ τούτοις ὅσα γὰρ μὴ δι' ἑαυτῶν, οὐδενὸς μάρτυρος καθ' ἡμῶν εὐπορήσουσιν. ἡ δ' ἀπ' αὐτῶν ἑτοιμότης οὐδ' ἀν εἰπεῖν μὰ τοὺς θεοὺς δυναίμην ὅση καὶ οἴα πρὸς τὸ ποιεῖν ὁτιοῦν ὑπάρχει. ἵνα δ' εἰδῆθ' οἶα

propter syllabas breves φασιν όρᾶν τινες mavult Bl.
 scripsit Bl. coll. 6 § 18. πρότερον codices.

35. τὰ λαμπρὰ και νεανικά] 'their splendid and spirited pleas.'

οὐ γὰρ κ.τ.λ.] 'What! sha'n't we, &c.,' quidni igitur?

ων παρέξεται] constr. τί δε καὶ δεινών έστιν εκ τούτων α παρέξεται δ 'Αρίστων κατά σοῦ; 'is there any serious harm, anything really worth fearing?'

ηφθαι] passive, referring to Ariston, like τυπτόμενον just before. 'ημαι is pf. mid. in Soph. Tr. 1009 (ηπτα) and Pl. Phaedr. 260 (ηφθα)' (we may add Dem. Or. 51 § 5 ηφθα1 της τριήρους τούτους); 'pf. passive in Eur. Hel. 107, Ar. Pl. 301 and Thuc. IV 100.' Veitch, Greek Verbs.

έρράφθαι] § 41 τὸ χεῖλος διακοπείς οὕτως ὧστε ῥαφῆναι. This was doubtless part of the surgeon's evidence in § 10.

κατεαγέναι] second perfect in passive sense. For other constructions, cf. Plato Gorg. 469 D, τής κεφαλής κατεαγέναι and Lys.
Or. 3 § 40 καταγείς την κεφαλην
ὑπ' αὐτοῦ.

36. δσα μη] 'except what is (deposed) by means of themselves'; 'nam nisi quod sibi ipsi testabuntur nullum adversus nos testem habebunt. Plutarch Timol. 3 πρᾶος διαφερόντως δσα μη μισοτύραννος εἶναι καὶ μισοπόνηρος.' G. H. Schaefer.

ή - ἐτοιμότης] On this circumlocution, see Kühner, Gk. Gr.

δοη και ola] quanta et qualis. 'In heaven's name, I could not tell you the extent and the character of the readiness existing on their part to perpetrate anything in the world.' Cf. the common collocation τοσοῦτος και τοιοῦτος (e. g. § 37), which may often be conveniently paraphrased as above.

ίνα εἰδῆτε] The speaker uses the plural in addressing the &-

καὶ διαπραττόμενοι περιέργονται, λέγ' αὐτοῖς ταυτασὶ τὰς μαρτυρίας, σὺ δ' ἐπίλαβε τὸ ὕδωρ.

MAPTTPIAI.

Τοίχους τοίνυν διορύττοντες και παίοντες τούς 37 άπαντώντας, άρ' αν ύμιν οκνήσαι δοκούσιν έν γραμματειδίφ τὰ ψευδή μαρτυρείν άλλήλοις doi κεκοινωνηκότες τοσαύτης καὶ τοιαύτης φιλαπεγθημοσύνης

d Bekk. (cf. 35). om. S prima manu.

κασταί, and passes off to the singular $\lambda \epsilon_{\gamma \epsilon}$, on turning to the

clerk of the court.

έπίλαβε τὸ ΰδωρ] Or. 45 § 8; 57 § 21; Lysias Or. 23 §§ 4, 8, 11, 13, 15; Isaeus Or. 2 § 34; 3 § 12. The κλεψύδρα (Becker's Charicles, p. 212 n.) was always stopped by the attendant in charge of it (ὁ ἐφ' εδωρ) during the recitation of documents. The flow of the water was stopped by placing the hand on the top of the αὐλίσκος, or short neck, of the κλεψύδρα, Aristotle, Const. of Athens, col. 33-4 έπιλαμβάνει τὸν α[ὐλίσκον, ἐπειδὰν δέη.....νόμον ή μαρ[τυρίαν...ὑπὸ τοῦ γραμμ]ατέως ἀναγιγν[ώσκεσθαι]. It was only the duration of the speech proper that was reckoned in the allowance of time measured by the κλεψύδρα. Or. 36 ends with the words ¿ξέρα τὸ ὕδωρ 'pour out the water, implying that the orator had found it unnecessary to avail himself of the full allowance of time. The Orators frequently used ΰδωρ in the sense of 'time allotted' for a speech, e.g. èv τῷ ἐμῷ ΰδατι: ἐν μικρῷ μέρει τοῦ παντός δδατος. So Or. 41 fin. πρός όλίγον ΰδωρ άναγκαζόμενος λέγειν, infra § 44; 40 § 38; 44 § 45; 53 § 3; 59 § 20; Deinarchus Or. 1 § 114; 2 § 6. Aeschin.

Fals. Leg. § 126 πρὸς ἔνδεκα άμφορέας ... κρίνομαι, Dem. Or. 43 § 8. Cf. Pliny, Ep. 11 11 § 14 dixi horis paene quinque; nam duodecim clepsydris quas spatiosissimas acceperam sunt additae quattuor.

When Goethe visited Venice, in Oct. 1786, and went to see a trial in the Ducal Palace, he found a custom in force singularly similar to that implied in the text. Whenever the advocate spoke, the time that elapsed was measured with an hourglass, which was laid on its side while the depositions were read: 'so lange nämlich der Schreiber liest, so lange läuft die Zeit nicht 'etc. (Italiänische Reise, p. 68 Düntzer).

37. τοίχους διορύττοντες] The documents just read have deposed to the defendant's witnesses being guilty inter alia of housebreaking (τοιχωρυχία). Hermann, Rechtsalt. ed. Thal-

heim p. 464 n. 3.

γραμματειδίω] 'a mere bit of paper,' 'a paltry document.' Or. 56 § 1 ἐν γραμματειδίφ δυοίν χαλκοίν έωνημένω και βιβλιδίω μικρφ πάνυ. Isocr. Trapez. § 34. The diminutive is thrown into effective contrast by the subsequent τοσαύτης καὶ τοιαύτης.

φιλαπεχθημοσύνηs] 'malignity,

P. 1268] LIV. ΚΑΤΑ ΚΟΝΩΝΟΣ ΑΙΚΕΙΑΣ. 223

καὶ πονηρίας καὶ ἀναιδείας καὶ ὕβρεως; πάντα γὰρ ταῦτ' ἔμοιγ' ἐν τοῖς ὑπὸ τούτων πραττομένοις ἐνεῖναι δοκεῖ. καίτοι καὶ τούτων ἔτερ' ἐστὶ πεπραγμένα τούτοις δεινότερα, ἀλλ' ἡμεῖς οὐχ οἶοί τε γενοίμεθ' ἀν πάντας ἐξευρεῖν τοὺς ἠδικημένους.

'Ο τοίνυν πάντων ἀναιδέστατον μέλλειν αὐτὸν 38 ἀκούω ποιεῖν, βέλτιον νομίζω προειπεῖν ύμῖν εἶναι. φασὶ γὰρ παραστησάμενον τοὺς παῖδας αὐτὸν κατὰ τούτων ὀμεῖσθαι, καὶ ἀράς τινας δεινὰς καὶ χαλεπὰς

' quarrelsomeness,' used also by Isocr. antid. § 315 ώμότητα και μισανθρωπίαν και φιλαπεχθημοσύνην. Dem. Or. 24 § 6 πονηρώ και φιλαπεχθήμονι και θεοῖς έχθοῦ.

καίτοι—τούτοις] a fortuitous hexameter.

§§ 38-41. I must warn you that Conon will try to impose upon you by swearing by the lives of his own sons and by other strange imprecations. His recklessness about oaths is proved by what I have heard of the profanity of his youthful days; and surely Conon, who would think nothing of perjury, is not to be credited in comparison with myself, who, so far from swearing by the lives of my children, would not swear at all, except under compulsion, and even then, only in a lawful manner. Such an oath I was willing to take for the truth's sake; and, in selfdefence against the perjury of my opponent, I challenged him to accept my offer to take the oath, and I now solemnly swear that Conon whom I now prosecute really assaulted and brutally maltreated me.

38. παραστησάμενον τοὺς παιδας] The practice of exciting the compassion of the jury by bringing the children into court is often referred to, e.g. Or. 21 § 99 παιδία γάρ παραστήσεται καὶ κλαήσει καὶ τούτοις αὐτὸν έξαιτήσεται, and Hyperides, Euxenipp. ad fin. έγω μέν οὖν σοί Εύξένιππε βεβοήθηκα δσα είχον. λοιπον δ' έστι δείσθαι των δικαστών και τούς φίλους παρακαλείν και τὰ παιδία ἀναβιβάζεσθαι (see especially Aristophanes' ridicule of the custom in Vespae 568-74 and 276-8). But in the present case a still more sensational effect is to be produced by Conon's laying his hands upon his children's heads and praying that the direst curses may come down upon them, if his statements are false.

κατά τούτων δμεῖσθαι] 'to swear by them, -by their lives.' κατά implies the basis on which the oath rests [or, perhaps, hostile action directed against the object sworn by. So in Ar. Equit. 660 κατά χιλίων παρήνεσα εύχην ποιήσασθαι χιμάρων, the vow is, as it were, aimed at the lives of the creatures to be sacrificed. P.]. Thuc. v. 47 δμνύντων τον δρκον κατά lepŵy τελείων, Isaeus Or. 7 § 16 δμνύναι καθ' ίερων, Lys. Or. 32 § 13 ἐπιορκήσασα κατά τῶν παίδων των έμαυτής, Dem. 29 § 26 ή μήτηρ κατ' έμου και τής άδελφής ἐπαράσεσθαι[®] καὶ τοιαύτας, οἵας ἀκηκοώς γέ τις θαυμάσας ἀπήγγελλεν¹ ἡμῖν. ἔστι δ' ὧ ἄνδρες δικασταὶ
ἀνυπόστατα μὲν τὰ τοιαῦτα τολμήματα οἱ γὰρ
οἶμαι βέλτιστοι καὶ ἥκιστ' ἄν αὐτοί τι ψευσάμενοι,
μάλισθ' ὑπὸ τῶν τοιούτων ἐξαπατῶνται οὐ μὴν 1269
ἀλλὰ δεῖ πρὸς τὸν βίον καὶ τὸν τρόπον [ἀπο]βλέ39 ποντας⁸ πιστεύειν. τὴν δὲ τούτου πρὸς τὰ τοιαῦτ'
ὀλιγωρίαν ἐγὰ πρὸς ὑμᾶς ἐρῶ πέπυσμαι γὰρ ἐξ
ἀνάγκης. ἀκούω γὰρ ὧ ἄνδρες δικασταὶ Βάκχιόν τέ
τινα, ὃς παρ' ὑμῦν ἀπέθανε, καὶ ᾿Αριστοκράτην τὸν

- Bekk. cum H. Wolfio et corr. S. -σασθαι Z cum k.
- ^f Z, Bekker st., et Bl. cum SQ (coll. 21 § 36). ἀπήγγειλεν Dind.
- propter syllabas breves βλέποντας mavult Bl. coll. 19 § 29, 9
 § 35. ἀποβλέποντας Dind. (14 § 15); ἀποβλέποντα Ak.

πίστιν ἡθέλησεν ἐπιθεῖναι, 19 § 292; 21 § 119. (Kühner's Greek Grammar, § 433 fin.)

We find a curious parallel in a charge made as follows against Demosthenes himself by Deinarchus, Or. 1 § 71 ποῦ τοῦτ' ἐστὶ δίκαιον...τοὺς μὲν νόμους προλέγειν...παιδοποιεῖσθαι κατὰ τοὺς νόμους...οὲ δὲ τοὺς οὺ γεγενημένους υἰεῖς σαυτῷ προσποιεῖσθαι παρὰ τοὺς νόμους τῶν ἐν ταῖς κρίσειν ἔγεκα γιγγομένων ὅρκων;

άκηκοὼς—άπηγγελλεν] i.e. 'our informant listened to them in amazement.'

dνυπόστατα] not exactly 'intolerable' but 'irresistible,' impossible to withstand.' The most upright of men and those who are least likely to tell a falsehood themselves (the jury for instance) are most likely to be deceived by such asseverations (ὑπὸ τῶν τοιούτων SC. τολμημάτῶν).

οί οίμαι βέλτιστοι] For the

position of οίμαι, of. Fals. Leg. § 80 οί μέν οίμαι βέλτιστοι, Lept. § 3 έν οίμαι πολλοῖς. Plato Gorg. 483 ο ἡ δέ γε οίμαι φύσις, and Rep. 504 λ έξ οίμαι τῆς ἀκροτάτης έλευθερίας.

οὐ μὴν ἀλλά]=' not but that.' The phrase is always elliptical: here we may supply οὐ μὴν (ὑπὸ τῶν τοιούτων δεῖ ἐξαπατᾶσθαι) ἀλλά...

πρὸς τὸν βίον—πιστεύειν] 'You must look to his life and character, and then believe him (if you can).'

39. πρός τὰ τοιαῦτα] 80. ὅρ-

πέπυσμαι—ἀνάγκης] i.e. the defendant has forced the enquiry upon me (cf. § 17 fin. ἀνάγκη...).

παρ' ὑμῶν ἀπέθανε] 'was condemned to death in your court,
—by your verdict.'

'Αριστοκράτην] Probably identical with the person mentioned in Or. 38 § 27 τῶν αισχρῶν --

τους όφθαλμους διεφθαρμένον καὶ τοιούτους έτέρους καὶ Κόνωνα τουτονί, έταίρους εἶναι μειράκι' ὄντας καὶ Τριβαλλους ἐπωνυμίαν ἔχειν τούτους τά θ' Έκαταῖα κατεσθίεινh, καὶ τους ὄρχεις τους ἐκ τῶν

h Bekk. et Bl. cum Akr et Maximo v 589 Walz. κατακαίειν SFQ. om. Z et Westermann.

...τά μεν δντα κατεσθίοντας καὶ παροινοῦντας μετὰ 'Αριστοκράτους καὶ Διογνήτου καὶ τοιούτων ετέρων αίσχρῶς καὶ κακῶς ἀνηλωκέναι.

τον τους όφθαλ. διεφθαρμένον] 'the man with the bad eyes' (perhaps blind from ophthalmia, luscus). For pass. of διαφθείρω used of impaired sight or hearing, and similar physical defects, cf. Aeschin. 1 § 102 πρεσβύτης διεφθαρμένος τους όφθαλμούς. Ηdt. 1 34 ησαν τῷ Κροίσῷ δύο παίδες, τῶν οὕτερος μὲν διέφθαρτο, ην γὰρ δὴ κωφός, and ib. 38 διεφθαρμένος τὴν ἀκοήν. Dem. Or. 13 § 13 δεῖ τὰ ὧτα πρῶτον ὑμῶν ἰάσασθαι, διέφθαρται γάρ.

Τριβαλλούs] See Excursus (D)

p. 241. τὰ Ἐκαταῖα] Once every month, at the time of the new moon, dishes of food were set out for Hecate in the evening at the places where three roads met; and the food thus offered was not unfrequently eaten by poor people. Cf. Arist. Plutus, 594-7 παρά της Έκάτης έξεστιν τοῦτο πυθέσθαι | είτε τὸ πλουτείν είτε τὸ πεινήν βέλτιον. φησί γάρ αυτη | τούς μέν έχοντας καί πλουτούντας δείπνον κατά μην' αποπέμπειν, τούς δε πένητας τῶν ἀνθρώπων ἀρπάζειν πρὶν καταθείναι (with the Scholia). [Juvenal v 85 'exigua feralis cena patella,' Psalm cvi 28 'they ate the offerings of the dead.' This act, and the eating of the καθάρματα, which had a mystical import, are cited as instances of impious bravado in things sacred, which augured ill for Conon's paying any regard to the obligations of a solemn oath. P.] In Lucian's Dialogues of the Dead (1 § 1 = p. 331 R) Diogenes asks Pollux to invite from the upper world Menippus the Cynic, who is sure to bring his wallet well stocked with broken victuals, λέγε αὐτῷ..., έμπλησάμενον την πήραν ήκειν θέρμων τε πολλών και εί που εύροι έν τη τριόδω Εκάτης δείπνον κείμενον η ώδν έκ καθαρσίου ή τι τοιούτον.

Hemsterhuis in an exhaustive note on the above passage (Vol. 11 p. 397—400 ed. Bipont.) also quotes Plutarch II p. 290 d (the dog) χθονία δείπνον Έκατη πεμπόμενος είς τριόδους άποτροπαίων και καθαραίων έπέτει μοίραν, Quaest. Rom. p. 280 B, Symp. vII p. 708 F. We may add Charicleides cited by Athenaeus vII 325 δέσποιν Έκατη, τριοδίτι, τρίμορφε, τριπρόσωπε, τρίγλαις (mullet) κηλευμένα.

After the word Έκαταΐα some of the MSS have κατακαίεω, 'to burn up,' which is not likely to be the right reading; others have κατεσθίεω, which makes good sense and is commonly accepted. Of Reiske's conjectures (κατ' άγυιὰς and καταπίνεω) neither can be considered probable. Baiter leaves out the verb, thus making συλλέγοντας

226 LIV. KATA KONΩNOΣ AIKEIAΣ. [§§ 39, 40

χοίρων¹, οίς καθαίρουσ' όταν εἰσιέναι μέλλωσι, συλλέγοντας ἐκάστοτε συνδειπνεῖν ἀλλήλοις, καὶ ράον 40 ὀμνύναι κἀπιορκεῖν ἡ ὁτιοῦν. οὐ δὴ Κόνων ὁ τοι-

i τàs ὄρνεις (ὄρνις kB) τàs ἐκ τῶν χωρῶν (χορῶν Akr) als codices.

govern Εκαταΐα as well as ὅρχεις. Westermann suggests κλέπτειν but follows Baiter. κατακαίειν may perhaps be accounted for by supposing that Έκαταΐα or καταΐα was erroneously written twice by an early copyist; a subsequent copyist might alter this into the nearest verb he could think of, κατακαίειν; this would be seen to be wrong by a still later writer, who would substitute the intelligible word κατεσθέειν.

τοὺς δρχεις τοὺς ἐκ τῶν χοίρων] The Mss have τὰς δρνεις (οτ ὅρνις) τὰς ἐκ τῶν χωρῶν (οτ χορῶν) αἔς. But birds are out of place in an expiatory sacrifice prior to a public assembly, and the use of young pigs for this purpose is distinctly attested by ancient authorities (e.g. Schol. on Ar. Ach. 44). We must therefore accept the certain emendations given in the text, and originally proposed by Hemsterhuis (in his note on Lucian above referred to).

Ηατροςτ. (and Photius) καβάρσιον * Αἰσχίνης κατὰ Τιμάρχου
(§ 23, speaking of the ἐκκλησία,
ἐπειδὰν τὸ καθάρσιον περιενεχθή),
ἐβος ἡν ' Αθήνησι καθαίρειν τὴν
ἐκκλησίαν καὶ τὰ θέατρα καὶ δλως
τὰς τοῦ δήμου συνόδους μικροῖς
πάνυ χοιριδίοις ἄπερ ἀνόμαζον
καθάρσια τοῦτο δ' ἐποίουν οὶ λεγόμενοι περιστίαρχοι, οἴπερ ἀνομάσθησαν οὕτως ήτοι ἀπὸ τοῦ περιστείχειν ἡ ἀπὸ τῆς ἐστίας. (Ατ.
Ευσί. 128 ὁ περιστίαρχος περιέρειν χρὴ τὴν... γαλῆν, Αςh. 44
ὡς ᾶν ἐντὸς ἦτε τοῦ καθάρματος.)

καθαίρουσ'] A plural indefinite, with the subject omitted; cf. the frequent use of φασί, λέγουσι, δνομάζουσι.

elσιέναι] sc. els τὴν ἐκκλησίαν, etc. Hence elσιτήρια (Fals. Leg. § 210 with Shilleto's note). η ὅτιοῦν] 'They think less of swearing and perjuring themselves than anything else whatsoever,' 'than anything else in the world.' Or. 56 § 15 οὐδέν γε μᾶλλον ἢ ὀτιοῦν.

 οὐ δὴ...οὐδὲ πολλοῦ δεῖ] Here, as usual in this phrase, ovoè reiterates the preceding negation (οὐ δη), but does not negative πολλοῦ δεῖ although closely pronounced with it. (Cf. Fals. Leg. § 33 οὐ γὰρ...τὰ πράγματ' έστι φαθλα...οὐδὲ πολλοθ δεί, with Shilleto's note.) We have an apparent exception to this rule in Or. 20 Lept. § 20 parnσεται γάρ οὐδὲ πολλοῦ δεῖ τῆς γενησομένης άξιον alσχύνης, where there is no preceding negative expressed. The exception may however be explained on the supposition that φανήσεται is ironical and therefore implies a negative: οὐ γὰρ φανήσεται τῆς γενησομένης άξιον αίσχύνης, οὐδὲ πολλοῦ δεῖ.

où $\delta\dot{\eta}$ $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$. A very elegant and idiomatic passage: 'Conon then, as a character such as I have described, is not to be trusted on oath; far from it, indeed. No! the man who would not take even an oath that he intends to observe, and would not for a moment think of doing so on the lives

οῦτος πιστός ἐστιν ὀμνύων οὐδὲ πολλοῦ δεῖ ἀλλ' ὁ μηδ' εὔορκον μηδὲν $αν^{\rm J}$ ὀμόσας $^{\rm k}$, κατὰ δὲ δὴ παίδων ων μὴ νομίζετε $^{\rm J}$ μηδ' αν μελλήσας, ἀλλὰ καν

i + ραδίως propter syllabas breves addere vult Bl.

k αν όμόσας μηδέν r.

1 S. νομίζεται Akr. ὁμόσας, κατὰ δὲ δὴ παίδων ὧν μὴ νομίζετε Bekker et Bl. cum libris.—'Lege ὧν μὴ νομίζεται μηδὲν μηδ' ἄν μελλήσας, qui ne in animum quidem induxerit ut novo et inusitato more per liberorum capita iuret... Imo transpone, ὁμόσας ὧν μὴ νομίζεται, κατὰ δὲ δὴ τῶν παίδων μηδ' ἄν μελλήσας.' Dobree. ὁμ. ὧν μὴ νομίζετε, κατὰ δὲ δὴ παίδων μηδ' ἄν μελλήσας Sauppe. Locum corruptum putat Bl., cui Dobraei coniectura idcirco displicet, quod κατὰ δὲ δὴ παίδων tamquam maius aliquid post ὧν μὴ νομίζετε infertur. Idem παίδων spurium arbitratus conicit καθ' ὧν δὲ δὴ μὴ νομίζετε μηδ' ἄν μελλήσας.

^m μηδέν SFQ.

n Kal Akr.

of his children,...but would rather suffer anything than that,—and who, even when constrained, will take none but a customary oath,—I say, such a man is more to be trusted than one who swears by his sons and offers to undergo the fiery ordeal.' P.]

 $\dot{\delta}$ μηδ'—μελλήσας] The MSS have ών μη νομίζετε (Or voulζεται) after κατά δὲ δὴ παίδων. There are two objections to this: (i) the plaintiff describes himself as one who is 'reluctant to swear even to the truth' (μηδ' εδορκον μηδέν αν όμόσας), whereas in § 41 he publicly swears to having been assaulted by the defendant : (ii) an oath by the lives of one's children is described as 'contrary to usage' (ὧν μὴ νομίζετε), whereas this very oath is elsewhere attributed to the mother of Demosthenes. Or. 29 §§ 26, 33, 54, 56 ή μήτηρ κατ' έμοῦ καὶ τῆς άδελφης...πίστιν ήθέλησεν έπιθείναι... ήν μηδείς ύμων νομιζέτω καθ' ἡμῶν ποτ' ἄν ὀμνύναι ταθτ' αν έθέλειν, εί μη σαφως ήδει τὰ εδορκα ὁμουμένη. Or. 19 § 292. It was with a view to removing these objections that Dobree placed ὧν μὴ νομίζεται after μηδέν ὰν ὁμόσας.

If an easier alteration is preferred, we may retain the order as it stands in the Mss. simply inserting μηδέν after ὧν μὴ νομίζετε, and accounting for its loss by its similarity to the sub-sequent $\mu\eta\delta$, $d\nu$. The MSS vary between μηδ' αν and μηδέν, and this proposal combines the two alternative readings. The sentence would then run thus: ò μηδ' εδορκον μηδέν αν όμόσας. κατά δέ δη παίδων, ών μη νομίζετε μηδέν μηδ' αν μελλήσας. Thus ων μη νομίζετε depends on μηδέν and does not refer to $\pi a i \delta \omega \nu$, the sense of the second clause being that Ariston would never dream of taking any such oath, by his children's lives, as would be contrary to general usage. Below, he describes himself as όμνύων ώς νόμιμον.

μηδ' εδορκον] Isocr. ad Dem. § 23 ξνεκα δέ χρημάτων μηδένα

228 LIV. KATA KON Ω NO Σ AIKEIA Σ . [§§ 40, 41

ότιοῦν παθών πρότερου, εἰ δ' ἄρ'ο ἀναγκαῖου, ὀμνύων ώς νόμιμου, Ἦκατ' ἐξωλείας αὐτοῦ καὶ γένους καὶ οἰκίας, ἀξιοπιστότερος τοῦ κατὰ τῶν παίδων ὀμνύντος καὶ διὰ τοῦ πυρός. ἐγω τοίνυν ὁ δικαιότερόν σου πιστευθεὶς ἄν κατὰ πάντ', ἀ Κόνων, ἠθέλησ'

δ' ἄρ' Bekker et Bl. cum Akr, coll. 18 § 278. δ' Dind.
 p-p addit Maximus (v 589 Walz), quae recepit Bl. coll. Antiph.
 v 11.
 q δμνύντος Bekk. et Bl. cum Akr. δμνύοντος Dind.

θεῶν ὀμόσης, μηδ' ἄν εὐορκεῖν μέλλης. κῶν ὁτιοῦν παθὼν πρότερον]

καν δτιοῦν παθών πρότερον]
'Would submit to anything sooner than that,' i.e. rather than swear by an oath contrary to the country's use, or by the lives of his children.

The whole sentence is intended to be descriptive of the character of a man who has a solemn regard for the obligations of an oath; hence the use of μή. A person of such a character, says the plaintiff, is more trustworthy than one who is ready to take any oath you The characters contrasted are of course those of the plaintiff and defendant respectively, but this is only implied until we reach the next sentence, έγὼ...ὸ δικαιότερόν σου $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \upsilon \theta \epsilon ls \Delta \nu$, when the contrast is brought home to the case at

καὶ διὰ τοῦ πυρός] It is doubtful whether we can explain this of any ordeal by fire like that referred to in Soph. Antig. 264 (ημεν δ' ξτοιμοι καὶ μύδρους αἰρειν χεροῦν, καὶ πῦρ διέρπειν καὶ θεοὺς ὀρκωμοτεῖν), and possibly implied in Ar. Lysistr. 133 $\delta \lambda \lambda$ ' δ τι βούλει, κάν με χρὴ, διὰ τοῦ πυρὸς ἐθέλω βαδίζειν, which however may be only a strong metaphor expressive of readi-

ness to endure any amount of torture. Sometimes διά πυρὸς is used of 'braving the extremest perils,' 'going through fire and water,' as in Xen. Symp. IV 16 έγωγ' οῦν μετὰ Κλεινίου κᾶν διά πυρὰς loiny, and Oec. XXI 7 ἀκολουθητέον...καὶ διὰ πυρὸς καὶ διὰ παντὸς κινδύνου (L and S S. V. πῦρ).

In the present passage διὰ τοῦ πυρός possibly contains an allusion to some strange form of self-devotion, one of the ἀραὶ δειναὶ καὶ χαλεπαὶ obscurely hinted at in § 38. G. H. Schaefer simply says: 'vertam, vel dum ara ardet,' i.e. 'one who swears by his children even while the flame is burning on the altar,' and C. B. Kennedy renders the words: 'and before the burning altar.' (Cf. Or. 43 § 14 λαβύντες τὴν ψῆφον καομένων τῶν ἰερείων.)

This is hardly satisfactory, and it is not improbable that the text is corrupt and that we should read και διά τοῦ πυρὸς ιόντος, where the participle would easily have been lost by homoeoteleuton with δωνύοντος.

πιστευθείs åν] See on § 1 ad fin. For the passive, formed just as if the active were directly transitive, and took the accusative, of. § 5 παροινουμένους and § 2 παρανενομήσθαι.

όμόσαι ταυτί, οὐχ ὑπὲρ τοῦ μὴ δοῦναι δίκην, ὧν ἢδίκηκα, κὰν ὁτιοῦν* ποιῶν, ὥσπερ σύ, ἀλλ' ὑπὲρ τῆς ἀληθείας καὶ ὑπὲρ τοῦ μὴ προσυβρισθῆναι, ὧς οὐ κατεπιορκησόμενος* τὸ πράγμα. λέγε τὴν πρόκλησιν.

ΠΡΟΚΛΗΣΙΣ.

Ταῦτ' ἐγω καὶ τότ' ἠθέλησα ὀμόσαι, καὶ νῦν 41 ὀμνύω τοὺς θεοὺς καὶ τὰς θεὰς ἄπαντας καὶ ἀπάσας ὑμῶν εἴνεκ' ὦ ἄνδρες δικασταὶ καὶ τῶν περιεστηκότων, ἡ μὴν παθων ὑπὸ Κόνωνος ταῦθ' ὧν δικάζομαι, 1270 καὶ λαβων πληγάς, καὶ τὸ χεῖλος διακοπεὶς οὕτως ὥστε καὶ ῥαφῆναι, και ὑβρισθεὶς τὴν δίκην διώκειν. καὶ εἰ μὲν εὐορκῶ, πολλά μοι γένοιτο κὰγαθὰ καὶ

- r καν ότιοῦν scripsit Bl. και ότιοῦν vulgo. ότιοῦν Akr.
- Z, Bekker st., et Bl. cum libris. κατεπιορκηθησόμενος Dobree, Dind. • πάσας Z cum S.
- " γένοιτο καὶ ἀγαθά Aristidis (p. 377 W) codex Par. 1741; γένοιτο ἀγαθά Aristidis lectio vulgata, et Akr; ἀγαθὰ γένοιτο et hiatu et syllabis brevibus admissis Dind. (57 § 57, 55 § 24).

ήθέλησ' ὁμόσαι ταυτί] The general drift of this oath must have been given by the πρόκλησιs which was read to the jury; it is also indicated in the asseverations of § 41.

It is clear that this Challenge was refused by the defendant. The plaintiff would therefore be able to point to this refusal as a fact in his own favour, just as the defendant would in the case of the $\pi\rho\delta\kappa\lambda\eta\sigma\iota$ s tendered by him and rejected by the plaintiff (§ 27).—In the next line $\kappa\alpha\iota$ emphasizes $\delta\tau\iota\Omega\iota$ ν.

κατεπιορκησόμενος] the future middle which, if retained, must be taken as passive in sense, 'inasmuch as I am determined not to lose the case by your perjury.' [Or, 'as one who had no idea of having the case

decided against him by perjury.' P.] For the use of κατα- of. καταρραθυμεῦν (' to lose by negligence') in Or. 4 § 7 τὰ κατερραθυμημένα πάλυν ἀναλήψεσθε, and κατεπρῶευ, ' to subdue by charming' (Pl. Gorg. 483 E).

41. των περιεστηκοτων] Aeschin. Ctesiph. § 56 άποκρίνομαι έναντίον σοι των δικαστών και των αλλων πολιτών όσοι δη έξωθεν περιεστάσι, and Dem. de Cor. § 196.

What applies above to private orations of great public importance, applies mutatis mutandis to the present speech, which was probably listened to by a considerable body of citizens, besides the forty δικασταl before whom this case was apparently tried (see Introduction p. lxi).

καλ εί μέν εύορκω έσεσθαι]

230 LIV. ΚΑΤΑ ΚΟΝΩΝΟΣ ΑΙΚΕΙΑΣ. [§§ 41—44

μηδέποτ' αὖθις τοιοῦτο μηδὲν πάθοιμι, εἰ δ' ἐπιορκῶ, ἐξώλης ἀπολοίμην αὐτὸς καὶ εἴ τί μοι ἔστιν ἢ μέλλει* ἔσεσθαι. ἀλλ' οὐκ ἐπιορκῶ, οὐδ' ἃν Κόνων 42 διαρραγἢ. ἄξιον* τοίνυν ὑμᾶς ὧ ἄνδρες δικασταὶ πάνθ' ὅσ' ἐστὶ δίκαι' ἐπιδείξαντος ἐμοῦ καὶ πίστιν προσθέντος ὑμῖν, ὥσπερ ᾶν αὐτὸς ἔκαστος παθὼν τὸν πεποιηκότ' ἐμίσει, οὕτως ὑπὲρ ἐμοῦ πρὸς Κόνωνα τουτονὶ τὴν ὀργὴν ἔχειν, καὶ μὴ νομίζειν ἴδιον τῶν τοιούτων μηδέν, ὁ κᾶν ἄλλφ τυγὸν συμβαίη, ἀλλ' ἐφ'

* Akr (Bl. coll. § 40, 9 § 42, 19 § 71 etc.). $+\gamma\epsilon$ SFQ. $+\tau\epsilon$ Aristides (Dind.).

w propter hiatum ποτ' addere vult Bl.
 x Akr (Bl.). ἀξιῶ vulgo.

Quoted by Aristeides (ii 487 Rhet. Graeci, Spengel), together with the famous adjurations of the speech de Corona (§§ 1 and 141), to exemplify αξιοπιστία brought about by δρκοι and ἀρά.

έξώλης] Or. 49 § 66; Fals. Leg. § 172 έξώλης ἀπολοίμην και προώλης εί..., and in § 70 (after quoting the solemn form of imprecation used before the meetings of the βουλή and ἐκκλησία) the orator adds: ευχεσθ' ἐξώλη ποιεῦν αὐτὸν καὶ γένος καὶ οἰκίαν.

Ariston is here taking an oath almost as strong as that which he finds fault with in Conon; but he would probably plead that he was only swearing 'in the customary manner,' ὡς νόμιμον (§ 40).

διαρραγή] sc. λέγων ως έπιορκω, 'not even if Conon burst with saying that I forswear myself'— or (as we should put it)—'say till he bursts.' De Cor. § 21 δ σδς κοινωνδς, ούχ δ έμδς, ούδ' αν σύ διαρραγής ψευδόμενος.

§§ 42-43. This is no private

interest of myself alone; Conon will appeal to the compassion of the jury, though the victim of such an outrage deserves their pity, rather than its perpetrators. I therefore claim from the jury the same feeling of resentment against Conon, as each one of them would have felt in his own case.

42. $\pi \Delta \nu \theta' \rightarrow \delta k \alpha \iota \alpha]$ perhaps = $\pi \Delta \nu \tau \alpha \delta \delta k \alpha \iota \delta \sigma \alpha \delta \sigma \tau \iota$ (not $\pi \Delta \nu \tau \alpha \delta \sigma \alpha \delta \delta k \alpha \iota \delta \sigma \tau \iota$). If so, we should read $\delta \sigma \tau \iota$ for $\delta \sigma \tau \iota$.

πίστιν]=δρκον, Or. 49 § 42 πίστιν ήθέλησα έπιθεῖναι.—πίστιν προσθέντος § 41, alluding to νῦν όμνὰν κ.τ.λ.—παθῶν = εἰ ἔπαθεν. τὴν όργὴν ἔχειν] Or. 21 (Mid.) § 70 εἰ τοίνυν τις ὑμῶν ἄλλως πως ἔχει τὴν όργὴν ἐπὶ Μειδίαν ἢ ὡς δέον αὐτὸν τεθνάναι, οὐκ ὀρθῶς ἔχει. P.]

δ-συμβαίη ἄν, 'which might, perchance, happen to another.' For acc. abs. τυχὸν (like παρασχόν, έξόν, μετόν, Kühner § 487, δτ. Ισυνακέραναν and Dem. de Cor. τουνακέραναν and Dem. de Cor.

P. 1270] LIV. ΚΑΤΑ ΚΟΝΩΝΟΣ ΑΙΚΕΙΑΣ. 231

ότου ποτ' αν συμβη, βοηθεῖν καὶ τὰ δίκαι' ἀποδιδόναι, καὶ μισεῖν τοὺς πρὸ μὲν τῶν άμαρτημάτων θρασεῖς καὶ προπετεῖς, ἐν δὲ τῷ δίκην ὑπέχειν ἀναισχύντους καὶ πονηρούς, καὶ μήτε δόξης μήτ' ἔθους μήτ' ἄλλου μηδενὸς φροντίζοντας πρὸς τὸ μὴ δοῦναι δίκην. ἀλλὰ δεήσεται Κόνων καὶ κλαήσει^ν σκο- 43 πεῖτε δὴ πότερός ε ἐστιν ἐλεινότερος , ὁ πεπονθώς οἱ ἐγω πέπονθ ὑπὸ τούτου, εἰ προσυβρισθεὶς ἄπειμι καὶ δίκης μὴ τυχών, ἢ Κόνων, εἰ δώσει δίκην; πότερον δ' ὑμῶν ἑκάστφ συμφέρει, ἐξεῖναι τύπτειν καὶ ὑβρίζειν ἢ μή; ἐγὼ μὲν οἶμαι μή. οὐκοῦν, ἀν μὲν ἀφιῆτε, ἔσονται πολλοί, ἐὰν δὲ κολάζητ', ἐλάττους.

 Π όλλ' \hat{a} ν εἰπεῖν ἔχοιμ' $\hat{\omega}$ ἄνδρες δικασταί, καὶ 44 $\hat{\omega}$ ς ἡμεῖς χρήσιμοι, καὶ αὐτοὶ \hat{c} καὶ ὁ πατὴρ, ἔως ἔζη,

³ κλαιήσει Z cum S.

r propter syllabas breves ποτ' addere vult Bl. coll. 39 §§ 14, 16,
21. * scripsit Bl. έλεεν. vulgo.

b οίομαι Z cum S. c καὶ αὐτοὶ Akr. om. Z cum SFQ.

§ 221 έπεπείσμην δ' ὑπὲρ ἐμαυτοῦ, τυχὸν μὲν ἀναισθητῶν, ὅμως δ' ἐπεπείσμην.

τὰ δίκαι' ἀποδιδόναι] 'To grant him the claims which are his due'; ἀπο-, as in ἀπολαμ-βάνειν, 'to receive one's due,' 'to accept full payment.' See note on Or. 53 § 10.

πρδ] Not 'previous to,' but 'in the presence of,' 'at.' [Cf. however Or. 21 (Mid.) § 30 νεμους δθεσθε πρδ τῶν ἀδικημάτων ἐπ' ἀδήλοις τοῖς ἀδικήσουσιν. P.]

μήτ' έθους...φροντίζοντας] Cf. § 40 ῶν μὴ νομίζετε.

43. δεήσεται...καὶ κλαήσει] Or. 30 § 32 ἀναβάς έπὶ τὸ δικαστήριον ἐδεῖτο, ἰκετείων ὑπὲρ αὐτοῦ καὶ ἀντιβολῶν καὶ δάκρυσι κλαίων. Cf. Or. 53 § 29.—προσυβρισθείς is further explained by δίκης μὴ τυχών. See note on § 15,

ὑβρισθῆναι.

η μή] sc. έξεῦναι, not συμφέρει. The latter would require οδ.

αν μèν ἀφιῆτε κ.τ.λ.] Isoot. κατὰ Λοχίτου (αἰκείας), § 18 .τοὶς ἄλλους πολίτας κοσμωτέρους ποιήσετε καὶ τὸν βίον τὸν ὑμέτερον αὐτῶν ἀσφαλέστερον καταστήσετε. § 44. I might say much of the public services of my family, and show that my opponents have done you no such service. But time would not suffice, nor is this the point at issue. For even supposing we were ever so inferior to our opponents, that is no reason why we should be beaten and insulted.

44. χρήσιμοι] χρήσιμος is almost invariably used with εts τι, πρός τι, έπι τι or the simple dat., but is here placed absolutely.

καὶ τριηραρχοῦντες καὶ στρατευόμενοι καὶ τὸ προσταττόμενον ποιοῦντες, καὶ ὡς οὐδὲν οῦθ' οὖτος οὕτε τῶν τούτου οὐδείς ἀλλ' οὕτε τὸ ὕδωρ ἱκανόν, οὕτε νῦν περὶ τούτων ὁ λόγος ἐστίνὰ. εἰ γὰρ δὴ ὁμολογουμένως ἔτι τούτων καὶ ἀχρηστοτέροις καὶ πονηροτέροις ἡμῖν εἶναι συνέβαινεν, οὖ τυπτητέοι, οὐδὲ ὑβριστέοι δήπουθεν° ἐσμέν.

Οὐκ οίδ' ὅ τι δεῖ πλείω λέγειν οἰμαι ἡὰρ ὑμᾶς οὐδὲν ἀγνοεῖν τῶν εἰρημένων.

d propter syllabas breves (ὁ λόγος) aut ἔσθ' ὁ λόγος aut ὁ λόγος (deleto ἐστίν) mavult Bl.

Ak (Bl.). δήπου hiatu admisso vulgo.
 1 οἰομαι Z cum S.

τριηραρχοῦντες] See Or. 36 § 41. ώς οὐδὲν] = ώς κατ' οὐδὲν γέγονε χρήσιμος (understood from χρήσιμοι above).—On τὸ ΰδωρ, see § 36.

τούτων... ἀχρηστοτέροις] More unserviceable, more useless, to the state than our opponents. For the dat. συνέβαινεν ἡμῶν εἶναι ἀχρηστοτέροις of. § 16 αὐτοληκύθοις συγχωροῦμεν εἶναι τοῖς υἰέσι.

 $d\chi\rho\eta\sigma\tau\sigma\sigma$ is here contrasted with $\chi\rho\eta\sigma\mu\sigma\sigma$ and, as often in the Orators, is used in the same sense as $d\chi\rho\epsilon\bar{c}\sigma\sigma$ in earlier Greek writers.

τυπτητέοι] formed like τυπτήσω

as if from *τυπτέω, cf. τετυπτῆσθαι in Argument 1. 2. See Excursus (A), infra.

οὐκ otδ' $\sim elρημένων$] The very same sentence (with the addition of the phrase $\epsilon\xi\epsilon\rho a$ $\tau \delta$ $\tau\delta\omega\rho$) occurs at the close of Or. 36. On δ $\tau \iota$ $\delta\epsilon t$, see note on 36 § 62.

A longer speech might appropriately have closed with a recapitulation and a formal peroration; but in the present instance neither is necessary. Arist. Rhet. III 13 ὁ ἐπίλογός ἐστιν οὐδὲ δικανικοῦ (λόγου) παντὸς, σίον ἐὰν μικρὸς ὁ λόγος καὶ τὸ πρᾶγμα εὐμνημόνευτον.

EXCURSUS (A).

On the defective verb $\tau v \pi \tau \omega$ (§§ 4, 25, 32, 35, &c.).

The verb τύπτω forms a familiar paradigm in almost all the elementary Greek Grammars in ordinary use, where, as every schoolboy knows, it is conjugated at full length with its three perfect tenses, its five futures, and its six agrists; and it must be admitted that, for the purposes of a paradigm, the verb in question is in several respects admirably adapted. Had the selection fallen on a verb ending in -w with a vowel for the last letter of its stem, e.g. λύ-ω, or τιμά-ω, our model verb would have had one agrist only in each voice, έλυσα, έλυσάμην, έλύθην; ετίμησα, ετιμησάμην, ετιμήθην. Had a verbum purum ending in -μι been taken, e.g. φη-μί, δίδω-μι, ἴστη-μι, the beginner would have had to face a very complex conjugation at the very outset of his task. τύπτω is unencumbered with the special irregularities of verbs ending in -μι, and has the advantage of two theoretically possible aorists in each voice; indeed, as Veitch has pointed out, it is 'one of the very few verbs that have the second acrist active and passive in actual use' (though the former is very rare, while in Attic prose neither is ever Again, as compared with some other verba impura, with a consonant for their characteristic letter. it has this advantage; that the stem-vowel remains unchanged throughout, and is thus identical in (for instance) the agrist and present participle alike (τυπ-είς and τύπ-τ-ων), whereas in λείπω, φαίνω, τήκω as compared with ε-λιπ-ον, ε-φάν-ην, ε-τάκ-ην, the stem-vowels which appear in the aorist have suffered modification in the present; also the consonantal relations between the different tenses are simpler than in the case of some other verbs; thus, while β in $\hat{\epsilon}$ - $\beta\lambda\dot{\alpha}\beta$ - $\eta\nu$ becomes π in $\beta\lambda\dot{\alpha}\pi$ - τ - ω , no such alteration is necessary in passing from the - $\tau\nu\pi$ -of the second agrist to the strengthened form $\tau\nu\pi\tau$ - of the present.

The verb is not without an interest of its own in the history of grammar; and though it may be rash to conjecture whether it owed its first selection to the grim humour of some plagosus Orbilius of old times, intent on bringing each tense's meaning home to his pupils' memories by the help of his ferule, it may be interesting to note that this particular paradigm is found in the early Greek Grammars which appeared in Italy at the revival of learning, as for instance in the Erotemata of Chrysoloras, a distinguished scholar, who (in the dedication of a copy in my possession, printed at Venice at the Aldine press in 1517) is described as Manuel Chrysolorus, qui primus Iuniorum reportauit in Italia literas græcas*. The paradigm may also be traced still further back to the Canons of Theodosius, an Alexandrine gram-

* On Chrysoloras, see Hody, de viris illustribus cap. ii, and Voigt's Humanismus 12 225, 234; and cf. Hallam's Literature of Europe 1 99 ed. 1854, where the Erotemata is described as 'the first, and long the only, channel to a knowledge of Greek, save oral instruction,' and Mullinger's History of the University of Cambridge, 1 pp. 391-396, where it is called 'the Greek Grammar of the first century of the Renaissance.' 'It served Reuchlin for a model at Orleans, was used by Linacre at Oxford and Erasmus at Cambridge, and long continued to hold its ground against formidable rivals,' p. 395. The date of his arrival in Italy was about 1396.—The Aldine edition above referred to is of course a reprint. It was first printed in 1484. Hallam 1 p. 180 ascribes to about the year 1480 a small quarto tract of great rarity, entitled coniugationes verborum Graecae, Daventria noviter extremo labore collectae et impressae, containing nothing but τύπτω in all its voices and tenses, with Latin explanations.

marian of the age of Constantine the Great, who expounds all the parts, regardless of usage, and at considerable length (viz. on pp. 1008—1044 of Θεοδοσίου γραμματικοῦ εἰσαγωγικοί κανόνες περί κλίσεως δημάτων in Bekker's Anecdota The Grammar of Theodosius is in its Graeca, vol. III). turn founded on that of a more celebrated Greek scholar, Dionysius the Thracian, who taught at Rome in B.C. 80. The τέχνη γραμματική of the latter is a short work, occupying only pp. 629-643 in Bekker's Anecdota Graeca, vol. II; it was a standard text-book for many centuries and is the original basis of all subsequent grammars. I quote a few words from chap. xv, which bear on our present subject: διαθέσεις δέ είσι τρεῖς, ἐνέργεια, πάθος, μεσότης ενέργεια μεν οδον τύπτω, πάθος δε οδον τύπτομαι, μεσότης δὲ ή ποτὲ μὲν ἐνέργειαν, ποτὲ δὲ πάθος παριστῶσα, οΐον πέποιθα, διέφθορα, ἐποιησάμην, ἐγραψάμην*. Shortly after, he proceeds: ἀριθμοὶ δὲ τρεῖς, ἐνικός, δυϊκὸς καὶ πληθυντικός ένικος μέν οδον τύπτω, δυϊκός δε οδον τύπτετον, πληθυντικός δε οΐον τύπτο μεν' πρόσωπα δε τρία, πρώτον, δεύτερον, τρίτον πρώτον μεν οξον τύπτω, δεύτερον οξον τύπτεις, τρίτον οίον τύπτει.

But, however well this verb may be adapted as a typical form for the beginner, and however interesting it may be as a tradition of the earlier grammarians, it cannot be too clearly understood that very few of the tenses are really used by the best Greek authors. The tenses given in the paradigm are all formed regularly on the principles of analogy alone, regardless of the opposite principles of anomaly which prevail in the usage of the Greek writers themselves. In Attic Prose none of the

^{*} It is quoted ἐτυψάμην in Graefenhan, Geschichte der Classischen Philologie, 11 p. 481, q.v.; but Dionysius appears in the rest of the chapter to confine himself to tenses in actual use, and is therefore likely to have avoided ἐτυψάμην.

tenses given in the grammars are found except the present and imperfect, active and passive, τύπτω and ἔτυπτον, τύπτομαι and ἐτυπτόμην. The future active is not τύψω but τυπτήσω, and the agrists in use are borrowed from other verbs, and are really ἐπάταξα and ἐπλήγην. ërvya is never found in Attic Prose, and the reference to Lysias, fragment 10, 2, given in Veitch's Greek Verbs, and repeated, apparently without verification, in Liddell and Scott's Lexicon, supplies us with no real exception. The passage, when examined, proves to be part of an exposition of a possibly genuine speech of Lysias, written by the anonymous author of the προλεγόμενα τῶν στάσεων (Rhetores Graeci VII p. 15 Walz, cf. Spengel's Artium Scriptores p. 137). The words used by this late writer are: εγκύμονά τις ετυψε κατά γαστρός καὶ κρίνεται φόνου, where Lysias himself would undoubtedly have written ἐπάταξεν, as is proved by a passage in Or. 13 § 71, δ Θρασύβουλος τύπτει τὸν Φρύνιχον καὶ καταβάλλει πατάξας. The following passages will further illustrate the prose usage of this defective verb, Lysias, Or. 4 § 15, πότερον ἐπλήγην η ἐπάταξα; id. Or. $1 \lesssim 25$ —27, where πατάξας κατάβαλλω is followed by the corresponding passive forms πληγείς κατέπεσεν, Dem. Or. 4 § 40, δ πληγείς καν έτέρωσε πατάξης, Thuc. VIII 92, δ Φρύνιχος πληγείς followed by δ πατάξας διέφυγεν. Again in Plato's Laws, p. 879 D-E, we have τύπτοντα and τύπτειν followed by πατάξαι, and soon after, τύπτει τη μάστιγι followed by όσας αν αὐτὸς πατάξη: so in p. 880 B, εάν τις τύπτη τὸν πρεσβύτερον...τη τοῦ πληγέντος ήλικία, and in p. 882 the last two forms occur twice over. Cf. Aristot. Eth. v 5 § 4, όταν ὁ μὲν πληγή ὁ δὲ πατάξη, Rhet. I 15 § 29, ὁμοῖα καὶ εἰ ἰσχυρὸς ασθενή πατάξαι ή πληγήναι προκαλέσαιτο, Eth. v 5 § 4, εἰ άρχην έχων ἐπάταξεν, οὐ δεῖ ἀντι-πληγηναι, καὶ εἰ ἄρχοντα ἐπάταξεν, οὐ πληγήναι μόνον δεῖ ἀλλὰ καὶ κολασθήναι. Rhet.

1 15 § 29, πατάξαι ἢ πληγήναι, de anima B, 8, p. 419 b 15, τὸ τύπτον καὶ τὸ τυπτόμενον...ἀν πληγή, p. 420 a 24, οὐ δὴ πῶν ψοφεῖ τυπτόμενον καὶ τύπτον, οἶον ἐἀν πατάξη βελόνη βελόνην, p. 423 b 16, πληγεῖσα ἐπάταξεν, Soph. Elench. p. 168 a 6, ἄν τις τύπτη τοῦτον καὶ τοῦτον, ἄνθρωπον ἀλλ' οὐκ ἀνθρώπους τυπτήσει, and Meteorologica, p. 368 a 18, τύπτων...τύπτον...τύπτεται, p. 371 b 10, ἢ μέλλει πατάξειν κινεῖται πρὶν πληγήναι, while three lines below we find δ ἐὰν πατάξη.—Among other parts similarly borrowed we have πέπληγα, πέπληγμαι, πεπλήξομαι and πληγήσομαι.—So in Latin ferio, percussi, etc.

But one of the best studies on this point of usage is the Speech of Demosthenes $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ Kóνωνος, where we find the following forms; in § 18 $\tau \dot{\nu} \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$, in § 17 $\tau \dot{\nu} \pi \tau \omega \nu$, in § 4 $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \nu \pi \tau \sigma \nu$, in § 32 and 35 $\tau \nu \pi \tau \dot{\rho} \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma \nu$, with the verbal $\tau \nu \pi \tau \eta \tau \dot{\epsilon} \sigma s$ in § 44. Again in § 31 we have $\pi \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\epsilon} \alpha \iota$ (not $\tau \dot{\nu} \dot{\psi} \alpha \iota$ or $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \alpha \iota$), and in § 33 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \dot{\gamma} \eta \nu$ (not $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\chi} \partial \eta \nu$, or $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \dot{\nu} \dot{\tau} \partial \eta \nu$). Further in § 25 $\pi \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\epsilon} \alpha \nu \tau$ stands side by side with $\tau \dot{\nu} \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$; and lastly we have the phrases $\pi \lambda \eta \dot{\gamma} \dot{\alpha} \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\nu} \dot{\epsilon} \tau \dot{\epsilon} \iota \nu \alpha \nu$ (§ 5) and $\dot{\epsilon} \iota \lambda \eta \dot{\rho} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \iota$ and $\dot{\delta} \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\delta} \omega \kappa \dot{\epsilon} \nu \alpha \iota$ $\pi \lambda \eta \dot{\gamma} \dot{\alpha} \dot{s}$ (§ 14), which assist in making up for the defective tenses. It is reserved for the late writer who composed the Argument to use the unclassical form $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \tau \nu \pi \tau \dot{\eta} - \sigma \partial \alpha \iota$.

For the usage of this verb in Attic Verse, see Veitch's excellent book on Greek Verbs, where it will be noticed that almost the only part used besides those found in Prose is τυπείς; the student should also read the interesting criticisms of Cobet in pp. 330—343 of his Variae lectiones, and the corresponding passage in Rutherford's New Phrynichus, p. 257 ff.

The following is a conspectus of the parts in use in Attic Greek, classified under the two meanings of the verb:—

238 (B). ON THE QUANTITY OF έμπυος.

(a) I strike or wound, L. (b) I thrash, L. caedo, verferio, vulnero.

ACTIVE.

τύπτω, παίω,) πληγὴν δίδωμι.

πατάξω (Eur. and Xen. παίσω). ἐπάταξα (Trag. and Xen. ἔπαισα). πέπληγα. τύπτω, παίω, πληγάς δίδωμι, ἐμβάλλω, ἐντείνω, ἐντρίβω. τυπτήσω. πληγάς ἐνέβαλον (ἔπαισα).

πέπληγα, πληγάς δέδωκα.

PASSIVE.

τύπτομαι, πληγην λαμβάνω.

πεπλήξομαι, πληγήσομαι. ἐπλήγην. πέπληγμαι. τύπτομαι, παίομαι, πληγάς λαμβάνω.

τυπτήσομαι, πληγάς λήψομαι. πληγάς έλαβον. πληγάς είληφα.

[The above Excursus, in the form in which it appeared in 1875, has been translated into German by Dr L. Schmidt in the *Paedagogisches Archiv*, xxv(1) 1883, p. 62—5.]

EXCURSUS (B).

On the quantity of $\xi \mu \pi vos$ (Or. 54 § 12).

In Soph. Phil. 1378, the phrase $\tilde{\epsilon}\mu\pi\nu\sigma$ $\beta\acute{a}\sigma\iota$ s is used with reference to the festering foot of Philoctetes, but the position of the words, at the end of an iambic line, leaves the quantity undetermined. This may however be ascertained (i) by the accent of the word from which it is derived, viz. $\pi\acute{\nu}o\nu$, which according to the express statement of the grammarian Arcadius should never be written $\pi\acute{\nu}o\nu$; (ii) by the fact that Empedocles (336, $\pi\acute{\nu}o\nu$ $\acute{\epsilon}\pi\lambda\epsilon\tau$ 0 $\lambda\epsilon\nu\kappa\acute{\nu}$) makes the first syllable of $\pi\acute{\nu}o\nu$ short. We may compare the Latin $p\acute{u}ter$ where the corresponding syllable is short, although in $p\~{u}teo$ and $p\~{u}tidus$ (as in $\pi\acute{\nu}\theta\omega$), it

is long. We may further notice that the adjective and its derivatives occur (as might be expected) not unfrequently in Hippocrates and the medical writers; and that one of these, Galen (lib. xiii p. 876), quotes in full an Elegiac poem in which Andromachus the elder (fl. 50 A.D.), in describing the virtues of his potent antidote, or θηριακή δι' ἐχιδνῶν, has the following couplet, which determines the quantity of the word:

καὶ μογερών στέρνων ἀπολύσεται ἔμπυον ἰλύν πινομένη πολλοὺς μέχρις ἐπ' ἠελίους.

Hence we conclude that the lexicons of Liddell and Scott (ed. 6*) and of Pape are unwarranted in marking the penultimate as long;—an oversight which does not occur in the fourth edition of the former lexicon, and is doubtless due to a confusion between the quantities of $\tau \hat{o} \pi \check{v} o \nu$, the Latin *pus*, and $\hat{o} \pi \bar{v} o \hat{s}$, the Lat. *colostra* (or beestings).

EXCURSUS (C).

On the meaning of αὐτολήκυθος (Or. 54 § 14).

The exact meaning of this word is difficult to determine, and the Grammarians content themselves with giving us a wide choice of conflicting explanations. Harpocration, for instance, has the following article.

Αὐτολήκυθοι: Δημοσθένης κατὰ Κόνωνος, (1) ήτοι ἀντὶ τοῦ εὐζώνους τινὰς καὶ ἐτοίμους πᾶν ὁτιοῦν ποιεῖν καὶ ὑπομένειν, (2) ἢ ἀντὶ τοῦ πένητας καὶ μηδὲν ἄλλο κεκτημένους ἢ ληκύθους, (3) ἢ αὐτουργούς, (4) ἢ ἀντὶ τοῦ εἰς πληγὰς ἐτοίμους καὶ οἶον τύπτοντας καὶ μαστιγοῦντας καὶ ὑβρίζοντας, (5) ἢ λέγοι ἄν τοὺς ἐκ προχείρου διδόντας ἀργύριον....

^{*} In ed. 7 (1883) the quantity is not marked.

He further states that Menander used the word in two of his comedies, and attempts to support the last of the above interpretations by showing from Diphilus, that money was occasionally carried about in the λήκυθος: and the last but one by appealing to Menander for the fact that the thong or strap (iμάς), by which the λήκυθος was suspended about the person, might be detached from the flask and used as a whip. None of these five explanations is convincing, and the last two are almost certainly wrong. An indication of the true meaning may however be gathered from the second. Any respectable Athenian in going to the public baths would be naturally attended by his slave carrying the master's λήκυθος or oil-flask, &c. Compare, for the Roman custom, Varro R. R. I 55 § 4 (olea) dominum in balnea sequitur. The fraternity of young men, alluded to in the text, may have gone on the principle of discarding the attendance of their slaves and carrying their own λήκυθοι, either to be free from the slight restraint which the company of their servants might put upon their practical jokes and wild escapades, or by way of assuming a lower grade of respectability than their birth would warrant, and availing themselves of that disguise either as a mere freak of youthful pleasantry or as a cloak for acts of outrage and disorder. If this view is tenable, the general sense of the title may be kept up by some such rendering as 'gentleman beggars,' 'amateur tramps.'

This explanation is in part confirmed by one of the guesses recorded in Bekker's Anecdota Graeca 465, 17 where αὐτολήκυθος is explained ὁ πένης ἀπὸ τοῦ ἐαυτῷ τὰς ληκύθους εἰς τὰ βαλανεῖα εἰσφέρειν. Again, Hesychius has αὐτολήκυθοι οἱ πένητες, οἱ μόνην λήκυθον ἔχοντες ἡ δί ἐαυτῶν βαστάζοντες τὴν λήκυθον, οὐ δι οἰκετῶν. Pollux, x 62, refers to the passage in Demosthenes, and quotes a parallel from the comic poet Antiphanes, Meineke

Com. Graec. fragm. 111 7, καὶ αὐτοληκύθους δέ τινας Δημοσθένης ἐν τῷ κατὰ Κόνωνος ὀνομάζει οὖς σαφέστερον ἀν τις ἐν τῷ ᾿Αντιφάνους ᾿Αθάμαντι κεκλῆσθαι λέγοι ˙

> χλαμύδα καὶ λόγχην ἔχων ἀξυνακόλουθος ξηρος αὐτολήκυθος.

As another nickname attaching to one of these Clubs we have Τριβαλλοὶ in § 39; and in Athenaeus a coterie of Athenian wits is mentioned in the time of Philip of Macedon and therefore nearly coincident in date with the Clubs in the text; these wits or γελωτοποιοί went by the name of 'the sixty' (Athen. XIV 614). Cf. also Lysias, fragm. 53, κατὰ Κινησίου: οὐ μετὰ τούτου ποτὰ 'Απολλοφάνης καὶ Μυσταλίδης καὶ Λυσίθεος συνειστιῶντο, μίαν ἡμέραν ταξάμενοι τῶν ἀποφράδων, ἀντὶ νουμηνιαστῶν κακοδαιμονιστὰς σφίσιν αὐτοῖς τοῦνομα θέμενοι;

EXCURSUS (D).

On the Τριβαλλοί of Or. 54 § 39.

The Triballi were a wild Thracian people occupying the region north of the range of Haemus and south of the Danube, now known as Servia. Their character is often described in unfavourable terms: thus Isocrates (de pace § 50) speaks of their δυσγένεια as opposed to the εὐγένεια of Athens, and (Panath. § 227) denounces them as leagued against all their neighbours: ἄπαντές φασιν ὁμονοεῖν μὲν (τοὺς Τριβαλλοὺς) ὡς οὐδένας ἄλλους ἀνθρώπους, ἀπολλύναι δ΄ οὐ μόνον τοὺς ὁμόρους καὶ τοὺς πλησίον οἰκοῦντας ἀλλὰ καὶ τοὺς ἄλλους ὁσων ἄν ἐφικέσθαι δυνηθώσιν. Lastly the comic poet Alexis (who flourished in B.C. 356, a date but slightly anterior to the present speech), attacking, ap-

parently, some rude and uncivilised custom, describes it as too barbarous even for the Triballi, οὐδ' ἐν Τριβαλλοῖς ταῦτά γ' ἐστὶν ἔννομα | οῦ φασὶ τὸν θύοντα τοῖς κεκλημένοις | δείξαντ' ἰδεῖν τὸ δεῖπνον, εἰς τὴν αὕριον | πωλεῖν ἀδείπνοις ἄπερ ἔθηκ' αὐτοῖς ἰδεῖν (ap. Athen. xv p. 671). Cf. Ar. Aves 1530.

According to the speaker, Conon and his two companions were, as mere striplings (μειράκια), known by a name borrowed from these lawless Triballi. speech was (as is very probable) delivered in B.C. 341 (see p. lxii) when Conon was rather more than 50 years of age (§ 22), he would be a μειράκιον, or about 15 years of age, 35 years previous, viz. B.C. 376. By a coincidence, which has apparently remained unnoticed, this brings us to the very year in which the wild Triballi crossed the Haemus with a strong force, ravaged the southern coast of Thrace near Abdera and were forced to retreat by the Athenian commander Chabrias (Diodor. xv 36). The name of the barbarous tribe would therefore be on the lips of all Athens during the youth of Conon and his friends, and would readily find currency as a slang term of the day.

We may compare with the Tριβαλλοὶ, the disorderly Clubs to which Conon's son belonged, the iθύφαλλοι and αὐτολήκυθοι of § 14; and we may suggest in passing that the special form of the appellation, apart from its general applicability, probably turned on a play of words (e.g. τρίβειν τοὺς ἄλλους or others more or less obvious). Cf. Photius s.v. (quoting this passage) οἱ ἐν τοῦς βαλανείοις ἀναγώγως διατριβόμενοι· οἱ δὲ τοὺς εἰκαίους καὶ τοὺς βίους κατατρίβοντες. Hesychius (inter alia) οἱ ἐπὶ τὰ δείπνα ἑαυτοὺς καλοῦντες. The Scholia on Aeschines 1 § 52 (τούσδε τοὺς ἀγρίους ἄνδρας) couple together Τριβαλλοὶ (cf. Plin. N. H. VII 2) and Κένταυροι as infamous appellatives, and

lastly the comic poet Eubulus (fl. B.C. 375) has the line Τριβαλλοποπανόθρεπτα μειρακύλλια.

As an exact parallel to the Triballi in the text and the other clubs already mentioned, we have in English literature the 'nocturnal fraternity of the Mohock-club,—a name borrowed from a sort of cannibals in India' (i.e. North America). The practical jokes of that 'worthy society of brutes,' and 'well-disposed savages,' will be familiar to the readers of the Spectator (Nos. 324, 332 and 347; anno 1712). Cf. also Gay's Trivia III 325—328:

Who has not heard the Scowrer's midnight fame? Who has not trembled at the Mohock's name? Was there a watchman took his hourly rounds, Safe from their blows, or new-invented wounds?

As German parallels we have the names *Polacken*, *Tartaren*, *Husaren* and *Kroaten* (quoted by Reiske); similarly in French, *Cosaques* and *Pandours* (mentioned by M. Dareste).

ΠΡΟΣ ΚΑΛΛΙΚΛΕΑ ΠΕΡΙ ΧΩΡΙΟΥ ΒΛΑΒΗΣ*.

ΥΠΟΘΕΣΙΣ.

Καλλικλής, πρὸς ὅν ὁ λόγος, καὶ ὁ τὴν δίκην ὑπ' ἐκείνου διωκόμενος γείτονες ἦσαν ἐν χωρίῳ, ὁδῷ μέση διειργόμενοι. δυσομβρίας ὁ ἐ συμβάσης, εἰς τὸ Καλλικλέους χωρίον ὕδωρ ἐμπεσὸν ἐκ τῆς ὁδοῦ κατελυμήνατο. ἐπὶ τούτῳ διώκει βλά-5 βης τὸν γείτονα εἶναι γάρ φησιν ἐν τῷ Τεισίου χωρίῳ χαράδραν εἰς ὑποδοχὴν τοῦ ὕδατος τοῦ ἐκ τῆς ὁδοῦ ποιηθεῦσαν, ἢν ἀποικοδομηθεῦσαν ἀ νῦν αἰτίαν ἐαυτῷ βλάβης γενέσθαι. ὁ δὲ τοῦ Τεισίου παῖς πρῶτον μὲν παλαιὸν καὶ οὐ δι' ἑαυτοῦ τὸ ἔργον δείκνυσι ζῶντας γὰρ ἔτι καὶ τοῦ Το Καλλικλέους πατρὸς ἀποικοδομηθῆναι τὴν χαράδραν φησὶν ὑπὸ τοῦ Τεισίου ἔπειτα συνίστησιν ὡς οὐδὲ χαράδρα τις,

- * addidit Bl. ex Harpocr. s.v. χλήδος, Priscian. xvii 126.
- ^b Sauppe (Bl.). διώκων codices; φεύγων H. Wolf, Bekker st., Dind.
- ° δηωβίας F. δη βίας B. δύο βίας S. δινοβίας vulgo. margo editionis Parisiensis (1570) habet et δυσομβρίας (Dind., Bl.) quod nusquam alias legitur, et έπομβρίας (Z et Bekker st.) quod occurrit infra § 11 γενομένης έπουβρίας.
- d Reiske (Bekker st.). απωκοδομήσας SFB. αποικοδομήσας vulgo. αποικοδομήσαντα Sauppe (Dind.), coll. § 12.
- 11. συνίστησιν] The word is used in late Greek in the sense 'to give proof of,' e.g. Polyb. III 101 § 4 ἐπειρᾶτο συνιστάνειν

ότι... We may therefore perhaps render it 'he attempts to prove.' [Perhaps ἐνίστησω, 'he objects.' P.]

άλλα χωρίον ἐστί°. διασύρει δὲ καὶ τὴν συμβάσαν τῷ Καλλικλεῖ βλάβην ὡς μικρὰν καὶ οὐκ ἀξίαν τηλικαύτης δίκης, καὶ τὸ ὅλον ἡδικῆσθαι μὲν οὐδέν φησι τὸν Καλλικλέα, ἐπιθυμεῖν δὲ τῶν χωρίων τῶν ἑαυτοῦ καὶ διὰ τοῦτο συκο- 15 φαντίας μηχανὰσθαι πάσας.

1272 Οὐκ ἢν ἄρ' ὧ ἄνδρες 'Αθηναῖοι χαλεπώτερον οὐ- 1 δέν, ἢ γείτονος πονηροῦ καὶ πλεονέκτου τυχεῖν, ὅπερ ἐμοὶ νυνὶ συμβέβηκεν. ἐπιθυμήσας γὰρ τῶν χωρίων μου Καλλικλῆς οὕτω διατέθηκέ^τ με συκοφαντῶν, ὥστε πρῶτον μὲν τὸν ἀνεψιὸν τὸν ἑαυτοῦ κατεσκεύ-

οὐδὲ χαράδρα τις ἀλλὰ χωρίον ἐστί Bl. cum margine ed. Parisiensis (cf. § 12 ἀποδείξω χωρίον ὂν τοῦτ' ἀλλ' οὐ χαράδραν). οὐδὲ χαράδρα τις τὸ χωρίον ἐστί υυίζο.

^r Bl. (Meisterhans, Gr., p. 1522). διατέθεικεν codices.

12. διασύρει] makes light of the damage done. See §§ 23—26. Dem. Or. 13 § 12 διέσυρε ('depreciated') τὰ παρόντα καὶ τοὺς προγόνους ἐπήνεσε.

§§ 1, 2. There is really no greater nuisance, gentlemen, than a greedy neighbour, as I have found to my cost in the case of the plaintiff Callicles. He has set his heart upon my property, and has therefore by every legal means, direct or indirect, made me the victim of a vexatious persecution.

Though I am no speaker myself, yet, if the court will give me their attention, the facts themselves will prove the baselessness of the present action.

1. οὐκ ἢν ἄρ'—τυχεῖν] For οὐκ ἢν ἄρα, 'there is not really after all,' of. Soph. O. C. 1697 πόθος καὶ κακῶν ἄρ' ἢν τις, and for this use of ἢν, especially with άρα, to express a fact which is and always has been the same, see the examples given in Lid-

dell and Scott, s.v. elul, F.

For the general sense, cf. Hesiod's Works and Days 345 πημα κακὸς γείτων, and esp. Aristot. Rhet. II 21 § 15 ε τις γείτοσι τύχοι κεχρημένος... φαύλοις, ἀποδέξαιτ' ἀν τοῦ εἰπόντος ὅτι οὐ δὲν γειτονίας χαλεπώτερον.

'The plaintive reflexion, our $\hat{\eta}\nu - r\nu\chi\hat{\epsilon}\hat{\nu}$, harmonizes with the naïve and expostulatory tone of the speech, and at the same time gives with refreshing novelty of form the common disclaimer of litigiousness.' Kirk's Demosthenic Style in the Private Orations, p. 24.

συκοφαντῶν] 'by his vexatious litigation, his petty persecution.' The word is always difficult to render, and we have generally to be guided by the context for the exact equivalent in English.

κατεσκεύασεν] 'suborned his cousin to claim it from me.' The verb, here followed by the

2 ασεν ἀμφισβητεῖν μοι τῶν χωρίων, ἐξελεγχθεὶς δὲ φανερῶς καὶ περιγενομένου μου τῆς τούτων σκευωρίας, πάλιν δύο δίκας ἐρήμους μου κατεδιητήσατο, τὴν μὲν αὐτὸς χιλίων δραχμῶν, τὴν δὲ τὸν ἀδελφὸν τουτονὶ πείσας Καλλικράτην. δέομαι δὴ πάντων ὑμῶν ἀκοῦσαί μου καὶ προσέχειν τὸν νοῦν, οὐχ ὡς αὐτὸς δυνησόμενος εἰπεῖν, ἀλλ΄ ἵν΄ ὑμεῖς ἐξ αὐτῶν τῶν πραγμάτων καταμάθητε, ὅτι φανερῶς συκοφαντοῦμαι.

 $^{\rm g}$ γρ FBQ. Καλλικρατίδην Z et Bekker st. Καλλικρατίτην SFBQ.

infinitive, most commonly takes an accusative, e.g. § 34 τὸν ἀνεψιὸν κατεσκεύασε, Or. 54 § 14.

2. σκευωρίαs] 'intrigue, jobbery.' Or. 36 § 33 πλάσμα καὶ

σκευώρημα.

δίκας ἐρήμους—κατεδιητήσατο] 'got two awards (in arbitration) decided against me by default (for non-appearance).' Or. 21 (Mid.) §§ 84, 85 (Στράτων δ διαιτητής) ώς οῦτ' ἐγω συνεχώρουν οῦθ' οῦτος (Μidias) ἀπήντα, τῆς δ' ὤρας ἐγίγνετο ὀψέ, κατεδιήτησεν. ἤδη δ' ἐσπέρας οῦσης καὶ σκότους ἔρχεται Μειδίας. καὶ καταλαμβάνει τὸν Στράτωνα ἀπίοντ' ἤδη, τὴν ἔρημον δεδωκότα. τὸ μὲν οῦν πρῶτον οἰός τ' ἦν πείθειν αὐτὸν, ἦν καταδεδιητή-κει, ταὐτην ἀποδεδιητημένην ἀποφέρειν.

ξρημος in Attic has usually two terminations only: hence έρήμους δίκας, which was perhaps preferred to έρήμας δίκας on grounds of euphony. In § 6 however we find έρήμην κατεδιητήσασθε, possibly to avoid the ambiguity arising from the ellipse of δίκην, and in § 31 we have έρήμην μου καταδεδιήτηται τοιαύτην έτέραν δίκην.

 $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \chi (\lambda i \omega \nu)$ The same

suit is described in § 31 (quoted in last note) as similar to the suit in which this speech is spoken. The damages in the latter are also fixed at 1000 drachmae, § 25.

πείσαs] 80. καταδιαιτήσασθαι. Καλλικράτην] On the part taken in these lawsuits by Callicrates, the brother of the plaintiff Callicles, see A. Schaefer, Dem. und seine Zeit III 2, p. 254 note.

§§ 3—7. (My opponents bring an action for damages on the ground that the building of a wall enclosing my property has stopped a water-course, and thus diverted the drainage of the surrounding hills on to the property of the plaintiff on the opposite side of the road.)

In answer to all their arguments, I have simply to plead that my father built that wall fifteen years before his death, without any objection, formal or informal, on the part of the plaintiff's family, who are now attempting to take advantage of my youth and inexperience.

I also challenge them to prove the existence of the alleged water-

course (§ 6).

"Εν μὲν οὖν ὦ ἄνδρες 'Αθηναῖοι πρὸς ἄπαντας 3 τοὺς τούτων λόγους παρέχομαι^h δίκαιον. τὸ γὰρ χωρίον τοῦτο περιφκοδόμησεν ὁ πατὴρ μικροῦ δεῖν πρὶν ἐμὲ γενέσθαι, ζῶντος μὲν ἔτι Καλλιππίδου τοῦ τούτων¹ πατρὸς καὶ γειτνιῶντος, δς ἀκριβέστερον ἤδει δήπου τούτων, ὄντος δὲ Καλλικλέους ἀνδρὸς ἤδη καὶ ἐπιδημοῦντος 'Αθήνησιν καὶ ¹πλέον μὲν ἡ πεντε- 4 καίδεκ' ἔτη τοῦ πατρὸς ἐπιβιόντος k, οὖκ ἐλάττω δὲ τοῦ τούτων πατρὸς [Καλλιππίδου]¹. ἐν τούτοις τοῖς

h '§ 7; non deterior lectio est in Ar et γρ FBQ ὑπάρχει μοι (§§ 9,
 14)' Bl.
 l Bekk. cum r. τουτου τοῦ S. τοῦ τούτου Z.

j-j verba quae in codicibus post διεμαρτύρατο leguntur transposuit Bl., addens και ante πλέον, delens δè inter èν et τούτοις, coll. 41 § 18; idem seclusit Καλλιππίδου 'repetitum; etiam propter hiatum, qui in hac or. etiam in pausa vitari solet.'

k Bl. coll. Bamberg, Zeitschr. f. Gymn.-W. 1874, 38. επιβιοῦντος codices.

3. δίκαιον] 'a fair and legal plea.' Or. 54 §§ 27, 29, 42.

γάρ] See note on Or. 53 § 4.

— ὁ πατὴρ, sc. Teisias § 5.—μικροῦ δεῦν πρὶν, 'almost before'
(i.e. 'a very short time after')
I was born; not 'within a little
before,' 'just before.'

Καλλιππίδου τοῦτούτων πατρὸς]
The two sons Καλλικλῆς and Καλλικράτης bear names similar to their father's, Καλλιππίδης, all three being compounds of κάλλος. 'Thus we have Ναυσίφιλος Ναυσινίκου, and Καλλίστρατος Καλλικράτους. So also brothers' names sometimes varied but slightly, as Diodotus and Diogeiton' (Becker's Charicles p. 220 Eng. ed.). Cf. part i p. 136.

άνδρὸς ἤδη] Having attained to man's estate and being resident at Athens, Callicles might have brought an action long ago, if he felt himself aggrieved. P.] 4. ἐπιβιόντος] Here, and elsewhere (Or. 41 §§ 18, 19; Plat. Rep. 615 c, Aeschin. 1 § 5) the MSS give the incorrect form -βιοῦντος, instead of the true form of the agrist participle, -βιόντος. The corresponding indicative occurs in § 32 ἐπεβίω, and Thuc. II 65 (of Pericles) έπεβίω δύο έτη καὶ μῆνας έξ καὶ ἐπειδη ἀπέθανεν κ.τ.λ. The first person ἐπεβίων is naturally rare, as the agrist of this verb is mainly applicable to those who are no longer living; but Thuc. v 26 has ἐπεβίων διὰ παντὸς (τοῦ πολέμου). In Attic Greek ἐβίων, like βιώσομαι, βεβίωκα and βεβιωμένος, is used to supplement the defects of $\zeta \hat{\eta} \nu$, which is itself hardly used except in the present and imperfect active. ζήσω is very rare. (See Cobet, variae lect. p. 610.)

έτεσιν ἄπασιν οὐτ' ἐγκαλῶν οὐδεὶς πώποτ' ἦλθεν ούτε μεμφόμενος (καίτοι δήλον ὅτι καὶ τόθ' ὕδατα πολλάκις ἐγένετο¹), οὐδ' εκώλυσεν ἐξ ἀρχῆς, εἶπερ 1273 ηδίκει τινά περιοικοδομών ό πατήρ το ήμέτερονⁿ γωρίον, άλλ' οὐδ' ἀπηγόρευσεν οὐδὲ διεμαρτύρατο. ς καίτοι, & Καλλίκλεις, έξην δήπου τόθ' ύμιν, δρώσιν

- 1 Z et Bekk. st. е்уіучето Bekk. 1824 cum Ar.
- m Bl. οδτ' vulgo, καὶ οδτ' Ar. n om. Ar. Cf. § 29.

καίτοι--- ΰδατα πολλάκις έγένετο] 'and vet of course it often rained then, just as it does now,'-a touch of quiet humour characteristic of this speech. (δδωρ γενέσθαι literally refers to rain, though floods are implied as a necessary consequence. Ar. Vesp. 265 δείται... δδωρ γενέσθαι κάπιπνεθσαι βόρειον αὐτοίς.)

 $\epsilon l \pi \epsilon \rho \ \dot{\eta} \delta i \kappa \epsilon i$] (As he would have done) if my father was wronging any one...(But he did not prevent him; and not only

so,) but &c. P.] απηγόρευσεν] 'forbade.' In Classical Greek, ἀγορεύω and its compounds are seldom found except in the present and imperfect tenses; the remaining tenses and the verbal derivatives being generally borrowed from ερώ, είπον, είρηκα, είρημαι, ἐρρήθην, ἡηθήσομαι, with ἡησις, ρητός, ρητέον. Thus άναγορεύω (to proclaim) has for its imperfect ἀνηγόρευον, while the correct forms for the other parts are, άνερω, άνείρηκα, άνεῖπον, ανερρήθην and αναρρησις &c., instead of ἀναγορεύσω... αναγόρευσις &c. The strict rule, however, as to this verb and its compounds, has its exceptions, in the case of προσαγορεύω (e.g. προσαγορευθη 40 § 1), and partially also in ἀπαγορεύω. Thus instead of the more usual

ἀπεῖπεν. we here find ἀπηγόρευ- $\sigma \epsilon \nu$, which also occurs in Dem. Or. 40 § 44 απηγόρευσεν αὐτῷ μη διαιτάν and Arist. Occon. ri 24: Plat. Theaet. p. 200 ἀπαγο-ρεύσης. In Ar. Pax 107 we have καταγορεύση. (See Cobet's variae lectiones p. 35-39 and novae lectiones p. 778; Mnemosyne N.S. II p. 127; also Veitch, Greek Verbs p. 10, ed. 1871; Shilleto on Fals. Leg. p. 397, and Rutherford's New Phrynichus, p. 326.)

διεμαρτύρατο formally protested.' Or. 33 § 20 διαμαρτυραμένου τοῦ ανθρώπου έναντίον μαρτύρων, de Cor. § 28 μη σιγήσαι...άλλα βοαν και διαμαρτύρεσθαι (ib. 143); Or. 42 § 28. It must not be confounded with διεμαρτύρησε, 'put in a διαμαρτυρία' (see Meier and Schömann, p. 842 Lips.).

 ἐξῆν] As usual, without αν. See note on εχρην Or. 45 § 17, followed, as here, by wa with the indicative.

ὑμῶν] 'You and yours,' i.e. your father, your brother and (when at home, and not at Athens § 3) yourself. $\dot{\nu}\mu\epsilon\hat{\imath}s$ never stands for $\sigma\dot{\nu}$, and it has been shown elsewhere that the passages quoted from Isocrates to prove the contrary will not bear examination (Isocr. ad Dem. § 2). So also, in Eur. Bacch. 252 αναίνομαι πάτερ | τδ

αποικοδομουμένην την χαράδραν, έλθοῦσιν εὐθὺς ἀγανακτεῖν καὶ λέγειν πρὸς τὸν πατέρα, "Τεισία, τί "ταῦτα ποιεῖς; ἀποικοδομεῖς τὴν χαράδραν; εἶτ' "ἐμπεσεῖται τὸ ὕδωρ εἰς τὸ χωρίον τὸ ἡμέτερον". "
ἔν' εἰ μὲν ἐβούλετο παύσασθαι, μηδὲν ° ἡμῖν ἦν δυσ-

 $^{\circ \circ \circ}$ ήμῶν ἢν δυσχερὲς πρὸς ἀλλήλους Bekk. st. ὑμῶν—ἀλλήλους Dind. ὑμῶν δυσχερὲς πρὸς ἀλλήλους ἢν Bekk. 1824. ὑμῶν S a me collatus; ἡμῶν manu prima in ὑμῶν mutatum r. ὑμῶν ἢν $(\eta \ S, \ \mathring{\eta} \ FQ)$ δυσχερὲς πρὸς ἀλλήλους Z; δυσχερὲς πρὸς ἀλλήλους ἢ r $(\mathring{\eta} \ A)$.

γῆρας ὑμῶν εἰσορῶν νοῦν οὐκ ἔχον, the plural ὑμῶν refers to Cadmus and Teiresias, not to the former only. Again in Homer, Odyss. xii 81, we have ἡπερ ἀν ὑμεῖς νῆα παρὰ γλαφυρὴν ἰθύνετε, φαιδιμ 'Οδυσσεῦ, where ὑμεῖς refers to Odysseus and his comrades.

In Latin however the rule is perhaps less strictly kept, and vester appears to be used for tuus in Catullus 71, 3 aemulus iste tuus qui vestrum exercet amorem, probably the only instance of this exceptional use of plural for singular. The rule is only apparently broken in Virg. Aen. 1x 525 vos, o Calliope, precor, aspirate canenti. Here vos refers to all the Muses, though Calliope alone is mentioned. (Cf. Aen. 1 140 vestras, Eure, domos.) So too Cicero pro Deiot. § 29 vos vestra secunda fortuna, Castor, non potestis sine propinquorum calamitate esse contenti? (The plural vos is at once explained by felix ista domus in the previous sentence.)

τὴν χαράδραν] The word is not only used of the torrent itself, but also of the channel cut by the torrent's course (der. χαράσω). Hesychius χαράδρα χείμαρρος ποταμός. κατάγει δὲ

οὖτος παντοῖα ἐν τῷ ῥεύματι καὶ κατασύρει. χαράδραι αὶ χαράξεις τοῦ ἐδάφους. καὶ οἱ κοῖλοι τόποι ἀπὸ τῶν καταφερομένων ὁμβρίων ὑδάτων. The rendering water-course will suit all the passages in which it occurs in the present speech.

τί ταῦτα ποιεῖs;] 'What are you about?' lit. 'why are you doing this?' 'Are you cutting off the water-course?'

άποικοδομείς] άντὶ τοῦ άποφράττεις άπολαβών τινα (?) οίκοδομήματι Δημοσθένης έν τῷ πρὸς Καλλικλέα. The above explanation from Harpocration, with the awkward τινά, does not entirely suit this passage, though he specially refers to this speech. But in Thuc. 1 134, we read of Pausanias, ενδον όντα τηρήσαντες αύτὸν καὶ ἀπολαβόντες εἴσω ἀπφκοδόμησαν, and it seems likely that the lexicographer, or his transcribers, either had that passage itself in view, or carelessly incorporated into an explanation of Demosthenes the note of some previous expositor of Thucydides.

tva...ην] Cf. Or. 36 § 47; Goodwin's Moods and Tenses § 44, 3 (§ 333, ed. 1889). As an exact parallel to the whole of this sentence, we have Or. 28 § 5 έχρῆν (like ἐξῆν, supra)... χερὲς πρὸς ἀλλήλους°, εἰ δ' ωλιγώρησε καὶ συνέβη τι τοιοῦτον, μάρτυσιν εἶχες τοῖς τότε παραγενομένοις 6 χρήσασθαι^ν. καὶ νὴ Δί' ἐπιδεῖξαί γέ σ' q ἔδει πᾶσιν ἀνθρώποις χαράδραν οὖσαν, ἵνα μὴ λόγφ μόνον, ὥσπερ νῦν, ἀλλ' ἔργφ τὸν πατέρ' ἀδικοῦντ' ἀπέφαινες. τούτων τοίνυν οὐδὲν πώποτ' οὐδεὶς ποιεῖν ἤξίωσεν. οὐ γὰρ ᾶν οὔτ' ἐρήμην, ὥσπερ ἐμοῦ νυνί, κατεδιητήσασθε, οὔτε πλέον ᾶν ἦν ὑμῖν συκοφαντοῦσοιν οὐδέν, ἀλλ' εἰ ἤνέγκατε τότε μάρτυρα καὶ ἐπεμαρτύρασθε, νῦν' ἀπέφαινεν ᾶν ἐκεῖνος εἰδως ἀκριβως ὅπως εἶχεν ἔκαστα τούτων, καὶ τοὺς ῥαδίως

P Ar (Bl.). χρησθαι SFQ (Dind.).

q $\sigma\epsilon \gamma\epsilon$ Ar (Reiske). $\sigma\epsilon$ vulgo (Dind.). 'vel lege $\gamma\epsilon$ $\sigma\epsilon$ (Bl.) coll. 19 § 52, vel potius dele $\sigma\epsilon$.' Dobree.

r-r Bekk. 1824, et G. H. Schaefer. εἰ ἡνέγκατε—νῦν om. Z et Bekk. st. cum SAr.

είσκαλέσαντας μάρτυρας πολλούς παρασημήνασθαι κελεῦσαι τὰς διαθήκας, ἴν', εἴ τι ἐγίγνετο ἀμφισβητήσιμον, ἦν εἰς τὰ γράμματα ταῦτ' ἐπανελθεῖν.

 $\dot{\eta}\mu\hat{u}\nu$] 'in which case you and I would have been having no disputes with one another (as we now have).' $\dot{\nu}\mu\hat{u}\nu$ would refer to the defendant's father Teisias and the family of Callicles the plaintiff.

εί...συνέβη τι τοιοῦτον] i.e. εἰ ἐνέπεσεν τὸ ὕδωρ εἰς τὸ χωρίον τὸ ὑμέτερον.—μάρτυσι, referring to διεμαρτύρατο in § 4.

elxes] without av, being dependent on tra, like the preceding nv. 'intelligendum de Callicle, qui si tale quid olim factum esset, testibus nunc uti posset.' G. H. Schaefer.

6. ἐπιδεϊξαι ... χαράδραν οῦσαν] § 12 ἐγὼ ἀποδείξω χωρίον
ὂν τοῦτ' ἀλλ' οὐ χαράδραν.

ϊνα-άπέφαινες] Constr. ΐνα μη λόγφ μόνον άπέφαινες τον

πατέρα ἀδικοῦντα, ὥσπερ νῦν (ἀποφαίνεις), ἀλλ' ἔργ ψ (ἀπέφαινει ἀδικοῦντα). λόγ ψ and ἔργ ψ (on which see Or. 46 \S 9) are not to be taken with ἀδικοῦντα.—In the next sentence οὐδεἰς means οὐδεἰς ὑμῶν.

 ϵ ρήμην...κατεδιητήσασθε] See § 2.

εὶ ἡνέγκατε — ἐπεμαρτύ- $\rho\alpha\sigma\theta\epsilon$, $\nu\partial\nu$] If we retain these words, ἐκεῖνος will refer to ὁ μάρτυς. If (with the best MSS) we omit them, it can only refer to ὁ πατήρ (Teisias, who was no longer alive). The latter makes quite as good sense as the former: if we lose the antithesis between ὁ μάρτυς and τοὺς ῥαδίως μαρτυρούντας, we gain the contrast between the father who would certainly have held his own, and the son whose youth and inexperience are held fair game by the plaintiff's party (τηλικούτου-καταπεφρονήκατε). ραδίωs] 'only too readily,

τούτους μαρτυροῦντας ἐξήλεγχεν. ἀνθρώπου δ' οἶμαι τηλικούτου καὶ ἀπείρου $[τῶν^t]$ πραγμάτων ἄπαντες καταπεφρονήκατέ μου άπαντας τούτους ὧ ἄνδρες 'Αθηναῖοι τὰς αὐτῶν πράξεις ἰσχυροτάτας μαρτυρίας παρέχομαι. διὰ τί γὰρ οὐδεὶς οὕτ' ἐπεμαρτύρατ' οὕτ' ἐνεκάλεσεν, ἀλλ' οὐδ' ἐμέμψατο πώποτε, ἀλλ' ἐξήρκει ταῦτ' αὐτοῖς ἢδικημένοις περιορῶν;

1274 'Εγώ τοίνυν ίκανὰ μὲν ἡγοῦμαι καὶ ταῦτ' εἶναι 8

⁵ Bekk. 1824 cum A. τούτοις Z et Bekk. st. cum SFQ.

[†] secl. Herwerden.

u propter hiatum delendum putat Bl.
 v Bekk. αὐτῶν Z (αυτῶν S).

'recklessly,' 'at random.' Plat. Apol. p. 24 c ραδίως είς άγωνας καθιστάς άνθρώπους, Leg. 917 Β θεων ὀνόματα μὴ χραίνειν ἡαδίως, Μεπο 94 Ε ραδίως κακῶς λέγειν ἀνθρώπους.

τούτους] The reading τούτους is open to the objection that between κατεδιητήσασθε in the previous and καταπεφρονήκατε in the subsequent context, we expect, not the third person τούτους, but the second person ὑμῶν, just as above we have οὐδὲν πλέον ἀν ἦν ὑμῶν.

If we retain the doubtful words at the beginning of § 7, the argument in favour of τούτουs is yet stronger, and τούτουs is then still less defensible. ('melior vulgata lectio, τούτουs, μάρτυραs scilicet,' Seager, Classical Journal, 1825, no. 61 p. 63)

τηλικούτου] more commonly of great age (tantae aetatis), but here of extreme youth (tantulae aetatis). Soph. El. 614 ήτις τοιαύτα τὴν τεκούσαν ύβρισεν, και ταύτα τηλικούτος (80. οὐσα). Antig. 726. Plat. Apol.

25 D τοσοῦτον σὰ ἐμοῦ σοφώτερος εἶ τηλικούτου ὅντος (so old, of Socrates) τηλικόσδε ὧν (so young, of Meletus).

έξήρκει—περιορᾶν] 'they were content to submit to these wrongs.' The dative ήδικημένοις, subordinate to περιορᾶν, follows the case of αὐτοῖς, which again depends on ἐξήρκει. Cf. Or. 54 §§ 16 and 44. Or. 3 § 23 εδδιμοσιν ὑμῖν ἔξεστι γίγνεσθαι. Μαὐνίς Gk. Synt. § 158 2 (3).—ταῦτ', acc. after ἡδικημένοις.—περιορᾶν, a verb characteristic of Greek prose and comedy (Porson on Eur. Med. 284 and Cobet var. lect. p. 338).

§§ 8, 9. I contend that my father had a perfect right to build the enclosure, as even the plaintiff himself admits that the land is our own property. This being admitted, a personal inspection would in itself have sufficed to show the jury how groundless the present action is. And this was why I wanted (and my opponents refused) to submit the matter to the arbitration of impartial persons who knew the

πρὸς τὴν τούτων ἀναίδειαν*. ἵνα δ' εἰδῆτ' ὁ ἄνδρες 'Αθηναῖοι καὶ περὶ τῶν ἄλλων, ὡς οὕθ' ὁ πατὴρ οὐδὲν ἢδίκει περιοικοδομῶν τὸ χωρίον οὖτοί τε κατεψευσμένοι πάντ' εἰσὶν ἡμῶν, ἔτι σαφέστερον ὑμᾶς πειράσομαι διδάσκειν. τὸ μὲν γὰρ χωρίον ὁμολογεῖται καὶ 9 παρ' αὐτῶν τούτων ἡμέτερον ἴδιον εἶναι τούτου δ' ὑπάρχοντος ὡ ἄνδρες 'Αθηναῖοι, μάλιστα μὲν ἤδειτ' ἀν ἰδόντες τὸ χωρίον ὅτι συκοφαντοῦμαι. διὸ καὶ τοῖς εἰδόσιν ἐπιτρέπειν ἐβουλόμην ἐγώ, τοῖς ἴσοις. ἀλλ' οὐχ οὖτοι, καθάπερ νυνὶ λέγειν ἐπιχειροῦσι.

w Ar (Bl.). κατηγορίαν vulgo.

neighbourhood. Failing this, I must ask the jury for their closest attention while I describe the position of the properties in question.

8. οδθ'...τε] Or. 54 § 26, Madv. Gk. Synt. § 208.

ημέτερον ίδιον] 'our own private property, stronger than ημέτερον. So in § 13. — The grammarian Priscian, who curiously regards ίδιος as an exact equivalent to the Latin suus, has the following remark: quod mirum est, hoc ipsum [id est τὸ ίδιον] etiam primae et secundae adiungitur personae apud illos ut Ίσαιος έν τῷ πρὸς Εὐκλείδην: οὐκ αν τὰ ίδια τὰ έμαυτοῦ (fragm. 60). Demosthenes έν τῷ πρὸς Πολυκλέα: οὐ περί τῶν ἐμῶν ἰδίων μᾶλλον τιμωρήσεσθε Πολυκλέα η ούχ ύπερ ύμῶν αὐτῶν καὶ ἐν τῷ αὐτῷ ού περί πλείονος έποιησάμην τά έμαυτοῦ ίδια ή τὰ ὑμέτερα (Dem. Or. 50 §§ 66, 63). $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ $\dot{\delta}\dot{\epsilon}$ $\tau\hat{\varphi}$ πρός Καλλικλέα περί χωρίου βλάβης το μέν γάρ χωρίονημέτερον ίδιον είναι. Phroenichus ποαστρίαις: ωσπερ έμοῦ αὐτης ίδιον, pro quo nos dicimus 'meum proprium,' et 'tuum proprium.' dicitur tamen etiam 'suum proprium illius,' ut non putetur abundare 'suum,' sed indubitabilem discretionem significare. Priscian, Instit. p. 1089—90

9. τούτου δ' ὑπάρχοντος] 'this being admitted,' 'with this fact to begin upon.' Plat. Tim. p. 29 A τούτων ὑπαρχόντων = τούτων ὑποκειμένων, his positis.

lδόντεs]=εl είδετε, Goodwin's Moods and Tenses § 52, 1 (§ 472, ed, 1889).

τοις είδοσι...τοις Ισοις] § 35 ἐτοιμοι ημεν ἐπιτρέπειν τοις είδόσιν, Ισοις καὶ κοινοίς. Or. 40 § 39 ἐπιτρέπειν...διαιτητή Ισφ. On 'private arbitrators' see note on Or. 54 § 26 ἡ δίαιτα.

In the present instance, the consent of the speaker's opponents was essential, and he insists (for all they urge to the contrary) that it was to their refusal that the failure of his attempt to secure an amicable settlement must be ascribed.

ούχ οδτοι] ἐπιτρέπειν ἐβούλοντο.—In the next sentence ὑμῶν and πῶσι go together, καὶ emphasizing τοῦτο. δήλον δ' ύμιν καὶ τοῦτ' αὐτίκ' ἔσται πᾶσιν. ἀλλὰ προσέχετ' ὦ ἄνδρες 'Αθηναῖοι πρὸς Διὸς καὶ θεῶν τὸν νοῦν. τοῦ γὰρ χωρίου τοῦ τ' ἐμοῦ καὶ τοῦ 10 τούτων τὸ μέσον ὁδός ἐστιν, ὅρους δὲ περιέχοντος κύκλφ τοῖς χωρίοις τὸ καταρρέον ὕδωρ τῆ μὲν εἰς

* μέσον Z et Bekk. st. τὸ manu antiqua insertum habet S.

προσέχετε—τον νοῦν] 'I implore the jury, in the name of all that's sacred, to give me their best attention.' The earnestness of this appeal $(\pi \rho \delta s)$ $\Delta i ds \kappa a t \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$) is explained by the fact that unless the jury clearly understood the topographical details which here follow, the remainder of the speech would be almost unintelligible, and what applies to original hearers holds equally good for the modern The defendant has reader. just informed the court that an actual inspection of the premises would have been decisive in his favour. He therefore naturally endeavours to compensate for that disadvantage by giving his audience a distinct description of the relative situation of the properties of the contending parties. [In modern courts a map or plan made by a surveyor would be produced. P.]

§§ 10, 11. The estate of my opponents is separated from my own by a public road, and both are surrounded by a tract of mountainous country. Thus, the drainage from the hills flows partly into our properties, partly on to the road, and in the latter case, it is either carried down the road itself, or, if anything stops its course, it inundates the properties. On one occasion, the water made an inroad into what

was subsequently my father's property, and, owing to neglect, made further inroad. Accordingly my father, on coming into possession, built this wall to protect his lands from the encroachments of his neighbours as well as from the inroad of the water.

10. τοῦ γὰρ—δδός ἐστι] lit. 'for the space between their property and mine is a road,' i.e. 'there is a road between their property and my own.' τὸ μέσον, however, seems a less satisfactory reading than μέσον.

δρους περιέχοντος κύκλω] Xen. Hellen. τν 6 § 8 διὰ τὰ κύκλω περιέχοντα δρη. Plat. Critias 118 Α τὸ περὶ τὴν πόλιν πῶν πεδίον ἐκείνην μὲν περιέχον αὐτὸ δὲ κύκλω περιεγόμενον βοεσι.

δὲ κύκλφ περιεχόμενον δρεσι. τοιs χωρίοιs] This can hardly be taken with περιέχοντος κύκλφ, which would require an accusative, nor again with καταρpéor, as we should then expect το τοις χωρίοις καταρρέον ύδωρ, which indeed is actually printed in Reiske's Index Graecitatis (with the explanation 'id est els τὰ χωρία'). Reiske's erroneous quotation may account for this passage being cited in Liddell and Scott as an instance of καταρρεῖν 'c. dat. to rush down to a place' (corrected in ed. 7, 1883).

A better explanation is either to construct it with συμβαίνει (which however is objectionable

την όδόν, τη δ' εἰς τὰ χωρία συμβαίνει φέρεσθαι. καὶ δη καὶ τοῦτο τὸ εἰσπῖπτον εἰς την όδόν, η μὲν αν εὐοδη, φέρεται κάτω κατὰ την όδόν, η δ' αν ἐνστη τι, τηνικαῦτα τοῦτ εἰς τὰ χωρί ὑπεραίρειν ἀναγκαῖον ΙΙ ήδη. καὶ δη καὶ κατὰ τοῦτο τὸ χωρίον ὦ ἄνδρες δικασταὶ γενομένης ἐπομβρίας συνέβη τὸ ΰδωρ ἐμβαλεῖν ἀμεληθὲν δ' οὖπω τοῦ πατρὸς ἔχοντος αὐτό,

y +τò (Bl.). om. vulgo.
els FSQ. legebatur èvlore els.
om. Ar, 'recte fortasse' Bl.
Ar (Bl.). om. vulgo. καὶ δὴ καὶ els Hirschig.

on account of the repetition of $\tau \dot{\alpha} \chi \omega \rho l a$ in the same sentence), or, better still, to understand it as a kind of dativus incommodi. In the latter case we might render as follows: 'between their property and mine there is a road; a hilly district encircles both; and unfortunately for the properties the water that flows down runs (it so happens) partly into the road, partly into the properties.'

[I should regard $\chi\omega\rho tos$ as the dative in relation to position; 'as these farms have mountains enclosing them on every side.' P.]

καὶ δὴ καὶ] 'and in particular.' After making a general reference to τὸ καταρρέον ὕδωρ, the speaker narrows his description to the water which runs down the road. In the next section, again, καὶ δὴ καὶ limits the subject still further to the water which on a special occasion made inroad into his own property.

η αν εὐοδη] 'wherever it has a free course.' Arist.gen. anim.

1 18 ρει ὅπου ἀν εὐοδήση τοῦ σώματος, and (as a passive in

intransitive sense) ib. II 4 εὐ-οδεῖται μᾶλλον. Cf. infra \S 11 τὸ ὕδωρ...μᾶλλον ὡδοποίει.

η αν ένστη τι] 'wherever anything stands in the way,' 'any obstacle intervenes.' Plat. Phaedo, 77 Β έτι ἐνέστηκεν (instat, obstat) τὸ τῶν πολλῶν (of an objection in argument, ἐνστασις). τηνικαῦτα κ.τ.λ.] 'why! thereupon it must of course overflow the properties.' τηνικαῦτα though almost always used of time, occasionally (as here after η, which indicates place) bears a more general meaning, 'in that case,' 'under these circumstances.'

11. $d\mu\epsilon\lambda\eta\theta\dot{\epsilon}\nu$] It seems best to regard this as an accusative neuter absolute ('neglect having ensued'), and not to take it with $\tau\delta$ $b\delta\omega\rho$, much less with $a\dot{\nu}\tau\dot{\rho}$, i.e. $\tau\delta$ $\chi\omega\rho lov$. So in Plat. Phaedr. 265 D $\delta\rho\omega\theta\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ 'it having been defined.' Or. 50 (Polyol.) § 12 $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\tau\alpha\chi\theta\dot{\epsilon}\nu$. (Kühner § 487, 3, and Goodwin's Moods and Tenses § 110. 2=§ 851, ed. 1889.)

In translating, we can best bring out the sense by reserving $d\mu\epsilon\lambda\eta\theta\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ to a later point in the English sentence, and

ἀλλ' ἀνθρώπου δυσχεραίνοντος ὅλως τοῖς τόποις καὶ μᾶλλον ἀστικοῦ, δὶς καὶ τρὶς ἐμβαλὸν τὸ ὕδωρ τά τε χωρί' ἐλυμήνατο καὶ μᾶλλον ὡδοποίει. διὸ δὴ ταῦθ' ὁ πατὴρ ὁρῶν, ὡς ἐγὼ τῶν εἰδότων ἀκούω, καὶ τῶν γειτόνων ἐπινεμόντων ἄμα καὶ βαδιζόντων

rendering the clause οδπω... ξχοντος as though it contained the principal verb. Thus:
'now my father was not yet in possession of the property, but a man who disliked the neighbourhood and preferred town life; accordingly neglect ensued, and the water overflowed several times, damaged the land, and was making further inroad.'—For ώδοποίει, cf. supra § 10. εὐοδῆ.

[By μᾶλλον ώδοποιει, the speaker wishes to show how the rain had made a way for itself almost amounting to a χαράδρα, though he denies the existence of any recognised χαράδρα by the road-side in § 16. P.]

the road-side in § 16. P.] δρῶν...ἐπινεμόντων] For the nominative participle combined with the genitive absolute, cf. Thuc. viii 45 ἀλκιβιάδης τοῦς Πελοποννησίοις ὕποπτος ῶν καὶ ἀπ' αὐτῶν ἀφικομένης ἐπιστολῆς... ὑποχωρεῖ (Goodwin's Moods and Tenses § 111 = § 876, ed. 1889).

τῶν γειτόνων—χωρίου] 'as the neighbours also (ἄμα) encroached and trespassed on the property.' ἐπινέμειν and ἐπινομία are specially used of turning cattle on to a neighbour's land for pasture. Hence the metaphorical use of the word in Aesch. Ag. 485 πιθανός άγαν ὁ θῆλυς όρος ἐπινέμεται ταχύπορος. Among other words compounded with ἐπὶ and used of encroachments on the debateable borderland of two countries, or on the boundaries of adjacent proper-

ties, we have ἐπεργάζεσθαι and ἐπεργασία.

In Plato's Laws (pp. 843-4) there is a long and interesting passage, in which the annoyances caused by neighbours are dwelt upon, and suggestions made for legal remedies. We transcribe those portions only which illustrate the clause before us, and indeed the speech in general. p. 843 в βλάβαι πολλαί και σμικραί γειτόνων γιγνόμεναι, διά τὸ θαμίζειν έχθρας δγκον μέγαν έντίκτουσαι, χαλεπην καὶ σφόδρα πικράν γειτονίαν άπεργάζονται. διὸ χρὴ πάντωs εὐλαβεῖσθαι γείτονα γείτονι μηδὲν ποιείν διάφορον, τῶν τε ἄλλων περί και δή και έπεργασίας ξυμπάσης σφόδρα διευλαβούμενον... δι δ' ἀν ἐπεργάζηται τὰ τοῦ γείτονος ὑπερβαίνων τοὺς ὅρους, τὸ μὲν βλάβος ἀποτινέτω, τῆς δὲ άναιδείας άμα και άνελευθερίας βλάβους άλλο έκτισάτω τῷ βλαφθέντι...καλ έάν τις βοσκήματα έπινέμη, τὰς βλάβας (ἀγρονόμοι) δρώντες κρινόντων καί τιμώντων.

See esp. Donaldson's New Cratylus § 174, where this class of words is discussed. He apparently understands ἐπινέμειν in this passage to refer to a 'common trespass'; but this is sufficiently expressed by βαδιζόντων διά τοῦ χωρίου, and it is therefore better to give ἐπινεμόντων that special application to the 'encroachment of cattle' which it constantly bears.

διὰ τοῦ χωρίου, τὴν αίμασιὰν περιφκοδόμησε ταύ12 την. καὶ ὡς ταῦτ' ἀληθῆ παρέξομαι μὲν καὶ μάρτυ- 1275 ρας ὑμῖν τοὺς εἰδότας, πολὺ δ' ὧ ἄνδρες 'Αθηναῖοι τῶν μαρτύρων ἰσχυρότερα τεκμήρια. Καλλικλῆς μὲν γάρ φησι τὴν χαράδραν ἀποικοδομήσαντα βλάπτειν μ' αὐτόν°· ἐγὼ δ' ἀποδείξω χωρίον ὃν τοῦτ',
13 ἀλλ' οὐ χαράδραν. εἰ μὲν οὖν μὴ συνεχωρεῖθ' ἡμέ-

 $^{\circ}$ μ' αὐτόν $^{\circ}$ Bl. $^{\circ}$ μὲ αὐτόν vulgo, 'quod nimis ambiguum' $^{\circ}$ Bl. $^{\circ}$ μὲ αὐτόν $^{\circ}$ Z.

alμασιάν] Never used in the sense of a 'hedge,' but always of a 'wall of dry stones.' In Odyss. xviii 359 and xxiv 224-230 αίμασιας λέγων is explained in a scholium, olkoδομών έκ συλλεκτών λίθων, and Hesychius paraphrases the word τὸ ἐκ πολλῶν λίθων λογάδων ἄθροισμα. Thus in Theorr. 1 45, a boy watching a vineyard is described as sitting έφ' αίμασιαῖσι, and in v 93 we have roses growing in beds beside the garden-wall, ρόδα τῶν ἄνδηρα παρ' αίμασιαῖσι πεφύκει. Plat. legg. 881 A περιβόλους αίμασιώδεις τινάς, τειχών ερύματα.

In Bekker's Anecdota Graeca p. 356, we have the definition, τὸ ἐκ χαλίκων ὠκοδομημένον τειχίον, where the next few words, κυρίως δὲ τοῖς ήκανθωμένοις λέγεται φραγμοῖς, show that such walls were sometimes topped with thorns (Odyss. xiv 10 αὐλὴν ..δείματο...ρυτοῖσιν λάεσσιν και έθρίγκωσεν άχέρδω, cf. xxiv 230), just as in England rough stone walls are frequently finished off with furze and other prickly shrubs. The Greek peasants still give the name almaoids to the walls built to support the artificial terraces of earth on the hillsides of the Morea (Ross, Archaeologische

Aufsaetze, 11 500).

§§ 12—15. The plaintiff contends I have damaged his estate by obstructing 'the water-course.' In reply, I shall prove that what he calls a water-course is no such thing, but really part of our own ground, for it has fruit-trees growing in it, which were planted before my father built the enclosure, and it contains a burial-place, made before we acquired the property.

All this is in evidence, gentlemen, as also the fact that the wall was built while the plaintiff's father was still alive, and without any protest on the part of my opponents or the rest of my neighbours.

12. τὴν χαράδραν] emphatic, as is shown by its prominent position and by the next sentence.

βλάπτειν μ' αὐτὸν] The order of words, (1) the infinitive, (2) the subject, (3) the object, is exactly parallel to that in Or. 54 § 31 μὴ πατάξαι Κόνων' 'Αρίστωνα.

χωρίον.....άλλ' οὐ χαράδραν] 'private ground and no water-course.' Isocr. ad Dem. § 2 τῶν σπουδαίων άλλὰ μὴ τῶν φαύλων εἶναι μμητάς.

13. εί μη συνεχωρείτο ίδιον είναι, τάχ' αν ήδικοθμεν, εί τι τών

τερον ίδιον είναι, τάχ' αν τοῦτ' ηδικοῦμεν, εἴ τι τῶν δημοσίων ῷκοδομοῦμεν' νυνὶ δ' οὔτε τοῦτ' ἀμφισβητοῦσιν, ἔστι τ' ἐν τῷ χωρίφ δένδρα πεφυτευμένα, ἄμπελοι καὶ συκαῖ. καίτοι ἀτίς ἀν ἐν χαράδρα ταῦτα ἀ φυτεύειν ἀξιώσειεν; οὐδείς γε. τίς δὲ πάλιν τοὺς αὐτοῦ προγόνους θάπτειν; οὐδὲ τοῦτ' οἰμαι. ταῦτα τοίνυν ἀμφότερ' ὡ ἄνδρες δικασταὶ συμβέ-14 βηκεν' καὶ γὰρ τὰ δένδρα πεφύτευται πρότερον ἡ τὸν πατέρα περιοικοδομῆσαι τὴν αίμασιάν, καὶ τὰ μνήματα παλαιὰ καὶ πρὶν ἡμᾶς κτήσασθαι τὸ χωρίον γεγενημέν' ἐστίν. καίτοι τούτων ὑπαρχόντων τίς ἀν ἔτι λόγος ἰσχυρότερος ὡ ἄνδρες ᾿Αθηναῖοι γένοιτο; τὰ γὰρ ἔργα φανερῶς ἐξελέγχει. καί μοι λαβὲ πάσας νυνὶ τὰς μαρτυρίας, καὶ λέγε.

d-d τίς ἀν—ταῦτα Ar (Bl. coll. §§ 14, 17, 32, al.). τίς—ταῦτ' ἄν vulgo.

δημοσίων ψκοδομοῦμεν] In this conditional sentence, we have one apodosis ήδικούμεν αν, corresponding to a double protasis. The second protasis εί...ψκοδομοῦμεν reiterates the first with a slight change of idea. The supposition stated at the beginning of the sentence is thus re-stated with some slight redundancy at the end, and reaches the hearer in two parts, which enter his mind separately and there unite. So in Plat. Phaedo 67 E εl φοβοΐντο καl άγανακτοίεν, ού πολλή αν άλογία είη,...ει μη ἄσμενοι έκεισε ίοιεν. The idiom may be illustrated by the effect upon the brain of the double images of external objects entering the eyes separately and subsequently uniting. Numerous varieties of construction, of which the present is a single instance, are grouped under the general heading of 'Binary Structure' in Riddell's Digest of Platonic idioms, § 204. ημέτερον ίδιον] See note on § 8, ad fin.

πεφυτευμένα] 'planted' and not growing wild, like the έρινεὸς or συκή ἀγρία.

rts... 6d#rew;] The telling question, 'who would think of burying his ancestors in a water-course?' (a question seriously put, unless perhaps we ought to take it as one of the touches of humour characteristic of this speech), is of course not meant to apply to all the tombs subsequently mentioned (§ 14). Some of them were there even before the land came into the speaker's possession.

14. καὶ γὰρ...καὶ f 'for not only ...but.' A frequent idiom, though one but little observed. P.] τούτων ὑπαρχόντων] Cf. § 9 init.

MAPTTPIAL

- 15 'Ακούετ' ὦ ἄνδρες 'Αθηναῖοι τῶν μαρτυριῶν. ἆρ' ὑμῖν δοκοῦσι διαρρήδην μαρτυρεῖν, καὶ χωρίον° εἶναι δένδρων μεστὸν καὶ μνήματ' ἔχειν τινὰ καὶ τἄλλ' ἄπερ καὶ τοῖς πλείστοις χωρίοις συμβέβηκεν, καὶ πάλιν ὅτι περιφκοδομήθη τὸ χωρίον ζῶντος μὲν ἔτι τοῦ τούτων πατρός, οὐκ ἀμφισβητούντων δ' οὔτε τούτων οὔτ' ἄλλου τῶν γειτόνων οὐδενός;
- 16 *Αξιον δ' & ἄνδρες δικασταὶ καὶ περὶ τῶν ἄλλων
 ὧν εἴρηκε Καλλικλῆς ἀκοῦσαι. καὶ σκέψασθε πρῶ- 1276

χωρίον Z et Bekker st. cum SFQ; cf. § 12. τὸ χωρίον vulgo.
 f Ar (Bl.). ἀλλοις vulgo.

Bekk. τοῦ τούτου Z cum FQ. τῶν τούτου S.
 Bekk. σκέψασθαι Z cum SAQ.

15. $\tilde{a}\rho'$] We should expect $\tilde{a}\rho'$ o' $\alpha'\chi$, which, like nonne, distinctly implies an affirmative answer. But $\tilde{a}\rho\alpha$ is not unfrequently used alone, to denote a simple interrogation, the context showing whether a negative or, as here, an affirmative reply is expected. Xen. Cyr. IV 6 § 4 $\tilde{a}\rho\alpha$ $\beta \ell\beta \lambda \eta \kappa\alpha$ δls $\ell\phi\epsilon \ell \tilde{\eta}s$; (L and S).

μνήματα...τινά] Not μνήματα παλαιά as before. The description is made as general as possible to show that the piece of ground in question had all the essential characteristics of private property.—τάλλ ἄπερ] The speaker does not specify what is included in this et cetera, but the depositions probably went into further detail.

§§ 16—18. The plaintiff speaks of the stoppage of a water-course. Now, firstly, I don't suppose that in the whole of Attica there is such a thing as a water-course by the side of a

public road. The water would naturally flow down the road and a water-course would be quite unnecessary. Next, no one surely would think of allowing water passing down the highway to flow into his own land; on the contrary, he would of course dam it off, if it ever made in-

Now the plaintiff wants me to let the water flow into my own land, and to turn it off into the road again after it has passed his property. Why then, the owner next below my neighbour opposite will complain. In short, if I take the water from off the road, I cannot let it out again either into the road or into my neighbours' properties. And no other course is open to me; for I presume the plaintiff won't compel me to drink it up.

16. σκέψασθε] The other reading σκέψασθαι (closely connected by και with ἀκοῦσαι) is perhaps less preferable.

τον μέν, εἴ τις ὑμῶν ἐόρακεν¹ ἡ ἀκήκοε πώποτε παρ' όδὸν χαράδραν οὖσαν. οἶμαι γὰρ ἐν πάση τἢ χώρα μηδεμίαν εἶναι τοῦ γὰρ ἔνεκ' ἄν³, δ διὰ τῆς δημοσίας ἔμελλε βαδιεῖσθαι φερόμενον, τούτφ διὰ τῶν ἰδίων

i έώρακεν codices (Z).

j Bl. žveka vulgo.

 $olumi - \epsilon \pi oly \sigma \epsilon \tau s;$ The speaker, after asking whether any of his audience has ever seen or even heard of a watercourse running by the side of a public way, takes upon himself to declare that he does not believe there is anything of the kind in the whole of Attica. The startling character of this assertion, which could hardly have been untrue, is only equalled by the delightful frankness with which he assigns the reason. 'What could induce any one,' he asks, 'to make a channel through his private grounds for water, which, if let alone, would be sure to flow down along the public road?' The passage is singularly suggestive on the state of the mountain roads of Attica. The public road, so called, would in numbers of cases be little better than the path of a mountain-torrent, which might be used in dry weather for purposes of transit, but in very wet seasons would revert to the possession of the waters. In the days of Demosthenes many of the mountain roads were, we presume, not much better than those of modern Attica, as described with perfect accuracy in Edmond About's lively book on Greek brigandage, Le Roi des Montagnes:

"I crossed at a leap the Eleusinian Cephisus... One hundred paces further on, the

road was lost in a wide and deep ravine, hollowed by the rains of two or three thousand winters. I supposed with some show of justice that the ravine must be the road, for I had noticed in my previous excursions that the Greeks dispense with making a road wherever the water has been kind enough to take that duty on itself. In this country, where man but slightly thwarts the laws of nature, the torrents are royal roads. the rivers turnpike-roads, the rivulets cross-country roads. Storms do the office of highway engineers, and the rain is an inspector who keeps up without any control the means of communication, great and small" (p. 45 = p. 42 Eng. transl. 1862).

[We must remember that road-making, as we have it, is a modern art, and that the want of roads is still the cause of backward civilisation and commerce in many countries. The hollow or sunken lanes, common in many parts of England, are caused by the excavating power of water running along tracks. The Romans raised their roads (viam munire) apparently to avoid this. As an illustrative passage, we may quote Iliad xxIII 420 ρωχμός ξην γαίης, ή χειμέριον άλὲν δδωρ ἐξέρρηξεν όδοιο, βάθυνε δὲ χῶρον ἄπαντα. Ρ.] βαδιείσθαι] The Classic future of βαδίζω (retained even by Plutarch and Lucian): the other forms, $\beta a \delta l \sigma \omega$ and $\beta a \delta \omega$

17 χωρίων χαράδραν ἐποίησέ τις; ἔπειτα τίς αν ύμων εἴτ' ἐν ἀγρῷ νὴ Δί' εἴτ' ἐν ἄστει τὸ διὰ τῆς ὁδοῦ ῥέον ὕδωρ εἰς τὸ χωρίον ἡ τὴν οἰκίαν δέξαιτ' αν [αὐτοῦ¹]; ἀλλ' οὐκ αὐτὸ τοὐναντίον, καν βιάσηταί ποτ', ἀποφράττειν ἄπαντες καὶ παροικοδομεῖν εἰώθαμεν; οὖτος τοίνυν ἀξιοῖ μ' ἐκ τῆς ὁδοῦ τὸ ὕδωρ εἰσδεξάμενον εἰς τὸ ἐμαυτοῦ χωρίον, ὅταν τὸ τούτου παραλλάξη χωρίον, πάλιν εἰς τὴν ὁδὸν ἐξαγαγεῖν. οὐκοῦν πάλιν ὁ μετὰ τοῦτόν μοι γεωργῶν τῶν γειτόνων ἐγκαλεῖ[™]·

^k år, vocabuli antecedentis in syllaba ultima absorptum, restituendum esse indicavit H. W. Moss; item H. Zurborg, Hermes, 1878, p. 286; supra addidit Bl.

1 propter hiatum secl. Bl. τὴν αὐτοῦ malebant Z.
^m ἐγκαλέσει S in margine.

are characteristic of the worst Greek, extrema barbaries (Cobet, var. lect. 329).

17. aird rowartor] 'on the very contrary,' so also in Or. 22 (Androt.) § 6.—ar rowartor was the common text until corrected by Reiske, on the authority of two mss and the margin of Σ ; but the correction is so certain that authority is hardly wanted.

άποφράττευ και παροικοδομεῖν]
'dam and wall it off.' The
former implies an abrupt cutting off of the water by a transverse dam athwart the stream;
the latter probably expresses a
wall built parallel to the stream
to narrow its course.

οῦτος τοίνυν—ἐγκαλεῖ] 'This man, Callicles, expects me to take the water from the road (where it has no χαράδρα) into my farm, and, when it has passed his, again to carry it out of my farm into the road. But, in that case, the farmer who occupies next to him would complain'; i.e. he would say that I ought to carry it beyond

his farm also, lest it should come in from the road. It is clear that the defendant's farm, on one side of the road (§ 10), extended considerably beyond that of the plaintiff Callicles on the other. For he says that, if bound to carry it beyond one farm, he was bound to carry it beyond a second or a third, before he allowed it to re-enter the public road. P.]

έξαγαγεῖν] 'draw off,' 'let out,' derivare, Xen. Oec. 20 § 12 τὸ ὕδωρ έξάγεται τάφροις.

έγκαλεί] Not present, but future. The context is decisive and the margin of the Paris ms has έγκαλέσει, pointing to the same conclusion, though the note seems due to a copyist who did not recognise in έγκαλεί the regular Attic future. In Or. 23 (Aristoor.) § 123, we have έγκαλέσουσιν; so also in Or. 19 § 133. The simple verb καλώ hardly ever (Cobet says, never) has any other future than καλώ (var. lect. 28, 29).

τὸ γὰρ ὑπὲρ τούτου δίκαιον δῆλον ὅτι κἀκείνοις ὑπάρξει πᾶσι λέγειν. ἀλλὰ μὴν εἴ γ' εἰς τὴν ὁδὸν 18 ὀκνήσω τὸ ὕδωρ ἐξάγειν, ἢ που σφόδρα θαρρῶν εἰς τὸ τοῦ πλησίον χωρίον ἀφιείην αν. ὅπου γὰρ ἀτιμήτους φεύγω δίκας διότι τὸ ἐκ τῆς ὁδοῦ ῥέον ὕδωρ εἰς τὸ τούτου χωρίον διέπεσε , τί πείσομαι πρὸς Διὸς ὑπὸ τῶν ἐκ τοῦ χωρίου τοὐμοῦ τοῦ ὕδατος εἰσπεσόντος βλαπτομένων; ὅπου δὲ μήτ' εἰς τὴν ὁδὸν μήτ'

n θαρρῶν γ' Hirschig.
 Bl. ἀφείην vulgo.
 P Ar (Bl.). δ. ἀτ. φείγω vulgo.
 q εἰσέπεσε Hirschig.

18. ἢ που] 'Surely, I should scarcely be rash enough to turn it on to my neighbour's land'; —'I should be a very rash man indeed to do so.' For this slightly ironical use of ἢ που, 'to be sure,' cf. Lycurgus § 71 ἢ που ταχέως &ν ἡνέσχετό τις ἐκείνων τῶν ἀνδρῶν τοιοῦτον ἔργον. Soph. Aj. 1008 ἢ που με Πελαμῶν... δέξαιτ' ἄν εὐπρόσωπος Τλεώς τ' τοως χωροῦντ' ἀνευ σοῦ. ὅπου] 'whereas,' 'in a case

öπου] 'whereas,' 'in a case where' (without any direct notion of place). Isocr. ad Dem. § 49.

άτιμήτους φεύγω δίκας] 'am sued for a fixed penalty,' 'am put on my trial in law-suits where the damages are already assessed by law.' The plural refers to the fact that the speaker has been sued by Callicrates as well as by Callicles (§ 2).

δίκη τιμητός means 'a suit to be assessed,' i.e. 'a suit in which the penalty or damages have not been determined by law.' δίκη dτίμητος means the opposite; 'a suit not to sessed,' i.e. a. suit in the penalty fixed by law.' So Hadrow and '

έφ' ῷ τίμημα ὡρισμένον ἐκ τῶν νόμων οὐ κεῖται, ἀλλὰ τοὺς δικαστὰς ἔδει τιμᾶσθαι ὅ τι χρὴ παθεῦν ἀνατίσο ῷ πρόσεστιν ἐκ τῶν νόμων ὡρισμένον τίμημα, ὡς μηδὲν δεῖν τοὺς δικαστὰς διατιμῆσαι. Αἰσχίνης κατὰ Κτησιφῶντος (§ 210), Δημοσθένης κατὰ Μειδίου (§ 90). Cf. Or. 37 § 40.

Again Pollux (VIII 63) has: ἀτίμητος δὲ δίκη, ἢν οὐκ ἔστιν ὑποτιμήσασθαι ἀλλὰ τοσούτου τετίμηται ὄσου ἐπιγέγραπται.

The above explanation is wrongly reversed by Suidas (quoted in Telfy's Corpus iuris Attici 747 note), by the Lexica Segueriana (on p. 202 and 469 of Bekker's Anecdota Graeca), and even in a scholium on § 25 infra, χιλίων δραχμῶν δίκην ἀτίμητον φείγω, a passage which is decisive in favour of Harpocration's distinction.

δτου δὲ μήτε] There would seem to have been a law prohibiting the draining of farms on to a public way.

he mays et els τὴν ὁδὸν ἐξάγεν. It of course,

of course, her's land. her's to moe he είς τὰ χωρί' ἀφιέναι^τ μοι τὸ ὕδωρ ἐξέσται⁸ δεξαμένφ,
τί λοιπὸν ὦ ἄνδρες δικασταὶ πρὸς θεῶν; οὐ γὰρ
ἐκπιεῖν γε δήπου με Καλλικλῆς αὐτὸ προσαναγκά19 σει. ¹ταῦτα τοίνυν ἐγὼ πάσχων ὑπὸ τούτων καὶ
πόλλ' ἔτερα καὶ δεινά, μὴ ὅτι δίκην λαβεῖν, ἀλλὰ
μὴ προσοφλεῖν ἀγαπήσαιμ' ἄν. ¹ εἰ μὲν γὰρ ἦν ὧ 1277

Z et Bekker st. cum FQ (άφειεναι S). ἀφεῖναι Ar (Dind.).
 εξεστι Z cum SFQ.

t-t 'Sententia loco quo nunc legitur prorsus inepta est' Bl.

admitted it on his farm? And the inference is, that he was right in not admitting it, but in damming it back as far as he could, and letting it run as it might along the road. P.]

ού γαρ έκπιείν—αύτο προσ-This passage is αναγκάσει] quoted by Aristides (II 470 in Spengel's Rhet. Graeci), δταν els άτοπον άπάγης τον λόγον, βαρύτητα είργασαι, ώς έν τῷ πρὸς Καλλικλέα, ού γάρ δη έκπιείν με αὐτὸ Καλλικλης ἀναγκάσει. Τhe rhetorician recognises the force and effectiveness of the sentence, but fails to draw attention to its humour. It is also quoted by Aelian, Ep. 6 p. 18 Hercher, οὐ γάρ δήπου κελεύεις ήμας έκπιεῖν αὐτό.

§ 19. Now had there been a water-course below my property, to take off the drainage, I might have been doing wrong in refusing to let the water into my land; but, as it is, the water-course alleged is neither passed down to me by any neighbour immediately above me, nor is it passed down by myself to any one else below.

19. μη ὅτι—ἀλλὰ μη...ἀγαπησαιμ' ἀν] 'I must be content, I do not say, with obtaining a verdict, but with escaping conviction.' The sense is: 'victimised

as I have been, instead of gaining legal satisfaction from my opponents, I must think myself fortunate if I am not convicted to pay them an additional penalty.'

el μèν γὰρ—παραλαμβάνουσιν ώσαύτως] In Plato's Laws provisions are suggested to prevent damage being incurred in times of heavy rain, either owing to neglect on the part of neighbours in providing an outlet for streams that pass down to them from the higher ground immediately adjacent, or again owing to careless transmission of the streams on the part of the neighbours higher up the hill:—

έὰν δὲ ἐκ Διὸς δδατα γιγνόμενα, τον ἐπάνω γεωργοῦντα ἢ καὶ ομότοιχον οίκοθντα των υποκάτω βλάπτη τις μη διδούς έκροην, η τούναντίον ο έπάνω μεθιείς είκη τὰ ρεύματα βλάπτη τὸν κάτω, καί περί ταθτα μη έθέλωσι διά ταθτα κοινωνείν άλλήλοις, έν άστει μέν άστυνόμον, έν άγρω δε άγρονόμον έπάγων δ βουλόμενος ταξάσθω τί χρη ποιείν έκάτερον ο δέ μη έμμένων έν τη τάξει φθόνου θ' αμα και δυσκόλου ψυχής υπεχέτω δίκην, και δφλων διπλάσιον τὸ βλάβος ἀποτινέτω τῷ βλαφθέντι, μή έθελήσας τοῖς ἄρχουσι πείθεσ- θ aı. Leg. viii 844 c. Among ἄνδρες δικασταὶ χαράδρα πάλιν ὑποδεχομένη, τάχ'
αν ἢδίκουν ἐγὼ μὴ δεχόμενος, ὥσπερ ἀν' ἔτερ' α ἄττα
τῶν χωρίων εἰσὶν ὁμολογούμεναι χαράδραι· καὶ ταύταις δέχονται μὲν οἱ πρῶτοι, καθάπερ τοὺς ἐκ τῶν
οἰκιῶν χειμάρρους, παρὰ τούτων δ' ἔτεροι παραλαμβάνουσιν ὡσαύτως ταύτη δ' οὖτε παραδίδωσιν
οὐδεὶς οὖτε παρ' ἐμοῦ παραλαμβάνει. πῶς αν οὖν
εἴη τοῦτο χαράδρα; τὸ δ' εἰσπεσὸν ὕδωρ ἔβλαψε 20
μὲν οἰμαι πολλάκις ἤδη πολλοὺς μὴ φυλαξαμένους,
ἔβλαψε δὲ καὶ νῦν τοῦτον. δ καὶ πάντων ἐστὶ
δεινότατον, εἰ Καλλικλῆς μὲν εἰς τὸ χωρίον εἰσπε-

" ἀν' ἔτερ' Η. Wolf, Z, Bl. ἀν θάτερ' SQFB. ἀνὰ θάτερ' Bekk. 'ἀνὰ χᾶτερ' ἄττα lege; partim e mss' Dobree (Dind.). 'non est locus particulae καί' Bl.

Bl. ταύτας vulgo.
 * Bl. (coll. § 20). ταύτην vulgo.
 * Bekk. ξβλαψεν Z cum SFQ.

y Ar (Bl.). νῦν καὶ vulgo.

* Bl. τουτονί vulgo.

the conditions attaching to the lease of a $\tau \in \mu e \nu o s$ in 418 B.C. is the clause $\tau o \tilde{\nu}$ volume $\tau o \tilde{\nu}$ volume $\tau o \tilde{\nu}$ consider $\tau o \tilde{\nu}$ (for $\epsilon \kappa$) $\Delta \iota o s$ $\tau o \nu \mu \sigma \theta \omega \sigma \dot{\omega} \mu e \nu o v$ (C.I. A. IV 2, 53 a).

ηδικουν...μη δεχόμενος] Thus in the fens near Cambridge, an obligation lies on each tenant to clear out the ditch or dyke bounding his land on one side, and so to give free passage to the water from his neighbour's land. P.] Cf. the ius aquae ducendae which was one of the servitutes (or limiting obligations), under which property was held in Roman law.

ομολογούμεναι χαράδραι] 'recognised, acknowledged, undisputed water-courses.' A curious expression. The nearest approach to it that I can find is (Andoc.) Or. 4 § 17 οὐδὲν ἦττον τῶν ὁμολογουμένων δούλων.

τούς χειμάρρους] here 'water-

drains,' 'gutters,' like υδρορρόα Ar. Ach. 922. The word has lost all trace of its primary meaning 'a winter torrent.'

meaning 'a winter torrent.'
§ 20. The fact is, that simply owing to the plaintiff's own a flood, as others have before him; and the strangest inconsistency of all is, that the plaintiff, while he himself brings to the spot large stones to dam off the water when it makes inroad, has actually brought against me a suit for damages, just because my father built a wall round his property with the very same object.

20. μὴ φυλαξαμένους] for not being on their guard, 'owing to their neglect.' μὴ here implies the reason, as distinguished from οὐ which would only denote the feet

δ καλ...δεινότατον, εί] Isoer,

σόντος τοῦ ὕδατος ἁμαξιαίους λίθους προσκομίσας ἀποικοδομεῖ, τοῦ δὲ πατρός, ὅτι τοῦτος παθόντος τοῦ χωρίου περιφκοδόμησεν, ὡς ἀδικοῦντος, ἐμοὶ βλάβης εἴληχε δίκην. καίτοι εἰ ὅσοι κακῶς πεπόνθασιν ὑπὸ τῶν ὑδάτων τῶν ταύτη ῥεόντων ἐμοὶ λήξονται δίκας, οὐδὲ πολλαπλάσια γενόμενα τὰ ὄντ' ἐξαρκέσειεν ἄν 21 μοι. τοσοῦτον τοίνυν διαφέρουσιν οὖτοι τῶν ἄλλων, ώστε πεπονθότες μὲν οὐδέν, ὡς αὐτίχ' ὑμῖν ἐγὼ σαφῶς ἐπιδείξω, πολλῶν δὲ πολλὰ καὶ μεγάλα βεβλαμμένων, μόνοι δικάζεσθαι τετολμήκασιν οὖτοί μοι. καίτοι πᾶσι μᾶλλον ἐνεχώρει τοῦτο πράττειν. οὖτοι μὲν γὰρ, εἰ καί τι πεπόνθασιν, αὐτοὶ δι' αὐτοὺς

 * ταὐτὸ Zurborg, Hermes, 1878, p. 284, coll. Lept. 62, ταὐτὸ λεγόντων.

Archidamus § 56 δ δὲ πάντων σχετλιώτατον, εἰ φιλοπονώτατον δοκοῦντες εἰναι...ὑαθυμότερον βουλευσόμεθα. Paneg. § 128 δ δὲ πάντων δεινότατον, ὅταν...(Dem.) Aristog. (25) § 51 δ καὶ θανμαστόν ἐστιν, εἰ κ.τ.λ. In such sentences δ δὲ implies a less close connexion with the previous context than δ καὶ, and ἐστὶ is frequently omitted (Kühner § 406, 9. Madvig Gr. Synt. § 197). ἀμαξιαίους λίθους] Χεπ. Anab.

αμαξιαίους Λίσους Δεπ. Απάδ. Τν 2 § 3 έκυλινδουν όλοιτρόχους άμαξιαίους (huge boulders) and Hell. II 4 § 27, Eur. Phoen. 1157 λάαν έμβαλών κάρα άμαξοπληθή.

έμοι βλάβης είληχε δίκην] Or. 29 § 30 έγὼ τὴν δίκην έλαχον τούτω τῆς ἐπιτροπῆς. Kühner § 419, 12.

οὐδὲ πολλαπλάσια] So in § 35 the defendant speaks of his μικρὰ οὐσία.

§ 21. If all my neighbours were to treat me as the plaintiff has done, I should soon be a ruined man. But while the rest, who

have had great losses, are content to bear their misfortune, my present opponents alone, who have lost nothing to speak of, are bringing against me a groundless action for damage entirely due to their own neglect.

21. πεπονθότες...βεβλαμμένων] cf. § 11 δρών...έπινεμόντων n.

τετολμήκασιν] τολμάν and its tenses are regularly used in Greek prose, while τλήναι is almost entirely confined to Greek verse (note on Isoor. Paneg. § 96 ξτλησαν).—τοῦτο πράττειν = δικάξεσθαι.—πῶσι SC. τοῖς ἄλλοις.

el kal] Notwithstanding—even if—they have had some trifling losses. el kal, without disputing the condition (here el nenóvdari), represents it as of little consequence. kal el or kel even supposing introduces a condition which is utterly improbable. Kühner § 378.

 $a\dot{v}\tau ol - \beta\epsilon\beta\lambda a\mu\mu\epsilon\nu ol$ 'they have incurred damage owing to

βεβλαμμένοι συκοφαντοῦσιν ἐκεῖνοι δ', εἰ καὶ μηδὲν ἄλλο, τοιαύτην γ' οὐδεμίαν αἰτίαν ἔχουσιν. ἀλλ' ἵνα μὴ πάνθ' ἄμα συνταράξας λέγω, λαβέ μοι τὰς τῶν γειτόνων μαρτυρίας.

MAPTTPIAI.

Οὐκοῦν δεινὸν ὦ ἄνδρες δικασταὶ τούτους μὲν 22 1278 μηδὲν ἐγκαλεῖν μοι τοσαῦτα βεβλαμμένους, μηδ'

b fortasse secludendum putat Bl. coll. Or. 58 § 69.

their own fault alone (by not damming off the water as I did), though they vexatiously three the blame upon me.' The participle here is quite as emphatic as a principal verb.

έκεῖνοι—alriar έχουσιν] 'whereas the rest of my neighbours, not to mention any other point, at any rate are open to no such imputation as this.' With μηδέν άλλο I understand διαφέρουσι, and I refer alriar έχουσι το αὐτοὶ δι' αὐτοὺς βεβλαμμένοι συκοφαντοῦσι. The imputation is συκοφαντία, bringing a vexatious charge when they are themselves to blame for want of precaution. Cf. next § τούτους μὲν μηδὲν ἐγκαλεῦν...τουτονὶ δὲ συκοφαντέῦν.

['while the rest, however negligent they may have been, are at all events chargeable with nothing of this kind,' Kennedy. This seems to give the sense; but the precise ellipse with el κal μηδέν άλλο is obscure. P.]

G. H. Schaefer explains τοιαύτην alτίαν by the words τοῦ αὐτοὺς (qu. αὐτοὶ) δι' αὐτοὺς βεβλάφθαι, and with εἰ καὶ μηδὲν άλλο he understands πεποιήκασι τοῦ φυλάττεσθαι τὴν ἐκ τοῦ ὕδατος ἐσομένην βλάβην.

alτίαν έχειν (except in Pl. Phaedo 101 c, where it means

'have you, i.e. do you know, any cause?') is nearly equivalent to δόξαν έχειν, 'to have the reputation (i.e. either the credit or the imputation) of ... ' It occurs in the better sense, 'to have the credit of,' in Isocr. de pace § 138 τούτων των άγαθων την αίτίαν έξομεν. The worse sense, 'to be open to an imputation' (as here), is far more common (e.g. Lysias Or. 22 § 18, 10 § 28, 13 § 62). Both meanings are combined in Thuc. 183 § 3 7@v άποβαινόντων τὸ πλέον ἐπ' άμφότερα alτίας έξομεν (note on Isocr. Paneg. § 109). αlτίαν έχειν is 'the usual passive of alτιᾶσθαι' (Wayte on Timocr. § 187).

§ 22. The plaintiff has himself done wrong by advancing his wall and thus narrowing the road, and by shooting his rubbish on to the road and thus raising its level.

22. δεινδν...τούτους μὲν μηδὲν ἐγκαλεῖν ... τουτονὶ δὲ συκοφαντεῖν] The clause containing μὲν is coordinate with that containing δὲ, but in English must be subordinate to it. 'Is it not atrocious, that, while my neighbours make no complaint..., the plaintiff brings a vexatious action against me?' The influence of δεινδν affects the second clause in its contrast with the first. Dem. Lept. § 9 πῶς γὰρ

ἄλλον μηδένα τῶν ἠτυχηκότων, ἀλλὰ τὴν τύχην στέργειν, τουτονὶ δὲ συκοφαντεῖν; δν ὅτι μὲν αὐτὸς ἐξημάρτηκε πρῶτον μὲν τὴν ὁδὸν στενοτέραν ποιήσας, ἐξαγαγῶν ἔξω τὴν αἰμασιάν, ἵνα τὰ δένδρα τῆς ὁδοῦ ποιήσειεν εἴσω°, ἔπειτα δὲ τὸν χλῆδον ἐκβαλῶνδ

- (1) Lego τὰ δένδρα τὰ τῆς ὁδοῦ ποιήσειεν εἴσω, εcil. τῆς αἰμασιᾶς.
 (2) Imo deleta glossa lege τὰ δένδρα ποιήσειεν εἴσω (Dobree).
- ⁴ Bekker st. cum γρ. FQ et Harpocrat. s.v. χληθος, cf. § 27. έμβαλών Z et Bekk. 1824. έμβάλλων SFQ.

ούκ αΙσχρόν κατά μὲν τὴν ἀγοράν ἀψευδεῖν νόμον γεγράφθα.... ἐν δὲ τῷ κοινῷ μὴ χρῆσθαι τῷ νόμῳ τούτῳ (Isocr. ad Dem. § 11 n. Madvig Gr. Synt. § 189 a, Cicero II Phil. § 110 l. 6 ed. Mayor, n.).

τὴν τύχην στέργειν] στέργειν, in the sense of contented submission, usually has the dative with or without ἐπὶ, e. g. Isocr. de pace § 6 στέργειν τοῖς παροῦσι. The acc. however occurs again in § 30 infra, also in Hdt. ix 117 ἔστερξαν τὰ παρεόντα, Eur. Phoen. 1685 τάμ' ἐγὰ στέρξω κακά, Soph. Ant. 292, and Isocr. ad Dem. § 29 στέργε μὲν τὰ παρόντα ζήτει δὲ τὰ βέλτιστα.

δν] δν είσεσθε σαφέστερον...δτι αὐτὸς ἐξημάρτηκε. The subject of the subordinate here becomes the accusative of the principal sentence, and all the words down to συμβέβηκεν inclusive form an object-sentence to the principal verb είσεσθε. Lysias, Or. 20 § 34 οθς οθπω ίστε είτε ἀγαθοὶ είτε κακοί...γενήσονται (Madvig, Gr. Synt. § 191. Kühner § 600 p. 1083).

στενοτέραν] The old Greek grammarians (e.g. Choeroboscus) state that στενδ (Ionic στεινδε) and κενδ have o, not ω, in the comparative and superlative (cf. Ionic στεινότερος).

But the forms in ω have better authority than those grammarians supposed (Kühner 1 § 154 note 2).

έξαγαγών...] 'by advancing—carrying-out—his wall beyond the boundary.' Thuc. 1 93 μείςων ο περίβολος πανταχή έξη-χθη τῆς πόλεως. § 27 infra αίμασιὰν προαγαγόντες κ.τ.λ.

 $t\nu\alpha - \epsilon t\sigma\omega$] Not 'to get his trees within the road' (Kennedy), but 'to take in, enclose, the trees of the road.' A thrust at the $\pi\lambda\epsilon \nu \epsilon \xi t\alpha$ of the plaintiff.

 $\chi \lambda \hat{\eta} \delta \sigma \nu$] 'rubbish'; the word is only found in § 27 and in a fragment of Aeschylus quoted below. Harpocration s.v. χλήδος· Δημοσθένης έν τῷ πρὸς Καλλικλέα περί χωρίου βλάβης έπειτα δὲ τὸν χλήδον έκβαλών έξ ών ύψηλοτέραν και στενωτέραν την αύτην όδον πεποιησθαι συμβέβηκεν, παν πληθος χληδος λέγεται και έστιν οίον σωρός τις, μάλιστα δὲ τῶν άποκαθαρμάτων τε καὶ ἀποψημάτων, και ή των ποταμών πρόσχωσις, και πολύ μαλλον τών χειμάρρων δ και χέραδος καλείται (Iliad xxi 319). νῦν δὲ ἔοικεν ὁ ἡήτωρ λέγειν ὅτι χοῦν καὶ φρυγανώδη τινά έκ τοῦ χωρίου σωρόν ο Καλλικλής είς την όδον έμβέβληκεν, ώς και αὐτὸς έξῆς ύποσημαίνει. κέχρηνται δὲ τῷ ονόματι πολλοί. Αἰσχύλος 'Αργείεἰς τὴν ὁδόν, ἐξ ὧν ὑψηλοτέραν τὴν αὐτὴν καὶ στενοτέραν πεποιῆσθαι συμβέβηκεν, ἐκ τῶν μαρτυριῶν
αὐτίκ' εἴσεσθε σαφέστερον, ὅτι δ' οὐδὲν ἀπολωλεκῶς 23
οὐδὲ καταβεβλαμμένος ἄξιον λόγου τηλικαύτην μοι
δίκην εἴληχε, τοῦθ' ὑμᾶς ἤδη πειράσομαι διδάσκειν.
τῆς γὰρ μητρὸς τῆς ἐμῆς χρωμένης τῆ τούτων μητρὶ
πρὶν τούτους ἐπιχειρῆσαί με συκοφαντεῖν, καὶ πρὸς
ἀλλήλας ἀφικνουμένων, οἶον εἰκὸς ἄμα μὲν ἀμφοτέρων οἰκουσῶν ἐν ἀγρῷ καὶ γειτνιωσῶν, ἄμα δὲ τῶν
ἀνδρῶν χρωμένων ἀλλήλοις ἔως ἔζων, ἐλθούσης δὲ 24
τῆς ἐμῆς μητρὸς ὡς τὴν τούτων καὶ ἀποδυρομένης ^τ
ἐκείνης τὰ συμβάντα καὶ δεικνυούσης, οὕτως ἐπυθόμεθα πάνθ' ἡμεῖς ὧ ἄνδρες δικασταί καὶ λέγω μὲν
ἄπερ ἤκουσα τῆς μητρός, οὕτω μοι πολλὰ κάγαθὰ h

 αὐτὴν coll. Or. 57 § 25 Bl. ex Harpocr. ὑψ. καὶ στενωτέραν τὴν αὐτὴν ὀδὸν πεπ. ὀδὸν υυίgo.

- f Ar (Bl.). ἀποδυραμένης vulgo.
- 8 Bekk. οὔτως έμοι Z cum SFQ.
- h Bl. coll. 54 § 41. πολλά άγαθὰ vulgo.

ois "καὶ παλτὰ κάγκυλητὰ καὶ χλῆδον βαλών" (fr. 16). Bekker's Anecd. Gr. 315 ὁ κλῆρος τῶν ἀποκαθαρμάτων, ὁ ἔχων ἰλύν τινα καὶ βοτανώδη καὶ φριγανώδη. Hesychius χλῆδος ὁ σωρὸς τῶν λίθων. [The article shows that χλῆδον does not here mean rubbish generally, but the soil or gravel thrown up from altering the fences. P.]

έξ ων] The pl. refers to έξαγαγών ... alμασιὰν and χλῆδον
ἐκβαλών. The first adjective
ψηλοτέραν is explained by the
latter, the second στενστέραν by
the former:—one of the many
forms of χιασμὸς or 'introverted
parallelism.' This enables the
speaker to put his main point
in the most emphatic positions
(first and last) and the subor-

dinate point between them (note on Isocr. ad Dem. § 7, Paneg. § 54).—αὐτίκα, sc. at the end of § 27.—τηλικαύτην δίκην. Cf. § 25 χιλίων δραχμών δίκην.

§§ 28—25. The actual loss sustained was very trifting, as I know on good authority; and as for the old wall which he makes out he was compelled to repair, that must not be put to my account, as the wall neither fell down nor incurred any damage.

23. χρωμένης... μητρί] 'intimate with.' Dem. Or. 29 § 15 'Aφόβω χρώμενον, Or. 33 (Αραξιτ.) § 7, Or. 35 (Lacrit.) § 6 πιτήδειοί μοί είσι και χρώμεθ' άλλήλοις...-τῶν ἀνδρῶν, 'their husbands.'

24. ἐκείνης] 80. τῆς τούτων μητρός.

γένοιτο, εἰ δὲ ψεύδομαι, τἀναντία τούτων ἢ μὴν όραν καὶ τῆς τούτων μητρὸς ἀκούειν ἔφη, κριθῶν μὲν βρεχθῆναι, καὶ ξηραινομένους ἰδεῖν αὐτὴν¹, μηδὲ τρεῖς μεδίμνους, ἀλεύρων δ' ὡς ἡμιμέδιμνον ἔλαίου δ' ἀποκλιθῆναι μὲν κεράμιον φάσκειν, οὐ μέντοι παθεῖν 25 γ'¹ οὐδέν. τοσαῦτ' ὡ ἄνδρες δικασταὶ τὰ συμβάντ' ἢν τούτοις, ἀνθ' ὧν ἐγὼ χιλίων δραχμῶν δίκην ἀτίμητον φεύγω. οὐ γὰρ δὴ τειχίον γ' εἰ² παλαιὸν ἐπωκοδόμησεν, ἐμοὶ καὶ τοῦτο λογιστέον ἐστίν, ὁ μήτ' ἔπεσε μήτ' ἄλλο δεινὸν μηδὲν ἔπαθεν. ὥστ' εἰ συνε- 1279 χώρουν αὐτοῖς ἀπάντων αἴτιος εἶναι τῶν συμβεβηκό-26 των, τά γε βρεχθέντα ταῦτ' ἢν. ὁπότε¹ δὲ μήτ' ἐξ

¹ Bekk. αὐτὴν Z cum G. H. Schaefer. αὐτὴ malebat Bl. 'quamvis illud sit etiam apud Aristid. p. 452 w.'

j Bekk. μέντοι γε Z cum S.

k Bekk. et corr. S. el τ eixlov S (el in margine manu eadem addito). τ eixlov γ e r. τ eixlov el FB.

1 ὅπου Hirschig coll. § 18; 'sed v. 41 § 25' Bl.

οδτω—γένοιτο] Or. 54 § 41. Ter. Eun. IV 1, 1 ita me dii bene ament. Prop. I 7, 3 ita sim felix. Cic. ad Att. V 15 ita vivam.

τάναντία] a kind of euphemism for πολλά κακά. So also Soph. Phil. 503 μαθεῖν μὲν εὖ παθεῖν δὲ θάτερα.

κριθών—παθεῖν γ' οὐδέν] Quoted by Aristides (II 544 Spengel Rhet. Gr.) ένΙοτε δὲ ἡ μὲν ἔννοια αφελής ἐστιν, ἡ δὲ ἀπαγγελία πολιτικὴ, ὡς καὶ ἐν τῷ πρὸς Καλλικλέα ὁ Δημοσθένης, κριθῶν μὲν—παθεῖν γε οὐδέν. καὶ τοῦτο δὲ μετὰ σεμνότητος, ὅπου γε αὐτὸ τὸ κύριον λεχθὲν κιν-δυνεύει εὐτέλειαν ποιῆσαι, ὥσπερ εἰ ἔλεγες, οὐ μέντοι ἐκχυθῆναί γε, ἐνταῦθα ὁ δὲ ἀντὶ τοῦ κυρίου καὶ τοῦ κατ' είδος, ἐν γένει μεταβαλὼν είπεν, οὐ μέντοι παθεῦν γε οὐδέν.

πάσχειν is seldom applied to an inanimate subject; cf. Or. 56 § 23 τοῦτο συνέβη παθεῖν τῆ νηί. κριθῶν—μεδίμνους] 'not even three medimni (or four and a half bushels) of barley.' The μέδιμνος = six ἐκτεῖς = six modii = about 12 gallons, or a bushel and a half.—κεράμων used like the Roman amphora as a liquid measure = about six gallons or two-thirds of the Greek ἀμφορεύς (μετρητής).

25. χίλιων δραχμών δίκην ἀτίμητον] See note on § 18.

ἐπφκοδόμησεν] (cf. ἐπισκευάζειν) refers to repairing the old wall.

δ μήτ' ἔπεσε] 'if the wall neither fell nor incurred any damage whatsoever.' δ μήτε... would in Latin be represented by guod nec cecidisset nec....

§§ 26, 27. Summary of pre-

ἀρχῆς ὁ πατὴρ ἠδίκει τὸ χωρίον περιοικοδομῶν, μήθ οὖτοι πώποτ ἐνεκάλεσαν τοσούτου χρόνου διελθόντος, οἵ τ' ἄλλοι πολλὰ καὶ δεινὰ πεπονθότες μηδὲν μᾶλλον ἐγκαλοῦσιν ἐμοί, πάντες θ' ὑμεῖς τὸ ἐκ τῶν οἰκιῶν καὶ τὸ ἐκ τῶν χωρίων ὕδωρ εἰς τὴν ὁδὸν ἐξάγειν εἰώθατε, ἀλλ' οὐ μὰ Δί' εἴσω τὸ ἐκ τῆς ὁδοῦ δέχεσθαι, τί δεῖ πλείω λέγειν; οὐδὲ γὰρ ἐκ τούτων ἄδηλον ὅτι φανερῶς συκοφαντοῦμαι, οὕτ' ἀδικῶν οὐδὲν οὔτε βε-βλαμμένων ἄ φασιν. ἵνα δ' εἰδῆθ' ὅτι καὶ τὸν χλῆδον ²7 εἰς τὴν ὁδὸν ἐκβεβλήκασι™, καὶ τὴν αἰμασιὰν προαγαγόντες στενοτέραν τὴν ὁδὸν πεποιήκασιν, ἔτι δ' ὡς ὅρκον ἐδίδουν ἐγὼ τῆ τούτων μητρί, καὶ τὴν ἐμαυτοῦ τὸν αὐτὸν ὀμόσαι προὐκαλούμην, λαβέ μοι τάς τε μαρτυρίας καὶ τὴν πρόκλησιν.

ΜΑΡΤΥΡΙΑΙ. ΠΡΟΚΛΗΣΙΣ.

Εἶτα τούτων ἀναισχυντότεροι γένοιντ' ἃν ἄνθρω- 28 ποιⁿ, ἢ περιφανέστερον συκοφαντοῦντες, οἵτινες αὐτοὶ

^m Z et Bekk, st. ἐκβεβλήκασιν FΣΦ. ἐμβεβλήκασι Bekk, 1824.
ⁿ propter hiatum suspicatur Bl.

vious arguments, and calling of witnesses.

26. οἴ τ' ἄλλοι—ἐμοί] Cf. § 21 πολλῶν πολλὰ... βεβλαμμένων—πάντες—εἰώθατε. See § 17 init.

ούτε βεβλαμμένων ἄ φασι] βεβλαμμένων, not neuter, but masc. 'they have not incurred the damage they allege.' § 21 πολλών πολλά καὶ μεγάλα βεβλαμμένων.

27. χλήδον] See note on § 22. δρκον ἐδίδουν] 'offered an oath' in the sense of 'proposed to administer an oath.' On δρκον διδόναι και λαμβάνειν, see esp. Arist. Rhet. 1 15 §§ 27—33.

§§ 28—30. My opponents, after having themselves advanced their wall and raised the road's level,

are most shamelessly suing the very victims of their own wrong, for a penalty of a thousand drachmae, while their own loss is less than fifty.

If the plaintiff may enclose his land, we may enclose ours. If my father wronged you, by enclosing, you are just as much wronging me, for if you dam off the water, it will be swept back on my property and will throw down my wall. But I am not going to complain; I shall simply do my best to protect my land. The plaintiff shows his prudence in protecting his own property; but in prosecuting me, he only shows his villany and his infatuation.

την αίμασιὰν προαγαγόντες καὶ την όδον ἀνακεχωκότες ἐτέροις βλάβης δικάζονται, καὶ ταῦτα° χιλίων δραχμῶν ἀτίμητον, οἴ γ' οὐδὲ πεντήκοντα δραχμῶν τὸ παράπαν ἄπαντ' ἀπολωλέκασι; καίτοι σκοπεῖτ' ὧ ἄνδρες δικασταί, πόσους ὑπὸ τῶν ὑδάτων ἐν τοῖς ἀγροῖς βεβλάφθαι συμβέβηκε, τὰ μὲν Ἐλευσῖνι, τὰ δ' ἐν τοῖς ἄλλοις τόποις. ἀλλ' οὐ δήπου τούτων, ὧ γῆ καὶ θεοὶ, παρὰ τῶν γειτόνων ἔκαστος ἀξιώσει 29 τὰς βλάβας κομίζεσθαι. καὶ ἐγὼ μὲν, δν προσῆκεν ἀγανακτεῖν τῆς ὁδοῦ στενοτέρας γεγενημένης καὶ μετεωροτέρας, ἡσυχίαν ἔχω· τούτοις δὲ τοσοῦτον περίεστιν, ὡς ἔοικεν, ὥστε τοὺς ἡδικημένους πρὸς συκοφαντοῦσινα. καίτοι ὧ Καλλίκλεις εἰ καὶ ὑμῖν 1280 περιοικοδομεῖν ἔξεστι τὸ ὑμέτερον αὐτῶν χωρίον, καὶ

ταύτην F, 'quod satis placet' Bl. coll. 19 § 120.
 καl μετεωροτέρας om. S, ante γεγενημένης posuit Dind.
 ^q προσσυκοφαντοῦσιν Z.

28. ἀνακεχωκότες] Cf. § 22 ὑψηλοτέραν τὴν ὁδὸν...πεποιῆσθαι συμβέβηκεν.

'Ελευσίνι] Commonly without έν. So also Μαραθώνι and other locatives of Attic demes. Cobet var. lect. p. 69, 201 and nov. lect. p. 95, 96.

'Eleusis was subject to...occasional encroachments from the river Cephissus, which—although for the greater part of the year quite dry, or finding its way to the sea in three or four slender rills, almost lost in a gravelly bed—sometimes descends from the mountains with such impetuosity as to spread itself over a wide extent of the plain, damaging the lands and buildings.' Leake's Demi of Attica, p. 154.

τὰς βλάβας κομίζεσθαι] 'to recover the damages.'—μετεωροτέρας = ὑψηλοτέρας, cf. § 22.

29. περίεστιν] More commonly with a genitive: but cf. Mid. § 17 οὐδ' ἐνταῦθ' ἔστη τῆς τῆς τῆρεως, ἀλλὰ τοσοῦτον αὐτῷ περρίγν ὤστε τὸν...ἄρχοντα διέφθειρε.

[τοσοῦτον, i.e. ἀναισχυντίας (οτ συκοφαντίας), to be supplied from ἀναισχυντότεροι (ἢ περιφανέστερον συκοφαντοῦντες) above, in § 28.—περίεστιν, i.e. ἐκ περιουσίας ἔχουσιν. P.] L and S less well explain it; 'So far are matters come with them that...'

πρὸς συκοφαντοῦσιν] Cf. Androt. § 75 τοσοῦτ' ἀπέχει του τιμῆς τινὸς...τυχεῦν ιώστ' ἀπειρόκαλος πρὸς έδοξεν εἶναι. See note on Or. 37 § 49 πρὸς ἀτιμῶσαι, and 39 § 23 πρὸς μισεῦν.

καὶ ὑμῶν ... καὶ ἡμῶν] This idiomatic repetition of καὶ cannot be literally rendered in English.

ήμιν δήπου τὸ ήμέτερον έξην. εί δ' ὁ πατήρ ὁ έμὸς ηδίκει περιοικοδομών ύμας, και νῦν ὑμεῖς μ' ἀδικεῖτε περιοικοδομούντες ούτως δήλον γάρ ὅτι μεγάλοις 30 λίθοις αποικοδομηθέντος πάλιν τὸ ὕδωρ εἰς τὸ ἐμὸν ήξει χωρίον, είθ όταν τύχη καταβαλεί την αίμασιαν άπροσδοκήτως. άλλ' οὐδὲν μᾶλλον ἐγκαλῶ τούτοις έγω διά τοῦτο, άλλά στέρξω την τύγην καὶ τάμαυτοῦ φυλάττειν πειράσομαι. καὶ γὰρ τοῦτον φράττοντα μέν τὰ ξαυτοῦ σωφρονείν ήγοῦμαι, δικαζόμενον δέ μοι πονηρότατόν τ' είναι καὶ διεφθαρμένον ύπὸ νόσου νομίζω.

Μή θαυμάζετε δ' ὧ ἄνδρες δικασταὶ τὴν τούτου 31 προθυμίαν, μηδ' εἰ τὰ ψευδή κατηγορείν υῦν τετόλμηκεν. καὶ γὰρ καὶ πρότερον πείσας τὸν ἀνεψιὸν άμφισβητείν μοι τοῦ χωρίου, συνθήκας οὐ γενομένας

r Bl. στέργω vulgo.

* κατηγορείν Z et Bekker st. μαρτυρείν SFQ.

* γρ Q (Bl. coll. § 34 ότι καλ πρότερον, Or. 52 § 11 καλ γάρ αν καλ μαινοίμην). τον πρότ. S, το πρότ. vulgo, Dind.

περιοικοδομών -δομοῦντες] Posses παροικοδ. bis: sed cave facias, construe ήδικει ύμας περιοικοδομών 'by hedging in' etc. Dobree.

30. αποικοδομηθέντος] Genitive neuter absolute. We cannot understand either τοῦ ἔδατος or τοῦ χωρίου. Malim ἀποικοδομηθέν, says Dobree. - στέρξω, see § 22.

διεφθαρμένον ὑπονόσου] 'Blindly infatuated,' having his judgment (or reason) impaired by some malady,' contrasted with $\sigma\omega\phi\rho\sigma\nu\epsilon\hat{\imath}\nu$ in the previous clause.

§§ 31, 32. The plaintiff's assurance in bringing false charges against me is only of a piece with his producing a forged document on a former occasion, when he prompted his cousin to claim my land. Apart from this, simply to spite me (§ 34), he has brought the same charge against my servant, Callarus, as against myself, though the servant could not have enclosed the land on his own responsibility.

Their interested motive is proved by the fact that, if I let them have my property, by purchase or exchange, then Callarus is at once held to be doing them no wrong; if I refuse, they make themselves out to be grievously wronged by him, and try to get an award or a compromise which will secure them my estate.

31. μη θαυμάζετε...εί] Goodwin's Moods and Tenses, § 56. συνθήκας ού γενομένας άπηνεν-

απήνεγκε, καὶ νῦν αὐτὸς ἐρήμην^α καταδεδιήτηται τοιαύτην ετέραν δίκην, Κάλλαρον επιγραψάμενος τῶν ἐμῶν δούλων. πρὸς γὰρ τοῖς ἄλλοις κακοῖς καὶ τοῦθ' εξοηνται σόφισμα. Καλλάρω την αὐτην δίκην 32 δικάζονται. καίτοι τίς αν οἰκέτης τὸ τοῦ δεσπότου χωρίον περιοικοδομήσειε μή προστάξαντος τοῦ δεσπότου; Καλλάρφ δ' ετερον έγκαλειν οὐδεν έχοντες, ύπερ ων ο πατήρ πλέον ή πεντεκαίδεκ' έτη φράξας έπεβίω δικάζονται. καν μεν έγω των χωρίων αποστώ τούτοις αποδόμενος η προς έτερα γωρία αλλαξάμενος, οὐδὲν ἀδικεῖ Κάλλαρος ἀν δ' ἐγὼ μή βούλω- 1281 μαι τάμαυτοῦ τούτοις προέσθαι, πάντα τὰ δεινόταθ' ύπο Καλλάρου πάσχουσιν ούτοι, και ζητούσι και διαιτητήν δστις αὐτοῖς τὰ χωρία προσκαταγνώσεται, 33 καὶ διαλύσεις τοιαύτας έξ ὧν τὰ χωρί' ἔξουσιν. εί μεν οθν ω άνδρες δικασταί τους επιβουλεύοντας καί συκοφαντούντας δεί πλέον έχειν, οὐδὲν αν ὄφελος είη των ειρημένων ει δ' ύμεις τούς μεν τοιούτους μισείτε, τὰ δὲ δίκαια ψηφίζεσθε, μήτ' ἀπολωλεκότος Καλλικλέους μηδεν μήτ' ήδικημένου μήθ' ύπο Καλ-

> ^u + μου vulgo; om. Ar (Bl. coll. § 34). ^v + τὸ Sauppe.

 $\kappa \epsilon$] 'put in (or, 'made a return of') false documents, forged contracts that had never been really made.' This is the $\sigma \kappa \epsilon \nu \omega \rho l a$ alluded to in § 2.

έρήμην ... καταδεδιήτηται] See

#πιγραψάμενος] Having entered on the indictment the name of Callarus, one of my slaves; [for the same action could not be brought twice in the same name. P.]

For another use of ἐπιγράφεσθαι, cf. Or. 54 § 31. 32. ὑπὲρ ὧν κ.τ.λ.]=δικάζονται ὑπὲρ τούτων ἃ ἔφραξεν ὁ πατὴρ καὶ πλέον ἢ πεντεκαίδεκα ἔτη ἐπεβίω. See esp. notes on Or. 45 § 27 ὧν διεφθάρκει and § 68 ἀ πέπλασται.

διαιτητήν δστις...προσκαταγνώσεται] an arbitrator who shall actually (go so far as to) adjudge their property to them; give a verdict of condemnation adjudging the property to them.

διαλύσεις] 'compromises,'

'settlements.'

λάρου μήθ' ύπὸ τοῦ πατρός, οὖκ οἶδ' ὅ τι δεῖ πλείω λέγειν. ἴνα δ' εἰδῆθ' ὅτι καὶ πρότερον ἐπιβουλεύων 34 μου τοῖς χωρίοις τὸν ἀνεψιὸν κατεσκεύασε, καὶ νῦν ἐτέραν* αὐτὸς κατεδιητήσατο Καλλάρου τοιαύτην* δίκην, ἐπηρεάζων ἐμοὶ διότι τὸν ἄνθρωπον περὶ πολλοῦ ποιοῦμαι*, καὶ Καλλάρφ πάλιν εἴληχεν ἑτέραν, ἀπάντων ὑμῖν ἀναγνώσεται τὰς μαρτυρίας.

MAPTTPIAI.

Μὴ οὖν πρὸς Διὸς καὶ θεῶν ὧ ἄνδρες δικασταὶ 35 προῆσθέ με τούτοις μηδὲν ἀδικοῦντα. οὐ γὰρ τῆς ζημίας τοσοῦτόν τί μοι μέλει, χαλεπὸν ὃν πᾶσι τοῖς μικρὰν οὐσίαν ἔχουσιν ἀλλ' ἐκβάλλουσιν ὅλως ἐκ τοῦ δήμου μ' ἐλαύνοντες καὶ συκοφαντοῦντες. ὅτι δ' οὐκ ἀδικοῦμεν οὐδέν, ἔτοιμοι μὲν ἤμεν ἐπιτρέπειν τοῖς εἰδόσιν, τοῖς² ἴσοις καὶ κοινοῖς, ἔτοιμοι δ' ὀμνύναι

™ Bl. την έτέραν vulgo.

* Bl. coll. § 31. ταύτην την vulgo.

rerba quaedam de Callicratis lite (§ 2) excidisse putat Bl., idem καl Καλλάρφ—έτέραν ad Callicratem refert.

* addidit Bl. coll, § 9, Or, 41 § 14.

§§ 33, 34. Now if conspiracy and paltry persecution are to win the day, my words are merely wasted. But if you detest such conduct and intend to give a just verdict on the ground that neither my father nor my servant has done any damage or wrong to the plaintiff, then I have already said enough.

§ 35. Lastly, I entreat the jury not to sacrifice me to my opponents, when I have done them no wrong. It is not so much the penalty that I care for, hard though that is for a poor man to pay; but they want to turn me out of the parish by their petty persecution. To prove we were in the right, we were ready to

submit to a fair arbitration, and to swear the customary oath; for we felt that that would be the strongest argument with you, gentlemen, who are yourselves upon your solemn oath.

35. χαλεπον ον] sc. το ζημιουσσαι. Hard though it falls on those whose property is but

έλαύνοντες καὶ συκοφαντοῦντες] by their persecution and petty litigation.

ξωιμοι μέν...ξτοιμοι δέ] § 20 ξβλαψε μέν...ξβλαψε δέ.

τοίς εἰδόσιν, τοῖς ἴσοις καὶ κοινοῖς] 'impartial, fair and unbiassed persons, acquainted with the facts.' § 9 τοῖς εἰδόσι...τοῖς ἴσοις.

τὸν νόμιμον ὅρκον' ταῦτα γὰρ ຜόμεθ ἰσχυρότατα παρασχέσθαι τοῖς αὐτοῖς ὑμῖν ὀμωμοκόσιν. καί μοι λαβὲ τήν τε πρόκλησιν καὶ τὰς ὑπολοίπους ἔτι μαρτυρίας.

ΠΡΟΚΛΗΣΙΣ. ΜΑΡΤΥΡΙΑΙ.

• Malim παρέξεσθαι, vel, quandoquidem παρασχέσθαι dat ms, possis Ισχυρότατ' αν παρασχέσθαι. Dobree.

τον νόμμον δρκον] The defendant appears to have offered to take an oath in the ἀντωμοσία at the ἀνάκρισις, or preliminary examination. Such an oath might be taken by either of the parties, with a view to decide the cause, or some particular point in dispute. But it was only taken by the consent of

the adversary, upon a challenge given and accepted (C. R. Kennedy in Dict. Antiq.). Cf. Or. 54 § 40 ἡθέλησα ὁμόσαι ταυτί. Aristot. Rhet. i 15 §§ 27—33. τοῖς αὐτοῖς ὑμῶν ὁμωμοκόσι] 'to you who are yourselves on oath'; 'vobis iudicibus qui et ipsi iutastis.' Seager, Classical Journal, 1825, no. 61, p. 63.

GREEK INDEX.

The first figure refers to the number of the Speech, the second to the Section.

A.

dγανακτείν, c. acc. neut. pron., άγνωμοσύνη 36. 46 αγνώμων 54. 14, 16 dγορά, without article, 54. 7 dγορεύω (usage of) 55. 4 n. άγώγιμος 53. 11 άδυνάτως έχει 36. 1 alkela 54. 1, 28 αίμασιά 55. 11 altlar Exer 55. 21 alτιᾶσθαι 36. 40; 54. 15 άκοην μαρτυρείν 46. 7 άκόλουθοι 36. 45 άκρόδρυα 53.15 Αλαιεύς 54. 31 άληθινός (άληθής) 53. 7 άλισκεσθαι, c. gen., 45. 45 άλλά 45. 56; 55. 12 dλλà νη Δία 54. 34; 36. 39 άλλος, c. gen., 45. 13 ἀμαξιαΐος 55. 20 άμεληθέν 55. 11 àuls 54.4 $\Delta \nu$, attracted to negative, 36. 49; 45. 7; 53. 12; consopitum, 45. 12; separated from verb, 53. 12; 54. 32: see also notes on **45.** 17, 18, 35, 71; 53. 17 **ἀ**νάγειν 45. 81; -άγεσθαι 53. 5 άναγκαία πρόφασις 54. 17 dνάγκη, 'a family tie,' 36. 30; cf. 45. 54 άνάγκης χρεία 45.67 άναδενδράδες 53. 15

άναδέχεσθαι 46. 7 άναίνεσθαι 36. 31 άναιρείσθαι διαθήκην 45. 21 'Ανακεῖον 45. 80 άνακεχωκότες 55.28 άνακρίνεσθαι δίκην 53. 14, 17 άνάκρισις 53. 22 άνασκευάζεσθαι, 'become bankrupt,' 36. 50 n. ανατρέπειν τράπεζαν 36. 58 n. άνεκδότους ένδον γηράσκειν p. xlvii άνεπίδικος 46. 22 άνεψιαδοῦς 45. 54 άνηρ γεγονώς 36. 20 άντιγράφεσθαι 45. 45 άντιμοιρεί 36.8 άνυπόστατος 54. 38 άνωθεν πονηρός 45. 80 άξιοπιστία (rhet.) 54. 41 n. dolκητος, 'houseless,' 45. 70 άπάγειν κλέπτην 45. 81 **ἀπ**αγωγή 54. 1 άπαιτείν 53. 10; 36. 10 άπαλείφειν 45. 44 **ἀπαλλαγή 45. 41; 36. 2** άπαλλάττειν 36. 25; άπηλλάττετο της μισθώσεως 36. 10 **άπ**αναισχυντεῖν 54.33 άπειπεῖν 46. 14; 54. 25 άπειρία 36. 1 άπεκεκλείμην, των σιτίων, 54. 11 άπεκρινάμην 53.8 άπεπεμψάμεθα 54. 4 v.l. άπηγγείλεν 54. 38 άπηγόρευσε 55. 4 άπλας ύποδέδενται 54. 34

άποβλέπειν 54.38 άπογραφή 53. 1; p. li sq. άποδεικνύναι 53. 28 άποδιδόναι 36.9; 53.10 n. άποδιδόναι τὰ δίκαια 54.42 άποδύρεσθαι 45.57 άποθνήσκειν (usage of), 54. 20, 22, 39 άποικοδομείν 55. 5 **ἀπ**ορίαι 53. 29 άπορούμενος 54. 12 άποφαίνειν δίαιταν 54. 27 άπόφασις 45.60 άποφράττειν 55. 17 άπράγμων 36. 53; 54. 24 **ἀπρόσκλητος 53. 14, 15** ãρα 55. 15 άραὶ, imprecations in wills. 36. **52** "Αρειος πάγος 54. 25, 28 άριστήσειαν 54.3 Αριστοκράτης 54. 39 Αριστόλοχος 36. 49; 45. 63 'Αρχεβιάδης, Plutarch's description of, 54. 34 άρχειν χειρών άδίκων 54. 28 n. άρχη, 'magistrate,' 45. 58; 53. Αρχέστρατος 36. 43 ἄρχοντες, the 'Eleven,' 53. 24 ἄρχων, eponymus, 46. 22 άσπιδοπηγείον 36. 11 άτίμητος δίκη 55. 18, 25 αὐτὸ τοὐναντίον 55. 17 αὐτολήκυθοι 54. 14; p. 239 έπ' αὐτοφώρφ 45.81 άφανεῖς έργασίαι 45.66 άφεις και άπαλλάξας, άφηκε και άπήλλαξεν, 36. 25; άφήκατε, άφηκαν, 36. 10, 12; άφεθείς 36. 3 ἄφεσις 45. 41 άφιέναι 36. 25, 32; 45. 40, 51 άφορμη 36. 12, 11, 44; άφορμης δίκη 36. Arg. 22; p. xxiv άχρηστος (άχρεῖος) 54. 44 B.

βαδιείσθαι 55, 16 βαδίζειν έπί τινα 53. 15; p. li βαδίζειν παρά τούς τοίχους 45. 68 βαδίζειν ταχέως 45.77 βαλανείον 54. 9 βάρβαρος 45. 30, 81 βασανίζειν 45. 16; βάσανος, terms of, 45. 61; 'evidence extorted,' 53. 24 βλάβης δίκη 55. 20 βοηθείν...τά δίκαια 54.2, 42 βουλεύσεως γραφή, note on, 54. 25Βραυρωνόθεν 54. 25

Г.

 γ à ρ , idiomatic uses of, 45, 83: 53.4; 54.17; 55.3 έν γειτόνων, έκ γειτόνων, 53. 10 γελάσαντες...άφήσετε 54. 20 γενναίος 53. 15 γναφεύς 54. 7 γνώριμος 45. 73; 53. 4 γνωσις, 'award,' 36. 16; έγνω 36. 60 $\gamma \circ \hat{v}_{\nu}$, 36. 52; 54. 25 γράμματα (τραπεζιτικά) 36. 18, 21, 36; 45. 33 γραμματείδιον 54. 37 γραφαί...δίκαι 54. 2; 46. 9 γράφεσθαι 53. 24 γυμν**ὸ**ς 54.9

δανείζειν έπι γη 36. 6 δεινός 46. 17 δείται καὶ ἰκετεύει 36. 5, 7; 45. 1 δεκασμός 46. 26 n. δημοσία αποδημείν 45. 3 - βασανίζεσθαι 53.23 τὸ δημόσιον 53. 14 διά 45. 31; 53. 14, 18 διά ταχέων 53. 5 διά τοῦ πυρός 54. 40 δίαιτα 54. 26; 36. 16 διαλεχθείς 54. 7 διαλογισμός 36. 23 διαλύειν 36. 3, 50 διαλύσεις 55. 32 διαμεμετρημένη ἡμέρα 53. 17 διαρραγή 54. 41 διασύρειν 55. Arg. 13 διαφορηθείς, of person plundered, 45. 64 διεμαρτύρατο 55. 4

διεφθαρμένος τούς όφθαλμούς 54.

· ὑπὸ νόσου 55. 33 διήγησις $\dot{a}\pi\lambda\hat{\eta}$ (rhet.) 59. 4 n. δικάζεσθαι, επιδικάζεσθαι, 45. 75 δίκαιον, 'a plea,' 54. 27, 42; 55. 3 δίκαιον (and ἐπιεικὲς) 54. 21 δίκαιος 36.43 δίκη ίδία, opp. to γραφή δβρεως, 54. 1 διορύττειν τοίχους 54. 37 - πράγματα 45.30 διότι, for ότι, 46, 16 δίωξις 45. 50 δοκιμασθείς 36, 10 δόξα...είναι 36. 44 δ' οὖν 54. 27 δωρειά 36. 15 δωροδοκία 46. 26

E.

έγγειος ούσία 36. 5 έγγράφειν 53. 14 έγγύη 46. 18 έγκαλεῖ, future, 55. 17 έγκαλεῖν c. gen. 36.9; 54.2; c. acc. 36, 12 έθέλειν (θέλειν) 45. 15 ϵi 54. 44; repeated, 46. 23; c. subj. 46. 11 n. elâσθαι 45. 22 el kal 55. 21 $\epsilon l \pi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu ... \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu 36.33$ els, 'to the extent of,' 54, 21 είς γέλωτα... εμβαλείν 54. 18 els oùdels 45. 18 είς παν έλθων 54.13 είσαγώγιμος 36. 3, 23 είσιέναι δίκην 45. 49; (είς δικαστήριον) 45. 7; 54. 32; (els την έκκλησίαν) 54. 39 είσφέρειν 45.69 είτα 54. 20 έκ μικροῦ παιδαρίου 53. 19 Έκαταῖα 54. 39 έκβαλείν, 'eject,' 36. 49; 45. 70; 'banish,' 54. 25 έκδιδόναι, 'give in marriage,' 36. ἐκδύεσθαι 54, 32 έκκρούειν 36. 2; 54. 30

έκμαρτυρία 46. 7 έκστηναι των δντων, of bankrupts, 36. 50 (passive to ἐκβαλεῖν); **45. 64** έκτίλλειν 53. 16 έκφέρειν λόγους 53. 14 έλααι περίστοιχοι 53. 15 έλαύνεις, διώκεις, συκοφαντείς, 36. έλέγχεσθαι 54. 30 έλευθέρους άφεισαν 36. 14 'Ελευσῖνι 55. 28 ξλκη...ὑπὸ δεσμῶν 53. 8 έμβαλεῖν (εἰς τὸν έχῖνον) 45. 20 έμβάλλεται (είς τον έχινον) 54. 31 έμβεβλημένα 53. 15 έμποιείν χρόνους 36. 2 ξμπυος 54. 12; p. 238 έμφανῶν κατάστασις 53. 14 έναποτιμάν 53. 20 ένδεικνύναι 53.14 ένεργός 36. 5 ἐνθήκη (late Greek) 36. Arg. 20 ένοφειλομένου...άργυρίου 53. 10 ένστήναι 55. 10 έξ εύπορίας πονηροί 45. 67 έξαγαγών αίμασιαν 55. 22; δδωρ 55. 17 έξαναστήσας 54. 7 έξαπάτη 45. 46 έξεπλάγην 45.57 έξέρα τὸ ΰδωρ 36. 62 έξετάζειν 45. 66, 76, 80, 82; cf. 34.8 έξην without &v 55. 5 **ἐξομνύναι 45. 58, 60** έξορκίζειν 54. 26 **ἐξορκοῦν 45.58** ἐξώλης 54. 41 έπαγγέλλειν 45.68 ἐπάγεσθαι 54. 1 έπαινείν 53.6 έπεβίω 55.32 έπειδη θάττον 54. 5 ∉πὶ 45. 30 έπι δίετες ήβήσαι 46. 20 έπὶ δύο παισίν 36. Arg. 1 έπὶ προικὶ 36. Arg. 6 έπὶ τοῖς είργασμένοις 45. 81 έπιβιόντος 55. 5 έπιβολή...έπιβουλή 53. 14, 15

ἐπιγεγραμμένος followed by acc. 45. 39 έπιγράφεσθαι 53. 14, 15: 54. 31: 55. 31 έπιδιδόναι 45. 85; προίκα 45. 35, 54 έπιδικασία 46. 22 έπικαθησθαι έπὶ τραπέζης 36. 7 έπικατασκευάζειν (late Greek) 46. Arg. 1 ἐπίκληρος 45. 75; 46. 20, 22; 53. έπιμονή (rhet.) 36. 52 n. έπινέμειν 55. 11 έπιπόλιος 54. 34 *ἐπίσκηψι*ς 46. 7 έπισκοπείν 54. 12 έπιτρέπειν, άνατρέπειν, 36. 58 έπιτρέπειν (δίαιταν) 36. 15 έπιτροπή 36. 20 έπίτροπος 36. 22 έπίχαρτος 45. 85 ἐποικοδομεῖν 55. 25 έπωβελία 45. 6 Epavos 53. 8, 12 έργάζεσθαι χρήμασι 36. 44 έρημην sc. δίκην 55. 6 έρήμους δίκας 55. 2 έρράφθαι 54.35 έρώτησις (rhet.) 46. 10 n. έσπέρας 54. 7 έταιρεία 46. 26 **ἐ**ταιρεῖν 45. 79 έτεσι και χρόνοις υστερον 36. 53 έτος τουτί τρίτον 54. 3 εθ φρονείν 46. 16 εὐθεῖα (δίκη) 36. Arg. 23 εύθυδικία 45. 6 εδθυναι 46. 9 εύμενως and εύνοϊκως 45. 1 εὐοδεῖν 55. 10 εύορκος 45. 88; 54. 40 εύπορεῖν χρήματα 36.57 n. εὐρεῖν, 'to get by good luck,' 36.
43; 45. 81 Εύφραίος 36.37 εύχερως έχειν 54. Arg. 6 έχινος 54. 27; 45. 8, 17, 58; 53. έχρην without aν 45. 17 ἐώρακε, respexit, 45. 64 έωράμεθα 54. 16

Z.

 $\zeta \hat{\eta} \nu$ (usage of) 54. 4 n.

H.

η που, ironical, 55. 18 ña 45. 17 ήγεμών...άγαθῶν 45.73 ήλικία 54. 1 ήφθαι 54.35

A.

 $\theta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ os 45. 70, 75 θεσμοθέται 46. 26

T.

ίδίαν δίκην 54. 1 tõios 55. 8 ίδιώτης 53. 2 ιθύφαλλος 54. 14, 16, 20 'Ικαριεύς 54. 31 ໃνα...δπως 53. 13 tva c. indic. 36. 47; 45. 13; 53. 24; 55.5,6 ίσα βαίνειν 45.63 looi 55. 9, 35

ĸ.

καθαρότης (rhet.) 54. 1 n. καθ' έαυτον 36. 4 καθ' ἔνα 54. 26 καὶ, for ὅτε, 54. 8 καὶ γὰρ...καὶ 55. 13 καὶ δη καὶ 54. 14; 55. 10 каг...каг 55. 29 καλ ταῦτα 36.45 κακηγορίας δίκαι 54. 17, 18 κακοτεχνιών δίκη 46. 10 n.; p. xxxii κακών άλλοτρίων κλέπτης 45. 59 Κάλλιππος 36. 53; p. xxix καλῶν κάγαθῶν 45. 65; 54. 14 κάν εl 45. 12 $\kappa a \tau a$ in composition 36.39; 54. 40 ad fin. κατά παίδων όμνύναι 54.38,40 καταλείπειν 46. 28 καταλητουργείν 36. 39 κατασκεύασμα 45. 27, cf. § 42

κατασκευάζειν 46. 11; 54. 14;

καταχύσματα 45.78 καταψευδομαρτυρηθείς 45.1 κατεαγέναι 54. 35 κατεδιητήσατο 55. 2, 6 κατείργασται, middle, 45. 66 κατεπιορκησόμενος 54. 40 ad fin. κατψκουν...οίκω 53. 4 κείμαι and τίθημι 46. 12 κεκομίσθαι, deponent, 45. 30 κεράμιον 55. 24 Κέρδων 53. 19 κηδεστής 36. 31 Κηφισιεύς 54. 7 κινείν 45. 58 κίχρημι (and δανείζω) 53. 12 κλάω, κλαίω, 53. 7 κληρονόμος 36. 32 κληρούν κλήρων 46. 22 κοινός έχθρός της φύσεως 45. 58 κομίζεσθαι τας βλάβας 55. 29 κοσμείν και περιστέλλειν 36. 47 κτήμα... έργασία 36. 11 κύριος 45.74

Λ.

λαγχάνειν δίκην 54, 1 λακωνίζειν 54. 34 λαλείν μέγα 45.77 λαχείν τής επικλήρου 46. 23 λαχείν, of jury, 46. 23; δίκην 54. 1 λέγειν και διδάσκειν 36. 1 λελευκωμένον γραμματείον 46. 11 λελυμασμένος, deponent, 45. 27 λέλυσαι 36. 45 Λεωκόριον 54. 7 λήξις 36. 21; 45. 50 λητουργείν 36. 39 λίθος (βωμός) 54. 26 λιθοτομίαι 53. 17 λόγος, 'mere talk,' 36. 60 λόγφ... ἔργφ 46. 9; 55. 6 λοιδορείσθαι 54. 11; λοιδορηθείς 54. 5 λοιδορία 54. 19 λωποδυτής 54. 1, 24, 32

M.

μάλθη 46. 11 μάλιστα 45. 25 Μάνης 45. 86; 53. 20 μανιῶν ἔνεκα 46. 14 μαρτυρείν έν γραμματείφ 45. 44 μέγεθος, neutral word, 58. 1 μέδιμνος 55, 24 Mειδίας 54. 10 μελεταν, meditari, 46. 1 Μελίτη 54. 7 $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu ... \delta \hat{\epsilon}$ 53. 9; 54. 14, 17; .55. 22, 35 $\mu \epsilon \rho o s$, 'in part alone,' 86. 54; τὸ σαυτοῦ μέρος 45. 70 μέσον, τὸ μέσον, 55. 10 μετεωρότερος 55. 29 μέτοικος 36. 6 μέτριοι λόγοι 45. 4 μή 54. 40 μή δτι 36. 39; 54. 16; 55. 19 μικρού δείν πρίν 55. 8 μισθοῦν 36. 12, 13 μίσθωσις, 'rent,' 36. 33, 36 μοχθηρός 53. Arg. 8 μυλών 45. 33

N.

νεανικά 54. 35 νέμειν, νέμεσθαι, 36. 8 νεμεσᾶν, rare in prose, 45. 71 Νικήρατος 54. 32 νόμοι γεγραμμένοι 45. 53 νόμον έπι άνδρι θεῦναι 46. 12 νόκτες 54. 26

¥

ξύλον, 'bench,' 45, 33

0.

δ καὶ δεινότατον εἰ 55. 20
δ μὴ 55. 25
δ τι τύχοιεν 54. 4
δδοποιεῖν 55. 11; p. lxxi
δδύρεσθαι 45. 88
σιμαι (parenthetical) 36. 44; 54.
38
δμοιός γε 45. 56
δμολογουμέναι (curious use of)
55. 19
δνομα παρέχειν 53. 2
δντι καὶ ζώντι 36. 29
δπου, 'whereas,' 55. 18
δπώραν πρίασθαι 53. 21
δρᾶν, to observe, 36. 1

περιεστηκότες 54. 41

την δργην έχειν 54. 42 δρκον διδόναι 55. 27 δρκος νόμιμος 55. 35 δρχεις (χοίρων) 54. 39 δσα μη 54.36 öση και οία 54.36; öσα...οία **53.** 3 δτι, superfluous, 53. 12 δ τι δεί 36. 62; 54. 44 δ τι τύχοιεν 54. 4 ότιοῦν 54. 39 ότου τις οθν 45, 53 oủ and μη 36. 6; 54. 43; 55. 20 ού μη έθελησει 53. 8 ού μὴν άλλα 45. 9; 54. 38 οὐδὲ πολλοῦ δεῖ 54. 40 ούδεν πρός το πράγμα 54. 26 ούκ ήν άρα 55. 1 ούτε, ούδε, 54. 16; ούτε...τε 55.8 obtos, ambiguous uses of, 36. 12, 20, 22, 42, 83 οῦτος...ἐκεῖνος 54. 21, 23 οῦτω...γένοιτο 55. 24 ούτωσὶ 54. 26 ούχ δπως 53. 13

п.

 $\pi a \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \tau_i$, euphemism, 54. 25; of inanimate things, 55. 24 πάλαι, vaguely used, 46. 21 Πάνακτον 54. 3; p. lxiii πάνυ, separated from its adj., 54. 1 παραγραφή 36. Arg. 23; 45. 5. 6, 51 παρακαταθήκη 36. 5 παρανενομήσθαι 54. 2 παρανοείν 46. 14 παραπεπτωκώς 45.84 παραπέτασμα, 'pretext,' 45. 19 παραστήσασθαι παίδας 54. 38 παρέχεσθαι 36. Arg. 23 παροικοδομείν 55. 17 παροινείν 54. 4, 16 παροξυσμός 45. 14 παρρησίας άποστερείν 45. 79 πατάξαι and πληγήναι p. 236 Πεπάρηθος 45. 28 $\pi \epsilon \rho l ... \dot{v} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho$ 45. 11, 50 περιάγειν, περιάγεσθαι, 36. 45

περίεστιν, absolute, 55. 29 περιέχειν κύκλω 55. 10 περιοράν 55. 7 περιφάνεια 45. 2 περιφανώς 46. 5 περιώδυνος 54, 12 Πιθεύς 54. 31 πικρός 54.14 πιστευθείς 54. 40 πίστις, 'credit,' 36. 44, 57 πλάσμα 36. 33; 45. 29 πλάσσειν 45. Arg. 12; 45. 42, 68 πλείν ... βαδίζειν 45. 16 πληγάς είληφέναι 54. 14 πληγείς τῷ κακῷ 45. 57 ποιείσθαι άφεσιν 45. 41 ποιεῖσθαι, double sense, 46. 14 ποιητός (πολίτης) 45. 78 ποικίλη στοὰ 45. 17 ποτè, first word in sentence, 36. 50 πράγματα 36.53; 54.1 πρεσβεία 36. 34 πρεσβευτης, 'agent,' 45. 64 πρίασθαι... ἀνεῖσθαι 53. 10, 21 πρὸ 54. 42 πρὸ τοῦ 36, 33 προβαίνειν 53. 4 πρόβλημα 45.69 προδιήγησις (rhet.) 54. 2 προειμένος 36, 6 προεισάγειν (late Gk.) 46. Arg. 1 προέσθαι 36. 58 προεώραται 54. 19 προήκται 54. 23 προθεσμία 36. 26-27 προκαλεῖσθαι 54. 27 πρόκλησις 36. 7; 45. 15, 16 $\pi \rho \delta s$, adverbial, 55. 29 πρός μέρος 36. 32 προσεκαλεσάμην 54. 29 προσηυπορηκώς χρήματα 36.57 προσκρούσματα 54. 3 προσοφείλειν 36. 4, 7, 10 προστάντας 46. 11 προστιθέναι προϊκα 45. 35 προσυβρισθείς 54. 43 προσφέρεσθαι 53. 28 πρόφασις 46. 9 πρῶτον, ambiguous use of, 54. 32

πώποτε, without negative, 53, 20

Ρ.

ραδίως 55. 7 ράων έσομαι 45. 57 ρηθησόμενος 45. 46 ροδωνιά 53. 16

Σ.

σημεία, 'seals,' 45. 17 σημείον...τεκμήριον 54. 9 σκεύη ἐκφορείν 53. 14 σκευώρημα 36. 33; 45. Arg. 11 σκευωρία 55. 2 σκευωρουμένους 46. 17; έσκευωρήσατο 45. 47 σκιροφοριών 46. 22 σκυθρωπάζειν 45. 68; 54. 34 σολοικίζειν, 45. 30 Σόλων 36. 27 Σπίνθαρος ὁ Εὐβούλου 54. 7 στενότερος 55. 22 στέργειν την τύχην 55. 22 Στέφανος 'Αχαρνεύς 45. 8 συγγενείας άναγκαΐα 45. 54 συκοφαντείν 55. 1, 35; 36. 3, 12 συκοφαντία, disclaimed, 53. 1 συμβάλλεσθαι 45.69 συμβουλεύειν 54. 1 συμμέμνησθε 46. 1 συμπλάσας 36. 16 συνδεκάζειν 46. 26 συνεσκότασεν 54. 5 συνιστάμενος 46. 25 συνίστησιν (in late Gk.) 55. Arg. συνοικία 36. 6, 34; 53. 13 Σύρος 45, 86 σύσσιτοι 54. 4 συστάσεις 45. 67 σφίσιν αὐτοῖς and άλλήλοις 54. 14 σφοδροτης (rhet.) 54. 20 n. σχέσις 45. 68

T.

τεθνεώς 54. 20 τεκμήριον δέ...γάρ 45. 66 τετυπτήσθαι (late Gk.) 54. Arg. 2 τηλικοῦτος, 'so young,' 55. 7 τημικοῦτα, 'in that case,' 55. 10 τί...å, 54. 13 τί γὰρ ἄν; 54. 33 τί μαθόντες; 45. 37 τίθεσθαι...τιθέναι 53. 10, 12 τίθημι and κείμαι 46. 12 τιμάν...τιμάσθαι 53. 18, 26 τίμησις 53. 18 τιμητός δίκη 55. 18 n. Τιμόμαχος 36. 53 τιμωρείσθαι δείν 53. 1 rls, 8s, 36. 59 n. τίς ου; 36. 53 τὸ καὶ τὸ 45. 45 τοιοῦτος 54. 6, 33 τοίχους διορύττειν 54. 37 τοιχωρυχείν (metaph.) 45. 30 n. τολμάν (τλήναι), 55. 21 τοσαῦτα, tantilla, 36. 41 τοῦ μὴ...γίγνεσθαι 54. 18 τράπεζα 36. 11 τραύματος γραφαί 54. 18 τρέπεσθαι 54. 16 Τριβαλλοί 54. 39 and p. 241 τρίβων 54. 34 τριηραρχία 36. 14 τριηραρχίας τριηραρχείν 45. 85 τυπτήσω p. 233 τυπτητέοι 54.44 τύπτω (prose usage of), p. 233 τυχόν, acc. abs., 54. 42 τῶν Πυθοδώρου 54. 7

r.

Φ. φαιδροί 45. 68 φαίνεται 54. 33; φ. ων 53. 28 φαρμακῶν 46. 16 φάσκεν 45. 26 φέρειν, tolerate, 36. 3 φέρειν χαλεπῶς 54. 15 Φερρεφάττιον 54. 8 φεύγειν τὴν πόλιν 45. 66 φθάνειν (late Gk.) 46. Arg. 1 φιλανθρωπος 45. 4 φιλαπεχθημοσύνη 54. 37 φοράδην 54. 20 φρουρᾶς προγραφείσης 54. 3 φυλάττειν 36. 61; 45. 87 φύσευς οἰκεῖα 45. 58

X

χαράδρα 55. 5, 12, 19 χειμάρρους 55. 19 χιασμός (rhet.) 55. 22 n. χιλίων δραχμών, fine, 53. 1 χλανίς 36. 45 χλήδος 55. 22, 27 Χολλείδης 54. 10 χρήσιμος, used absolutely, 54. 44 χρήστης 36. 6 χρόνους έμποιεῦν 36. 2 χρώμενος, 'intimate with,' 55. 23 χωρίον 55. 12

 Ψ

τὰ ψευδή 54. 32; μαρτυρεῦν, 45. 2 ψευδοκλητεία 53. 17 n.; p. liii ψευδομαρτυριῶν δίκη, pp. xxxii,

Ω.

ENGLISH INDEX.

The first figure refers to the number of the Speech, the second to the Section.

A.

About, quoted, 55. 16 accusative, 46. 18 - absolute, 54. 31; 55. 11 - cognate, 45.85 - double, 53. 22 duration of time, 36. 35 adverbs in $-\epsilon i$, 36. 8 Aeschines, p. xl Alciphron, quoted, 45. 68, 70 anacoluthon, 36.2; 45.83 Andocides, 36. 58 Antiphon, 46.9; 54.18 antithesis, 53. 9 aorist, 53.9 Apollodorus, πρός Τιμόθεον, 36. 20, 53; 46. 16 — πρός Πολυκλέα, 36. 41, 45, 53 - trierarchies, 36.41; 45.3; 53. 5; p. lvii

apology to audience, 45. 83; 54. 15, 17, 39 appeals peals ad misericord 45.88; 53.29; 54.43 misericordiam, apposition, 53. 15; 54. 13, 15 arbitration, 45. 17; 54. 26; 55. 2, 32 Areopagus, 54. 25, 28 Aristides (rhetorician), 54. 20, 41; 55. 18, 24 Aristotle, Politics, 46.7 - Rhetoric, 46. 10; 53. 10; 54. 2, 9, 44 article, 54. 7, 10 Athenian audience, sensitiveness of, 36. 1 Athenian clubs, pp. 227—230 Athenian places of lounge, 54.7 Athens, demeanour in the streets of, 45, 68; p. 240; p. lxvi

Attica, country-roads in, 55. 16 attraction, 45. 79; 58. 22; 54. 12 attraction of antecedent into case of relative, 58. 11 audience, compliments to, 36. 30; 54. 9

в.

bankruptcy, 36. 49, 50, 58
'bimembered' construction, 45.
34
'binary structure,' 55. 13
Blass, F., p. xli, xlix, &c.
bribery, 46. 26
Butcher, S. H., quoted, p. 1,
lxvi
bystanders in court, 54. 41

C.

Catullus, use of vester, 55, 5 Cerdo, 53, 19 change of subject, 36. 3 Chysoloras (Gk. Grammar), p. Cicero, Phil. II, 54. 24; 45. 85 – pro Murena, 45. 16 citizens by adoption, 36. 30 citizens, rights of, 36. 4 and 6 Cobet, quoted, 36. 45; 45. 7, 11; 53, 64; 54. 20; p. 237; 55. 4. 17 cock-fighting, 54. 9 compound verb followed by simple, 36.4; 53.4 conditional sentences, 53. 3, 23; **55. 13** construction changed, 54. 36 suspended, 53. 29 copyists' errors, 54.39 court, sensational scenes in, 54. curious collocation, 54, 33

D.

dative, double, 54. 16, 44; 55. 8

of respect, 42. 77
dativus incommodi, 55. 10
decuriare, 46. 26
Deinarchus, charges against Demosthenes, 54. 38; p. xxvii;
p. xli; p. lxiv

demonstrative pronoun, redundant, 46.9 Demosthenes, Or. 37 (Pant.), 45. 77; p. xlvi; p. l (Or. 57) quoted by Stobacus, **4**5. 67 alleged duplicity of, p. xli, depositions forged by copyists. **45.** 8, 19, 55, 60, 61; **46.** 21; 54. 31 Dion of Syracuse, 36.53 Dionysius I and Athens, 45. 3 Dionysius Halic., lxiv Dionysius Thrax, p. 209 Dobree's Adversaria, quoted, 36. 53; 45. 7, 13, 16, 18, 28, 48, 56, 58, 68, 83, 84; 46. 5, 9; 53. 1, 8; 54. 33, 40 bis; 55. 6, 22, 29, 30, 35

E.

Eleusis, floods at, 55. 28 ellipse, 36. 7; 54. 26; 55. 21 emendations discussed, 53. 12; **54**. 39 emendations proposed, 36.5, 53; 45. 18, 19, 37, 59, 73; 53. 2; 54. 16, 40; p. 217 col. 2; 55. emphasis, 54. 30 epilogue, same in several speeches, 36, 50; 54, 44 euphemism, 45. 3, 27, 75; 54. **25**: 55. 24 Eusebius, p. lix evidence, hearsay, 46. 7 exhibitio (an 'exhibit'), 53, 14 exordium similar in several speeches, 45.1; 54.2 expiatory sacrifices, 54.39

F.

farms in Attica, 55. 10—11 flower-gardens, little appreciated by the Greeks, 53. 16 forged documents, 55. 31; (see 'depositions') future optative, 43. 8 10

G. Gay, quoted, p. 243 Gebauer, G., 45. 34, 59; 46. 19 genitive absolute, 45. 62; 55. 26, 30 - exceptional use of, 45. 13 - with nom., 55. 11, 21 genitives, accumulation of, 36. 23, 41 εἰς τοῦθ' ήκειν, 36. 48; 45. 73 — of charge, 53. 15 — of price, 53. 12 - of time, 54. 7, 28 Goethe, quoted, 54. 36 Goodwin, W. W., 45. 6; p. xviii, &с. Greek Testament, 45. 14; 53. 8,

Gregorius Nazianzen, p. lxvii H.

Harpocration, corrected, 55. 5
Harpocration, quoted, 36. 25, 26, 31; 45. 1, 15, 63, 64, 66, 70, 74, 80, 84; 46. 7, 11, 20; 53. 1, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18, 24; 54. 1, 3, 26, 27, 34, 39; p. 213; 55. 5, 22; p. 1, liii harsh construction, 46. 17
Hermann, quoted, 45. 18
Hermogenes, 53. 16; 54. 1, 4
Hesychius, quoted, 36. 33; 45. 29, 30; 53. 15; 54. 11, 13, 20, 26, 34; p. 240; p. 242; 55. 5, 22
hiatus, 46. 16; 54. 6; p. xliii honesty the best policy, 36, 52 humour, 55. 4, 13, 18; p. 1xx
Hyperides, p. xlvi, 1xx

I.

imperfect combined with present, 54. 8
— tentative, 53. 7, 16
indicative with optative, 53. 5
infinitive in relative clause, 36.
25; 45. 10
— with two accusatives, 54. 31;
55. 12
innuendo, 36. 42; 45. 84
interest, 53. 13
interpolation, 54. 33

Isocrates, 55. 5; p. 228

— κατά Λοχίτου, 54. 17, 18, 43

— Trapeziticus, 36. 3, 5, 43; 54. 26; p. xix
ita sim felix, 55. 24

J.

Jebb, R. C., quoted, p. xxx Juvenal, 54. 39

K.

Kennedy, C. R., criticised, 36. 35, 38, 57; 45. 59, 62, 67, 73, 74; 46. 26; 54. 40; 55. 22

L.

lawcourts closed, 45. 4
Liddell and Scott, criticised, 36.
2, 58; 45.76; 54.4; p. 224; p. 226; 55. 10
— supplemented, 36. 43; 45. 84
loose construction, 46. 13; 53.
20; 54. 33
loudness of talk, 45. 77; p. xlv
Lucian, 45. 70; 54. Arg. 2; 54.
39
lunacy, 46. 14, 16
Lysias, p. xxx, lxiv; 54. 9, 18; p. 236; p. 241
Lysias, de olea sacra, 53. 15

M.

Mahaffy, J. P., quoted, 53. 29; p. xxi Milton, quoted, 45. 33; 53. 5 mixed construction, 53. 1 Mohocks, p. lxvi; p. 243 money-lenders, unpopularity of, 45. 70 mortgage, 53. 10 Moss, H. W., 45. 35; 55. 16

N.

name, emphatic, 36. 53 names, similar in the same family, 55. 3 negative, double, (1) 36. 22, 46; (2) 45. 14 — repeated, 54. 40 Nicias, 54. 32 nobilis, 53. 15

0.

oaths, 54. 40; 55. 35 oaths taken by jurors, 36. 26; 55. 35 object-sentence, 55. 22 olive-trees, varieties of, 53. 15 orchard, 53. 15 ordeal by fire, 54. 40 n.

P.

participial clause, emphatic, 45. participial construction, 54. 1 participle, emphatic, 55. 21 followed by subordinate participles, 36. 25; 45. 3 used for hypothetical clause, 36. 28; 45, 13, 24; 53, 25; **55.** 8 Pasicles, 36. 8, 22; 45. 84; p. Pasion, 36. 3, 7, 43; 45. 35; p. passive of intransitive verbs, 54. 2, 5, 40 periphrasis, 54, 24 Perrot, G., quoted, 54. 2, 3 Phormion, character of, 36. 57 -59; 45. 71—82; p. xxi Plato's Laws, 45. 79; 55. 11, 19; p. lxxii plural, indefinite, 54. 39 Plutarch, p. xli, lvi Pollux, quoted, 45. 58; 46. 26; 53. 15, 16; p. 240; 55, 18 Polybius, passage explained, 45. 76 predicative article, 36. 8 pregnant' expression, 46. 11 present, historic, 53. 5 Priscian, 55. 8 pronoun, emphatic, 36. 31; 45. 80; 53.22

Q.

questions, direct and indirect, 36.81

B.

Reiske corrected, 54. 25, 27; 55. relationship, obligations of, 45. 53 relative, double, 53, 3 with sentence for antecedent, 54. 26; 55. 22 repetitions of same word at short intervals, 45. 4; 46. 2, 23, 28; **53. 23** revenge, 53. 1 rhetorical artifices, 36. 2; 45. 5; 53. 4, 27; 54. 9 — evasions, 45. 34, 36 exaggeration, 45. 30 rights of water, p. lxxi; 55. 19 road-making, 55. 16 Ruskin, quoted, 53, 5, 16

8.

Sauppe, 54. 40 Schaefer, Arnold, quoted, p. xlv; 36. 53; 46. 17, 20; 54. 3 etc. Seager, quoted, 36. 53; 53. 28; 55. 7, 35 seals on wills, 45. 17 sense-construction, 45. 27, 64 sentences recast for clearness of translation, 53. 15; 54. 13; 55. 11, 12 servitus, 55. 19 Sheridan, quoted, 54. 25 Shilleto, quoted, 36. 33, 53; 45. 4, 7, 27, 41, 63, 83; 54. 39, 40 slaves, 45. 74, 80, 81 - names of, 45.86; and 53. 19, 20 statute of limitations, 56. 26 Stobaeus, corrected, 45. 67 subject of subordinate made object of principal sentence, 55. 22 substantive thrown into verb, 45. 27, 68; 55. 32 Suidas, mistake of, 55. 18 synonymous verbs combined, 45. 1

T.

Theodosius (grammarian), p. 209
Theophrastus, quoted, 45. 68, 70
theoric fund, p. xlviii
Thucydides, 55. 5
Tiberius (rhetorician), 36. 52
Timotheus (general), 36. 20, 53
tombs, 55. 13, 15
— extravagant outlay on, 45. 79
torture, 53. 22; 54. 27
— not applied in court, 45. 16
trespass, 55. 11

٧.

various readings discussed, 54, 39; 55. 6, 7 Veitch's Greek Verbs, corrected, p. 236 verses in prose, 36. 44. 54. 37 vester and tuus, 55. 5 vine trained, 53. 15 W.

walking, Athenian notions on, 45. 68, 69; 63. 67
water, rights of, p. lxxi; 55. 19
Weil, H., quoted, pp. xlii, xlix
Westermann, quoted, 54. 19, 26, 30, 31; see also 'depositions forged by copyists'
widows, marriage to guardians, 36. 8
wills, 36, 7; 46. 14, 24, 28
— phraseology of, 54. 25
— seals attached to, 45. 17
witnesses to wills ignorant of their contents, 45, 28; 46. 2
writing-materials, 46. 11

Z.

Zosimus, p. xliii

EDITED BY DR SANDYS.

- THE BACCHAE OF EURIPIDES. With Introduction, Critical Notes, and Archaeological Illustrations. 1880; Third Edition, pp. clv+275, 1892. Crown 8vo., gilt top, 12s. 6d. Cambridge University Press.
- ISOCRATES. Ad Demonicum et Panegyricus, 1868, 1872; pp. xliv+169. Crown 8vo. 4s. 6d. Longman.
- DEMOSTHENES.—SPEECH AGAINST THE LAW OF LEPTINES. With Introduction, Critical and Explanatory Notes, and Autotype Facsimile from the Paris MS. pp. xlviii + 122. Demy 8vo. 1890. 9s. Cambridge University Press.
- ARISTOTLE'S CONSTITUTION OF ATHENS. A revised text with an Introduction, Critical and Explanatory Notes, Testimonia and Indices, pp. lxxx+302. Demy 8vo. 1893, 15s. Macmillan.
- THE ORATOR OF CICERO. A revised text with Introductory Essays and Critical and Explanatory Notes. pp. xcix+258. Demy 8vo. 1885. 16s. Cambridge University Press.
- FIRST GREEK READER AND WRITER, with Greek and English Vocabularies, pp. 225. 16mo. 1896. 2s. 6d. Sonnenschein.
- THE RHETORIC OF ARISTOTLE, with a Commentary by the late E. M. Cope, revised and edited by J. E. Sandys; with a biographical Memoir by the late H. A. J. Muneo. 3 vols., pp. xx+913. Demy 8vo. 1877. 21s. Cameridge University Press.



- Frank

APR 15 '64

STANFORD UNIVERSITY LIBRARY Stanford, California

SP 28 % SM 11 WS M** 15 1977 MAY 2 7 1978

JUL - 9 1992



